

MODEL KONSEP INTEGRITI KE ARAH PENINGKATAN KUALITI
PENILAIAN RAKAN (*PEER ASSESSMENT*)

NOOR ATIKAH BINTI ZAINAL ABIDIN

Tesis ini dikemukakan sebagai
Memenuhi syarat penganugerahan
Doktor Falsafah Pendidikan

Fakulti Pendidikan Teknikal dan Vokasional
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

OGOS, 2019

DEDIKASI

Teristimewa buat...

Ayahanda, bonda dan ibu tersayang...

Zainal Abidin Bin Bahari,

Almarhumah Patmah Bte Saad,

Ramlah Binti Jusoh

Serta ibu yang membesarku dengan penuh rasa cinta...

Ishah Binti Abdulah

Keluargku Tercinta...

***Wan Majida Wan Majid, Yusni Binti Zainal Abidin, Mahzie Bin Awang,
Sharulmizar Bin Zainal Abidin, Ahmad Rizuan Bin Zainal Abidin, Nurul Jannah
Binti Mohamed, Almarhum Safarin Bin Zainal Abidin, Rabiatuladawiyah Binti
Zainal Abidin, Mohd Badruddin Bin Ismail, Siti Nurul Fatimah Binti Mahzie, Siti
Norfatin Najwa Binti Mahzie, Muhamad Fahmi Hakimi Bin Mahzie, Muhamad
Hakim Najmi Bin Mahzie., Siti Nurwafa Awliya Binti Ahmad Rizuan, Siti
Nuradlina Awliya Binti Ahmad Rizuan, Muhammad Umar Shafiee Bin Ahmad
Rizuan, Siti Nurassyara Awliya Bin Ahmad Rizuan, Muhammad Wafiy Binti
Safarin, Dhiya Qhalisyah Qhaisara Binti Mohd Badruddin, Dhiya Qhasyifa Qhaira
Binti Mohd Badruddin***

&

Sahabat-sahabat tersayang

***Shafizza Binti Sahdan, Kak Yatimah Binti Mahali, Norkhairilizah binti Hamzah,
Sharifah Nurulhuda, Nurul Aina Binti Abdul Halim, Fauziah Hanum Hamidon,
Wan Intan Farahain Wan Aasri, Hanis Sofiah, Nur Syafikah Tukino, Siti
Nurhazzalilla Omar, Nor Naimah Yahaya, Siti Hajar Kamarudin, Nurul Amera
Mohd Shah, 'Aifaa Hanisah abd razak, Siti Najihah Ramli, Mariam Nabilah
Mahamad, Nur Farha Bte Hassan.***

Terima kasih atas segala doa dan pengorbanan kalian...

PENGHARGAAN

Syukur Alhamdulillah. Ucapan jutaan terima kasih dan setinggi-tinggi penghargaan kepada penyelia utama, Ts. Dr. Alias bin Masek dan penyelia bersama Dr. Nur Sofurah Binti Mohd Faiz di atas segala bimbingan serta dorongan yang dicurahkan sepanjang tempoh kajian ini dijalankan. Melalui sokongan yang *enthusiastic* daripada kedua-dua pensyarah hebat ini telah melonjakkan semangat saya untuk terus menerokai bahagian terpenting dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran terutamanya iaitu integriti pelajar semasa penilaian rakan. Kepakaran dan nasihat daripada kedua-duanya telah menjadi asas kepada kemajuan berterusan sehingga tesis ini berjaya disiapkan. Jutaan terima kasih saya dedikasikan kepada pensyarah-pensyarah yang terlibat menjayakan kajian ini iaitu panel-panel pakar kajian saya atas kerjasama dan maklumat yang telah diberikan.

Seterusnya, ucapan terima kasih dan setinggi-tinggi penghargaan kepada barisan panel pemeriksa di atas teguran, nasihat dan pandangan ke arah kemantapan kajian ini. Tidak dilupakan pensyarah-pensyarah dan staf-staf di UTHM kerana sudi memberikan kerjasama yang sewajarnya sepanjang kajian ini berjalan. Juga tidak ketinggalan penghargaan ini ditujukan kepada rakan seperjuangan terutamanya rakan-rakan di bilik siswazah yang banyak memberi idea dikala diri ini kebuntuan. Jasa dan pengorbanan kalian, tidak mungkin dilupakan. Akhirnya penghargaan teristimewa saya dedikasikan kepada abah mak yang dikasihi dan seluruh ahli keluarga tercinta di atas segala pengorbanan yang telah dihulurkan. Terima kasih kerana sentiasa memberi sokongan dan galakkan. Tidak ketinggalan dedikasi teristimewa ini juga kepada sahabat yang ada semasa susah mahupun senang Shafizza Binti Sahdan. Hanya Allah S.W.T. sahaja dapat membalas segala pengorbanan yang dicurahkan. Akhir kata, semoga kajian ini dapat memberi sumbangan dan manfaat kepada semua dan beroleh keberkatan dari Allah S.W.T.

ABSTRAK

Penilaian rakan memerlukan seseorang pelajar untuk menilai hasil kerja rakan mereka dalam sesuatu tugas. Namun, penilaian rakan ini kurang diamalkan di institusi pengajian tinggi kerana kualiti penilaian ini masih diragui terutamanya dari aspek integriti pelajar sebagai penilai. Sehubungan itu, kajian ini mencadangkan satu model integriti ke arah peningkatan kualiti penilaian rakan. Kajian ini dijalankan menggunakan reka bentuk *multiphase* yang terdiri daripada tiga (3) fasa. Pada fasa I (Analisis dokumen dan temu bual), dokumen daripada tahun 2010 hingga 2018 telah digunakan dan temu bual daripada enam (6) pakar dalam bidang Pendidikan Teknikal dan Vokasional (PTV) telah memperoleh tiga (3) elemen integriti iaitu, Integriti Diri (Motivasi diri, keberanian, disiplin diri, dan ketelusan), Interaksi Sosial (Kejujuran, keadilan, konsisten, amanah, dan perpaduan), dan Komitmen Kerja (Usaha, tanggungjawab, dan etika). Bagi fasa II (Pembangunan instrumen) pula, teknik *Modified Delphi* (MD) digunakan bagi memperoleh konsensus daripada pakar mengenai item-item yang dibina. Hasil daripada persetujuan pakar MD terdapat 90 item digunakan dalam kajian rintis I dan dianalisis menggunakan *Winsteps*; dan 19 item telah disingkirkan. Seterusnya, Kajian rintis II dijalankan bagi tujuan mendapatkan kesahan dan kebolehpercayaan item yang digunakan menggunakan *Exploratory Factor Analysis* (EFA). Hasil analisis EFA mendapati 3 item bertindih dan disingkirkan bagi menjalankan fasa akhir. Pada Fasa III (Pembangunan model), sebanyak 543 soal selidik telah dianalisis dengan menggunakan *Structural Equation Modelling* (SEM-AMOS). Hasil *Miximum Likelihood Estimates* menunjukkan nilai C.R $> \pm 1.96$ bagi pekali regresi antara integriti dan kualiti penilaian adalah positif dan signifikan ($\beta = 0.85$, C.R = 12.558, $p < 0.001$). Ini menggambarkan bahawa integriti mempengaruhi kualiti penilaian. Manakala, kesediaan memperuntukkan masa merupakan *partial mediator* ($rc=0.23$) yang sederhana penting kepada integriti dan kualiti penilaian rakan secara tidak langsung. Namun, jantina merupakan *full moderator* kepada kesan integriti terhadap kualiti penilaian rakan kerana pelajar perempuan mendapatkan nilai $\Delta\chi^2 = 16.754$ lebih besar daripada 3.84 berbanding dengan lelaki iaitu nilai $\Delta\chi^2 = 3.218$. Model integriti boleh dijadikan sebagai satu model panduan yang digunakan oleh pensyarah bagi mengaplikasikan penilaian rakan secara sistematik dan meramalkan kemungkinan yang akan berlaku semasa aktiviti penilaian ini dijalankan.

ABSTRACT

Peer assessment (PA) requires students to evaluate their peers' work in an assignment. However, peer assessment less popular in higher educational institutions since the quality issue has been questioned, especially the students' integrity. Therefore, this study proposes PA integrity model to improve the peer assessment quality. This research was developed using a multiphase design within three (3) phases. In Phase I (Document analysis and interview), the documents from 2010 to 2018 are used and interviews of six (6) experts in Technical and Vocational Education (TVE) yields three (3) elements of integrity, namely Self-Integrity (self-motivation, courage, self-discipline and transparency); Social Interaction (honesty, fairness, consistency, trustworthiness and unity); and Work Commitment (effort, responsibility and ethics). In Phase II (Instrument development), the Modified Delphi (MD) technique was used to obtain consensus from the experts on the items being developed. As a result of the MD experts' consent, 90 items were used in Pilot Study I and analyzed using Winsteps; in this case, 19 items were removed. Next, Pilot Study II was conducted to obtain the item validity and reliability through Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA). The results showed that 3 items overlapped and removed in order to proceed to the final phase. In phase III (Model development), a total of 543 completed questionnaires were analysed using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM-AMOS). The results; the Mixed Likelihood Estimates indicate that the value of $C.R > \pm 1.96$ for the regression coefficient between integrity and the quality of the peer assessment was positive and significant ($\beta = 0.85$, $C.R = 12.558$, $p < 0.001$). This indicated that integrity influenced the quality of the peer assessment. Meanwhile, the provision of time allocation was a partial mediator with moderate $rc=0.23$ of the integrity and quality of peer assessments. However, gender was a full moderator of the impact of integrity on peer assessment's quality, as female students record a value of $\Delta\chi^2 = 16.754$, which was greater than 3.84 compared with the males with a value of $\Delta\chi^2 = 3.218$. The Integrity Model can be used to guide lecturers in implementing a systematic peer assessment, as well as predict the probability of occurrence of these variables during the assessment.

ISI KANDUNGAN

	PENGAKUAN	ii
	DEDIKASI	iii
	PENGHARGAAN	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ISI KANDUNGAN	vii
	SENARAI JADUAL	xiii
	SENARAI RAJAH	xvii
	SENARAI SIMBOL DAN SINGKATAN	xix
	SENARAI LAMPIRAN	xxi
BAB 1	PENDAHULUAN	1
	1.1 Pengenalan	1
	1.2 Latar Belakang	4
	1.3 Penyataan Masalah	9
	1.4 Objektif Kajian	9
	1.5 Soalan Kajian	10
	1.6 Hipotesis Kajian	11
	1.7 Kerangka Konsep Kajian	12
	1.8 Kepentingan Kajian	15
	1.9 Skop Kajian	16
	1.10 Batasan Kajian	17

1.11	Definisi Terminologi dan Definisi Operasi	18
1.12	Rumusan	20
BAB 2	KAJIAN LITERATUR	21
2.1	Pengenalan	21
2.2	Pendidikan Teknikal dan Vokasional (PTV)	22
2.3	Penilaian Rakan (<i>Peer Assessment</i>)	24
2.3.1	Kebaikan dan Kelemahan Penilaian Rakan	25
2.3.2	Teori dalam Penilaian Rakan	29
2.3.3	Kumpulan Sasaran Penilaian Rakan	31
2.3.4	Kepentingan Penilaian Rakan kepada PTV	33
2.3.5	Ringkasan	34
2.4	Integriti	35
2.4.1	Model Integriti	36
2.4.2	Integriti dalam Penilaian Rakan	39
2.4.3	Kepentingan Integriti Pelajar dalam Penilaian Rakan	42
2.4.4	Isu-Isu Integriti Pelajar dalam Penilaian Rakan	43
2.4.5	Ringkasan	45
2.5	Kualiti Penilaian Rakan	45
2.5.1	Kesahan Penilaian Rakan	46
2.5.2	Kebolehpercayaan Penilaian Rakan	47
2.5.3	Ringkasan	48
2.6	Integriti Mempengaruhi Kualiti Penilaian Rakan	48
2.7	Mediator dan Moderator	49
2.7.1	Kesediaan Memperuntukkan Masa dalam Aktiviti Penilaian Rakan sebagai <i>Mediator</i>	49
2.7.2	Perbezaan Jantina dalam Aktiviti Penilaian Rakan sebagai <i>Moderator</i>	50
2.8	Rumusan	51



BAB 3	METODOLOGI	52
3.1	Pengenalan	52
3.2	Reka Bentuk Kajian	52
3.3	Prosedur Kajian	55
3.4	Fasa I: Analisis Dokumen dan Temu Bual Pakar	57
3.4.1	Analisis Dokumen	57
3.4.2	Temu Bual Pakar	60
3.4.3	Kesahan dan Kepercayaan (Trustworthiness)	66
3.5	Fasa II: Pembangunan Instrumen	69
3.5.1	<i>Modified Delphi</i>	69
3.5.2	Pengujian Instrumen: Kajian Rintis	73
3.6	Fasa III: Pembangunan Model Konsep Integriti Ke arah Peningkatan Kualiti Penilaian Rakan	80
3.6.1	Populasi dan Pensampelan Kajian	80
3.6.2	Lokasi Kajian	83
3.6.3	Analisis fasa III	85
3.6.4	Ringkasan Fasa III	96
3.7	Rumusan	99
BAB 4	DATA ANALISIS: FASA I	100
4.1	Pengenalan	100
4.2	Elemen dan Sub Elemen Integriti	100
4.2.1	Analisis Dokumen	101
4.2.2	Temu Bual Pakar	108
4.2.3	Triangulasi Dapatan Analisis Dokumen dan Temu Bual	131
4.3	Rumusan	133
BAB 5	DATA ANALISIS: FASA II	134
5.1	Pengenalan	134
5.2	Pembangunan Instrumen Kajian	135
5.2.1	Kata Kunci	135
5.2.2	Modified Delphi: Kesepakatan Panel Pakar	137
5.3	Kajian Rintis I	182
5.3.1	<i>Back to Back Translation</i>	183

5.3.2	Kesahan	183
5.3.3	Kebolehpercayaan dan Indeks Pengasingan	184
5.3.4	Nilai <i>Point Measure Correlation</i> [PTMEA CORR. (<i>Polarity</i> Item)]	185
5.3.5	Kesesuaian Item (<i>Item Fit</i>)	186
5.3.6	Pengukuran Nilai Korelasi Residual Terpiawai	187
5.3.7	Taburan Aras Kesukaran Item dan Kebolehan Responden	187
5.3.8	Ringkasan Kajian Rintis I	189
5.4	Kajian Rintis II	190
5.4.1	<i>Exploratory Factor Analysis</i> : Integriti	190
5.4.2	<i>Exploratory Factor Analysis</i> : Kualiti Penilaian Rakan	197
5.4.3	Ringkasan Kajian Rintis II	199
5.5	Rumusan	200
BAB 6	DATA ANALISIS: FASA III	201
6.1	Pengenalan	201
6.2	Penerokaan dan penyaringan data atau <i>exploratory data analysis</i> (EDA)	201
6.2.1	Samakan soal selidik	202
6.2.2	Analisis <i>univariate normality</i>	203
6.3	Analisis awalan khusus bagi pra-syarat ujian SEM-AMOS	203
6.3.1	Saiz sampel	204
6.3.2	Bilangan pemboleh ubah dalam model regresi	204
6.3.3	Data skala selang atau data nisbah	205
6.3.4	Analisis <i>multivariate normality</i>	205
6.3.5	Analisis <i>linearity</i> dan <i>homoscedasticity</i>	207
6.3.6	Pemboleh ubah indikator yang bebas	209
6.3.7	Analisis <i>multicollinearity</i>	209

6.4	Ringkasan penerokaan dan penyaringan data dan pra-syarat SEM-AMOS	210
6.5	Demografi responden	211
6.6	Analisis pooled confirmatory factor analysis (PCFA)	214
6.7	Analisis model struktur hubungan integriti terhadap kualiti penilaian rakan bagi pelajar PTV	221
6.8	Analisis model struktur pengaruh <i>mediator</i> kesediaan memperuntukkan masa penilaian rakan terhadap integriti dan kualiti penilaian rakan bagi pelajar PTV	222
6.9	Analisis model struktur pengaruh <i>moderator</i> jantina terhadap integriti dan kualiti penilaian rakan bagi pelajar PTV	225
6.10	Rumusan	229
BAB 7	RUMUSAN, PERBINCANGAN DAN CADANGAN	230
7.1	Pengenalan	230
7.2	Rumusan Dapatan Kajian	230
7.2.1	Rumusan Fasa I	230
7.2.2	Rumusan Fasa II	231
7.2.3	Rumusan Fasa III	232
7.3	Perbincangan Dapatan Kajian	232
7.3.1	Elemen dan Sub Elemen Integriti	233
7.3.2	Hubungan integriti ke arah peningkatan kualiti penilaian rakan	240
7.3.3	Kesan <i>Mediator</i> Kesediaan Memperuntukkan Masa untuk Hubungan Integriti dan Kualiti Penilaian Rakan	243
7.3.4	Kesan <i>Moderator</i> Jantina Di Antara Integriti dan Kualiti Penilaian Rakan	245
7.3.5	Model integriti ke arah peningkatan kualiti penilaian rakan	246
7.4	Sumbangan Kajian	253

7.5	Implikasi Kajian	256
7.6	Cadangan Kajian Lanjutan	258
7.7	Penutup	259
RUJUKAN		260
LAMPIRAN		296
VITA		391



SENARAI JADUAL

Jadual 2.1: Ringkasan kebaikan penilaian rakan	27
Jadual 2.2: Ringkasan Kelemahan Penilaian Rakan	29
Jadual 2.3: Kumpulan sasaran kajian lepas penilaian rakan	31
Jadual 3.1: Contoh penggunaan <i>boolean operator</i> analisis dokumen	60
Jadual 3.2: Termasuk dan tidak termasuk kriteria artikel yang dimuat turun	60
Jadual 3.3: Tarikh, tempoh masa dan tempat sesi temu bual	62
Jadual 3.4: Skala <i>Likert</i> persetujuan item	71
Jadual 3.5: Interpretasi nilai skor min <i>modified delphi</i>	71
Jadual 3.6: Skor julat antara kuartil bagi kesepakatan panel pakar	72
Jadual 3.7: Teknik pensampelan kajian rintis	74
Jadual 3.8: Interpretasi skor <i>Cronbach Alpha</i>	76
Jadual 3.11: Populasi dan sampel kajian pada semester 1 sesi 2017/2018	83
Jadual 3.12: Nilai <i>Goodness of Fit</i> yang disarankan	94
Jadual 3.13: Analisis kesahan dan kebolehpercayaan konstruk yang disarankan	95
Jadual 3.14: Ringkasan kaedah analisis fasa III	97
Jadual 4.1: Bilangan artikel yang digunakan	101
Jadual 4.2: Senarai semak tema bagi analisis dokumen	105
Jadual 4.3: Penyusunan tema (<i>First Level</i>) dikeluarkan	110
Jadual 4.4: Penyusunan tema peringkat kedua (<i>Second Level</i>)	110
Jadual 4.5: Penyemakan semula tema kajian	113
Jadual 4.6: Ringkasan analisis tematik	117
Jadual 4.7: Dapatan fasa I bagi sub elemen dan elemen integriti	118
Jadual 5.1: Kata kunci operasi item integriti	136

Jadual 5.2: Kata kunci operasi item dari kesediaan memperuntukkan masa dan kualiti penilaian rakan	137
Jadual 5.3: Analisis demografi panel pakar <i>modified delphi</i>	137
Jadual 5.4: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> motivasi diri (<i>Self-Motivation</i>)	140
Jadual 5.5: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> keberanian (<i>Courage</i>)	143
Jadual 5.6: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> disiplin diri (<i>Self-Discipline</i>)	146
Jadual 5.7: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> ketelusan (<i>Transparency</i>)	148
Jadual 5.8: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> kejujuran (<i>Honesty</i>)	151
Jadual 5.9: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> keadilan (<i>Fairness</i>)	155
Jadual 5.10: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> konsistensi (<i>Consistency</i>)	159
Jadual 5.11: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> amanah (<i>Trustworthiness</i>)	162
Jadual 5.12: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> perpaduan (<i>Unity</i>)	165
Jadual 5.13: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> usaha (<i>Effort</i>)	167
Jadual 5.14: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> tanggungjawab (<i>Responsibility</i>)	170
Jadual 5.15: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> etika (<i>Ethics</i>)	173
Jadual 5.16: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> kesediaan memperuntukkan masa	176
Jadual 5.17: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> kualiti penilaian rakan (Kesahan)	178
Jadual 5.18: Pusingan <i>modified delphi</i> kualiti penilaian rakan (Kebolehpercayaan)	181
Jadual 5.19: Nilai kebolehpercayaan dan pengasingan responden bagi keseluruhan konstruk instrumen	184
Jadual 5.20: Nilai kebolehpercayaan dan pengasingan item bagi keseluruhan konstruk	185
Jadual 5.21: Nilai <i>Point Measure Correlation</i> bagi item yang disingkirkan	186
Jadual 5.22: Korelasi residual terpiawai terbesar	187
Jadual 5.23: Ringkasan jumlah item yang kekal dan gugur	189
Jadual 5.24: <i>Rotation Component</i> matrik bagi Integriti	192
Jadual 5.25: Nilai <i>Cronbach's Alpha</i> menguji kebolehpercayaan konstruk integriti	197

Jadual 5.26: <i>Component</i> matrik bagi konstruk kualiti penilaian rakan	198
Jadual 5.27: Nilai <i>cronbach's alpha</i> menguji kebolehpercayaan konstruk kualiti penilaian rakan	198
Jadual 5.28: Ringkasan <i>exploratory factor analysis</i> (EFA) bagi konstruk integriti, dan kualiti penilaian rakan	199
Jadual 5.29: Ringkasan item kekal dan item yang digugurkan	199
Jadual 6.1: Ujian <i>univariate normality skewness</i> dan <i>kurtosis</i>	203
Jadual 6.2: Ujian <i>multivariate normality skewness</i> dan <i>kurtosis</i>	205
Jadual 6.3: Ujian <i>mahalanobis distance</i>	206
Jadual 6.4: Ujian <i>cook's distance</i>	207
Jadual 6.5: Matrik korelasi	209
Jadual 6.6: Ujian <i>tolerance</i> dan <i>variance inflation factor</i> (VIF)	210
Jadual 6.7: Ringkasan penerokaan dan penyaringan data dan ujian pra-syarat SEM	210
Jadual 6.8: Analisis demografi responden	212
Jadual 6.9: <i>Modification Indices</i> (MI)	215
Jadual 6.10: Ringkasan indeks kesepadanan (<i>fitness indexes</i>) bagi model pengukur	218
Jadual 6.11: <i>Composite reliability</i> (CR) dan <i>convergent validity</i>	219
Jadual 6.12: Matriks punca kuasa dua purata varians terekstrak (AVE) dan korelasi pemboleh ubah pendam	220
Jadual 6.13: Keputusan akhir model pengukuran bagi model pengukur	221
Jadual 6.14: Analisis kesan pengaruh integriti terhadap kualiti penilaian rakan	222
Jadual 6.15: Kesan <i>mediator</i> kesediaan memperuntukkan masa terhadap integriti dan kualiti penilaian	224
Jadual 6.16: Kesediaan memperuntukkan masa penilaian rakan merupakan <i>partial mediator</i>	225
Jadual 6.17: Ujian <i>moderator</i> terhadap pelajar lelaki PTV	227
Jadual 6.18: Ujian <i>moderator</i> terhadap pelajar perempuan PTV	228

Jadual 7.1: Panduan pelaksanaan penilaian rakan yang berintegriti



SENARAI RAJAH

Rajah 1.1: Kerangka konsep kajian	14
Rajah 2.1: Carta Kajian Literatur	22
Rajah 2.2: <i>Competency Model of Integrity</i> oleh Barnard (2011)	38
Rajah 3.1: <i>Multiphase Design</i> berdasarkan adaptasi daripada Creswell dan Plano-Clark (2011) dan Haines, (2011)	54
Rajah 3.2: Prosedur Kajian	56
Rajah 3.3: Sistem kod	64
Rajah 3.4: Prosedur <i>back to back translation</i>	75
Rajah 3.5: Teknik pensampelan berlapis dan rawak mudah	82
Rajah 4.1: Proses pemilihan artikel	102
Rajah 4.2: Elemen dan sub elemen integriti	132
Rajah 5.1: Taburan item dan persetujuan responden	188
Rajah 6.1: <i>Box-plot</i> bagi integriti, kesediaan memperuntukkan masa dan kualiti penilaian rakan	202
Rajah 6.2: <i>Linearity</i> bagi integriti, peruntukan masa dan kualiti penilaian rakan	208
Rajah 6.3: <i>Homoscedasticity</i> bagi ZPRED dengan SRESID	208
Rajah 6.4: <i>Pooled confirmatory factor analysis measurement model</i>	216
Rajah 6.5: <i>Revised pooled confirmatory factor analysis measurement model</i>	217
Rajah 6.6: Kesan di antara integriti dengan kualiti penilaian rakan	222
Rajah 6.7: Model persamaan struktur kesan <i>mediator</i> kesediaan memperuntukkan masa terhadap integriti dan kualiti penilaian rakan	223
Rajah 6.8: Pelajar Lelaki PTV (<i>Constraint Model</i>)	226
Rajah 6.9: Pelajar Lelaki PTV (<i>Unconstraint Model</i>)	226

Rajah 6.10: Pelajar Perempuan PTV (<i>Constraint Model</i>)	227
Rajah 6.11: Pelajar Perempuan PTV (<i>Unconstraint Model</i>)	228
Rajah 7.1: Pengaruh integriti terhadap kualiti penilaian rakan	240
Rajah 7.2: <i>Partial mediator</i> kesediaan memperuntukkan masa terhadap integriti dengan kualiti penilaian rakan	244
Rajah 7.3: <i>Full moderator</i> jantina mempengaruhi integriti terhadap kualiti penilaian rakan	246
Rajah 7.4: Model integriti ke arah peningkatan kualiti penilaian rakan	252



SENARAI SIMBOL DAN SINGKATAN

KPM	-	Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia
PnP	-	Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran
PPPM	-	Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia
PTV	-	Pendidikan Teknikal dan Vokasional
PCFA	-	<i>Pooled Confirmatory Factor Analysis</i>
MD	-	<i>Modified Delphi</i>
JAK	-	Julat Antara Kuartil
PTMEA CORR	-	<i>Point Measure Correlation</i>
UTHM	-	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
UPSI	-	Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris
UPM	-	Universiti Putra Malaysia
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
EFA	-	<i>Exploratory Factor Analysis</i>
KMO	-	<i>Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy</i>
SEM	-	<i>Structural Equation Modelling</i>
AMOS	-	<i>Analysis of Moment Structure</i>
EDA	-	<i>Exploratory Data Analysis</i>
IQR	-	<i>Interquartile Rang</i>
CLT	-	<i>Central Limit Theorem</i>
C.R	-	<i>Critical Region</i>
r	-	Korelasi
VIF	-	<i>Variance Inflation Factor</i>
RMSEA	-	<i>Root Mean Square Error of Approximation</i>
GFI	-	<i>Goodness of Fit Index</i>
AGFI	-	<i>Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index</i>
CFI	-	<i>Comparative Fit Index</i>
IFI	-	<i>Incremental Fit Index</i>

TLI	-	<i>Tucker Lewis Index</i>
NFI	-	<i>Normed Fit Index</i>
MI	-	<i>Modification Indices</i>
CR	-	<i>Composite Reliability</i>
AVE	-	<i>Average Variance Extracted</i>
SP/SD	-	Sisihan Piawaian
PTV	-	Pendidikan Teknikal dan Vokasional



SENARAI LAMPIRAN

- A1 Petikan Analisis Dokumen
- A2 Protokol Temu Bual
- A3 Surat Pelantikan Pengesahan Protokol Temu Bual
- A4 Pengesahan Protokol Temu Bual
- A5 *Participant Information Sheet*
- A6 Surat Pelantikan Pakar Temu Bual
- A7 Pengesahan Menjalani Sesi Temu Bual
- A8 Pengesahan Transkrip Temu Bual
- A9 Surat dan Pengesahan *Member Checking* (Perbincangan Formal)
- A10 *Member Checking* (Perbincangan Tidak Formal)
- B1 Surat Pelantikan Pakar *Modified Delphi*
- B2 Pengesahan Pakar *Modified Delphi*
- C1 Surat Pelantikan *Back to Back Translation*
- C2 Pengesahan *Back to Back Translation*
- C3 Surat Pelantikan Kesahan Bahasa, Muka dan Kandungan Bagi Soal Selidik
- C4 Pengesahan Bahasa, Muka dan Kandungan Bagi Soal Selidik
- C5 Jadual Kesesuaian Item (Item Fit)
- D1 Soal Selidik Kajian
- D2 Jadual Pensampelan
- D3 Surat Kebenaran Mengedarkan Soal Selidik
- D4 Jadual *Chi-Square Distribution*
- D5 Analisis Data Temu Bual
- D6 Boxplot

BAB 1

PENDAHULUAN

1.1 Pengenalan

Malaysia sedang berusaha menambah baik sistem pendidikan kepada yang lebih sistematik serta melaksanakan transformasi kepada pendidikan bertaraf global. Pada Majlis Perutusan Tahunan 2014, Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia (KPM) memutuskan untuk melaksanakan tiga gelombang transformasi bagi pendidikan di Malaysia (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013a). Gelombang pertama (1) telah dilaksanakan pada tahun 2013 hingga 2015 iaitu memberi penekanan kepada penambahbaikan sistem pendidikan dari segi kualiti guru, kualiti kepimpinan sekolah dan meningkatkan kadar literasi Bahasa Melayu, Bahasa Inggeris dan *numeracy* (keupayaan untuk melakukan operasi asas matematik dan memahami idea) (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013a). Gelombang kedua (2) telah dijalankan pada 2016 hingga 2020 iaitu perubahan struktur pendidikan Negara untuk mempercepatkan perubahan semua inisiatif bagi gelombang pertama (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013a). Gelombang ketiga (3) dilaksanakan pada 2012 hingga 2025 iaitu memfokuskan kepada anjakan ke arah kecemerlangan dalam pendidikan (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013a). Pada permulaan pelaksanaan gelombang ketiga, semua sekolah, guru dan pengetua atau guru besar perlu menunjukkan prestasi melebihi nilai minimum yang diangggarkan oleh kementerian pendidikan.

Bagi meningkatkan prestasi, anjakan terhadap pengurusan berasaskan sekolah dan membangunkan model kejayaan sekolah berdasarkan inovasi adalah perlu dalam pembelajaran. Selain itu, usaha membangunkan sistem pengekalan kemajuan sendiri yang mampu mencetus inovasi serta dapat meningkatkan pencapaian tahap maksimum (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013a). Oleh itu, guru-guru perlu

membuat inovasi dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran (PdP) supaya lebih menarik perhatian pelajar dalam pembelajaran. Hal ini turut memberi kesan terhadap transformasi sistem pengurusan dalam Pendidikan Teknik dan Vokasional (PTV) di dalam Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2014.

Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2014 (PPPM) juga turut menitikberatkan transformasi dalam PTV. Transformasi dalam usaha penerapan (*reengineering*) sistem PTV sedia ada sehingga terbentuk satu sistem pendidikan vokasional yang baru. Hal ini dapat menyumbang kepada agenda transformasi Malaysia sebagai negara yang berpendapatan tinggi (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013b). Sistem PTV baru digerakkan oleh sumber manusia yang responsif kepada pelbagai inisiatif kerajaan dan kolaborasi dengan industri bagi menginovasi PdP yang berupaya menghasilkan tenaga kerja mahir serta boleh menjadi usahawan.

Oleh sebab itu, pelajar perlu didedahkan dengan pelbagai proses dalam sistem pendidikan termasuklah perlu dinilai dengan sewajarnya agar dapat melahirkan generasi yang berdaya saing serta mencapai piawaian yang telah ditetapkan oleh PPPM. Penilaian yang dijalankan perlulah mencapai kualiti yang ditetapkan tanpa sebarang unsur-unsur yang boleh merendahkan kualiti penilaian tersebut (Topping, 1998; Topping, 2009; Topping, 2011). Sehubungan itu, penekanan terhadap penambahbaikan kualiti penilaian dalam Pendidikan Teknikal dan Latihan Vokasional menjadi agenda penting di negara selaras dengan hasrat negara menjadi sebuah negara berpendapatan tinggi. Peningkatan kemahiran perlu sejajar dengan kebolehpasaran pelajar tersebut (Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 2013b).

Oleh yang demikian, kaedah PdP yang berinovasi dan pelbagai memudahkan pelajar memahami isi pembelajaran yang disampaikan. Kepelbagaian kaedah PdP seperti menggunakan kemudahan teknologi dan juga media sosial dapat menarik minat pelajar. Generasi masa kini yang lahir dari latar belakang akademik, sosial dan ekonomi yang pelbagai memerlukan kaedah PdP yang berinovasi dan menarik perhatian agar dapat memotivasikan pelajar untuk belajar (Biber, Czech, Harris, & Melton, 2013; Rawal & Pandey, 2013; Kapil & Roy, 2014). Namun, kajian yang lebih teliti mengenai kaedah PdP diperlukan supaya tidak menjejaskan integriti akademik yang telah diterap oleh setiap institusi pengajian.

Integriti akademik merujuk kepada memberi komitmen kepada lima aspek iaitu kejujuran, hormat, amanah, adil dan tanggungjawab meskipun dalam menghadapi situasi yang sukar (Carter, 2008; Chertok, Barnes, & Gilleland, 2014).

Aspek –aspek ini boleh diterapkan kepada pelajar melalui penilaian alternatif seperti penilaian rakan. Penilaian rakan merupakan satu penilaian yang melibatkan pelajar menilai rakan mereka dalam sesuatu tugas. Proses penilaian rakan turut melibatkan proses PdP di mana pelajar berinteraksi dengan pelajar lain dengan memberi beberapa pandangan dan penambahbaikan hasil kerja rakan mereka (Topping, 2009; McDonald, 2016; Elshami & Abdalla, 2018). Penilaian rakan ini merupakan satu penilaian yang berterusan dan menyeluruh kerana melibatkan penilaian sebagai pembelajaran, penilaian untuk pembelajaran dan penilaian tentang pembelajaran. Proses penilaian rakan boleh dilaksanakan dalam pelbagai aktiviti pembelajaran contohnya pembentangan, projek atau tugas individu, kuiz, penulisan, projek berkumpulan, latihan mengajar, pembentangan atau tugas berkumpulan, kajian kes, *portfolio* dan kelas *microteaching*.

Penilaian rakan sesuai digunakan kepada generasi masa kini kerana penilaian ini dapat memberi motivasi kepada pelajar untuk belajar (Tseng & Tsai, 2010; Harrison, O'hara, & McNamara, 2015; Elshami & Abdalla, 2017; Elshami & Abdalla, 2018). Selain itu, penilaian ini memberi peluang kepada pelajar terlibat secara langsung dalam penilaian iaitu termasuklah membangunkan kualiti pembelajaran (Kollar & Fischer, 2010) dan pembangunan kuasa bersuara pelajar terhadap PdP mereka (Casey, Burke, Houghton, Mee, Smith, Van Der Putten, Bradley & Folan, 2011), membangunkan kebolehan membuat keputusan dalam sesuatu perkara (Yen, 2012; Ubaque Casallas & Pinilla Castellanos, 2016) dan dapat meningkatkan kecekapan sosial (De Grez, Valcke, & Berings, 2010; Issa, 2012; Liang, Wang, Lu, Qian, & Yin, 2011; Moore & Teather, 2012; Feyli & Ayatollahi, 2016; Kulturel-Konak, Konak, Kremer, Esparragoza, & Yoder, 2014; Lee, 2015; Vasu, Chai, & Nimehchisalem, 2016; Planas-Lladó *et al.*, 2018). Selain itu, penilaian merupakan cara bagi menentukan sejauh mana keberkesanan PdP mencapai objektif yang ditetapkan (Donnon, McIlwrick, & Woloschuk, 2013). Dengan kata lain, penilaian membantu guru dan pensyarah untuk mengukur tahap pencapaian objektif pengajaran yang disampaikan kepada pelajar.

Oleh itu, tahap pengetahuan pelajar perlu dinilai dengan cara yang berkesan bagi memastikan gred yang diberikan selari dengan pencapaian pelajar yang sebenar. Menurut Bidabadi, Isfahani, Rouhollahi, & Khalili (2016), penilaian dapat membantu pensyarah-pensyarah dalam mengetahui kebolehan dan tahap kefahaman pelajar mereka. Selain itu, penilaian juga membantu pensyarah menentukan objektif

RUJUKAN

- Ab Hamid, M. R., Abdullah, M., Mustafa, Z., Zainal Abidin, N. B., & Ahmad, H. (2015). Conceptual Framework of Innovation Excellence Model for Higher Education Institutions. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 2846–2848.
- Ab Hamid, M. R., Mustafa, Z., Suradi, N. R. M., Idris, F., & Abdullah, M. (2013). Perbandingan Anggaran Parameter Terhadap Model Kecemerlangan Prestasi Institut Pengajian Tinggi (IPT) Bersandarkan Nilai Teras: Pendekatan Penganggaran Kebolehjadian Maksimum (ML) dan Kuasa Dua Terkecil Separa (PLS). *Sains Malaysiana*, 42(8), 1159-1166.
- Abd Rahman, N., Masuwai, A., Mohd Tajudin, N., Eng Tek, O., & Adnan, M. (2016). Validation of Teaching and Learning Guiding Principles Instrument for Malaysian Higher Learning Institutions. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 125–146.
- Abdullah, N. A., Mohamad, Z., & Nor Shaid, N. A. H. (2016). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Penulisan Karangan Bahasa Melayu Pelajar Sekolah Menengah (The Affecting Factors in Malay Language Essay Writing of the Secondary School Students). *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Melayu*, 6(2), 2180–4842
- Abercrombie, S., Parkes, J., & McCarty, T. (2015). Motivational Influences of Using Peer Evaluation in Problem-Based Learning in Medical Education. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 9(1), 8.
- Abu Hassan, Z., Schattner, P., & Mazza, D. (2006). Doing A Pilot Study: Why Is It Essential? *Malaysian Family Physician: the official journal of the Academy of Family Physicians of Malaysia*, 1(2 & 3), 70 – 73.
- Adedeji, A. N., Sidique, S. F., Rahman, A. A., & Law, S. H. (2016). The role of local content policy in local value creation in Nigeria's oil industry: A structural equation modeling (SEM) approach. *Resources Policy*, 49, 61 -73.

- Adler, M., & Ziglio, E. (1996). *Gazing into the Oracle: The Delphi method and its application to social policy and public health*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Afitska, O. (2014). Use of Formative Assessment , Self- and Peer-Assessment in the Classrooms : Some Insights From Recent Language Testing and Assessment (Lta) Research. *Journal on English Language Teaching*, 4(1), 29–39.
- Ahmad, M. F. (2017). *Application of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) in Quantitative Research*. Parit Raja: Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.
- Ahmad, M. J., Jalani, N. H., & Hasmori, A. A. (2015). TVET di Malaysia: Cabaran dan Harapan. *Seminar Kebangsaan Majlis Dekan-Dekan Pendidikan Awam 2015* (p.340). Parit Raja: Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
- Ahmad, S., Zulkurnain, N. N. A., & Khairushalimi, F. I. (2016). Assessing the fitness of a measurement model using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). *International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies*, 17(1), 159–168.
- Ainsworth, S., Gelmini-Hornsby, G., Threapleton, K., Crook, C., O'Malley, C., & Buda, M. (2011). Anonymity in classroom voting and debating. *Learning and Instruction*, 21(3), 365–378.
- Akkuş, H., & Üner, S. (2017). The Effect of Microteaching On Pre-Service Chemistry Teachers' Teaching Experiences. *Çukurova University. Faculty of Education Journal*, 46(1), 202–230.
- Alavi, S. M., & Bordbar, S. (2017). Differential Item Functioning Analysis of High-Stakes Test in Terms of Gender: A Rasch Model Approach. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 5(1), 10–24.
- Alias, M., Masek, A., & Salleh, H. H. M. (2015). Self, Peer and Teacher Assessments in Problem Based Learning: Are They in Agreements? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 204, 309–317.
- Alshammari, M. O. (2016). The Role of Peer- and Self-Assessment in Developing Saudi EFL Learners' English Writing Skills. *International Journal of Education*, 8(3), 85.
- Alzaid, J. M. (2017). The Effect of Peer Assessment on the Evaluation Process of Students. *International Education Studies*, 10(6), 159.

- Ammons, J. L., & Brooks, C. (2011). An Empirical Study of Gender Issues In Assessments Using Peer and Self. *Academy of Educational Leadership Journal*, 15, 49–62.
- An, S. A., Tillman, D. A., Zhang, M., Robertson, W., & Tinajero, J. (2016). Hispanic Preservice Teachers' Peer Evaluations of Interdisciplinary Curriculum Development: A Self-Referenced Comparison Between Monolingual Generalists and Bilingual Generalists. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, 15(4), 29– 309.
- Arifin, S. (2016). Perkembangan Kognitif Manusia Dalam Perspektif Psikologi Dan Islam. *Tadarus :Jurnal UM Surabaya*, (Psikologi perkembangan), 5(1) 50–67.
- Ashraf, H., & Mahdinezhad, M. (2015). The Role of Peer-assessment versus Self-assessment in Promoting Autonomy in Language Use : A Case of EFL Learners. *Iranian Journal of Language Testing*, 5(2), 110-120.
- Asikainen, H., Virtanen, V., Postareff, L., & Heino, P. (2014). The validity and students' experiences of peer assessment in a large introductory class of gene technology. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 43, 197–205.
- Awang, Z. (2014a). *A Handbook on SEM for Academicians and Practitioners: the step by step practical guides for the beginners*. Bandar Baru Bangi: MPWS Rich Resources.
- Awang, Z. (2014b). *Validating the measurement model: CFA. A Handbook on SEM*. 2nd edition ed: Kuala Lumpur: Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, 54–73.
- Azarnoosh, M. (2013). Peer assessment in an EFL context: attitudes and friendship bias. *Language Testing in Asia*, 3(1), 11.
- Aziz, A. A., Masodi, M. S., & Zaharim, A. (2013). *Asas Model Pengukuran Rasch Pembentukan Skala & Struktur Pengukuran*. Bangi: Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (2012). Specification, evaluation, and interpretation of structural equation models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 40(1), 8-34
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C., & Thompson, R. (1995). The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal modeling: personal computer adoption and use as an illustration. *Technology Studies*, 2(2), 2.

- Barnard, A. (2011). The competencies of integrity. *Journal of Psychology in Africa*, 21(2), 267–273.
- Barnard, A., Schurink, W., & De Beer, M. (2008). A conceptual framework of integrity. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 34(2), 40–49.
- Bartlett, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W., & Higgins, C. C. (2001). Organizational Research: Determining Appropriate Sample Size in Survey Research Appropriate Sample Size in Survey Research. *Information Technology, Learning and Performance Journal*, 19(1), 43.
- Baruah, B., Ward, T., & Jackson, N. (2017). Is reflective writing an effective peer assessment tool for students in Higher Education?. In *2017 16th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET)*, 1–6.
- Basnet, B., Brodie, L., & Worden, J. (2010). Peer assessment of assignment. *2010 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, 1, 1, T1G-1-T1G-2.
- Beavers, A. S., Lounsbury, J. W., Richards, J. K., Huck, S. W., Skolits, G. J., & Esquivel, S. L. (2013). Practical Considerations for Using Exploratory Factor Analysis in Educational Research - Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 18(6), 1–13.
- Becker, G. S. (1965). A Theory of the Allocation of Time. *The Economic Journal*, 493-517.
- Bentler, P. M., & Bonett, D. G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(3), 588.
- Bhardwaj, P., Bhardwaj, N., Mahdi, F., Mahmood, S. E., & Srivastava, J. P. (2013). Original Article Medical Students ' Perception on Peer Assessment: an Innovative Method of Student Centred Learning . *National Journal of Medical and Allied Sciences*, 2(1), 10–14.
- Biber, D. D., Czech, D. R., Harris, B. S., & Melton, B. F. (2013). Attraction to physical activity of generation Z : A mixed methodological approach, 3(3), 310–319.
- Bidabadi, N. S., Isfahani, A. N., Rouhollahi, A., & Khalili, R. (2016). Effective teaching methods in higher education: requirements and barriers. *Journal of Advance in Medical Education & Professionalism*, 4(4), 170–178.

- Birjandi, P., & Hadidi Tamjid, N. (2012). The role of self-, peer and teacher assessment in promoting Iranian EFL learners' writing performance. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 37(5), 513–533.
- Bloxham, S., & Carver, M. (2014). Assessment for learning in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 2938 (May 2013).
- Boase-Jelinek, D., Parker, J., & Herrington, J. (2013). Student reflection and learning through peer reviews. *Issues in Educational Research*, 23(2), 119–131.
- Bollen, K. A. (1990). Overall Fit in Covariance Structure Models: Two Types of Sample Size Effects. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107(2), 256–259.
- Bond, T. G., & Fox, C. M. (2007). *Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences*, Second Edition. Psychology Press.
- Bond, T. G., & Fox, C. M. (2015). *Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences*. Psychology Press.
- Boon, S. I. (2016). *How can peer assessment be used in ways which enhance the quality of younger children's learning in primary schools?*. University of Leicester: Tesis Ph.D
- Borowczak, M., & Burrows, A. C. (2016). Enabling collaboration and video assessment: Exposing trends in science preservice teachers' assessments. *Contemporary Issues in Technology & Teacher Education*, 16(2), 127-150.
- Boulkedid, R., Abdoul, H., Loustau, M., Sibony, O., & Alberti, C. (2011). Using and reporting the Delphi method for selecting healthcare quality indicators: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE*, 6(6), 1–9.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). *Thematic Analysis*. APA Handbook of Research Methods in Psychology: Volume 2. Ed. Harris Cooper, 55-71.
- Brooks, C. M., & Ammons, J. L. (2003). Free Riding in Group Projects and the Effects of Timing, Frequency, and Specificity of Criteria in Peer Assessments. *Journal of Education for Business*, 78(5), 268-272.
- Burgess, A., Roberts, C., Van Diggele, C., & Mellis, C. (2017). Peer teacher training (PTT) program for health professional students: Interprofessional and flipped learning. *BMC Medical Education*, 17(1), 1–13.

- Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. Mahwah, NJ: Laurence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Publishers.
- Byrne, B. M. (2013). *Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS. Multivariate Application Series*. Psychology Press.
- Carter, D.B. (2008). Honors, honor codes, and academic integrity: Where do they converge and diverge?. *Journal of National Collegiate Honors Council*, 72.
- Carter, N., Bryant-Lukosius, D., DiCenso, A., Blythe, J., & Neville, A. J. (2014). The Use of Triangulation in Qualitative Research. *In Oncology Nursing Forum*, 41(5), 545–547.
- Carvalho, A. (2013). Students' perceptions of fairness in peer assessment: evidence from a problem-based learning course. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 18(5), 491-505.
- Casey, D., Burke, E., Houghton, C., Mee, L., Smith, R., Van Der Putten, D., ... Folan, M. (2011). Use of peer assessment as a student engagement strategy in nurse education. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 13(4), 514-520.
- Cavalli-Sforza, V., & Ortolano, L. (1984). Delphi forecasts of land use: transportation interactions. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 110(3), 324-339.
- Cestone, C. M., Levine, R. E., & Lane, D. R. (2008). Peer assessment and evaluation in team-based learning. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2008(116), 69-78.
- Chai, K. C., & Tay, K. M. (2014). A perceptual computing-based approach for peer assessment. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on System of Systems Engineering: The Socio-Technical Perspective, SoSE 2014*, 160–165.
- Chambers, K., Whannell, R., & Whannell, P. (2014). The Use of Peer Assessment in a Regional Australian University Tertiary Bridging Course. *Australian Journal of Adult Learning*, 54(1), 69–88.
- Chan, H. P., & King, I. (2017). Leveraging Social Connections to Improve Peer Assessment in MOOCs. *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web Companion*, 341–349.

- Chan, H. P., Zhao, T., & King, I. (2016). Trust-aware Peer Assessment using Multi-armed Bandit Algorithms. *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference Companion on World Wide Web - WWW '16 Companion*, 899–903.
- Chang, C. C., Tseng, K. H., Chou, P. N., & Chen, Y. H. (2011). Reliability and validity of Web-based portfolio peer assessment: A case study for a senior high school's students taking computer course. *Computers and Education*, 57(1), 1306–1316.
- Chang, C. C., Tseng, K. H., & Lou, S. J. (2012). A comparative analysis of the consistency and difference among teacher-assessment, student self-assessment and peer-assessment in a Web-based portfolio assessment environment for high school students. *Computers and Education*, 58(1), 303–320.
- Charanjit Singh, S. K., Lebar, O., Kepol, N., Abdul Rahman, R., & Muhammad Mukhtar, K. A. (2017). An Observation of Classroom Assessment Practices Among Lecturers in Selected Malaysian. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 14(1), 23–61.
- Cheng, K., & Tsai, C.-C. (2012). Students' interpersonal perspectives on , conceptions of and approaches to learning in online peer assessment. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 28(4), 599–618.
- Cheng, K., & Tsai, C. (2014). Computers & Education Children and parents' reading of an augmented reality picture book : Analyses of behavioral patterns and cognitive attainment. *Computers & Education*, 72, 302–312.
- Chertok, A.I.R., Barnes, E.R., & Gilleland, D. (2014). Academic integrity in the online learning environment for health sciences students. *Nurse Education Today*, 34, 1324 – 1326.
- Chin-Yuan, L. (2016). Training nursing students' communication skills with online video peer assessment. *Computers and Education*, 97, 21–30.
- Chong, E. E., Nazim, A., & Ahmad, S. B. (2014). A comparison between Individual confirmatory factor analysis and pooled confirmatory factor analysis: An analysis of library service quality, a case study at a public university in Terengganu. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology*, 3(1), 110–116.
- Chong, I. (2017). How students' ability levels influence the relevance and accuracy of their feedback to peers: A case study. *Assessing Writing*, 31, 13–23.

- Chua, Y. P. (2012). *Mastering research methods*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Chua, Y. P. (2014). *Ujian Regresi, Analisis Faktor dan Analisis SEM*. McGrawHill Education.
- Chukwuyenum, A. N., Adeleye, & Adunni, B. (2013). Impact of Peer Assessment on Performance in Mathematics among Senior Secondary School Students in Delta State , Nigeria. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies*, 4(5), 719–725.
- Cirit, N. C. (2015). Assessing ELT pre-service teachers via web 2.0 tools: Perceptions toward traditional, online and alternative assessment. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 14(3), 9–19.
- Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2013). Teaching thematic analysis: Overcoming challenges and developing strategies for effective learning. *The Psychologist*, 26(2), 120-123.
- Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2017). *Thematic analysis*. Handbook of research methods in health social sciences, 1-18.
- Coakes, S. J., Steed, L., & Price, J. (2008). *SPSS: Analysis Without Anguish; version 15.0 for Windows*. SPSS for Windows.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research Methods in Education*. Routledge.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2012). *Research Method in Education. Educational Research*. Routledge.
- Conley, D. T., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2015). Building Systems of Assessment for Deeper Learning. *Beyond the Bubble Test: How Performance Assessments Support 21st Century Learning*, 277–310.
- Cook, A. R., Hartman, M., Luo, N., Sng, J., Fong, N. P., Lim, W. Y., ... Koh, G. C. H. (2017). Using peer review to distribute group work marks equitably between medical students. *BMC Medical Education*, 17(1), 1–9.
- Cooper, D. R., Schindler, P. S., & Sun, J. (2011). *Business research methods (Vol. 9)*. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Courvoisier, D. S., Eid, M., Lischetzke, T., & Schreiber, W. H. (2010). Psychometric Properties of a Computerized Mobile Phone Method for Assessing Mood in Daily Life. *Emotion*, 10(1), 115.

- Courvoisier, D. S., & Etter, J. F. (2010). Comparing the predictive validity of five cigarette dependence questionnaires. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 107(2-3), 128-133.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Method*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., Fetters, M. D., & Ivankova, N. V. (2004). Designing a mixed methods study in primary care. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 2(1), 7-12.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano-Clark, V. L. (2011). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. 2nd ed. Sage Publications, Los Angeles.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1947). Test “reliability”: Its meaning and determination. *Psychometrika*, 12(1), 1-16.
- Cronbach, L. J. (2016). About Alpha of Cronbach. *Psychometrika*, 12(1), 1-16.
- Darwish, S. Al, & Sadeqi, A. (2016). Microteaching impact on Student Teacher’s Performance: A Case Study from Kuwait. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(8), 126–134.
- De Grez, Valcke, & Berings. (2010). Peer assessment of oral presentation skills. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 1776–1780.
- De Marsico, M., Moschella, L., Sterbini, A., & Temperini, M. (2017). Performance variations of the Bayesian model of peer-assessment implemented in OpenAnswer response to modifications of the number of peers assessed and of the quality of the class. *2017 16th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training, ITHET 2017*.
- Demiraslan Çevik, Y. (2015). Assessor or assessee? Investigating the differential effects of online peer assessment roles in the development of students’ problem-solving skills. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 52, 250–258.
- Demiraslan Çevik, Y., Haşlamam, T., & Çelik, S. (2015). The effect of peer assessment on problem solving skills of prospective teachers supported by online learning activities. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 44, 23–35.
- Department of Polytechnic and Community College Education (DPCCE), (2008). *Strategic Plan 2005-2010: Engaging Industries, Empowering Communities* (revised edition). Dicapai pada Mac 15, 2016, from http://politeknik.gov.my/webjpp2/files/Strategic_Plan_131008.pdf

- Desimone, J. A., Harms, P. D., & Desimone, A. J. (2015). Best practice recommendations for data screening. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(2), 171-181.
- DeVellis, R. F. (2003). *Scale Development: Theory and Applications*. SAGE publication Ltd.
- Dominguez, C., Cruz, G., Maia, A., Pedrosa, D., & Grams, G. (2012). Online peer assessment: An exploratory case study in a higher education civil engineering course. *2012 15th International Conference on Interactive Collaborative Learning (ICL)*, 1–8.
- Domínguez, C., Jaime, A., Sánchez, A., Blanco, J. M., & Heras, J. (2016). A comparative analysis of the consistency and difference among online self-, peer-, external- and instructor-assessments: The competitive effect. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 60, 112–120.
- Donnon, T., McIlwrick, J., & Woloschuk, W. (2013). Investigating the Reliability and Validity of Self and Peer Assessment to Measure Medical Students' Professional Competencies. *Creative Education*, 04(06), 23–28.
- Dundar Yenal, F. N. (2013). Developing My Search Strategy and Applying Inclusion Criteria. In *Doing a Systematic Review: A Student's Guide*. London, UK: SAGE, 35-59.
- Eather, N., Riley, N., Miller, D., & Jones, B. (2017). Evaluating the effectiveness of using peer-dialogue assessment (PDA) for improving pre-service teachers' perceived confidence and competence to teach physical education. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 42(1), 69–83.
- Egan, A., & Costello, L. (2016). Peer Assessment of, for and as Learning: A Core Component of an Accredited Professional Development Course for Higher Education Teachers. *All Ireland Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 8(3), 2931 – 29313.
- Elliott, N., & Higgins, A. (2005). Self and peer assessment - Does it make a difference to student group work? *Nurse Education in Practice*. 5(1), 40-48.
- Elshami, W., & Abdalla, M. E. (2016). Diagnostic radiography students' perceptions of formative peer assessment within a radiographic technique module. *Radiography*, 1–5.

- Elshami, W., & Abdalla, M. E. (2017). Diagnostic radiography students' perceptions of formative peer assessment within a radiographic technique module. *Radiography*, 23(1), 9–13.
- Elshami, W., & Abdalla, M. E. (2018). Peer assessment in health professions education. *Journal of Education Technology in Health Sciences*, 5(1), 8–14.
- Engelmann, J. M. (2016). Peer Assessment of Clinical Skills and Professional Behaviors Among Undergraduate Athletic Training Students. *Athletic Training Education Journal*, 11(2), 95–102.
- Ernstzen, D. V., Bitzer, E., & Grimmer-Somers, K. (2009). Physiotherapy students' and clinical teachers' perceptions of clinical learning opportunities: A case study. *Medical Teacher*, 31(3).
- Esa, A., & Kannapiran, S. (2014). Perception of Male and Female Students towards Higher Education in Technical and Vocational Study. *Journal of Education and Human Development*, 3(2), 913–923.
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1.
- Falchikov, N. (1995). Peer Feedback Marking: Developing Peer Assessment. *Innovations in Education and Training International*, 32(2), 175-187.
- Falchikov, N. (2001). *Learning together: Peer tutoring in higher education*. Psychology Press.
- Falchikov, N. (2007). The place of peers in learning and assessment. In *Rethinking Assessment in Higher Education: Learning for the Longer Term*, 128-143.
- Falchikov, N. (2016). Innovations in Education & Training International Peer Feedback Marking: Developing Peer Assessment Peer Feedback Marking: Developing Peer Assessment. *Innovations in Education & Training International*, 32(2), 175-187.
- Falchikov, N., & Goldfinch, J. (2000). Student Peer Assessment in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis Comparing Peer and Teacher Marks. *Review of Educational Research*, 70(3), 287–322.
- Fazel, I. (2015). A Step in the Right Direction: Peer-assessment of Oral Presentations in an EFL Setting. *Reading Matrix: An International Online Journal*, 15(1), 78–90.

- Feyli, S., & Ayatollahi, M. A. (2016). The Effects of Classroom Peer-feedback on Advanced Students' Self- Confidence. *English Language Teaching*, 3(1), 67–87.
- Fishman, T. (2013). *International Centre for Academic Integrity. The fundamental values of Academic integrity* (Vol. 2).
- Formanek, M., Wenger, M. C., Buxner, S. R., Impey, C. D., & Sonam, T. (2017). Insights about large-scale online peer assessment from an analysis of an astronomy MOOC. *Computers and Education*, 113.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error: Algebra and Statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 382-388.
- Frankel, R. M., & Wallen, N. E. (2008). How to design and evaluate research in education. Dicapai pada Februari, 2016, from [Http://www.Tusculum.Edu/Faculty/Home/Mnarkawicz/Html/534notes.Doc](http://www.tusculum.edu/faculty/home/mnarkawicz/html/534notes.doc).
- Garrison, C., & Ehringhaus, M. (2016). Formative and Summative Assessment in the Classroom. *Formative and Summative Assessments in the Classroom*, 55(2), 153–159.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2003). *SPSS for Windows Step by Step: Answers to Selected Exercises. A Simple Guide and Reference*.
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2010). *SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference*. Allyn, Bacon, Boston.
- Gholami, H. (2016). Self assessment and learner autonomy. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 6(1), 46–51.
- Gielen, M., & De Wever, B. (2012). Peer Assessment in a Wiki: Product Improvement, Students' Learning And Perception Regarding Peer Feedback. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 585-594.
- Gielen, M., & De Wever, B. (2015). Scripting the role of assessor and assessee in peer assessment in a wiki environment: Impact on peer feedback quality and product improvement. *Computers and Education*, 88, 370–386.
- Gielen, S., Peeters, E., Dochy, F., Onghena, P., & Struyven, K. (2010). Improving the effectiveness of peer feedback for learning. *Learning and Instruction*. 20(4), 304-315.

- Goldfinch, J., & Raeside, R. (1990). Development of a peer assessment technique for obtaining individual marks on a group project. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 15(3), 210-231.
- Gorbunovs, A., Kapenieks, A., & Cakula, S. (2016). Self-discipline as a Key Indicator to Improve Learning Outcomes in e-learning Environment. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 231(May), 256–262.
- Gordon, S. (2006). *The normal Distribution*. Dicapai pada Jun 15, 2017 dari http://sydney.edu.au/stuserv/documents/maths_learning_centre/normalfinal.pdf
- Green, D. T., & Pearson, J. M. (2011). Integrating website usability with the electronic commerce acceptance model. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 30(2), 181-199.
- Grez, L. De, Valcke, M., & Roozen, I. (2012). How effective are self- and peer assessment of oral presentation skills compared to teachers' assessments? *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 13(2), 129–142.
- Gurbanov, E. (2016). The Challenge of Grading in Self and Peer-Assessment (Undergraduate Students' and University Teachers' Perspectives). *Online Submission*, 1(2), 82–91.
- Guthrie, J., Parker, L. D., & Dumay, J. (2015). Academic performance, publishing and peer review: Peering into the twilight zone. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 28(1), 2–13.
- Haddad, R. J., & Kalaani, Y. (2016). Can undergraduate electrical engineering students assess each other's presentations effectively? *ISEC 2016 - Proceedings of the 6th IEEE Integrated STEM Education Conference*, 173–180.
- Haines, C. (2011). Value Added By Mixed Methods Research : a Multiphase Mixed Methods Design, 207. Dicapai pada Ogos 21, 2016 dari: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cehsdiss/114>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. 7th ed. Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2013). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. 7th ed. Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River.

- Halawa, A., Sharma, A., Bridson, J. M., Lyon, S., Prescott, D., Guha, A., & Taylor, D. (2017). A Combination of Teacher-Led Assessment and Self-Assessment Drives the Learning Process in Online Master Degree in Transplantation. *World Journal of Education*, 7(4), 85–92.
- Harris, L. R., & Brown, G. T. L. (2013). Opportunities and obstacles to consider when using peer- and self-assessment to improve student learning: Case studies into teachers' implementation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 36, 101–111.
- Harrison, K., O'hara, J., & McNamara, G. (2015). Re-thinking assessment: Self- and peer-assessment as drivers of self-direction in learning. *Egitim Arastirmalari - Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, (60), 75–88.
- Hassan, O. A. G., Fox, A., & Hannah, G. (2011). discipline, 1–40.
- Hatzipanagos, S., & Code, J. (2016). Open badges in online learning environments : Peer feedback and formative assessment as an engagement intervention for promoting agency. *EdMedia 2016*, 1348–1359.
- Hill, R. (1998). What Sample Size is Enough in Internet Survey Research? *Interpersonal Computing and Technology (IPCT)*, 6(3–4), 1–10.
- Hj Abdullah, E., Abd Shukor, A. A., Abdullah, N., Adam, R., & Lebar, O. (2016). The Development of an Integrity Cultivating Module in School-Based Assessment among Malaysian Teachers: A Research Methodology. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Educational and Pedagogical Sciences*, 10(3), 901–911.
- Hj Abdullah, E., Idris, I., & Abd Shukur, A. A. (2015). Alignment between Understanding and Assessment Practice among Secondary School Teachers. *International Journal of Social, Education, Economics and Management Engineering*, 9(3), 960–965.
- Hoffman, L. A., Shew, R. L., Vu, T. R., Brokaw, J. J., & Frankel, R. M. (2017). The Association Between Peer and Self-Assessments and Professionalism Lapses Among Medical Students. *Evaluation and the Health Professions*, 40(2), 219–243.
- Howard, C. D., Barrett, A. F., & Frick, T. W. (2010). Anonymity to Promote Peer Feedback: Pre-Service Teachers' Comments in Asynchronous Computer-Mediated Communication. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 43(1), 89–112.

- Hu, Q., & Huang, Y. (2015). The design of open learner model to improve interaction of peer assessment in online learning. *10th International Conference on Computer Science and Education, ICCSE 2015*, (Iccse), 310–314.
- Huang, C. C., Hsu, H. C., Yang, L. Y., Chen, C. H., Yang, Y. Y., Chang, C. C., ... Hwang, S. J. (2018). Peer-assisted learning model enhances clinical clerk's procedural skills. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association*, 81(8), 747–753.
- Hulsman, R. L., Peters, J. F., & Fabriek, M. (2013). Peer-assessment of medical communication skills: The impact of students' personality, academic and social reputation on behavioural assessment. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 92(3), 346-354.
- Hwang, G.-J., Liang, Z.-Y., & Wang, H.-Y. (2016). An Online Peer Assessment-Based Programming Approach to Improving Students' Programming Knowledge and Skills. *2016 International Conference on Educational Innovation through Technology (EITT)*, 81–85.
- Ihkasan, M. N., & Sapar, H. (2007). Gaya Pembelajaran di Kalangan Pelajar-Pelajar Sarjana Muda Pendidikan Teknik dan Vokasional di Universiti Tun Hussein Onn. In *Seminar Penyelidikan Institut Perguruan Batu Lintang Tahun 2007* (pp. 1–17).
- Inayah, A. T., Anwer, L. A., Shareef, M. A., Nurhussen, A., Alkabbani, H. M., Alzahrani, A. A., Obad, A. S., Afsar, N. A. (2017). Objectivity in subjectivity: Do students' self and peer assessments correlate with examiners' subjective and objective assessment in clinical skills? A prospective study. *BMJ Open*, 7(5).
- Ingleby, E. (2012). *Research methods in education*. Professional Development in Education.
- Issa, T. (2012). Promoting Learning Skills through Teamwork Assessment. *International Association for Development of the Information Society*, 90–98.
- Jantan, R., & Razali, M. (2004). *Psikologi pendidikan- Pendekatan Kontempolori*. Revisi. ed. Malaysia: McGraw Hill.
- Jackson, L. (2014). Validity and Rater Reliability of Peer and Self Assessments for Urban Middle School Students, (August).
- Jamalludin, A. R., Razman, M. R., & Niza, S. (2016). Correlation between Peer Assessment Score and Final Examination Mark among IIUM First Year Medical Students. *International Medical Journal Malaysia*, 15(2), 69–71.

- Jamaludin, J. (2014). *Kesediaan guru kolej vokasional dalam pengajaran amali teknologi elektronik di negeri Pahang*. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Thesis Ph.D.
- James, S., Lanham, E., Mak-Hau, V., Pan, L., Wilkin, T., & Wood-Bradley, G. (2018). Identifying items for moderation in a peer assessment framework. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, (January), 1–9.
- Jassawalla, A., Sashittal, H., & Malshe, A. (2009). Students' perceptions of social loafing: Its antecedents and consequences in undergraduate business classroom teams. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 8(1), 42-54.
- Johanson, G. A. & Brooks, G. P. (2010). Initial Scale Development: Sample Size for Pilot Studies. *Educational And Psychological Measurement* 70(3): 394-400.
- Jonsson, A., & Svingby, G. (2007). The use of scoring rubrics: Reliability, validity and educational consequences. *Educational Research Review*, 2(2), 130–144.
- Joreskog, K. G., & Sorbom, D. (1993). *LISREL 8: Structural equation modeling with the SIMPLIS command language*. Scientific Software Internationala.
- Kahraman, N. (2014). Investigating the Relationship between Self-assessment and Self-Efficacy of Pre-service Science Teachers. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 2(7), 77–90.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39, 6-31.
- Kapil, Y., & Roy, A. (2014). A Critical Evaluation of Generation Z at Workplaces, 10–14.
- Kaufman, J. H., & Schunn, C. D. (2011). Students' perceptions about peer assessment for writing: Their origin and impact on revision work. *Instructional Science*, 39(3), 387–406.
- Kawas, S. Al, & Hamdy, H. (2016). Peer-assisted Learning Associated with Team-based Learning in Dental Education. *Health Professions Education*, 1–6.
- Kean, J. (2012). Show AND Tell: Using Peer Assessment and Exemplars to Help Students Understand Quality in Assessment. *Practitioner Research in Higher Education*, 6(2), 83–94.
- Keeney, S., Hasson, F., & McKenna, H. (2011). *The Delphi Technique in Nursing and Health Research*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (2013a). Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013 - 2025. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 27.

- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (2013b). *Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2013 - 2025*. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia, 27.
- Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. (2016). *Garis Panduan Integriti Akademik Institusi Pengajian Tinggi Malaysia*. Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia.
- Khonbi, Z. A., & Sadeghi, K. (2013a). Self-, peer-, and teacher-assessment: An investigation into Iranian EFL students' attitudes. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 3(1), 87–107.
- Khonbi, Z. A., & Sadeghi, K. (2013b). The Effect of Assessment Type (Self Vs. Peer) on Iranian University EFL Students' Course Achievement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 70, 1552–1564.
- Kim, M. (2005). The effects of the assessor and assessee's roles on preservice teachers' metacognitive awareness, performance, and attitude in a technology-related design task. *Electronic Theses, Treatises and Dissertations, Paper 3051*, 161.
- Kitchenham, B. A., Pretorius, R., Budgen, D., Brereton, O. P., Turner, M., Niazi, M., & Linkman, S. (2010). Systematic literature reviews in software engineering - A tertiary study. *Information & Software Technology*.
- Kleebua, C., & Siriparp, T. (2016). Effects of Education and Attitude on Essential Learning Outcomes. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 217, 941–949.
- Kline, R. B. (2011). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. Structural Equation Modeling*. Guilford publications.
- Kollar, I., & Fischer, F. (2010). Peer assessment as collaborative learning: A cognitive perspective. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 344-348.
- Komorowski, M., Marshall, D. C., Saliccioli, J. D., & Crutain, Y. (2016). *Secondary Analysis of Electronic Health Records*. Springer International Publishing.
- Konak, A., Magluilo, S., Kulturel-konak, S., & Berks, P. S. (2016). Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales for Teamwork Peer Assessment. In *2016 IEEE Integrated STEM Education Conference (ISEC)*, 168–172.
- Koray, Ö. (2016). Pre-service science teachers' opinions about using the feedback process in the preparation of teaching materials. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 17(1), 1 – 20.

- Kritikos, V. S., Woulfe, J., Sukkar, M. B., & Saini, B. (2011). Intergroup peer assessment in problem-based learning tutorials for undergraduate Pharmacy students. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 75(4).
- Kulkarni, C., Bernstein, M., & Klemmer, S. (2015). PeerStudio: Rapid Peer Feedback Emphasizes Revision and Improves Performance. *L@S '15 Proceedings of the Second (2015) ACM Conference on Learning @ Scale*, 75–84.
- Kulturel-Konak, S., Konak, A., Kremer, G. E. O., Esparragoza, I., & Yoder, G. (2014). Peer evaluation and assessment resource (PEAR) to assess students' professional skills. *IIE Annual Conference and Expo 2014*, 746.
- Lai, C.-L., & Hwang, G.-J. (2015). An interactive peer-assessment criteria development approach to improving students' art design performance using handheld devices. *Computers & Education*, 85, 149–159.
- Landry, A., Jacobs, S., & Newton, G. (2014). Effective Use of Peer Assessment in a Graduate Level Writing Assignment: A Case Study. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 4(1), 38–51.
- Langan, A. M., Shuker, D. M., Cullen, W. R., Penney, D., Preziosi, R. F., & Wheeler, C. P. (2008). Relationships between student characteristics and self-, peer and tutor evaluations of oral presentations. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33(2), 179–190.
- Lee, C.-I. (2017). Applying an assisted assessment guide to the networked peer assessment for enhancing student achievement. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Advanced Materials for Science and Engineering: Innovation, Science and Engineering, IEEE-ICAMSE 2016*, 92–95.
- Lee, C. Y. (2015). The effects of online peer assessment and family entrepreneurial experience on students' business planning performance. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 14(1), 123–132.
- Leech, N. L., Barrett, K. C., & Morgan, G. A. (2014). *SPSS for intermediate statistics: Use and interpretation*. Routledge.
- Leong, P.C. (2008). A historical account of skills training in Malaysia. In G. Loose, G. Spottl, & Y. M. Sahir (Ed.). *Re-engineering Dual Training: The Malaysian Experience*, pp. 165-176. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.

- Lester, E., Schofield, D., & Chapman, P. (2010). Self and peer assessment and dominance during group work using online visual tools. *Seminar.Net: Media, Technology & Life-Long Learning*, 6(1), 94–110.
- Lew, M. D. N., Alwis, W. A. ., & Schmidt, H. G. (2013). Peer assessment in problem-based learning: Students' views. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699.
- Li, J., Fu, X., & Yang, Q. (2017). Choosing Peers , Improve the Quality of Peer Assessment. *Advances in Computer Science Research*, 71(Icmmita 2016), 1124–1127.
- Liang, Y., Wang, Q., Lu, Y., Qian, R., & Yin, Y. (2011). Using a web-based system to explore peer, self, and tutor assessment in problem-based learning tutorials. *ITME 2011 - Proceedings: 2011 IEEE International Symposium on IT in Medicine and Education*, 1, 253–256.
- Lin, G. Y. (2018). Anonymous versus identified peer assessment via a Facebook-based learning application: Effects on quality of peer feedback, perceived learning, perceived fairness, and attitude toward the system. *Computers and Education*, 116.
- Linacre, J. M. (1994). *Sample Size and Item Calibration or Person Measure Stability*. Rasch Measurement Transactions.
- Linacre, J. M. (2010). Predicting responses from rasch measures. *Journal of Applied Measurement*, 11(1), 1–10.
- Lowden, K., Hall, S., Dely, E., & Lewin, J. (2011). *Employers' perceptions of the employability skills of new graduates*. The SCRE Centre Research In Education.
- Luaces, O., Díez, J., Alonso-Betanzos, A., Troncoso, A., & Bahamonde, A. (2017). Content-based methods in peer assessment of open-response questions to grade students as authors and as graders. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 117, 79–87.
- Lull, M. E., & Mathews, J. L. (2016). Online Self-testing Resources Prepared by Peer Tutors as a Formative Assessment Tool in Pharmacology Courses, 80(7), 1–9.
- Lupoli, S. (2017). Improving Accuracy, Reliability and the Instructor's Return on Investment in Peer-grading Using Video Rubrics. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.*, 32(3), 46–54.

- Luria, G., & Kalish, Y. (2013). A social network approach to peer assessment: Improving predictive validity. *Human Resource Management, 52*(4), 537-560.
- Maas, M. J. M., Nijhuis-Van Der Sanden, M. W. G., Driehuis, F., Heerkens, Y. F., Van Der Vleuten, C. P. M., & Van Der Wees, P. J. (2017). Feasibility of peer assessment and clinical audit to self-regulate the quality of physiotherapy services: A mixed methods study. *BMJ Open, 7*(2), 1–10.
- Maas, M. J. M., Sluijsmans, D. M. A., Van Der Wees, P. J., Heerkens, Y. F., Nijhuis-Van Der Sanden, M. W. G., & Van Der Vleuten, C. P. M. (2014). Why peer assessment helps to improve clinical performance in undergraduate physical therapy education: A mixed methods design. *BMC Medical Education, 14*(1).
- Maas, M. J. M., Van Dulmen, S. A., Sagasser, M. H., Heerkens, Y. F., Van Der Vleuten, C. P. M., Nijhuis-Van Der Sanden, M. W. G., & Van Der Wees, P. J. (2015). Critical features of peer assessment of clinical performance to enhance adherence to a low back pain guideline for physical therapists: A mixed methods design. *BMC Medical Education, 15*(1).
- Magluilo, S., Konak, A., Kulturel-Konak, S., Esparragoza, I., & Kremer, G. E. O. (2015). PEAR : Peer Evaluation & Assessment Resource. *In Proceedings of the Spring 2015 Mid-Atlantic ASEE Conference, Villanova University, PA (pp. 1-13)*.
- Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of Confirmatory Factor Analysis to the Study of Self-Concept. First- and Higher Order Factor Models and Their Invariance Across Groups. *Psychological Bulletin, 97*(3), 562.
- Marty, M. (2010). Outcomes of a peer assessment/feedback training program in an undergraduate sports medicine course. *Training, 225*. Dicapai pada Jun 4, 2015 dari <http://libres.uncg.edu/ir/uncg/listing.aspx?styp=ti&id=3645>
- Massey University. (2013). *Student Academic Integrity Policy*. Dicapai pada April 13, 2016 dari <https://www.massey.ac.nz/massey/fms/PolicyGuide/Documents/Academic/Student%20Academic%20Integrity%20Policy.pdf>
- McDonald, B. (2016). *Peer assessment that work: A guide for teachers*. United Kingdom: Rowman and Littlefield.

- McGarrigle, J. (2013). What Students Think Of Peer Assessment: Using Peer Assessment To Drive Student Learning Within A Social Constructivist Paradigm. *Ireland Journal of Teaching & Learning in Higher Education*, 5(2), 1–16.
- McGrath, M. F., Scott, L., & Logue-Collins, P. (2017). Peer Assessment in Medical Science : An exploration of one programme ' s approach to peer assessment including staff and Galway Mayo Institute of Technology Dublin Institute of Technology. *All Ireland Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 9(2).
- Megat Arifin, M. A., & Ahmad, A. H. (2016). Kepentingan budaya integriti dan etika kerja dalam organisasi di Malaysia: Suatu tinjauan umum. *GEOGRAFIA Online TM Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 12(9), 138–149.
- Meihami, H., & Razmjoo, S. A. (2016). An emic perspective toward challenges and solutions of self- and peer-assessment in writing courses. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 1(1), 9.
- Mentzer, N., Laux, D., & Zissimopoulos, A. (2016). Peer Evaluation of Team Member Effectiveness as a Formative Educational Intervention. *Journal of Technology Education*, 28(2), 53-82.
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation* (Second Edi). San Francisco: Jossey- Bass A Wiley Brand.
- Merriam, S. B. (2010). Qualitative case studies. In *International Encyclopedia of Education*.
- Merriam, S. B., & Kim, Y. S. (2011). Non-Western perspectives on learning and knowing. *The Jossey-Bass reader on contemporary issues in adult education*, 378-389.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. . (2016). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation* (Fourth Edi). San Francisco: Jossey- Bass A Wiley Brand.
- Meseguer-Dueñas, J. M., Vidaurre, A., Molina-Mateo, J., Riera, J., & Sala, R. M. (2018). Validation of Student Peer Assessment of Effective Oral Communication in Engineering Degrees. *Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías Del Aprendizaje*, 13(1), 11–16.

- Mi-Young, J. (2016). Peer/Teacher-Assessment Using Criteria in the EFL Classroom for Developing Students' L2 Writing. *Journal of Pan-Pacific Association of Applied Linguistics*, 20(1), 1–20.
- Michaels, D., Couper, I., Mogodi, M. S., Hakim, J. G., Talib, Z., Mipando, M. S., Chidzonga, M. M., Matsika, A., & Simuyemba, M. (2017). A peer evaluation of the community-based education programme for medical students at the University of Zimbabwe College of Health Sciences: A southern African Medical Education Partnership Initiative (MEPI) collaboration. *African Journal of Health Professions Education*, 9(3), 138.
- Minhat, A., & Mohd Hashim, M. H. (2012). Persepsi Guru-guru bidang Teknik dan Vokasional Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Tinggi Segamat dalam meningkatkan kecerdasan emosi pelajar: Satu kajian kes kualitatif. *Prosiding Seminar Pendidikan Pasca Ijazah Dalam PTV Kali Ke-2, 2012*, 1–17.
- Moh, E. (2016). *Pembudayaan Integriti dalam Pentaksiran Berasaskan Sekolah*. Kuala Lumpur: Leras Creative Concept Enterprise
- Mohd Noor, N., Hasim, M. N., & Yusoff, A. (2011). Penglibatan Pensyarah dalam Program Sangkutan Industri Pensyarah (SIP): Satu Kajian Kes di Politeknik Kota Bharu (PKB). *Persidangan Kebangsaan Penyelidikan dan Inovasi Dalam Pendidikan dan Latihan Teknik dan Vokasional CIE-TVT 2011*, Johor: Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.
- Mohd Salleh, K., & Sulaiman, N. L. (2019). The Impact of Organizational and Professional Development on Human Resource Development Practitioners in Malaysian Organizations. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 5(53), 683–689.
- Mohd Salleh, K., Sulaiman, N. L., & Gloeckner, G. W. (2015). The development of competency model perceived by Malaysian human resource practitioners' perspectives. *Asian Social Science*, 11(10), 175–185.
- Monash University Policy. (2016). *Student Academic Integrity Policy*. Dicapai pada Ogos 25, 2016 dari https://www.monash.edu/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/801841/Student-Academic-Integrity-Policy.pdf

- Moore, C., & Teather, S. (2012). Engaging Students in Peer Review : Feedback as Learning Engaging Students in Peer Review : Feedback as Learning, 5(2), 196–212.
- Mubarok, H. (2017). Students' Perception toward the Implementation of Peer-Assessment in Writing; Before and After Revision. *Celt: A Journal of Culture, English Language Teaching & Literature*, 17(1), 13.
- Mukhtar, M. I., & Ahmad, J. (2015). Assessment for Learning: Practice in TVET. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 204(November 2014), 119–126.
- Mundalamo, F. J., & Sedumedi, T. D. T. (2013). A Mutual Peer-To-Peer Assessment on Pre-Service Teaching Practicum. *Journal of Social Science*, 37(22), 197–208.
- Murakami, C., Valvona, C., & Broudy, D. (2012). Turning apathy into activeness in oral communication classes: Regular self- and peer-assessment in a TBLT programme. *System*, 40(3), 407–420.
- Musa, T. S. (2014). The Evaluation of Micro Teaching Method Used in the Training of Primary School Teachers in Turkey. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 9(23), 1315–1322.
- Nachiappan, S., Jantan, R., & Abdul Shukor, A.A. (2008). *Psikologi Pendidikan*. 1st ed. Selangor: Oxford Fajar Snd. Bhd.
- Nagori, R., & Cooper, M. (2014). Key Principles of Peer Assessments: A Feedback Strategy to Engage the Postgraduate International Learner. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, 2(2), 211–237.
- Nalbantoğlu Yılmaz, F. (2017). Reliability of scores obtained from self-, peer-, and teacher-assessments on teaching materials prepared by teacher candidates. *Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Bilimleri*, 17(2), 395–409.
- Napoles, J. (2008). Relationships among instructor, peer, and self-evaluations of undergraduate music education majors' micro-teaching experiences. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 56(1), 82-91.
- Nazim, A., & Ahmad, S. (2014). Modeling The Influential Factors Of 8th Grades Student's Mathematics Achievement In Malaysia By Using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), 5(6), 950–953.
- Neill, S. L. (2016). Effects of Peer and Self-Evaluations on Pre-service Teaching Experiences. *International Journal of Music and Performing Arts*, 4(2), 31–37.

- Neves, C., & Galvão, N. A. (2003). Cross – Cultural Research : Adaptation and Validation of Emotional Development Questionnaire for Adults (QDE _ A). *Recent Researches in Business and Economics*, 164–167.
- Ng, E. M. W. (2016). Fostering pre-service teachers' self-regulated learning through self- and peer assessment of wiki projects. *Computers and Education*, 98.
- Nofziger, A. C., Naumburg, E. H., Davis, B. J., Mooney, C. J., & Epstein, R. M. (2010). Impact of peer assessment on the professional development of medical students: A qualitative study. *Academic Medicine*, 85(1), 140–147.
- Nortcliffe, A. (2012). Can Students Assess Themselves and Their Peers? - A Five Year Study. *Student Engagement and Experience Journal*, 1(2), 1–17.
- Novakowski, N., & Wellar, B. (2008). Using the Delphi technique in normative planning research: Methodological design considerations. *Environment and Planning A*, 40(6), 1485-1500.
- Nunnally, J. ., & Bernstein, I. . (1994). *Psychometric theory (3rd ed.)*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1979). *Psychometric theory*. *PsycCRITIQUES*.
- O'Neill, L., & Morcke, A. M. (2016). Summative peer marking? *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 5(2), 73–74.
- Odo, D. M. (2016). An Investigation of the Development of Pre-Service Teacher Assessment Literacy through Individualized Tutoring and Peer Debriefing. *Journal of Inquiry and Action in Education*, 7(2), 31–61.
- Oliveira, E. de, & Spalenza, M. A. (2017). Self and Peer Assessment Strategies. *Anais Do Computer on the Beach*, 0(0), 396–405.
- Olusegun Steve, B. (2015). Constructivism Learning Theory: A Paradigm for Teaching and Learning. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education Ver. I*, 5(6), 66–70.
- Onyia, O. P. (2014). Integrating teacher- and peer-assessments of group coursework assignments in business education : Some innovative methods. *Research in Higher Education Journal*, 22, 1–10.
- Orooji, F., & Taghiyareh, F. (2018). Peer Assessment and Self-Assessment in Social Learning Environments Through a New Crowd-Sourced Mechanism. *IEEE Access*, 6, 7321–7339.

- Osborne, J. W., & Costello, A. B. (2005). Best Practices in Exploratory Factor Analysis : Four Recommendations for Getting the Most From Your Analysis. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 86-99.
- Osman, K., Tuan Soh, T. M., & Mohamad Arsad, N. (2010). Development and validation of the Malaysian 21st century skills instrument (M-21CSI) for science students. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 599–603.
- Özdemir, S. (2016). The opinions of prospective teachers on peer assessment. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 11(20), 1859–1870.
- Pallant, J. (2011). *A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS*. Berkshire UK: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Panadero, E., & Brown, G. T. L. (2017). Teachers' reasons for using peer assessment: positive experience predicts use. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 32(1), 133–156.
- Panadero, E., Jonsson, A., & Strijbos, J. (2016). Scaffolding Self-Regulated Learning Through Self-Assessment and Peer Assessment : Guidelines for Classroom Implementation Cite as : Panadero , E ., Jonsson , A ., & Strijbos , J . W . (2016). Scaffolding self-regulated learning through self-assessment a. In *Assessment for Learning: Meeting the challenge of implementation*, 311–326. Springer, Cham.
- Pangiran Omar, D. S. N., Shahrill, M., & Sajali, M. Z. (2018). The Use of Peer Assessment to Improve Students ' Learning of Geometry. *European Journal of Social Science Education and Research*, 5(2), 203–222.
- Pantiwati, Y., & Husamah, H. (2017). Self and Peer Assessments in Active Learning Model to Increase Metacognitive Awareness and Cognitive Abilities. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(4), 185–202.
- Parente, R., & Anderson-Parente, J. (2011). A case study of long-term Delphi accuracy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 78(9), 1705-1711.
- Parikh, B. T., & Sheehan, A. H. (2016). Student pharmacists' perceptions of the implementation of peer assessment instruction and activities within a didactic course. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 8(6), 821–826.
- Parisa, M., & Reza, B. (2015). The effects of using peer , self and teacher-assessment on Iranian EFL learners ' writing ability at three levels of task complexity. *International Journal of Research Studies in Language Learning*, 4(4), 15–27.

- Patton, M. Q. (1999). Enhancing the quality and credibility of qualitative analysis. *Health Services Research*, 34(5 Pt 2), 1189.
- Perera, D. P., Andrades, M., & Wass, V. (2017). Peer feedback for examiner quality assurance on MRCGP International South Asia: A mixed methods study. *BMC Medical Education*, 17(1), 1–8.
- Permadi, H., Nusantara, T., & Sisworo, I. N. P. (2017). The Development of Peer Assessment Instrument for the Learning Model of Peer Assessment. *Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 7(3), 20–25.
- Planas-Lladó, A., Feliu, L., Castro, F., Fraguell, R. M., Arbat, G., Pujol, J., ... Daunis-i-Estadella, P. (2018). Using peer assessment to evaluate teamwork from a multidisciplinary perspective. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(1), 14–30.
- Pocock, T. M., Sanders, T., & Bundy, C. (2010). The Impact of Teamwork in Peer Assessment: a Qualitative Analysis of a Group Exercise at a UK Medical School. *Bioscience Education*, 15(1), 1–12.
- Põldoja, H., Väljataga, T., Laanpere, M., & Tammets, K. (2014). Web-based self- and peer-assessment of teachers' digital competencies. *World Wide Web*, 17(2), 255–269.
- Popham, W. J. (1990). *Modern Education Measurement: A Practitioner's Perspective*. (W. J. (1990). 2nd Ed. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Popkess, A. M. (2010). *The Relationship Between Undergraduate, Baccalaureate Nursing Student Engagement And Use Of Active Learning Strategies In The Classroom*. Indiana University: Thesis Ph.D.
- Prem Kumar, S. G., Anil Kumar, G., Ramgopal, S. P., Venkata Srinivas, V., & Dandona, R. (2016). A comparative assessment of generalized anxiety, conduct and peer relationship problems among AIDS and other orphaned children in India. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16(1), 1–10. h
- Raes, A., Vanderhoven, E., & Schellens, T. (2015). Increasing anonymity in peer assessment by using classroom response technology within face-to-face higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*.
- Rahim, M. A., & Magner, N. R. (1995). Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Styles of Handling Interpersonal Conflict: First-Order Factor Model and Its Invariance Across Groups. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80(1), 122.

- Rahimi, N. H. Z., Mat Rashid, A., & Hamzah, R. (2015). Hubungan Antara Penglibatan Dalam Teknikal Dan Vokasional. *Journal of Human Capital Development*, 8(1), 105–120.
- Ramayah, T., & Ignatius, J. (2005). Impact of Perceived usefulness , Perceived ease of use and Perceived Enjoyment on Intention to Shop Online. *ICFAI Journal of Systems Management (IJSM)*, 3(3), 36-51.
- Ramayah, T., & Lee, J. W. C. (2012). System characteristics, satisfaction and e-learning usage: A structural equation model (SEM). *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 11(2), 196-206.
- Ramos, D., Arezes, P., & Afonso, P. (2016). Application of the Delphi Method for the inclusion of externalities in occupational safety and health analysis. *Dyna*, 83(196), 14–20.
- Rawal, S., & Pandey, U. S. (2013). e-Learning: Learning for Smart Generation Z. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 3(5), 1-5.
- Regmi, K., Naidoo, J., & Pilkington, P. (2010). Understanding the Processes of Translation and Transliteration in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 9, 16–26.
- Reime, M. H., Johnsgaard, T., Kvam, F. I., Aarflot, M., Breivik, M., Engeberg, J. M., & Brattebø, G. (2016). Simulated settings; powerful arenas for learning patient safety practices and facilitating transference to clinical practice. A mixed method study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 21, 75–82.
- Reise, S. P., Waller, N. G., & Comrey, A. L. (2000). Factor analysis and scale revision. *Psychological Assessment*, 12(3), 287.
- Rolfe, V. (2015). A Systematic Review Of The Socio-Ethical Aspects Of Massive Online Open Courses. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, 18(1), 52-71.
- Rosa, S. S., Coutinho, C. P., & Flores, M. A. (2016). Online Peer Assessment: Method and Digital Technologies. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 228(June), 418–423.
- Roth, W. M., & Mavin, T. J. (2015). Peer assessment of aviation performance: Inconsistent for good reasons. *Cognitive Science*, 39(2), 405–433.

- Rotsaert, T., Panadero, E., Estrada, E., & Schellens, T. (2017). How do students perceive the educational value of peer assessment in relation to its social nature? A survey study in Flanders. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 53(June), 29–40.
- Rotsaert, T., Panadero, E., & Schellens, T. (2018a). Anonymity as an instructional scaffold in peer assessment: its effects on peer feedback quality and evolution in students' perceptions about peer assessment skills. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 33(1), 75–99.
- Rotsaert, T., Panadero, E., & Schellens, T. (2018b). Peer assessment use, its social nature challenges and perceived educational value: a teachers' survey study. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 59, 124–132.
- Rotsaert, T., & Schellens, T. (2016). Creating a safe learning environment for peer assessment: Exploring students' conceptions towards fading anonymity over time. *Transforming Learning, Empowering Learners*.
- Rubin, R. F., & Turner, T. (2012). Student performance on and attitudes toward peer assessments on Advanced Pharmacy Practice Experience assignments. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 4(2), 113-121.
- Sabri, M., & Md. Yunus, M. (2013). Peer Assessment : Exploring Tertiary Students ' View, (2005).
- Sadikoglu, E., & Zehir, C. (2010). Investigating the effects of innovation and employee performance on the relationship between total quality management practices and firm performance: An empirical study of Turkish firms. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 127(1), 13-26.
- Sadler, P. M., & Good, E. (2010). The Impact of Service Learning on Building Civic Engagement. *Conference Papers -- Northeastern Political Science Association*, 11(1), 1.
- Sahin-Taskin, C. (2017). Effects of active learning environments supported with self- and peer assessment on pre-service teachers' pedagogical and self-efficacy beliefs. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 46(5), 421-440.
- Şahin, M. G., Teker, G. T., & Güler, N. (2016). An Analysis of Peer Assessment through Many Facet Rasch Model. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(32), 172–181.

- Salkind, C. N. J., Effect, H., Horner, R. H., & Spaulding, S. A. (2010). *Encyclopedia of Research Design*. Sage, 1.
- Samaka, M., Miao, Y., & Wang, D. (2016). Support peer assessment processes in online problem-based learning. *2016 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, (April), 488–497.
- Sambell, K. (2016). Assessment and feedback in higher education : considerable room for improvement ?, *I(1)*, 1–14.
- Sarkowi, A. (2012). *Penilaian Program Praktikum: Model Pembentukan Dan Peningkatan Kualiti Guru Praperkhidmatan Di Institut Pendidikan Guru Malaysia*. Universiti Utara Malaysia: Tesis Ph.D.
- Schreiber, J. B., Nora, A., Stage, F. K., Barlow, E. A., King, J., Nora, A., & Barlow, E. A. (2006). Reportig Structural Equation Modeling and Confirmatory Factor Analysis Results : A Review. *The Journal of Educational Research*, *99(6)*, 232–338.
- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2010). *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling*. Psychology Press.
- Scott, D., & Jelsma, J. (2014). The effectiveness of peer taught group sessions of physiotherapy students within the clinical setting : A quasi-experimental study experimental study. *Journal of Peer Learning*, *7(1)*, 105–117.
- Sebire, S. J., Edwards, M. J., Campbell, R., Jago, R., Kipping, R., Banfield, K., ... Hollingworth, W. (2016). Protocol for a feasibility cluster randomised controlled trial of a peer-led school-based intervention to increase the physical activity of adolescent girls (PLAN-A). *Pilot and Feasibility Studies*, *2(1)*, 1–10.
- Sekaran, & Bougie. (2013). *Research Methods For Business: A Skill-Building Approach*. Wiley.
- Şen, A. I. (2010). Effects of peer teaching and microteaching on teaching skills of pre-service physics teachers. *Education and Science*, *35(155)*, 78–88.
- Serra-toro, C., Traver, V. J., & Amengual, J. (2014). Promoting student commitment and responsibility through self- and peer-assessment. *In 2014 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE) Proceedings*, 1-4.
- Shams, N., & Tavakoli, M. (2014). The Effect of Peer, Self, and Traditional Assessment on Iranian EFL Learners' L2 Reading Comprehension. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, *1(1)*, 29–44.

- Shnayder, V., Agarwal, A., Frongillo, R., & Parkes, D. C. (2016). Informed Truthfulness in Multi-Task Peer Prediction, 179–196.
- Shnayder, V., & Parkes, D. C. (2016). Practical Peer Prediction for Peer Assessment. *Fourth AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing*, (2014), 199–208.
- Sinha, T., Zhao, R., & Cassell, J. (2015). Exploring Socio-Cognitive Effects of Conversational Strategy Congruence in Peer Tutoring. *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Modeling INTERPERSONAL Synchrony And Influence - INTERPERSONAL '15*, 5–12.
- Siow, L. F. (2015). Students' Perceptions on Self- and Peer- Assessment in Enhancing Learning Experience. *The Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Science*, 3(2), 21–35.
- Siraj, S., & Ibrahim, M. S. (2012). Standard Kompetensi Guru Malaysia. *Prosiding Seminar kebangsaan Majlis Dekan Pendidikan IPTA 2012 (SCOPUS-Cited Publication)*. Johor Bharu.
- Skulmoski, G. J., & Hartman, F. T. (2007). The Delphi Method for Graduate Research. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 6(1), 1-21.
- Speyer, R., Pilz, W., Van Der Kruis, J., & Brunings, J. W. (2011). Reliability and validity of student peer assessment in medical education: A systematic review. *Medical Teacher*, 33(11).
- Spiller, D. (2012). *Assessment Matters: Self-Assessment and Peer Assessment Assessment*. The Universiti of Waikato.
- Steverding, D., Tyler, K. M., & Sexton, D. W. (2016). Evaluation of marking of peer marking in oral presentation. *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 5(2), 103–107.
- Strang, K. D. (2015). Effectiveness of Peer Assessment in a Professionalism Course Using an Online Workshop. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Innovations in Practice*, 14(14), 1–16.
- Subedi, D. (2016). Explanatory Sequential Mixed Method Design as the Third Research Community of Knowledge Claim. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 4, 570-577.

- Sullivan, D., & Watson, S. (2015). Peer Assessment within Hybrid and Online Courses: Students' View of Its Potential and Performance. *Journal of Educational Issues*, 1(1), 1–18.
- Sunikova, D., Kubincova, Z., Simionescu, S., & Popescu, E. (2017). Peer assessment augmented with digital badges: A first experience report. *2017 16th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training, ITHET 2017*, 0–4.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2001). *Time-Series Analysis. Using Multivariate Statistics*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics 5th*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2012). *Using multivariate statistics (6th ed.)*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics*. Boston: Pearson Education.
- Tahir, N. (2015). *Hubungan personaliti guru terhadap kemahiran proses sains pelajar*. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Thesis Ph.D.
- Tai, H. C., Lin, W. C., & Yang, S. C. (2015). Exploring the effects of peer review and teachers' corrective feedback on EFL students' online writing performance. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 53(2), 284-309.
- Tai, J. M. H., Haines, T. P., Canny, B. J., & Molloy, E. K. (2014). A study of medical students' peer learning on clinical placements: What they have taught themselves to do. *Journal of Peer Learning*, 7, 57–80.
- Tait-McCutcheon, S., & Knewstubb, B. (2018). Evaluating the alignment of self, peer and lecture assessment in an Aotearoa New Zealand pre-service teacher education course. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(5), 772-785.
- Takeda, S., & Homberg, F. (2014). The effects of gender on group work process and achievement: An analysis through self- and peer-assessment. *British Educational Research Journal*, 40(2), 373–396.
- Tan, M., Chan, S., & Yau, W. (2013). Perceptions of Pharmacy Students Towards Peer Cross-checking of Dispensed Medications During Practical Classes 1. In *International Conference on Teaching & Learning in Higher Education*, 1–4.

- Tatli, Z., Çakiroğlu, Ü., & Uğur, N. (2018). Peer assessment through digital storytelling: experiences of pre-service IT teachers. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 35(3), 217–228.
- Tenório, T., Bittencourt, I. I., Isotani, S., Pedro, A., & Ospina, P. (2016a). A gamified peer assessment model for on-line learning environments in a competitive context, 64, 247–263. Tenório, T., Bittencourt, I. I., Isotani, S., & Silva, A. P. (2016b). Does peer assessment in on-line learning environments work? A systematic review of the literature. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 64, 94–107.
- Theising, K., Wu, K., & Heck Sheehan, A. (2014). Impact of peer assessment on student pharmacists' behaviors and self-confidence. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 6(1).
- Thomas, G., Martin, D., & Pleasants, K. (2011). Using self- and peer-assessment to enhance students' future-learning in higher education. *Journal of University Teaching Learning Practice*, 8(1), 5.
- Tiew, F. (2010). Business Students' Views of Peer Assessment on Class Participation. *International Education*, 3(3), 126–131.
- Tighe-mooney, S., Bracken, M., & Dignam, B. (2016). Peer Assessment as a Teaching and Learning Process: The Observations and Reflections of Three Facilitators on a First-Year Undergraduate Critical Skills Module *. *All Ireland Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 8(2), 2831–2849.
- Topping, K. (1998). Peer Assessment Between Students in Colleges and Universities. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 249–276.
- Topping, K. (2011). Primary-secondary transition: Differences between teachers' and children's perceptions. *Improving Schools*, 14(3), 268-285.
- Topping, K. J. (2009). Peer assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 48(1), 20-27.
- Topping, K. J. (2017). Peer Assessment: Learning by Judging and Discussing the Work of Other Learners Keywords. *Interdisciplinary Education and Psychology*, 1(1), 1–17.
- Tsai, C. C., Liu, E. Z. F., Lin, S. S. J., & Yuan, S. M. (2001). A networked peer assessment system based on a Vee heuristic. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 38(3), 220-230.

- Tseng, S.-C., & Tsai, C.-C. (2010). Taiwan college students' self-efficacy and motivation of learning in online peer assessment environments. *Internet and Higher Education*, 13(3), 164-169.
- Tucker, R. (2014). Sex does not matter: gender bias and gender differences in peer assessments of contributions to group work. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 39(3), 293–309.
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). *Exploratory Data Analysis*. Reading, PA: Addison-Wesley.
- Ubaque Casallas, D. F., & Pinilla Castellanos, F. S. (2016). Argumentation Skills: A Peer Assessment Approach to Discussions in the EFL Classroom. *PROFILE Issues in Teachers' Professional Development*, 18(2), 111.
- UniSA. (2018). *Assessment Policies and Procedures Manual 2018*. Dicapai pada Desember 29, 2018 dari https://i.unisa.edu.au/siteassets/policies-and-procedures/docs/manual/2018/appm_2018.pdf
- Vail, M. E., Coleman, S., Johannsson, M. B., & Wright, K. A. (2015). Attitudes toward academic dishonesty in physician assistant students. *Journal of Physician Assistant Education*, 26(4), 170-175.
- van den Berg, I., Admiraal, W., & Pilot, A. (2006). Designing student peer assessment in higher education: analysis of written and oral peer feedback. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 11(2), 135–147.
- van Vliet, D. C. R., van der Meij, E., Bouwsma, E. V. A., Vonk Noordegraaf, A., van den Heuvel, B., Meijerink, W. J. H. J., ... Anema, J. R. (2016). A modified Delphi method toward multidisciplinary consensus on functional convalescence recommendations after abdominal surgery. *Surgical endoscopy*, 30(12), 5583-5595.
- van Zundert, M., Sluijsmans, D., & van Merriënboer, J. (2010). Effective peer assessment processes: Research findings and future directions. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(4), 270-279.
- Vanderhoven, E., Raes, A., Montrieux, H., Rotsaert, T., & Schellens, T. (2015). What if pupils can assess their peers anonymously? A quasi-experimental study. *Computers & Education*, 81, 123–132.
- Vanderhoven, E., Raes, A., Schellens, T., & Montrieux, H. (2012). Face-to-Face Peer Assessment in Secondary Education: Does Anonymity Matter? *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69(Icepsy), 1340–1347.

- VanSchenk Hof, M., Houseworth, M., McCord, M., & Lannin, J. (2018). Peer evaluations within experiential pedagogy: Fairness, objectivity, retaliation safeguarding, constructive feedback, and experiential learning as part of peer assessment. *International Journal of Management Education*, 16(1), 92–104.
- Vasu, K., Chai, H. L., & Nimehchisalem, V. (2016). Malaysian Tertiary Level ESL Students' Perceptions toward Teacher Feedback, Peer Feedback and Self-assessment in their Writing. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 5(5), 158–170.
- Vasudevan, S. (2014). Perceived Fairness of Performance Appraisal System and Personal Factors - A Conceptual Debate. *American International Journal of Research in Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences*, 8(1), 10–23.
- Verkade, H., & Bryson-richardson, R. J. (2013). Student acceptance and application of peer assessment in a final year genetics undergraduate oral presentation undergraduate oral presentation. *Journal of Peer Learning*, 6.
- Wahawisan, J., Salazar, M., Walters, R., Alkhateeb, F. M., & Attarabeen, O. (2016). Reliability assessment of a peer evaluation instrument in a team-based learning course. *Pharmacy Practice*, 14(1), 1–6.
- Wan Afthanorhan, W. M. A., Sabri, A., & Ibrahim, M. (2014). Pooled Confirmatory Factor Analysis (PCFA) Using Structural Equation Modeling On Volunteerism Program: A Step By Step Approach. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 4(5), 642–653.
- Wang, Y., Liang, Y., & Liu, L. (2012). A Motivation Model of Peer Assessment in Programming Language Learning, 1–12.
- Wanner, T., & Palmer, E. (2018). Formative self-and peer assessment for improved student learning: the crucial factors of design, teacher participation and feedback. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(7), 1032-1047.
- Watson, W. E., BarNir, A., & Pavur, R. (2010). Elements Influencing Peer Evaluation: An Examination of Individual Characteristics, Academic Performance, and Collaborative Processes. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 40(12), 2995-3019.
- Widäng, I., & Fridlund, B. (2003). Self-respect, dignity and confidence: Conceptions of integrity among male patients. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 42(1), 47-56.

- Widäng, I., Fridlund, B., & Mårtensson, J. (2008). Women patients' conceptions of integrity within health care: A phenomenographic study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 61(5), 540-548.
- Wiersma, W., & Jurs, S. G. (2009). *Research methods in education: an introduction (Vol. 9th)*. Pearson.
- Wohlin, C. (2014). Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering. In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, (p. 38). ACM.
- Wright, B. D., & Douglas, G. a. (1986). The Rasch Rating Scale Model for Objective Measurement. *Research Memorandum*, 35.
- Wu, K., Davison, L., & Sheehan, A. H. (2012). Pharmacy students' perceptions of and attitudes towards peer assessment within a drug literature evaluation course. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 76(4), 4-7.
- Xianwei, G., Samuel, M., & Asmawi, A. (2016). Qzone weblog for critical peer feedback to improve business English writing: A case of Chinese undergraduates. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 15(3), 131-140.
- Xu, B., Li, K., Gao, K., Zhao, C., Yu, G., & Li, J. (2017). Matrix completion for SPOC student peer-grading evaluation. *Proceedings of the ACM Turing 50th Celebration Conference - China on - ACM TUR-C '17*, (3), 1-6.
- Yen, D. C. (2012). The Use Of Peer Assessment And Reflective Discussion To Improve Student Participation And Oral Presentation Performance In A Technical, 88-97.
- Yim, S. Y., & Cho, Y. H. (2016). Predicting pre-service teachers' intention of implementing peer assessment for low-achieving students. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 17(1), 63-72.
- Ying, L., & Liping, C. (2016). Peer- and self-assessment : A Case Study to Improve the Students ' Learning Ability. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 7(4), 780-787.
- Yoon, H. B., Park, W. B., Myung, S., Moon, S. H., & Park, J. (2018). Validity and reliability assessment of a peer evaluation method in team-based learning classes. *Korean Journal of Medical Education*, 30(1), 23-29.

- Yurdabakan, I. (2011). The investigation of peer assessment in primary school cooperative learning groups with respect to gender. *Education*, 39(2), 153–169.
- Yurdabakan, I. (2016). The Investigation of the Prospective Teachers' Opinions Related to Peer Assessment: A Qualitative Study. *Online Submission*, 7(October), 7.
- Yusuff, K. B. (2015). Does self-reflection and peer-assessment improve Saudi pharmacy students' academic performance and metacognitive skills? *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 23(3).
- Zhao, B., & Pan, Y. (2017). Cross-Cultural Employee Motivation in International Companies. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, 05(04), 215–222.
- Zikmund, W. G., Carr, J. C., Griffi, M., & Babin, B. J. (2013). *Business Research Methods*. South-Western, Cengage Learning.
- Zou, Y., Schunn, C. D., Wang, Y., & Zhang, F. (2017). Student attitudes that predict participation in peer assessment. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(5), 800-811.

