

**YAW RATE AND SIDESLIP CONTROL USING
H-INFINITY-PID CONTROLLER DURING
AUTOMATED LANE CHANGE MANOEUVRE**

ZAINAB ZAINAL



PTT AUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2021

**YAW RATE AND SIDESLIP CONTROL USING H-INFINITY-PID
CONTROLLER DURING AUTOMATED LANE CHANGE MANOEUVRE**

by

ZAINAB ZAINAL



PTT AUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2021

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Undertaking this PhD has been a truly life-changing experience for me and it would not have been possible to do without the support and guidance that I received from many people.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Wan Mohd Yusof Rahiman Wan Abd Aziz for being my mentor and for his encouragement all throughout this research works and the thesis.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding received towards my PhD from the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) PhD fellowship.

Special thanks to all the members of staff of the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EE), and Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS), Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for their support and assistance during my time at USM.

I would also like to say a heartfelt thank you to my parents for their unconditional loves and supports. I am indebted to my husband, Rie, without whom, I would not have had the courage to embark on this journey in the first place. Thanks for giving me the supports, making sacrifices when it was difficult to make, you are deeply treasured. Also not to forget my precious, my sons, Aduka and Hail, for your scarifies and making it possible for me to complete what I started.

Finally thank you to all my friends in USM, Juliana, Salina, Halina, Rasyidah, Haryanti, Hidayah, Sara, Nurul, Samsul, Azhar, Mutanizam and Koo for your kindness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|--------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | x |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS..... | xvii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xx |
| ABSTRAK..... | xxiii |
| ABSTRACT | xxv |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of Automated Lane Change Technology | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statements and Motivation | 4 |
| 1.3 Research Objectives..... | 7 |
| 1.4 Research Scopes | 7 |
| 1.5 Thesis Structure | 8 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORKS..... | 10 |
| 2.1 Fundamental of Controller Design for Autonomous Lane Change (LC).... | 10 |
| 2.1.1 Vehicle Dynamics Model | 10 |
| 2.1.2 Yaw rate ($\dot{\psi}$) | 14 |
| 2.1.3 Sideslip (β) | 17 |
| 2.1.4 Automated Lane Change (LC) Manoeuvre..... | 18 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| 2.1.5 | PID Controller | 21 |
| 2.1.6 | H_∞ Optimisation Method | 23 |
| 2.1.7 | H_∞ PID Controller..... | 26 |
| 2.1.8 | Preliminaries and Problem Formulation | 28 |
| 2.1.8(i) | Vehicle Classification | 28 |
| 2.1.8(ii) | Coordinate System and Definition | 28 |
| 2.1.8(iii) | Yaw moment of inertia, I_z estimation method..... | 30 |
| 2.1.8(iv) | Cornering stiffness, C_α estimation method | 31 |
| 2.1.8(v) | Simulation works | 32 |
| 2.2 | Review of related works | 32 |
| 2.3 | Summary..... | 37 |
| CHAPTER 3 DYNAMIC MODELLING AND AUTONOMOUS STEERING WHEEL ANGLE ESTIMATION..... | | 38 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 38 |
| 3.2 | Dynamic Modelling..... | 40 |
| 3.2.1 | Lateral Vehicle Dynamic..... | 41 |
| 3.2.1(i) | Derivation of Equations of Motion | 43 |
| 3.2.1(ii) | Derivation of Tire Slip Angles..... | 45 |
| 3.2.1(iii) | State Space Equation..... | 50 |
| 3.2.2 | State Feedback and Controllability | 51 |
| 3.3 | Yaw Rate and Sideslip Behavior | 55 |
| 3.3.1 | Case Study 1.1: Effect of the vehicle speeds on the yaw rate and sideslip | 56 |
| 3.3.2 | Case Study 1.2: Effect of the vehicle load distribution on the yaw rate and sideslip | 57 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| 3.3.3 | Case Study 1.3: Effect of the vehicle mass on the yaw rate and sideslip | 58 |
| 3.4 | Automated Lane Change SWA | 59 |
| 3.4.1 | Turning radius | 60 |
| 3.4.2 | Driver model for lane change (LC) maneuver | 61 |
| 3.4.2(i) | Stopping Sight Distance (SSD)..... | 63 |
| 3.4.2(ii) | Passing Sight Distance (PSD) | 63 |
| 3.4.2(iii) | Automated SWA Estimation | 64 |
| 3.4.3 | Simulation and Test Window | 68 |
| 3.5 | Summary..... | 71 |

CHAPTER 4 CONTROLLER DESIGN METHOD..... 72

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 72 |
| 4.2 | PID Controller Design..... | 73 |
| 4.2.1 | Stability Criterion..... | 74 |
| 4.2.2 | PID Tuning Rules..... | 76 |
| 4.2.2(i) | 4.2.2(i) Single-PID Controller Design | 84 |
| 4.2.3 | 4.2.3 Dual-PID Controller Design | 89 |
| 4.2.3(i) | 4.2.3(i) Tuning the first PID wcontroller (PID 1)..... | 89 |
| 4.2.3(ii) | 4.2.3(ii) Tuning the second PID controller (PID 2) | 92 |
| 4.3 | H_∞ PID Controller Design | 94 |
| 4.3.1 | γ in H_∞ control | 95 |
| 4.3.2 | Obtaining the set S_γ for PI controller | 98 |
| 4.3.3 | Problem formulation and Single- H_∞ PID controller design..... | 102 |
| 4.3.4 | Dual- H_∞ PID controller design..... | 110 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 4.4 | Summary..... | 115 |
| CHAPTER 5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION..... | | 117 |
| 5.1 | Analysis of Yaw Rate and Sideslip Behavior | 117 |
| 5.1.1 | Result of case study 1.1: Effect of the vehicle speeds on the yaw rate and sideslip | 118 |
| 5.1.1(i) | Relationship of the yaw rate, ψ and β with the SWA..... | 122 |
| 5.1.2 | Result of Case Study 1.2: Effect of the vehicle load distribution on the yaw rate and sideslip | 123 |
| 5.1.3 | Result of Case Study 1.3: Effect of the vehicle mass on the yaw rate and sideslip | 128 |
| 5.2 | Analysis of Autonomous SWA without Controller for LC Manoeuvre | 133 |
| 5.3 | Results and Analysis for PID Controller Design..... | 138 |
| 5.3.1 | Results and discussion of Single-PID and Dual-PID controller tuning..... | 139 |
| 5.3.2 | Analysis of Step Disturbance Rejection..... | 148 |
| 5.3.3 | Automated LC manoeuvre performance | 157 |
| 5.4 | Chapter Summary | 161 |
| CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK | | 162 |
| 6.1 | Conclusion | 162 |
| 6.1.1 | Yaw rate and Sideslip Behaviour of Lateral Dynamic Model..... | 162 |
| 6.1.2 | Steering wheel angle (SWA) Estimation for Lane Change (LC) Maneuvre | 163 |
| 6.1.3 | H_∞ PID controller Design | 164 |
| 6.2 | Summary of Thesis Contributions | 165 |
| 6.3 | Vision and Future Work | 166 |

| | |
|-------------------------|------------|
| REFERENCES | 168 |
|-------------------------|------------|

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS



LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Table 2.1 Vehicle dynamic model uses in the literature | 12 |
| Table 2.2 Effect of PID controller parameter setting..... | 22 |
| Table 2.3 H_{∞} Controller Solver | 24 |
| Table 2.4 Passenger car classification | 28 |
| Table 2.5 Vehicle axis system standards | 29 |
| Table 2.6 Estimated of yaw moment of inertia (Fundowicz & Sar, 2018) | 30 |
| Table 2.7 Summary of some related works on controller application of lateral vehicle dynamic reported in the literature | 36 |
| Table 3.1 Nomenclatures of Vehicle Parameters | 50 |
| Table 3.2 Vehicle Parameters (Sharmin & Wan, 2017)..... | 53 |
| Table 3.3 Initial vehicle parameters for Case Study 1.1 | 57 |
| Table 3.4 Initial vehicle parameters for different load distribution | 58 |
| Table 3.5 Design vehicle dimension for passenger car | 61 |
| Table 3.6 Minimum Passing Sight Distance (PSD) for 2-Lane-2-Way (JKR, 1986) | 64 |
| Table 3.7 Driver model- Boltzmann parameters for LC autonomous SWA estimation | 67 |
| Table 4.1 Ziegler-Nichols (Z-N) tuning rules | 76 |
| Table 4.2 Case study 2.1- Controller parameters using Z-N tuning rule (at point C and D) and Matlab auto tuned | 88 |
| Table 4.3 Controller parameters for case study 2.2 of PID 1..... | 91 |
| Table 4.4 Controller parameters for case study 2.2 of PID 2..... | 94 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.5 | Controller parameters for case study 2.1 of H_∞ PID when $\gamma = 1.307$ | 104 |
| Table 4.6 | Single- H_∞ PID parameters at point C when $\omega = 20$ and $ P(j\omega) = 1.52$; obtained from Algorithm 4 | 107 |
| Table 4.7 | Single- H_∞ PID output performance | 108 |
| Table 4.8 | Controller parameters for Single-PID | 109 |
| Table 4.9 | Dual- H_∞ PID parameters at point Dual-PID 1 and point Dual- PID 2 obtained from Algorithm 4 | 112 |
| Table 4.10 | Dual- H_∞ PID output performance | 114 |
| Table 4.11 | Controller parameters for case study 2.2 of Dual- H_∞ PID when $\gamma = 1.307$ | 114 |
| Table 4.12 | Controller parameters for Dual-PID | 115 |
| Table 5.1 | Sideslip angle, β and yaw rate, $\dot{\psi}$ simulation data for 40/60 load distribution vehicles | 123 |
| Table 5.2 | Sideslip angle, β and yaw rate, $\dot{\psi}$ simulation data for 50/50 load distribution vehicles | 124 |
| Table 5.3 | Sideslip angle, β and yaw rate, $\dot{\psi}$ simulation data for 60/40 load distribution vehicles | 125 |
| Table 5.4 | Vehicle parameters and automated LC SWA estimation for case study 1.3 | 128 |
| Table 5.5 | PID controller parameters | 139 |
| Table 5.6 | Yaw rate output data of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID con- troller | 142 |
| Table 5.7 | Sideslip output data of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID con- troller | 145 |
| Table 5.8 | Overall PID controller performance during automated LC..... manoeuvre with various input disturbance | 154 |
| Table 5.9 | Automated LC manoeuvre performance criteria | 157 |
| Table 5.10 | Overall PID controller performance during automated LC..... manoeuvre | 159 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Figure 1.1 LiDAR sensors system (Conner, 2011) | 2 |
| Figure 1.2 Lane change control issues | 3 |
| Figure 2.1 Vehicle dynamics axis system (ISO, 2011b) | 11 |
| Figure 2.2 Representation of the vehicle steering wheel angle (SWA) associated with the heading angle, γ | 14 |
| Figure 2.3 Yaw rate of vehicle trajectory path for understeer and over- steer (Mazda Motor Corporation, 2016) | 15 |
| Figure 2.4 Sideslip of vehicle trajectory path for understeer and over- steer (Jalaliyazdi, 2016) | 17 |
| Figure 2.5 2D lane change (LC) maneuver trajectory..... | 19 |
| Figure 2.6 Steer characteristic during lane changing (LC) (Mastinu & Ploechl, 2014) | 19 |
| Figure 2.7 PID term selection flowchart (Johnson & Moradi, 2005)..... | 21 |
| Figure 2.8 Past, present and future control error for a PID controller..... (Astrom & Murray, 2010) | 22 |
| Figure 2.9 Block diagram of single feedback loop | 23 |
| Figure 2.10 Block diagram of standard H_{∞} control (Nagahara, 2012)..... | 24 |
| Figure 2.11 Block diagram of standard H_{∞} control | 25 |
| Figure 2.12 ISO standard axis system for earth(E), vehicle(V) and inter- mediate (ISO, 2011b) | 29 |
| Figure 2.13 COG location corresponds to the yaw moment of inertia, I_z | 30 |
| Figure 3.1 Overview of methodology for yaw rate ($\dot{\psi}$) and sideslip (β) control during lane change (LC) maneuver | 38 |
| Figure 3.2 General flowchart of the methodology | 39 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.3 | Empirical (left) and axiomatic (right) approaches (Popp & Schiehlen, 2010) | 40 |
| Figure 3.4 | Flow chart of Objective 1, the lateral vehicle modeling..... | 42 |
| Figure 3.5 | Lateral vehicle dynamic model..... | 43 |
| Figure 3.6 | Tire slip-angle | 46 |
| Figure 3.7 | Block diagram of the feedback control scheme. | 52 |
| Figure 3.8 | Poles and zeros map of the system | 55 |
| Figure 3.9 | Overall simulation system..... | 56 |
| Figure 3.10 | Flow chart of Objective 2, the steering wheel angle (SWA)..... estimation for automated LC maneuver | 59 |
| Figure 3.11 | Turning radius of a bicycle model | 61 |
| Figure 3.12 | Maximum vehicle parameters specification based on the..... Malaysia highway guideline | 62 |
| Figure 3.13 | Minimum Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) according to Malaysia Road Standard for highway, R6/U6 (JKR, 1986) | 63 |
| Figure 3.14 | Passing Sight Distance phases for 2-Lane-2-Way road (Bar-ton, 2009) | 64 |
| Figure 3.15 | Boltzmann sigmoidal curve (LC S-curve) | 65 |
| Figure 3.16 | Vehicle heading angle, γ from Boltzman sigmoidal curve es-timation | 66 |
| Figure 3.17 | Estimated automated steering wheel angle (SWA), δ for lane change (LC) maneuver | 67 |
| Figure 3.18 | Simulink work model setup | 68 |
| Figure 3.19 | Simulink subsystem 1, the vehicle lateral dynamic | 68 |
| Figure 3.20 | Simulink subsystem 2, the vehicle heading angle, γ | 69 |
| Figure 3.21 | Simulink subsystem 3, the vehicle global axis location; lon-gitudinal displacement, X and lateral displacement, Y | 69 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.22 | ISO 3888-1:2018 standard, Passenger cars - Test track for a severe lane-change maneuver - Part 1: Double lane-change (ISO, 2018) | 70 |
| Figure 4.1 | Flow chart of the PID tuning process | 73 |
| Figure 4.2 | Step response at point A, B, C and D in stabilised K_pK_i plane | 75 |
| Figure 4.3 | Representation of the Routh-Hurwitz table for a characteris- tic polynomial's degree | 77 |
| Figure 4.4 | Stability region of stabilised boundary locus by Tan et al. (2006) | 78 |
| Figure 4.5 | Critical gain, K_u and critical time, T_u from the steady-state oscillation in step input at Hurwitz stable | 81 |
| Figure 4.6 | Single-PID configuration | 82 |
| Figure 4.7 | Dual-PID configuration | 82 |
| Figure 4.8 | Step response of $K_p = -0.3148$ produced an unstable system | 85 |
| Figure 4.9 | Case study 2.1- Stability boundary locus, $l(k_p, k_i, \omega)$ in K_pK_i plane | 87 |
| Figure 4.10 | Case study 2.1- Step response start to attenuate at point B | 87 |
| Figure 4.11 | Case study 2.1- Step response of steady-state oscillation at..... point C (PID C) and point D (PID D) | 88 |
| Figure 4.12 | Case study 2.2- Stabilised boundary locus in K_pK_i plane for $G_\psi(s)$. PID 1 when $\omega = 12$, $K_p = -0.166$ and $K_i = 4.393$ | 90 |
| Figure 4.13 | Case study 2.2- Step response of steady-state oscillation at..... point PID 1 | 91 |
| Figure 4.14 | Case study 2.2- Stabilised boundary locus in K_pK_i plane for..... $G_\beta(s)$. PID 2 when $\omega = 12$, $K_p = -6.004$ and $K_i = -4.810$ | 93 |
| Figure 4.15 | Case study 2.2- Step response of steady-state oscillation at..... point PID 2 | 93 |
| Figure 4.16 | The circle of $\gamma > 1$ (black lines), $\gamma_1 = 1$ (blue dashed lines)..... and $\gamma_2 < 1$ (red dotted lines) | 95 |
| Figure 4.17 | S_γ (Han et al., 2018) | 98 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.18 | The circle of C_γ (Han et al., 2018)..... | 99 |
| Figure 4.19 | The ellipse of $E_\gamma(\omega)$ (Han et al., 2018) | 100 |
| Figure 4.20 | The ellipse of $E_\gamma(\omega)$ at point C and D..... | 104 |
| Figure 4.21 | The system output of given (K_p, K_i) at upper and lower point C and D when $K_d = 0$ | 105 |
| Figure 4.22 | The set $S_{\gamma_{H_\infty}}$ for Single- H_∞ PID at $0 < \omega \leq 20$ and $\gamma = 1.307$ $(\phi_m = 45^\circ)$ | 106 |
| Figure 4.23 | The ellipse of E_γ Single- H_∞ PID at $\omega = 20$ | 107 |
| Figure 4.24 | Step response of Single- H_∞ PID | 108 |
| Figure 4.25 | Step response of Single-PID controller | 109 |
| Figure 4.26 | The set $S_{\gamma_{H_\infty}}$ for Dual- H_∞ PID 1 at $0 < \omega \leq 20$ and $\gamma = 1.307$ $(\phi_m = 45^\circ)$ | 110 |
| Figure 4.27 | The set $S_{\gamma_{H_\infty}}$ for Dual- H_∞ PID 2 at $0 < \omega \leq 20$ and $\gamma = 1.307$ $(\phi_m = 45^\circ)$ | 110 |
| Figure 4.28 | The ellipse of E_γ Dual- H_∞ PID 1 and Dual- H_∞ PID 2 at $\omega =$ 12 | 111 |
| Figure 4.29 | Step response of Dual- H_∞ PID 1 | 113 |
| Figure 4.30 | Step response of Dual- H_∞ PID 2 | 113 |
| Figure 4.31 | Step response of Dual-PID controller | 115 |
| Figure 5.1 | Step steer manoeuvre outputs of sideslip angle, β and yaw rate, $\dot{\psi}$ for different speeds | 118 |
| Figure 5.2 | Settling time (dotted line) and steady-state value (solid line)..... of sideslip, β | 120 |
| Figure 5.3 | Settling time (dotted line) and steady-state value (solid line)..... of yaw rate, $\dot{\psi}$ | 120 |
| Figure 5.4 | 2D trajectory of vehicles when given step maneuver for..... $40km/h$, $80km/h$ and $90km/h$ speeds | 121 |
| Figure 5.5 | 2D trajectory of vehicles when given step maneuver for..... $110km/h$, $130 km/h$ and $180km/h$ speeds | 121 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 5.6 | Speeds interpretation on simplifying curvilinear path trajectory and steering wheel angle, δ estimation for lane change manoeuvre based on the yaw rate obtained from system step response | 122 |
| Figure 5.7 | Step steer manoeuvre output of sideslip angle, β for different load distribution at various speeds | 126 |
| Figure 5.8 | Step steer manoeuvre output of yaw rate, ψ for different load distribution at various speeds | 127 |
| Figure 5.9 | LC steering wheel angle (SWA) input for case study 1.3 | 128 |
| Figure 5.10 | Case study 1.3 - Yaw rate, ψ output of automated LC manoeuvre task using different mass, 1 to 5 passengers occupied Car 1, Car 2 and Car 3 | 129 |
| Figure 5.11 | Case study 1.3 - Sideslip, β output of automated LC manoeuvre task using different mass, 1 to 5 passengers occupied Car 1, Car 2 and Car 3 | 130 |
| Figure 5.12 | Case study 1.3 - Lane change manoeuvre trajectory with constant speed at 80 km/h and 50/50 load distribution for different masses, 1 to 5 passengers occupied Car 1, Car 2 and Car 3 | 131 |
| Figure 5.13 | Vehicle heading angle, γ for LC task from Boltzmann sigmoidal curve | 133 |
| Figure 5.14 | Estimated steering wheel angle (SWA) from Boltzmann vehicle heading angle, γ for the right to left LC manoeuvre | 134 |
| Figure 5.15 | Desired LC manoeuvre for various slopes..... | 135 |
| Figure 5.16 | Open-loop (OL) LC manoeuvre shows LC execution is outside the designated area | 136 |
| Figure 5.17 | Closed-loop (CL) LC manoeuvre without the controller shows LC execution is inside the designated area | 136 |
| Figure 5.18 | Yaw rate output of CL-LC manoeuvre without controller | 137 |
| Figure 5.19 | Sideslip output of CL-LC manoeuvre without controller | 138 |
| Figure 5.20 | Yaw rate output of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID controller | 140 |
| Figure 5.21 | Yaw rate output of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID controller at Peak 1 | 141 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 5.22 | Yaw rate output of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID controller at Peak 2 | 141 |
| Figure 5.23 | Sideslip output of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID controller | 143 |
| Figure 5.24 | Sideslip output of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID controller at..... Peak 1 | 144 |
| Figure 5.25 | Sideslip output of CL-LC manoeuvre with PID controller at..... Peak 2 | 144 |
| Figure 5.26 | Error signal, $e(t)$ of Single-PID controller | 147 |
| Figure 5.27 | Error signal, $e(t)$ of Dual-PID controller | 147 |
| Figure 5.28 | Control signal, $u(t)$ | 148 |
| Figure 5.29 | Input disturbance rejection of the system with step input disturbance, $d = 1/s$ and reference input, $ref = 0$ | 149 |
| Figure 5.30 | System output behaviour for input disturbance range of 0.1 to 0.5 at $t = 0.5s$ with maximum SWA input, $\delta_{SWA_{max}} = 0.32$ | 150 |
| Figure 5.31 | Control signal, $u(t)$ from input disturbance range of 0.1 to 0.5 at $t = 0.5s$ with maximum SWA input, $\delta_{SWA_{max}} = 0.32$ | 151 |
| Figure 5.32 | Error signals, $e(t)$ of Single-PID (top) and Dual-PID (bottom) from input disturbance range of 0.1 to 0.5 at $t = 0.5s$ with maximum SWA input, $\delta_{SWA_{max}} = 0.32$ | 152 |
| Figure 5.33 | Input disturbance signal at 0.25 rad and estimated SWA for automated LC manoeuvre | 153 |
| Figure 5.34 | Error signals, $e(t)$ of Single-PID (top) and Dual-PID (bottom) from input disturbance of 0.25 at $t = 0.25s$ with SWA input | 155 |
| Figure 5.35 | Control signal, $u(t)$ from input disturbance of 0.25 at $t = 0.25s$ with SWA input | 156 |
| Figure 5.36 | Vehicle performance during the autonomous LC manoeuvre..... at 80 km/h speed using PID controller | 158 |
| Figure 5.37 | Vehicle performance during the autonomous LC manoeuvre..... with input disturbance of 0.25 rad at 80 km/h speed using Single-PID controller | 159 |

Figure 5.38 Vehicle performance during the autonomous LC manoeuvre 160
with input disturbance of 0.25 rad at 80 km/h speed using
Dual-PID controller



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------|--|
| ABS | Anti-lock Braking System |
| ADAS | Advanced Driver Assistance System |
| ADB | Adaptive Driving Beam |
| AV | Autonomous Vehicle |
| BSM | Blind Spot Monitor |
| BO | Butterfly Optimisation |
| BP | Back-Propagation |
| CAS | Collision Avoidance System |
| CL | Closed-Loop |
| D | Derivative |
| DLC | Double-Lane-Change |
| DOF | Degree-of-Freedom |
| DYC | Direct Yaw Control |
| ESC | Electronic Stability Control |
| FWS | Front-Wheel-Steering |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| I | Integral |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| I/O | Input and Output |

| | |
|-------|---|
| LC | Lane Change |
| LDWS | Lane Departure Warning System |
| LHP | Left-Half-Plane |
| LHT | Left-Hand-Traffic |
| LiDAR | Light Detection and Ranging |
| LKA | Lane Keeping Assist |
| LPV | Linear Parameter Varying |
| MPC | Model Predictive Control |
| NCSA | National Center for Statistics and Analysis |
| NHTSA | National Highway Transportation Safety Administration |
| NN | Neural Network |
| Z-N | Ziegler-Nichols |
| OL | Open-Loop |
| P | Proportional |
| PI | Proportional-Integral |
| PID | Proportional-Integral-Derivative |
| PSD | Passing Sight Distance |
| RWD | Rear-Wheel-Drive |
| R-H | Routh-Hurwitz |
| SAE | Society of Automotive Engineering |
| SISO | single-input-single-output |

SITO single-input-two-output

SMC Sliding Mode Control

SSD Stopping Sight Distance

SWA steering wheel angle

TISO two-input-single-output

2D Two Dimension



PTT AUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| a_y | Lateral acceleration |
| α | Tire slip angle |
| α_f | Tire slip angle of front wheel |
| α_r | Tire slip angle of rear wheel |
| θ_{V_y} | Angle of lateral velocity vector |
| β | Sideslip angle |
| $\dot{\beta}$ | Sideslip angle rate |
| C_{α_f} | Cornering stiffness of front wheel |
| C_{α_r} | Cornering stiffness of rear wheel |
| $C_{\alpha_{f_right}}$ | Cornering stiffness of front-right wheel |
| $C_{\alpha_{f_left}}$ | Cornering stiffness of front-left wheel |
| δ | Steering wheel angle |
| δ_r | Rear wheel angle |
| δ_f | Front wheel angle |
| F_y | Lateral force |
| F_{yf} | Lateral force at front tire |
| F_{yr} | Lateral force at rear tire |
| $G(s)$ | Plant transfer function |
| g_m | Gain margin |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| γ | Vehicle heading angle |
| γ_{H_∞} | Gamma in H_∞ analysis |
| K | Gain |
| K_p | Proportional gain |
| K_i | Integral gain |
| K_d | Differential gain |
| K_u | Critical gain |
| H_∞ | H-infinity |
| H_2 | H-two |
| I_z | Yaw moment of inertia |
| l_f | Distance from c.g. to front axle |
| l_r | Distance from rear axle to c.g. |
| M_z | Yaw moment inertia |
| m | Vehicle mass in kilogram |
| ω | Frequency |
| ϕ_m | Phase margin |
| T_u | Critical time |
| θ | Angle in radians |
| V_x | Longitudinal velocity or vehicle speed |
| V_y | Lateral velocity |
| \dot{y} | Lateral velocity at c.g. of vehicle |

W Vehicle weight in Newton

ψ Yaw angle

$\dot{\psi}$ Yaw rate



**KAWALAN KADAR REWANG DAN GELINCIR SISI MENGGUNAKAN
PENDEKATAN PENGAWAL H-INFINITY-PID KETIKA PEMANDUAN
PENUKARAN LORONG AUTOMATIK**

ABSTRAK

Pengawal PID klasik digunakan dengan meluas dalam aplikasi sistem kawalan industri. Walaupun struktur sistem pengawal PID senang difahami dan ringkas, didapati bahawa untuk melaras parameter dan kadar pengawalnya adalah sukar, terutama bagi sistem kawalan kenderaan yang terbukti lebih kompleks dan memakan masa. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mencadangkan algoritma pelarasan PID yang mudah dan menyelidik potensi penggunaan sintesis H_∞ dalam mengoptimumkan kadar pengawalan PID semasa pemanduan penukaran lorong automatik menggunakan anggaran sudut stereng model pemandu pada kelajuan tetap 80 km/j . Dua algoritma pelarasan telah dicadangkan; Pertama, menggunakan nilai $K_p K_i$ dari sempadan lokus yang stabil bersama kaedah pelarasan Ziegler-Nichols (Z-N); Kedua, versi lanjutan dari algoritma pertama menggunakan kaedah hibrid H_∞ dan Chein. Dengan menggunakan model kenderaan sisi satu-masukan-dua-keluaran (SITO) kawalan-stereng-hadapan (FWS), kadar rewang, ψ dan gelinciran sisi, β , kedua-duanya dikawal menggunakan dua konfigurasi PID; Satu-PID dan Dua-PID. Perbandingan prestasi dilakukan antara PID yang dilaraskan secara automatik menggunakan MATLAB dan PID yang dilaraskan menggunakan kaedah yang dicadangkan. Pengawal H_∞ -PID menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding PID-MATLAB, terutamanya bagi konfigurasi Satu-PID yang menghasilkan hanya 1.71 % ralat pada nilai akhir anjakan sisi. Ia mengurangkan kira-kira 0.58% ralat oleh pengawal Satu-PID tanpa sintesis H_∞ . Namun, untuk konfigurasi Dua-PID, ia hanya menghasilkan 55% keluaran jejak rujukan. Kesimpulannya, pe-

REFERENCES

- Ackerman, E. (2016). Lidar that will make self-driving cars affordable [news]. *IEEE Spectrum*, 53(10), 14–14.
- Ackermann, J. (1990). Robust car steering by yaw rate control. In *29th ieee conference on decision and control* (pp. 2033–2034).
- Ahmed, S. (2016). *Modeling and control of lane keeping system for autonomous vehicle* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation).
- Ahmed, S., & Rahiman, W. (2016). Predictive control of an autonomous ground vehicle for lane-keeping. *Advanced Science Letters*, 22(10), 2642–2646.
- Akermi, K., Chouraqui, S., & Boudaa, B. (2020). Novel smc control design for path following of autonomous vehicles with uncertainties and mismatched disturbances. *International Journal of Dynamics and Control*, 8(1), 254–268.
- Apkarian, P., & Noll, D. (2017). The \mathcal{H}_∞ control problem is solved.
- Ardelt, M., Coester, C., & Kaempchen, N. (2012). Highly automated driving on freeways in real traffic using a probabilistic framework. *IEEE Trans. Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 13(4), 1576–1585.
- Aripin, M., Md Sam, Y., Danapalasingam, K. A., Peng, K., Hamzah, N., & Ismail, M. (2014). A review of active yaw control system for vehicle handling and stability enhancement. *International journal of vehicular technology*, 2014.
- Asiabar, A. N., & Kazemi, R. (2019). A direct yaw moment controller for a four in-wheel motor drive electric vehicle using adaptive sliding mode control. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, 233(3), 549–567.
- Astrom, K. J., & Hagglund, T. (2001). The future of pid control. *Control engineering practice*, 9(11), 1163–1175.
- Astrom, K. J., & Murray, R. M. (2010). *Feedback systems*. Princeton university press.
- Attia, R., Orjuela, R., & Basset, M. (2014). Combined longitudinal and lateral control for automated vehicle guidance. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, 52(2), 261–279.
- Bansal, H. O., Sharma, R., & Shreeraman, P. (2012). Pid controller tuning techniques: a review. *Journal of control engineering and technology*, 2(4), 168–176.

- Barton, D. (2009). Guide to road design: part 3: geometric design.
- Boada, B., Boada, M., & Diaz, V. (2005). Yaw moment control for vehicle stability in a crosswind. *International journal of vehicle design*, 39(4), 331–348.
- Bonnet, C., et al. (2017). Stabilization of miso fractional systems with delays. *Automatica*, 83, 337–344.
- Borase, R. P., Maghade, D., Sondkar, S., & Pawar, S. (2020). A review of pid control, tuning methods and applications. *International Journal of Dynamics and Control*, 1–10.
- Borrelli, F., Falcone, P., Keviczky, T., Asgari, J., & Hrovat, D. (2005). Mpc-based approach to active steering for autonomous vehicle systems. *International journal of vehicle autonomous systems*, 3(2-4), 265–291.
- Boudali, M., Orjuela, R., Basset, M., & Attia, R. (2018). Emergency autonomous vehicle guidance under steering loss. In *2018 ieee intelligent vehicles symposium (iv)* (pp. 2078–2083).
- Bucchi, F., & Frendo, F. (2016). A new formulation of the understeer coefficient to relate yaw torque and vehicle handling. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, 54(6), 831–847.
- Buggaveeti, S., Batra, M., McPhee, J., & Azad, N. (2017). Longitudinal vehicle dynamics modeling and parameter estimation for plug-in hybrid electric vehicle. *SAE International Journal of Vehicle Dynamics, Stability, and NVH*, 1(2017-01-1574), 289–297.
- Burgio, G., & Zegelaar, P. (2006). Integrated vehicle control using steering and brakes. *International Journal of Control*, 79(05), 534–541.
- Camarillo-Gómez, K. A., Pérez-Soto, G. I., Rodríguez-Reséndiz, J., et al. (2018). Comparison of pd, pid and sliding-mode position controllers for v-tail quadcopter stability. *Ieee Access*, 6, 38086–38096.
- Cerdeira, M., Falcón, P., Delgado, E., & Barreiro, A. (2018). Reset controller design based on error minimization for a lane change maneuver. *Sensors*, 18(7), 2204.
- Cerone, V., Milanese, M., & Regruto, D. (2008). Combined automatic lane-keeping and driver's steering through a 2-dof control strategy. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 17(1), 135–142.
- Chaib, S., Netto, M. S., & Mammar, S. (2004). H/sub/spl infin//, adaptive, pid and fuzzy control: a comparison of controllers for vehicle lane keeping. In *Ieee intelligent vehicles symposium, 2004* (pp. 139–144).
- Chaichaowarat, R., & Wannasuphoprasit, W. (2016). Full-slip kinematics based estimation of vehicle yaw rate from differential wheel speeds. *International journal of automotive technology*, 17(1), 83–90.

- Chebly, A., Talj, R., & Charara, A. (2017). Coupled longitudinal and lateral control for an autonomous vehicle dynamics modeled using a robotics formalism. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 50(1), 12526–12532.
- Chen, W., Xiao, H., Wang, Q., Zhao, L., & Zhu, M. (2016). *Integrated vehicle dynamics and control*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cheng, S., Li, L., Guo, H.-Q., Chen, Z.-G., & Song, P. (2019). Longitudinal collision avoidance and lateral stability adaptive control system based on mpc of autonomous vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*.
- Chindamo, D., Lenzo, B., & Gadola, M. (2018). On the vehicle sideslip angle estimation: a literature review of methods, models, and innovations. *applied sciences*, 8(3), 355.
- Chung, S., & Lee, H. (2015). Estimating desired yaw rate and control strategy analysis on developed air esc system for performance evaluation. In *2015 15th international conference on control, automation and systems (iccas)* (pp. 2005–2010).
- Conner, M. (2011). Automobile sensors may usher in self-driving cars. *EDN-Electronic Design News*, 56(10), 38.
- Crew, B. (2015). *Driverless cars could reduce traffic fatalities by up to 90says report*. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencealert.com/driverless-cars-could-reduce-traffic-fatalities-by-up-to-90-says-report>
- Diao, X., Jin, Y., Ma, L., Ding, S., & Jiang, H. (2017). Composite active front steering controller design for vehicle system. *IEEE Access*, 5, 6697–6706.
- Ding, N., & Taheri, S. (2010). An adaptive integrated algorithm for active front steering and direct yaw moment control based on direct lyapunov method. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, 48(10), 1193–1213.
- Ding, S., Liu, L., & Zheng, W. X. (2017). Sliding mode direct yaw-moment control design for in-wheel electric vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 64(8), 6752–6762.
- Dixit, S., Montanaro, U., Fallah, S., Dianati, M., Oxtoby, D., Mizutani, T., & Mouzakis, A. (2018). Trajectory planning for autonomous high-speed overtaking using mpc with terminal set constraints. In *2018 21st international conference on intelligent transportation systems (itsc)* (pp. 1061–1068).
- Dolgov, D., & Urmson, C. (2013, June 25). *Controlling vehicle lateral lane positioning*. US Patents. (US Patent 8,781,670 B2)
- Dominguez, S., Ali, A., Garcia, G., & Martinet, P. (2016). Comparison of lateral controllers for autonomous vehicle: Experimental results. In *2016 ieee 19th international conference on intelligent transportation systems (itsc)* (pp. 1418–1423).
- Du, Y., Wang, Y., & Chan, C.-Y. (2015). Autonomous lane-change controller. In *2015 ieee intelligent vehicles symposium (iv)* (pp. 386–393).

- El Hajjami, L., Mellouli, E. M., & Berrada, M. (2019). @articlenaranjo2008lane, title=Lane-change fuzzy control in autonomous vehicles for the overtaking maneuver, author=Naranjo, Jose E and Gonzalez, Carlos and Garcia, Ricardo and De Pedro, Teresa, journal=IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, volume=9, number=3, pages=438–450, year=2008, publisher=IEEE . In *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on big data and internet of things* (pp. 1–5).
- Endsley, M. R. (2017). Autonomous driving systems: A preliminary naturalistic study of the tesla model s. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 11(3), 225–238.
- Erdogan, G., Hong, S., Borrelli, F., & Hedrick, K. (2011). Tire sensors for the measurement of slip angle and friction coefficient and their use in stability control systems. *SAE International Journal of Passenger Cars-Mechanical Systems*, 4(2011-01-0095), 44–58.
- Ferrara, A., & Incremona, G. P. (2018). Sliding modes control in vehicle longitudinal dynamics control. In *Advances in variable structure systems and sliding mode controlâ€¢theory and applications* (pp. 357–383). Springer.
- Fundowicz, P., & Sar, H. (2018). Estimation of mass moments of inertia of automobile. In *2018 xi international science-technical conference automotive safety* (pp. 1–6).
- Gahinet, P., & Apkarian, P. (1994). A linear matrix inequality approach to \hat{h} control. *International journal of robust and nonlinear control*, 4(4), 421–448.
- Gillespie, T. D. (1992). *Fundamental of vehicle dynamics*. Warrendale, USA: Society of Automotive Engineers.
- Guo, H., Cao, D., Chen, H., Lv, C., Wang, H., & Yang, S. (2018). Vehicle dynamic state estimation: state of the art schemes and perspectives. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 5(2), 418–431.
- Guzzella, L. (2009). Automobiles of the future and the role of automatic control in those systems. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 33(1), 1–10.
- Hac, A., & Simpson, M. D. (2000). Estimation of vehicle side slip angle and yaw rate. *SAE transactions*, 1032–1038.
- Han, G., Fu, W., Wang, W., & Wu, Z. (2017). The lateral tracking control for the intelligent vehicle based on adaptive pid neural network. *Sensors*, 17(6), 1244.
- Han, S., Keel, L. H., & Bhattacharyya, S. P. (2018). Pid controller design with an \hat{h} criterion. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 51(4), 400 - 405. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405896318304245> (3rd IFAC Conference on Advances in Proportional-Integral-Derivative Control PID 2018) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.06.127>
- Han, X., Zhang, X., Du, Y., & Cheng, G. (2019). Design of autonomous vehicle

- controller based on bp-pid. In *Iop conference series: Earth and environmental science* (Vol. 234, p. 012097).
- Hang, C. C., Åström, K. J., & Ho, W. K. (1991). Refinements of the ziegler–nichols tuning formula. In *Iee proceedings d (control theory and applications)* (Vol. 138, pp. 111–118).
- Hawkins, A. J. (2020, January). The new cadillacs are getting automatic lane-changing, thanks to updated super cruise. *The Verge*. Retrieved from <https://www.theverge.com/2020/1/28/21111495/cadillac-super-cruise-automatic-lane-change-gm-update>
- He, X., Yang, K., Liu, Y., & Ji, X. (2018). *A novel direct yaw moment control system for autonomous vehicle* (Tech. Rep.). SAE Technical Paper.
- Huang, G., Yuan, X., Shi, K., & Wu, X. (2019). A bp-pid controller-based multi-model control system for lateral stability of distributed drive electric vehicle. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 356(13), 7290–7311.
- Hussain, R., & Zeadally, S. (2018). Autonomous cars: Research results, issues, and future challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 21(2), 1275–1313.
- Hyundai, S. P. (2020). *Hyundai safety features*. Retrieved from <https://www.southpointhyundai.com/hyundai-safety-features/>
- International Organization for Standardization. (2018). Passenger cars - Test track for a severe lane-change manoeuvre - Part 1: Double lane-change [Standard No. 3888-2:2018].
- ISO. (1992). Passenger cars - Mass distribution. *ISO Standard 2416*.
- ISO. (2011a). Road Vehicles - Lateral Transient Response Test Methods - Open-loop Test Methods. *ISO Standard 7401*.
- ISO. (2011b). Road Vehicles - Vehicle Dynamics and Road-holding Ability - Vocabulary. *ISO Standard 8855*.
- Jalaliyazdi, M. (2016). *Integrated vehicle stability control and power distribution using model predictive control*.
- Jang, Y., Lee, M., Suh, I.-S., & Nam, K. (2017). Lateral handling improvement with dynamic curvature control for an independent rear wheel drive ev. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 18(3), 505–510.
- Jazar, R. N. (2017). *Vehicle dynamics: theory and application*. Springer.
- Jazar, R. N. (2019). *Advanced vehicle dynamics*. Springer.
- JKR, J. K. R. M. (1986). A guide on geometric design of roads. *ArahanTeknik (Jalan)*, 8(86), 71.

- Johnson, M. A., & Moradi, M. H. (2005). *Pid control: New identification and design methods*. Springer.
- Jorge de J, L.-S., Tudon-Martinez, J. C., & Salinas, J. (2017). Control design for a motion cueing on driving simulator. In *Journal of physics: Conference series* (Vol. 783, p. 012049).
- Joshi, A. A., Peters, D., & Bastiaan, J. M. (2019). *Autonomous lane change control using pid and a bicycle model* (Tech. Rep.). SAE Technical Paper.
- Kakria, S., & Singh, D. (2015). *Cae analysis, optimization and fabrication of formula sae vehicle structure* (Tech. Rep.). SAE Technical Paper.
- Kanaris, A., Kosmatopoulos, E. B., & Loannou, P. A. (2001). Strategies and spacing requirements for lane changing and merging in automated highway systems. *IEEE transactions on vehicular technology*, 50(6), 1568–1581.
- Kang, Y.-s., & Ferris, J. B. (2018). Performance margin for geometric road design. *SAE International Journal of Passenger Cars-Mechanical Systems*, 11(06-11-04-0022), 263–272.
- Kayacan, E. (2017). Multiobjective H_∞ control for string stability of cooperative adaptive cruise control systems. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 2(1), 52–61.
- Kinjawadekar, T., Dixit, N., Heydinger, G. J., Guenther, D. A., & Salaani, M. K. (2009). *Vehicle dynamics modeling and validation of the 2003 ford expedition with esc using carsim* (Tech. Rep.). SAE Technical Paper.
- Kiyaklı, A. O., & Solmaz, H. (2018). Modeling of an electric vehicle with matlab/simulink. *International Journal of Automotive Science And Technology*, 2(4), 9–15.
- Kong, J., Pfeiffer, M., Schildbach, G., & Borrelli, F. (2015). Kinematic and dynamic vehicle models for autonomous driving control design. In *2015 ieee intelligent vehicles symposium (iv)* (pp. 1094–1099).
- Lee, K., Jeon, S., Kim, H., & Kum, D. (2019). Optimal path tracking control of autonomous vehicle: Adaptive full-state linear quadratic gaussian (lqg) control. *IEEE Access*, 7, 109120–109133.
- Lenzo, B., Sorniotti, A., Gruber, P., & Sannen, K. (2017). On the experimental analysis of single input single output control of yaw rate and sideslip angle. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 18(5), 799–811.
- Lenzo, B., Zanchetta, M., Sorniotti, A., Gruber, P., & De Nijs, W. (2020). Yaw rate and sideslip angle control through single input single output direct yaw moment control. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*.
- Limroth, J., & Kurfess, T. (2010). *Design of an open-loop steering robot profile for double lane change maneuver using simulation* (Tech. Rep.). SAE Technical

Paper.

- Liu, J. (2018). *Intelligent control design and matlab simulation*. Springer.
- Loos, H., & Dödlbacher, G. (1986). A mathematical prototype of the vehicle to describe vehicle handling behaviour. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, 15(sup1), 320–341.
- Lu, Q., Gentile, P., Tota, A., Sorniotti, A., Gruber, P., Costamagna, F., & De Smet, J. (2016). Enhancing vehicle cornering limit through sideslip and yaw rate control. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 75, 455–472.
- Madhusudhanan, A. K., Corno, M., & Holweg, E. (2015). Vehicle sideslip estimation using tyre force measurements. In *2015 23rd mediterranean conference on control and automation (med)* (pp. 88–93).
- Madhusudhanan, A. K., Corno, M., & Holweg, E. (2016). Vehicle sideslip estimator using load sensing bearings. *Control Engineering Practice*, 54, 46–57.
- Manning, W., & Crolla, D. (2007). A review of yaw rate and sideslip controllers for passenger vehicles. *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, 29(2), 117–135.
- Mastinu, G., & Ploechl, M. (2014). *Road and off-road vehicle system dynamics handbook*. CRC Press.
- Matusu, R. (2011). Calculation of all stabilizing pi and pid controllers. *International journal of mathematics and computers in simulation*, 5(3), 224–231.
- Mazda Motor Corporation, M. (2016). *Mazda cx-5 service & repair manual: Dsc control*. Retrieved from http://www.mcx5.org/dsc_control-875.html
- McHenry, B. G., & McHenry, R. R. (2017). *msmac3d manual*. Retrieved from <http://www.mchenrysoftware.com/medit32/readme/msmac/default.htm?turl=examplestirecorneringstiffnesscalculation1.htm>
- Menhour, L., Koenig, D., & D'Andrea-Novel, B. (2015). Simple discrete-time switched hâLd optimal control: Application for lateral vehicle control. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 48(26), 43–48.
- Metzler, M., Tavernini, D., Sorniotti, A., & Gruber, P. (2018). An explicit nonlinear mpc approach to vehicle stability control. In *Proceedings of the 14th international symposium on advanced vehicle control*.
- Michelis, A., Ravier, C., & Moulaire, P. (2019, May 21). *Understeer and oversteer detector for a motor vehicle*. Google Patents. (US Patent 10,293,852)
- Mirzaei, M., Alizadeh, G., Eslamian, M., & Azadi, S. (2008). An optimal approach to non-linear control of vehicle yaw dynamics. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, 222(4), 217–229.

- Mitsubishi, S. (2020). *Mitsubishi asx features: Blind spot warning (bsw) & lane change assist (lca)*. Retrieved from <https://www.southsidemitsubishi.com.au/new-vehicles/asx/features/#:~:text=The%20Lane%20Change%20Assist%20system,70m%20from%20its%20rear%20bumper>.
- Mousavinejad, E., Han, Q.-L., Yang, F., Zhu, Y., & Vlacic, L. (2017). Integrated control of ground vehicles dynamics via advanced terminal sliding mode control. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, 55(2), 268–294.
- Nagahara, M. (2012). *H₂ versus h-Ld*. Retrieved from <http://sparseland.blogspot.com/2012/05/h-2-versus-h.html>
- Nahidi, A., Kasaiezadeh, A., Khosravani, S., Khajepour, A., Chen, S.-K., & Litkouhi, B. (2017). Modular integrated longitudinal and lateral vehicle stability control for electric vehicles. *Mechatronics*, 44, 60–70.
- Naidu, D. S. (2018). *Optimal control systems*. CRC press.
- Nam, K., Fujimoto, H., & Hori, Y. (2012). Lateral stability control of in-wheel-motor-driven electric vehicles based on sideslip angle estimation using lateral tire force sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 61(5), 1972–1985.
- Nam, K., Oh, S., Fujimoto, H., & Hori, Y. (2012). Estimation of sideslip and roll angles of electric vehicles using lateral tire force sensors through RLS and Kalman filter approaches. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 60(3), 988–1000.
- Naranjo, J. E., Gonzalez, C., Garcia, R., & De Pedro, T. (2008). Lane-change fuzzy control in autonomous vehicles for the overtaking maneuver. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 9(3), 438–450.
- NHTSA. (2020). *How does nhtsa categorize vehicles?* Retrieved from <https://www.nhtsa.gov/ratings>
- Nise, N. S. (2020). *Control systems engineering*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Nocedal, J., & Wright, S. (2006). *Numerical optimization*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Noh, S., & An, K. (2017). Risk assessment for automatic lane change maneuvers on highways. In *2017 ieee international conference on robotics and automation (icra)* (pp. 247–254).
- O'Dwyer, A. (2000). A summary of pi and pid controller tuning rules for processes with time delay. part 2: Pid controller tuning rules. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 33(4), 211–216.
- Oppland, L. (2007). Size classification of passenger cars. *Göteborg, Sweden: MasterâŽs Thesis, Chalmers University of Technology. OpenURL*.
- Pacejka, H. B. (2006). Tyre and vehicle dynamics, 2002. *Butterworth-Heinemann, ISBN 0, 7506, 5141*.

- Pan, S., & Zhou, H. (2017). An adaptive fuzzy pid control strategy for vehicle yaw stability. In *2017 ieee 2nd information technology, networking, electronic and automation control conference (itnec)* (pp. 642–646).
- Panagopoulos, H. (2000). *Pid control, design, extension, application* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Lund University.
- Peng, Z., & Ning, G. (2014). 2 dof lateral dynamic model with force input of skid steering wheeled vehicle. In *2014 ieee conference and expo transportation electrification asia-pacific (itec asia-pacific)* (pp. 1–5).
- Penmetsa, P., Pulugurtha, S. S., & Duddu, V. R. (2018). Factors associated with crashes due to overcorrection or oversteering of vehicles. *IATSS research*, 42(1), 24–29.
- Perrelli, M., Cosco, F., Carbone, G., & Mundo, D. (2019). Evaluation of vehicle lateral dynamic behaviour according to iso-4138 tests by implementing a 15-dof vehicle model and an autonomous virtual driver. *Int. J. Mech. Control*, 20(2), 31–38.
- Petrov, P., & Nashashibi, F. (2013). Adaptive steering control for autonomous lane change maneuver. In *2013 ieee intelligent vehicles symposium (iv)* (pp. 835–840).
- Pierson, A., Schwarting, W., Karaman, S., & Rus, D. (2018). Navigating congested environments with risk level sets. In *2018 ieee international conference on robotics and automation (icra)* (pp. 1–8).
- Popp, K., & Schiehlen, W. (2010). *Ground vehicle dynamics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Rafaila, R. C., & Livint, G. (2016). H-infinity control of automatic vehicle steering. In *2016 international conference and exposition on electrical and power engineering (epe)* (pp. 031–036).
- Rajamani, R. (2011). *Vehicle dynamics and control*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- SAE. (2008). Vehicle Dynamics Terminology. *SAE Standard J670*.
- Samiee, S., Azadi, S., Kazemi, R., & Eichberger, A. (2016). Towards a decision-making algorithm for automatic lane change manoeuvre considering traffic dynamics. *PROMET-Traffic&Transportation*, 28(2), 91–103.
- Sarmis, M., Orjuela, R., Bouteiller, J.-M., Ambert, N., Legendre, A., Bischoff, S., ... Baudry, M. (2015). Stability constraints of markov state kinetic models based on routh-hurwitz criterion. *Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology*, 8, 296–303.
- Sayers, M. (1996). Standard terminology for vehicle dynamics simulations. *The University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute (UMTRI), Tech. Rep.*
- Schubert, R., Schulze, K., & Wanielik, G. (2010). Situation assessment for auto-

- matic lane-change maneuvers. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 11(3), 607–616.
- Segel, L. (1956). Theoretical prediction and experimental substantiation of the response of the automobile to steering control. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers: Automobile Division*, 10(1), 310–330.
- Sellen, M. (2021). *How much does a car weigh? – average car weight*. Retrieved from <https://mechanicbase.com/cars/car-weight/>
- Selmanaj, D., Corno, M., Panzani, G., & Savaresi, S. M. (2017). Vehicle sideslip estimation: A kinematic based approach. *Control Engineering Practice*, 67, 1–12.
- Sharmin, A., & Wan, R. (2017). An autonomous lane-keeping ground vehicle control system for highway drive. In *9th international conference on robotic, vision, signal processing and power applications* (pp. 351–361).
- Sharp, R., & Peng, H. (2011). Vehicle dynamics applications of optimal control theory. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, 49(7), 1073–1111.
- Shawky, M. (2020). Factors affecting lane change crashes. *IATSS research*, 44(2), 155–161.
- Sherfey, J. S., Soplata, A. E., Ardid, S., Roberts, E. A., Stanley, D. A., Pittman-Polletta, B. R., & Kopell, N. J. (2018). Dynasim: a matlab toolbox for neural modeling and simulation. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 12, 10.
- Silveira, M., Wahi, P., & Fernandes, J. (2017). Effects of asymmetrical damping on a 2 dof quarter-car model under harmonic excitation. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 43, 14–24.
- Sivák, P., & Hroncová, D. (2012). State-space model of a mechanical system in matlab/simulink. *Procedia engineering*, 48, 629–635.
- Solhmirzaei, A., Azadi, S., & Kazemi, R. (2012). Road profile estimation using wavelet neural network and 7-dof vehicle dynamic systems. *Journal of mechanical science and technology*, 26(10), 3029–3036.
- Song, P., Zong, C., & Tomizuka, M. (2015). Combined longitudinal and lateral control for automated lane guidance of full drive-by-wire vehicles. *SAE International Journal of Passenger Cars-Electronic and Electrical Systems*, 8(2015-01-0321), 419–424.
- Spero, D. J., Pilutti, T. E., Rupp, M. Y., & Joh, P. G. (2015, November 17). *Autonomous lane control system*. Google Patents. (US Patent 9,187,117)
- Stoorvogel, A. A. (1992). The \hat{L}_d control problem: a state space approach. *Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science University of Michigan Ann Arbor USA*.

- Suzuki, Y., & Takeda, M. (2016). An overview on vehicle lateral dynamics and yaw stability control systems. *Journal of Advances in Vehicle Engineering*, 2(4), 182–190.
- Tagne, G., Talj, R., & Charara, A. (2015). Design and comparison of robust nonlinear controllers for the lateral dynamics of intelligent vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 17(3), 796–809.
- Tan, N., Kaya, I., Yeroglu, C., & Atherton, D. P. (2006). Computation of stabilizing pi and pid controllers using the stability boundary locus. *Energy Conversion and management*, 47(18-19), 3045–3058.
- Tchamna, R., & Youn, I. (2013). Yaw rate and side-slip control considering vehicle longitudinal dynamics. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 14(1), 53–60.
- Templeton, B. (2019). *Ntsb report on tesla autopilot accident shows what's inside and it's not pretty for fsd*. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/bradtempleton/2019/09/06/ntsb-report-on-tesla-autopilot-accident-shows-whats-inside-and-its-not-pretty-for-fsd/#1962806d4dc5>
- Toledo, T., & Zohar, D. (2007). Modeling duration of lane changes. *Transportation Research Record*, 1999(1), 71–78.
- Tota, A., Lenzo, B., Lu, Q., Sorniotti, A., Gruber, P., Fallah, S., ... De Smet, J. (2018). On the experimental analysis of integral sliding modes for yaw rate and sideslip control of an electric vehicle with multiple motors. *International Journal of Automotive Technology*, 19(5), 811–823.
- Tumari, M. Z. M., Saealal, M. S., Abd Rashid, W. N., Saat, S., & Nasir, M. A. M. (2017). The vehicle steer by wire control system by implementing pid controller. *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)*, 9(3-2), 43–47.
- Van Zanten, A. T. (2000). Bosch esp systems: 5 years of experience. *SAE transactions*, 428–436.
- Vorotović, G. S., Rakicević, B. B., Mitić, S. R., & Stamenković, D. D. (2013). Determination of cornering stiffness through integration of a mathematical model and real vehicle exploitation parameters. *FME Transactions*, 41(1), 66–71.
- Wang, H., Nagayama, T., & Su, D. (2019). Estimation of dynamic tire force by measurement of vehicle body responses with numerical and experimental validation. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 123, 369–385.
- Wasim, M., Kashif, A., Awan, A. U., Khan, M. M., Wasif, M., & Ali, W. (2016). H-infinity control via scenario optimization for handling and stabilizing vehicle using afs control. In *2016 international conference on computing, electronic and electrical engineering (ice cube)* (pp. 307–312).

- Weiss, Y., Allerhand, L. I., & Arogeti, S. (2018). Yaw stability control for a rear double-driven electric vehicle using lpv-hâLd methods. *Science China Information Sciences*, 61(7), 70206.
- Wood, S. (2011). *Passenger carsâT test track for a severe lane-change maneuverâT part 2: Obstacle avoidance*. The international organization for standardization.
- Xie, G., Gao, H., Qian, L., Huang, B., Li, K., & Wang, J. (2018, jul). Vehicle trajectory prediction by integrating physics- and maneuver-based approaches using interactive multiple models. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 65(7), 5999–6008.
- Yang, S., Lu, Y., & Li, S. (2013). An overview on vehicle dynamics. *International Journal of Dynamics and Control*, 1(4), 385–395.
- Young, K. L., Lenné, M. G., & Williamson, A. R. (2011). Sensitivity of the lane change test as a measure of in-vehicle system demand. *Applied Ergonomics*, 42(4), 611–618.
- Zames, G. (1981). Feedback and optimal sensitivity: Model reference transformations, multiplicative seminorms, and approximate inverses. *IEEE Transactions on automatic control*, 26(2), 301–320.
- Zhang, B., Khajepour, A., & Goodarzi, A. (2017). Vehicle yaw stability control using active rear steering: Development and experimental validation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, 231(2), 333–345.
- Zhang, J., Sun, W., & Feng, Z. (2018). Vehicle yaw stability control via hâLd gain scheduling. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 106, 62–75.
- Zheng, B., & Anwar, S. (2009). Yaw stability control of a steer-by-wire equipped vehicle via active front wheel steering. *Mechatronics*, 19(6), 799–804.
- Zhou, H., Chen, H., Ren, B., & Zhao, H. (2015). Yaw stability control for in-wheel-motored electric vehicle with a fuzzy pid method. In *The 27th chinese control and decision conference (2015 ccdc)* (pp. 1876–1881).

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Zainal Z and Wan Rahiman (2016) "Concept of Controller Design for Improving Lateral Vehicle Dynamic Model Stability", *In: 6th Electrical And Electronic Postgraduate Colloquium, School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, USM*

Zainal Z, Wan Rahiman and Baharom M.N.R. (2017) "Yaw Rate and Sideslip Control using PID Controller for Double Lane Changing", *In: Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC), Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC), 9(3-7), 99-103.* (SCOPUS indexed Q4, 3 citations, Researchgate read: 1,929)

Zainal Z and Wan Rahiman (2017) "Study on the Effect of Yaw Rate and Sideslip to Lateral Vehicle Dynamic Stability", *In: 7th Electrical And Electronic Postgraduate Colloquium, School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, USM.*

Zainal Z and Wan Rahiman (2019) "Effect of Yaw Rate and Sideslip to Lateral Vehicle Dynamic Stability", *In: 10th International Conference on Robotics, Vision, Signal Processing and Power Applications (ROVISIP), Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol. 547, pp. 473-479, Springer, Singapore.* (SCOPUS indexed Q3)