

EFFECTIVE VECTOR VARIANCE IN MODELING
MALAYSIA HIGHWAY TRAFFIC NETWORK

NORHAIDAH MOHD ASRAH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mathematics)



Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved family, thank you for the prayer, never ending support and encouragement for my success. To my friends who always be there when I need them.



PTTA
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN ABDUL RAZAK

A large, faint watermark or stamp is diagonally across the page. It contains the letters "PTTA" in a large serif font, followed by "PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN ABDUL RAZAK" in a smaller sans-serif font below it. The text is oriented from the bottom-left towards the top-right.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah for His blessings. With His consent, I would be able to complete my thesis. I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof Dr. Maman Abdurachman Djauhari on tutoring, guidance, encouragement, help, and patience during the preparation for my thesis. Thank you for your excellent concern and your valuable time spent for me during my research, to make sure that I am on the right track. I really appreciate your kindness not only to me but also to my family. He was my main supervisor from November 2011 until April 2014 when he was a contract Professor at Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). He was my co-supervisor when he joined Universitas Pasundan, Bandung, Indonesia from May 2014 to September 2014. Then, he joined the Institute for Mathematical Researcher (INSPEM) at Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) as Follow Researcher from September 2014 until 2015. Although he already left for Bandung, he still gives good commitment and continuous help to guide me to finish my thesis writing. I also want to thank AP Dr. Ismail Mohamad. He was my co-supervisor from May 2014 until the present. I really appreciate his opinions, suggestions, and help during my thesis writing. The comments, suggestions, ideas, and guides from both are really helping me in finishing my thesis. I also want to thank Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), UTM, UPM and Ministry of Higher Education for the opportunity to pursue my Ph.D., providing the facilities and the sponsorship. Lastly, thousands of thanks to Abah, Emak, Ahmad Wira (husband), Aamir Irfan (son), my family members and friends for their loves, encouragement and never-ending support during my study.

ABSTRACT

Highway traffic networks consist of in-coming vehicles to a toll plaza and out-coming vehicles from a toll plaza. The current practice to analyse a network is by using social network analysis (SNA) with the following three steps: (i) the network is considered as an undirected weighted complete graph (UWCG), (ii) the important information in the network is filtered using minimal spanning tree (MST), and (iii) the topological properties of each node is investigated using certain centrality measures. However, highway networks are complex and need a better method to analyse it. In this thesis, the Projek Lebuhraya Usahasama Berhad (PLUS) highway network is represented as a weighted directed network (WDN). The PLUS highway traffic network from 63 toll plazas in Peninsular Malaysia is studied to understand the in-coming and out-coming weights of traffic burden. Here, the weights of traffic burden refer to the in-coming and out-coming vehicles between two toll plazas. This study represents the complex PLUS highway traffic network as a non-symmetric matrix with positive finite element. The important information contain in the network are extracted using a unique and more robust method known as Forest. It is found that PLUS highway network produces only one MST in a network. This differs from other complex networks such as stock markets which typically produce more than one MST in a network. This study also investigates the dynamicity amongst PLUS highway toll plazas, which has not been discussed in other highway network studies. Using regression analysis on log return of traffic burden, it is found that Bukit Tambun Utara and Bukit Tambun Selatan are the two most dynamic toll plazas. In addition, the topological properties of network in this study use four types of centrality measures which are degree, betweenness, closeness and eigenvector. The performance of the toll plazas in the network can also be summarized based on an overall centrality measure using Principle Component Analysis. This approach is able to identify the most important toll plaza in the network. For instance, Sungei Besi toll plaza is found to be the most important toll plaza from the years 2009 until 2013 for in-coming traffic burden. However, this method could not identify the performance of the toll plazas based on the importance of their centrality measures. This is because it does not take into account, the multivariate dispersion of the centrality measures and thus cannot identify the most important centrality measure. An existing measure of multivariate dispersion is using effective variance (EV) based on the geometric mean of all eigenvalues. In this study, a new approach called effective vector variance (EVV) based on the arithmetic mean of all eigenvalues is used together with EV to identify the most important centrality measure. It is found that the most important centrality measure containing only one type of centrality measure is betweenness, the important centrality measure containing two types of centrality measures is betweenness and eigenvector, and the most important centrality measure containing three types of centrality measures is degree, betweenness and eigenvector. The results from this study can be used by the management of PLUS highway to improve its current system and operation.

ABSTRAK

Rangkaian trafik lebuhraya terdiri daripada kenderaan yang masuk ke plaza tol dan kenderaan yang keluar dari plaza tol. Kaedah semasa yang digunakan untuk menganalisis rangkaian ialah dengan menggunakan analisis rangkaian sosial (SNA) dengan tiga langkah berikut: (i) rangkaian dianggap sebagai graf wajaran lengkap tak berarah (UWCG), (ii) maklumat penting dalam rangkaian ditapis dengan menggunakan pokok perentangan minimum (MST), dan (iii) sifat-sifat topologi setiap nod disiasat dengan menggunakan pengukuran pemusatan tertentu. Walau bagaimanapun, rangkaian lebuhraya adalah lebih rumit dan memerlukan kaedah yang lebih baik untuk menganalisisnya. Dalam tesis ini, rangkaian lebuhraya Projek Lebuhraya Usahasama Berhad (PLUS) telah diwakili sebagai rangkaian wajaran berarah (WDN). Rangkaian trafik lebuhraya PLUS daripada 63 buah plaza tol di Semenanjung Malaysia telah dikaji untuk memahami pemberat beban trafik yang masuk dan keluar. Di sini, pemberat kepada beban trafik merujuk kepada jumlah bilangan kenderaan yang masuk dan keluar di antara dua plaza tol. Kajian ini merujuk kepada rangkaian trafik PLUS yang kompleks sebagai matrik bukan simetrik dengan unsur terhingga yang positif. Maklumat penting yang terkandung dalam rangkaian ini diekstrak dengan menggunakan kaedah yang unik dan lebih teguh dikenali sebagai Forest. Didapati bahawa rangkaian lebuhraya PLUS menghasilkan hanya satu MST dalam rangkaianya. Ini berbeza daripada rangkaian kompleks lain seperti pasaran saham yang biasanya menghasilkan lebih daripada satu MST dalam rangkaianya. Kajian ini juga menyiasat dinamik di antara plaza-plaza tol di lebuhraya PLUS, yang belum pernah dibincangkan dalam kajian rangkaian lebuhraya yang lain sebelum ini. Dengan menggunakan analisis regresi pada log hasil pulangan bagi bebanan trafik, ia menunjukkan bahawa Bukit Tambun Utara dan Bukit Tambun Selatan adalah plaza tol yang paling dinamik. Tambahan lagi, sifat topologi dalam rangkaian kajian ini menggunakan empat jenis pengukuran pemusatan iaitu darjah, antara, kedekatan dan vektor eigen. Prestasi plaza tol dalam rangkaian juga boleh dirumuskan berdasarkan keseluruhan ukuran pemusatan menggunakan Analisis Komponen Utama. Pendekatan ini dapat mengenal pasti plaza tol yang paling penting dalam rangkaian. Sebagai contoh, plaza tol Sungei Besi adalah plaza tol yang paling penting daripada tahun 2009 hingga 2013 untuk beban lalu lintas yang masuk. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah ini tidak dapat mengenal pasti prestasi plaza tol berdasarkan kepada kepentingan ukuran pemusatan. Ini kerana ia tidak mengambilkira penyebaran variasi berbilang bagi ukuran pemusatan dan seterusnya tidak dapat mengenal pasti ukuran pemusatan yang paling penting. Ukuran sedia ada bagi penyebaran variasi berbilang adalah dengan menggunakan varians yang berkesan (EV) berdasarkan pada purata geometrik untuk semua nilai eigen. Dalam kajian ini, satu pendekatan baru yang disebut sebagai varian vektor yang berkesan (EVV) yang berdasarkan pada nilai aritmetik untuk semua nilai eigen telah digunakan bersama dengan EV untuk mengenal pasti ukuran pemusatan yang paling penting. Kajian ini mendapati bahawa ukuran pemusatan yang paling penting yang mengandungi satu jenis ukuran pemusatan ialah antara, ukuran pemusatan yang paling penting yang mengandungi dua jenis ukuran pemusatan ialah antara dan vektor eigen, dan ukuran pemusatan yang paling penting yang mengandungi tiga jenis ukuran pemusatan ialah darjah, antara dan vektor eigen. Hasil daripada kajian ini boleh digunakan oleh pengurusan lebuhraya PLUS untuk memperbaiki sistem dan operasi mereka yang sedia ada.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
DECLARATION		ii
DEDICATION		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		iv
ABSTRACT		v
ABSTRAK		vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS		vii
LIST OF TABLES		xii
LIST OF FIGURES		xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xix
LIST OF SYMBOLS		xxii
LIST OF APPENDICES		xxiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		1
1.1 Introduction		1
1.2 Background of the Problem		1
1.3 Problem Statement		4
1.4 Research Objectives		5
1.5 The Scope of the Study		6
1.6 Research Data		7
1.7 The Significance of the Study		7
1.8 Outline of the Thesis		8
1.9 Conclusion		9
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		11
2.1 Introduction		11
2.2 Minimal Spanning Tree (MST)		11
2.3 Kruskal's Algorithm and Prim's		13
2.4 <i>Forest of All MSTs</i>		16

2.5	Social Network Analysis	17
2.6	Centrality Measures	19
2.7	Multivariate Dispersion Measure	23
2.8	Conclusion	25
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	27
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Data Collection	27
3.3	Representation of PLUS Highway Traffic Network	29
3.3.1	Graph representation	29
3.3.2	Adjacency matrix representation	29
3.4	The Most Dynamic Toll Plazas	30
3.5	Information Filtering Based on MST	32
3.6	<i>Forest</i> Based Filtering	33
3.7	Filtered Network Analysis	39
3.7.1	Degree centrality measure	39
3.7.2	Betweenness centrality measure	39
3.7.3	Closeness centrality measure	40
3.7.4	Eigenvector centrality measure	40
3.7.5	Overall centrality measure	41
3.8	The Most Important Centrality Measure	42
3.8.1	Effective variance (EV)	43
3.8.2	Effective vector variance (EVV)	46
3.9	The Research Framework	49
3.10	Conclusion	51
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS ON IN-COMING TRAFFIC BURDEN	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	PLUS Highway Network as Complex Network	54
4.3	The Dynamicity of Toll Plazas	56
4.4	Network Filtering	65
4.4.1	In-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2009	66

4.4.2	In-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2010	69
4.4.3	In-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2011	71
4.4.4	In-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2012	73
4.4.5	In-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2013	74
4.5	Evidence from Centrality Measures	76
4.5.1	Degree centrality measure	76
4.5.1.1	In-coming traffic burden degree centrality for 2009 until 2013	77
4.5.2	Betweenness centrality measure	80
4.5.2.1	In-coming traffic burden betweenness centrality for 2009 until 2013	80
4.5.3	Closeness centrality measure	83
4.5.3.1	In-coming traffic burden closeness centrality for 2009 until 2013	83
4.5.4	Eigenvector centrality measure	86
4.5.4.1	In-coming traffic burden eigenvector centrality for 2009 until 2013	86
4.5.5	Overall Centrality Measure	88
4.5.5.1	In-coming traffic burden overall centrality for 2009 until 2013	89
4.6	The Most Important Centrality Measure	91
4.6.1	Yearly covariance matrix	91
4.6.2	Yearly generalized variance (GV) and vector variance (VV) for in-coming traffic burden	93
4.6.3	The most important measure of effective variance (EV) viewpoint	94
4.6.4	The most important measure of effective vector variance (EVV) viewpoint	95
4.7	The Most Important Two Centrality Measures	96
4.7.1	The most important two centrality measures relative to EV	96

4.7.2	The most important two centrality measures relative to EVV	97
4.8	The Most Important Three Centrality Measures	101
4.8.1	The most important three centrality measures relative to EV	101
4.8.2	The most important three centrality measures relative to EVV	102
4.9	Conclusion	106
CHAPTER 5	RESULTS ON OUT-COMING TRAFFIC BURDEN	109
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Out-Coming Traffic Burden Network	109
5.3	Network Filtering	118
5.3.1	Out-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2009	119
5.3.2	Out-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2010	121
5.3.3	Out-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2011	124
5.3.4	Out-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2012	126
5.3.5	Out-coming traffic burden filtering network for 2013	127
5.4	Evidence from Centrality Measures	129
5.4.1	Degree centrality measure	129
5.4.1.1	Out-coming traffic burden degree centrality for 2009 until 2013	130
5.4.2	Betweenness centrality measure	132
5.4.2.1	Out-coming traffic burden betweenness centrality for 2009 until 2013	132
5.4.3	Closeness centrality measure	135
5.4.3.1	Out-coming traffic burden closeness centrality for 2009 until 2013	135
5.4.4	Eigenvector centrality measure	137

5.4.4.1	Out-coming traffic burden eigenvector centrality for 2009 until 2013	137
5.4.5	Overall centrality measure	140
5.4.5.1	Out-coming traffic burden overall centrality for 2009 until 2013	140
5.5	The Most Important Centrality Measure	142
5.5.1	Yearly covariance matrix	143
5.5.2	Yearly generalized variance and vector variance	144
5.5.3	The most important measure from effective variance viewpoint	145
5.5.4	The most important measure from effective vector variance viewpoint	145
5.6	The Most Important Two Centrality Measures	147
5.6.1	The most important two centrality measures relative to EV	147
5.6.2	The most important two centrality measures relative to EVV	148
5.7	The Most Important Three Centrality Measures	152
5.7.1	The most important three centrality measures relative to EV	152
5.7.2	The most important three centrality measures relative to EVV	152
5.8	Conclusion	157
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSIONS	159
6.1	Introduction	159
6.2	Mathematical Areas	159
6.3	Physical Research Areas	162
6.4	Conclusions	165
6.5	Future Research	166
REFERENCES		167
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		180

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Ratio of computation speed of CD to VV	25
Table 4.1	Colour of the cell in the dot plot matrix	57
Table 4.2	The monthly average of in-coming traffic burden at each toll plaza	61
Table 4.3	Parameter estimates and autocorrelation of in-coming traffic burden log return (Ordered by autocorrelation).	64
Table 4.4	Colour of the cell in dot plot matrix representing <i>Forest</i>	66
Table 4.5	Colour of the nodes for <i>Forest</i>	66
Table 4.6	Degree centrality of in-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	78
Table 4.7	Betweenness centrality of in-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	81
Table 4.8	Closeness centrality of in-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	84
Table 4.9	Eigenvector centrality of in-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	87
Table 4.10	Overall centrality of in-coming traffic burden for 2009	89
Table 4.11	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden for 2009.	92
Table 4.12	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden for 2010.	92
Table 4.13	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden for 2011.	92
Table 4.14	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden for 2012.	92
Table 4.15	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden for 2013.	93
Table 4.16	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden for five years (2009 to 2013).	93
Table 4.17	Yearly GV and VV for in-coming traffic burden	94
Table 4.18	The yearly ratio of each centrality measures relative to EV for in-coming traffic burden	94

Table 4.19	The yearly ratio of each measure relative to EVV for in-coming traffic burden	95
Table 4.20	Yearly most important measures according to EV and EVV for in-coming traffic burden	96
Table 4.21	The most important two centrality measures relative to EV for in-coming traffic burden	97
Table 4.22	The most important pair relative to EVV for in-coming traffic burden	97
Table 4.23	The most important three centrality measures relative to EV for in-coming traffic burden	102
Table 4.24	The most important three centrality measures relative to EVV for in-coming traffic burden	102
Table 4.25	Summary of the most important centrality measures for in-coming traffic burden	106
Table 5.1	Color of cell in dot plot matrix	110
Table 5.2	The monthly average of out-coming traffic burdens at each toll plaza	113
Table 5.3	Parameter estimates and autocorrelation of out-coming traffic burden log return (Ordered by autocorrelation)	116
Table 5.4	Colour of the cell in dot plot matrix representing <i>Forest</i> .	118
Table 5.5	Colour of nodes for <i>Forest</i> .	119
Table 5.6	Degree centrality of out-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	130
Table 5.7	Betweenness centrality of out-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	133
Table 5.8	Closeness centrality of out-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	135
Table 5.9	Eigenvector centrality of out-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	138
Table 5.10	Overall centrality of out-coming traffic burden for 2009 until 2013	140
Table 5.11	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden for 2009.	143
Table 5.12	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden for 2010.	143
Table 5.13	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden for 2011.	143

Table 5.14	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden for 2012.	143
Table 5.15	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden for 2013.	144
Table 5.16	Covariance matrix of the four centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden for five years (2009 to 2013).	144
Table 5.17	Yearly GV and VV for out-coming traffic burden.	144
Table 5.18	Yearly EV for out-coming traffic burden.	145
Table 5.19	Yearly EVV for out-coming traffic burden.	146
Table 5.20	Yearly most important measures according to EV and EVV for out-coming traffic burden.	146
Table 5.21	The most important pair relative to EV for out-coming traffic burden.	147
Table 5.22	The most important two centrality measures relative to EVV for out-coming traffic burden	148
Table 5.23	The most important three centrality measures relative to EV for out-coming traffic burden.	152
Table 5.24	The most important three centrality measures relative to EVV for out-coming traffic burden.	153
Table 5.25	Summary of the most important centrality measures for out-coming traffic burden	157
Table 6.1	The summary for mathematical areas scope	162

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Complex network with three nodes A, B, and C	15
Figure 2.2	The two possible MSTs	15
Figure 2.3	Example of a directed graph (a) and undirected graph (b)	18
Figure 2.4	Example of degree centrality measure	20
Figure 2.5	Example of betweenness centrality measure	20
Figure 2.6	Example of closeness centrality measure	21
Figure 2.7	Example of eigenvector centrality measure	21
Figure 3.1	The example of data provided by PLUS highway management	28
Figure 3.2	The flow chart to construct SDU and <i>Forest</i>	37
Figure 3.3	The information extraction based on MST and <i>Forest</i>	38
Figure 3.4	The research framework	50
Figure 4.1	PLUS highway in Peninsular Malaysia	55
Figure 4.2	Graph representation of traffic network on PLUS highway in 2013	56
Figure 4.3	Dot plot matrix of in-coming traffic burden for 2009	58
Figure 4.4	Dot plot matrix of in-coming traffic burden for 2010	58
Figure 4.5	Dot plot matrix of in-coming traffic burden for 2011	59
Figure 4.6	Dot plot matrix of in-coming traffic burden for 2012	60
Figure 4.7	Dot plot matrix of in-coming traffic burden for 2013	60
Figure 4.8	The total monthly average of in-coming traffic burden.	63
Figure 4.9	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2009 in-coming traffic burden	67
Figure 4.10	In-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2009	68
Figure 4.11	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2010 in-coming traffic burden	70
Figure 4.12	In-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2010	71
Figure 4.13	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2011 in-coming traffic burden	72

Figure 4.14	In-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2011	72
Figure 4.15	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2012 in-coming traffic burden	73
Figure 4.16	In-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2012	74
Figure 4.17	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2013 in-coming traffic burden	75
Figure 4.18	In-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2013	75
Figure 4.19	Graphical representation of the importance level between the two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2009 in-coming traffic burden	98
Figure 4.20	Graphical representation of the importance level between the two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2010 in-coming traffic burden	98
Figure 4.21	Graphical representation of the importance level between the two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2011 in-coming traffic burden	99
Figure 4.22	Graphical representation of the importance level between the two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2012 in-coming traffic burden	99
Figure 4.23	Graphical representation of the importance level between the two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2013 in-coming traffic burden	100
Figure 4.24	Graphical representation of the importance level between the two centrality measures for EV and EVV for five years in-coming traffic burden	100
Figure 4.25	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures of EV and EVV for 2009 in-coming traffic burden	103
Figure 4.26	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures of EV and EVV for 2010 in-coming traffic burden	103
Figure 4.27	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures of EV and EVV for 2011 in-coming traffic burden	104
Figure 4.28	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures of EV and EVV for 2012 in-coming traffic burden	104

Figure 4.29	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures of EV and EVV for 2013 in-coming traffic burden	105
Figure 4.30	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures of EV and EVV for 5 years in-coming traffic burden	105
Figure 5.1	Dot plot matrix of out-coming traffic burden network for 2009.	110
Figure 5.2	Dot plot matrix of out-coming traffic burden network for 2010.	111
Figure 5.3	Dot plot matrix of out-coming traffic burden network for 2011.	112
Figure 5.4	Dot plot matrix of out-coming traffic burden network for 2012.	112
Figure 5.5	Dot plot matrix of out-coming traffic burden network for 2013.	113
Figure 5.6	The total monthly average of out-coming traffic burden.	116
Figure 5.7	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2009 out-coming traffic burden.	120
Figure 5.8	Out-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2009	120
Figure 5.9	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2010 out-coming traffic burden.	123
Figure 5.10	Out-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2010	123
Figure 5.11	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2011 out-coming traffic burden.	125
Figure 5.12	Out-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2011	125
Figure 5.13	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2012 out-coming traffic burden.	126
Figure 5.14	Out-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2012	127
Figure 5.15	Dot plot matrix representing the <i>Forest</i> for 2013 out-coming traffic burden	128
Figure 5.16	Out-coming traffic burden <i>Forest</i> for 2013	128
Figure 5.17	Graphical representation of the importance level between two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2009 out-coming traffic burden.	149
Figure 5.18	Graphical representation of the importance level between two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2010 out-coming traffic burden.	149
Figure 5.19	Graphical representation of the importance level between two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2011 out-coming traffic burden.	150

Figure 5.20	Graphical representation of the importance level between two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2012 out-coming traffic burden.	150
Figure 5.21	Graphical representation of the importance level between two centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2013 out-coming traffic burden.	151
Figure 5.22	Graphical representation of the importance level between two centrality measures for EV and EVV for five years out-coming traffic burden.	151
Figure 5.23	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2009 out-coming traffic burden.	154
Figure 5.24	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2010 out-coming traffic burden.	154
Figure 5.25	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2011 out-coming traffic burden.	155
Figure 5.26	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2012 out-coming traffic burden.	155
Figure 5.27	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures for EV and EVV for 2013 out-coming traffic burden.	156
Figure 5.28	Graphical representation of the importance level between three centrality measures for EV and EVV for five years out-coming traffic burden.	156

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EV	-	Effective Variance
EVV	-	Effective Vector Variance
GV	-	Generalized Variance
MST	-	Minimum Spanning Tree
PLUS	-	Projek Lebuhraya Usahasama Berhad
SDU	-	Subdominant Ultrametric
SNA	-	Social Network Analysis
VV	-	Vector Variance
WDG	-	Weighted Directed Graph
JRU	-	Juru
BTU	-	Bukit Tambun (U)
BTS	-	Bukit Tambun (S)
JWI	-	Jawi
BBR	-	Bandar Baru
BKM	-	Bukit Merah
TPU	-	Taiping (U)
CKJ	-	Changkat Jering
KKS	-	Kuala Kangsar
IPU	-	Ipoh (U)
IPS	-	Ipoh (S)
SPP	-	Simpang Pulai
PGP	-	Gopeng
TPH	-	Tapah
BDR	-	Bidor
SKI	-	Sungkai
PSR	-	Persimpangan Slim River
BRG	-	Behrang
TGM	-	Tanjung Malim
LBB	-	Lembah Beringin
BTR	-	Bukit Tagar

BKB	-	Bukit Beruntung
RAW	-	Rawang
RWS	-	Rawang Selatan
HSB	-	Sungai Buloh (U)
SGB	-	Sungai Buloh
JLD	-	Jalan Duta
KDR	-	Kota Damansara
DMR	-	Damansara
SBG	-	Subang
STA	-	Setia Alam
BKR	-	Bukit Raja
SBI	-	Sungei Besi
UPM	-	UPM
KJG	-	Kajang
BGS	-	Bangi
PPM	-	Persimpangan Putra Mahkota
NLI	-	Nilai
SHA	-	Shah Alam
EBN	-	Ebor (N)
EBS	-	Ebor (S)
SEA	-	Seafield
USJ	-	USJ
PHT	-	Putra Height
KLA	-	KLIA
SBN	-	Seremban
PDU	-	Port Dickson (U)
PDS	-	Port Dickson (S)
SWG	-	Senawang
PLI	-	Pedas Linggi
SAT	-	Simpang Ampat
AKH	-	Ayer Keroh
JSN	-	Jasin
TGK	-	Tangkak

PGH	-	Pagoh
YPU	-	Yong Peng (U)
YPS	-	Yong Peng (S)
AHT	-	Ayer Hitam
MAC	-	Machap
SPR	-	Simpang Renggam
SDK	-	Sedenak
KLI	-	Kulai
SNU	-	Senai (U)
SKD	-	Skudai



LIST OF SYMBOLS

λ	-	Eigenvalues
D, d	-	Distance matrix, the elements of D
Tr	-	Trace
R^2	-	Correlation
\mathbf{A}	-	Adjacency matrix
Σ	-	Covariance matrix



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	The Dynamicity of a Toll Plaza Computation Using Microsoft Excel	174
Appendix B	EV and EVV Computation Using Microsoft Excel	175



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Network analysis can be found in many fields such as medical, organizations, economics, ecologies, technologies, transportations and many more. The relationships in the network explain the systems which contain each element that is connected among them. These connections are the topics of interest and the network topology is investigated. The information contains in the network can be filtered and interpret with the application of social network analysis (SNA). The visualization of each network can be presented by using the theoretical graph approach while the important information in the network can be extracted by using MST and *Forest*. The behavior of each centrality measures is one of the topic interests. The most important centrality measures can be defined by looking at each dispersion measure.

1.2 Background of the Problem

The highway is one of the most important facilities to transfer passengers as well as consumer goods. Therefore, the highway has the potential to support economic growth. It contributes directly to socio-economic development and thus improves the quality of life. Industrialization and economic growth have been rapidly increasing once Projek Lebuhraya Usahasama Berhad (PLUS) highway network in Malaysia was built. Hence, the patterns of transportation also changed following the economic development. The growth of the Malaysian highway network is the result and the cause of this positive progress of industrialization. The demand for traveling increases as the need for people mobility increases. It is also related and associated with the demands and supplies in manufacturing sectors, rapid urban development, and population growth.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, K. (1995) 'Percolation and minimal spanning forests in infinite graphs', *The Annals of Probability*, 23(1), 87-104.
- Alt, F.B. and Smith, N.D. (1988) *Multivariate process control*, in Krishnaiah, P.R., Rao, C. R. (eds.) *Handbook of Statistics*. Elsevier Science, pp. 333-351.
- Anderson, T. W. (1984) *Introduction to multivariate statistical analysis*. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Armah, F. A., Yawson, D. O. and Pappoe, A. A. (2010) 'A systems dynamics approach to explore traffic congestion & air pollution link in the city of Accra, Ghana', *Sustainability*, 2(1), 252-265.
- Bader, D. A. and Cong, G. (2006) 'Fast shared-memory algorithms for computing the minimum spanning forest of sparse graphs', *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 66(11), 1366-1378.
- Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P. and Röhl, A. (1999) 'Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies', *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 16(1), 37-48.
- Bell, D. C., Atkinson, J. S. and Carlson, J. W. (1999) 'Centrality measures for disease transmission networks', *Social Networks*, 21(1), 1-21.
- Boccaletti, S., Latora, V., Moreno, Y., Chavez, M. and Hwang, D. U. (2006) 'Complex networks: Structure and dynamics', *Physics Reports*, 424(4-5), 175-308.
- Bonacich, P. (1972) 'Factoring and weighting approaches to status scores and clique identification', *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 2(1), 113–120.
- Bondy, J. A. and ZMurty, U. S. R. (1976). *Graph theory with applications*. London: Macmillan.
- Borgatti, S. P. (1995) 'Centrality and AIDS', *Connection*, 18(1), 112-115.
- Borgatti, S. P. (2005) 'Centrality and network flow', *Social Networks*, 27(1), 55-71.
- Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G. and Johnson, J. C. (2013) *Analyzing Social Network*. SAGE Publications.
- Bounova, G. A (2009) Topological Evolution of Networks: Case Studies in the US Airlines and Language Wikipedias. PhD Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- Brandes, U. and Fleischer, D. (2005) Centrality Measures Based on Current Flow. *Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 533-544.
- Brida, J. G. and Risso, W. A. (2010) ‘Hierarchical structure of the German stock market’, *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(5), 3846-3852.
- Broutin, N., Devroye, L. and McLeish, E. (2010) ‘Note on the structure of Kruskal’s algorithm’, *Journal: Algorithmica*, 56(2), 141-159.
- Chang, C. L. and Chang, S. K. (1977) Storage Reduction through Minimal Spanning Trees and Spanning Forests. *Proceeding of the 1977 IEEE Transactions on Computers*. May 1977. IEEE, 425-434.
- Chatterjee, S. and Hadi, A.S. (1998) *Sensitivity analysis in linear regression*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Chen, D., Lü, L., Shang, M. S., Zhang, Y. C. and Zhou, T. (2012) ‘Identifying influential nodes in complex networks’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 391(4), 1777-1787.
- Ching, N. H., Rosenfeld, D. and Braun, M. (1992) Two-Dimensional Phase Unwrapping using a Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm. *Proceeding of the IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*. IEEE, 355-365.
- Christofides, N., Mingozzi, A. and Toth, P. (1981) ‘Exact algorithms for the vehicle routing problem, based on spanning tree and shortest path relaxations’, *Mathematical Programming*, 20(1), 255-282.
- Crucitti, P., Latora, V. and Porta, S. (2006) ‘Centrality measures in spatial networks of urban streets’, *Physical Review E*, 73(3), 036125.
- Cupal, M., Deev, O. and Linnertová, D. (2012) Network Structures of the European Stock Markets. *Proceedings of 30th International Conference Mathematical Methods in Economics*. 79-84.
- De Montis, A., Barthélémy, M., Chessa, A. and Vespignani, A. (2007) ‘The structure of inter-urban traffic: A weighted network analysis’, *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 34, 905–927.
- Dementiev, R., Sanders, P., Schultes, D. and Sibeyn, J. (2004) Engineering an External Memory Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm. *Proceedings of the 2004 Exploring New Frontiers of Theoretical Informatics*. 195-208. doi: 10.1007/1-4020-8141-3_17.
- Department of Statistics, Malaysia. (2016) *Statistical Handbook Malaysia*.

- Djauhari, M.A. (2005) ‘Improved monitoring of multivariate process variability’, *Journal Quality of Technology*, 37(1), 32-39.
- Djauhari, M. A. (2007) ‘A Measure of multivariate data concentration’, *Journal of Applied Probability and Statistics*, 2(2), 139-155.
- Djauhari, M. A. (2012) ‘A robust filter in stock networks analysis’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 391(20), 5049-5057. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2012.05.060>.
- Djauhari, M. A. (2012) Influential data subsets labelling: A fuzzy relation approach. *Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Statistics in Science, Business and Engineering (ICSSBE)*, Langkawi, 2012, 1-3. doi: 10.1109/ICSSBE.2012.6396633
- Djauhari, M. A. and Gan, S. L. (2013) ‘Minimal spanning tree problem in stock networks analysis: An efficient algorithm’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 392(9), 2226-2234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2012.12.032>.
- Djauhari, M. A. and Gan, S. L. (2015) ‘Optimality problem of network topology in stocks market analysis’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 419, 108-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2014.09.060>.
- Djauhari, M. A. and Lee, G. S. (2013) ‘Network topological property of English dialects similarity: A robust filter approach’, *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(7), 646-653. doi:10.3844/ajassp.2013.646.653.
- Djauhari, M. A., Mashuri, M. and Herwindiati, D. E. (2008) ‘Multivariate process variability monitoring’, *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods*, 37, 1742-1754. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03610920701826286>.
- Djauhari, M. A. and Mohamad, I. (2010) ‘How to control process variability more effectively: The case in B-complex vitamin production process’, *South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 21(21), 207-215.
- Djauhari, M. A., Sharif, S. and Djauhari, H. (2012) ‘Network analysis on safety culture and worker’s behaviour: A forest of all minimum spanning trees’, *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 12(6), 29-37.
- Djauhari, M. A. (2016) Advanced monitoring techniques for complex process variability in manufacturing industry. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Dijkstra E. W. (1959) ‘A note on two problems in connexion with graphs’, *Numerische Mathematik*, 1(1), 269-271. doi:10.1007/BF01386390.

- Edelman, A. and Rao, N. R. (2005) ‘Random matrix theory’, *Acta Numerica*, 1-65.
- Eom, C., Oh, G. and Kim, S. (2006) ‘Topological properties of the minimal spanning tree in Korean and American stock markets’, *Data Analysis, Statistics and Probability*, doi:10.3938/jkps.51.1432.
- Eom, C., Oh, G., Jung, W. S., Jeong, H. and Kim, S. (2009) ‘Topological properties of stock networks based on minimal spanning tree and random matrix theory in financial time series’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Application*, 388 (6), 900-906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2008.12.006>.
- Everett, M. G. and Borgatti, S. P. (2013). ‘The dual-projection approach for two-mode networks’, *Social Networks*, 35(2), 204-210.
- Freeman, L.C. (1978) ‘Centrality in social networks: Conceptual clarification’, *Social Networks*, 1, 215–239. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(78\)90021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(78)90021-7).
- Gábor, S., and Csabai, I. (2002). ‘The analogies of highway and computer network traffic’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 307(3-4), 516-526.
- Gan, S. L. and Djauhari, M. A. (2015) ‘New York stock exchange performance: Evidence from the forest of multidimensional minimum spanning trees’, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, (12), P12005. doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2015/12/P12005.
- Graham, R. L. and Hell, P. (1985) ‘On the history of the minimum spanning tree problem’, *Annals of the History of Computing*, 7(1), 43-57. doi: 10.1109/MAHC.1985.10011.
- Haibin, C. H. E. N. (2016) ‘Complex network analysis of highway network in Guangdong province in China’, *Canadian Social Science*, 12(3), 1-4.
- Hanneman, R., & Riddle, M. (2005) *Introduction to social network methods*. E-book library [online]. Available at: <http://faculty.ucr.edu/~hanneman/> (Accessed: 10 January 2014)
- Hanslik, T., Boelle, P. and Flahault, A. J. (2001) ‘The control chart: An epidemiological tool for public health monitoring’, *Public Health*, 115, 277-281.
- Heiberger, R. H. (2014) ‘Stock network stability in times of crisis’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 393, 376-381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.08.053>.

- Held, M. and Karp, R. M. (1970) ‘The traveling-salesman problem and minimum spanning trees’, *Operations Research*, 18(6), 1138-1162. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.18.6.1138>.
- Held, M. and Karp, R. M. (1971) ‘The traveling-salesman problem and minimum spanning trees: Part II’, *Mathematical Programming*, 1(1), 6-25. doi:10.1007/BF01584070.
- Herwindiati, D. E., Djauhari, M. A. and Mashuri, M. (2007) ‘Robust Multivariate Outlier Labeling’, *Communications in Statistics – Simulation and Computation*, 36(6), 1287-1294.
- Huang, F., Gao, P. and Wang, Y. (2009) Comparison of Prim and Kruskal on Shanghai and Shenzhen 300 Index Hierarchical Structure Tree. *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Web Information Systems and Mining*. November 7-8, 2009. Shanghai, China: IEEE, 237-241. doi: 10.1109/WISM.2009.56.
- Hubert, M., Rousseeuw, P.J. and Van Aelst, S. (2008) ‘High-breakdown robust multivariate methods’, *Statistical Science*, 23(1). 92-119.
- Jung, W. S., Kwon, O., Yang, J. S. and Moon, H. T. (2006) ‘Effects of globalization in the Korean financial market’, *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*, 48, 135-138. doi:10.1007/978-0-230-50575-9_9.
- Kazemilari, M. and Djauhari, M. A. (2015) ‘Correlation network analysis for multi-dimensional data in stocks market’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 429, 62-75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2015.02.052>.
- Keskin, M., Deviren, B. and Kocakaplan, Y. (2011) ‘Topology of the correlation networks among major currencies using hierarchical structure methods’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 390(4), 719-730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2010.10.041>.
- Kruskal, J. B. (1956) On The Shortest Spanning Subtree of a Graph and the Traveling Salesman Problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 7(1), 48-50. doi: 10.2307/2033241.
- Kwapień, J., Gworek, S., Drożdż, S. and Górska, A. (2009) ‘Analysis of a network structure of the foreign currency exchange market’, *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination*, 4(1), 55-72. doi:10.1007/s11403-009-0047-9.
- Latora, V. and M. Marchiori. (2007) ‘A measure of centrality based on the network efficiency’, *New Journal of Physics*, 9(6), 188. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/9/6/188.

- Lee, G. S., & Djauhari, M. A. (2012a) Network Topology of Indonesian Stock Market. *Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE on Cloud Computing and Social Networking*. April 26-27, 2012. Bandung, West Java, Indonesia: IEEE, 1-4. doi: 10.1109/ICCCSN.2012.6215721.
- Lee, G. S. and Djauhari, M. A. (2012b) ‘An overall centrality measure: The case of US stock market’, *International Journal of Electrical & Computer Sciences*, 12(6). 99-103.
- Lohmann, G., Daniel, S. M., Annette, H., Burkhard, P., Joeran, L., Dirk, G., Haiko, S., Michael, S., Arno, V. and Robert, T. (2010) ‘Eigenvector centrality mapping for analyzing connectivity patterns in fMRI data of the human brain’, *PloS one*, 5(4), e10232. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010232>.
- Lusiantoro, L. and Ciptono, W. S. (2012) ‘An alternative to optimize the Indonesian’s airport network design: An application of minimum spanning tree (MST) technique’, *Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business*, 14(3), 267-302. 10.22146/gamajjb.5477.
- Mantegna, R.N. (1999) ‘Hierarchical structure in financial markets’, *The European Physical Journal B*, 11(1), 193-197. doi:10.1007/s100510050929.
- Mantegna, R.N. and Stanley, H.E. (2000) *An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance*. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.
- Mardia, K.V., Kent, J.T. and Bibby, J.M. (1979) *Multivariate Analysis*, Academic Press, New York.
- Mason, R. L., Chou, Y. M. and Young, J. C. (2009) ‘Monitoring variation in a multivariate process when the dimension is large relative to the sample size’, *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 38, 939-951. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03610920802339825>.
- Messmer, A., Papageorgiou, M. and Mackenzie, N. (1998) ‘Automatic control of variable message signs in the interurban Scottish highway network’, *Transportation Research Part C*, 6, 173-187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-090X\(98\)00014-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-090X(98)00014-X).
- Montgomery D. C. (2001) *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control*. (4th ed.) New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Montgomery D. C. (2005) *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control*. (5th ed.) New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Montgomery, D. C. (2009) *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control*. (6th ed.) New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Naylor, M. J., Rose, L. and Moyle, B.J. (2007) ‘Topology of foreign exchange markets using hierarchical structure methods’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 382(1), 199-208.
- Nešetřil, J. and Nešetřilová, H. (2010) ‘The origins of minimal spanning tree algorithms—Boruvka and Jarník’, *Documenta Mathematica*, 127-141.
- Newman, M. E. (2008) ‘The mathematics of networks’, *The New Palgrave Encyclopedia of Economics*, 2, 1-12.
- Nobi, A., Maeng, S. E., Ha, G. G. and Lee, J. W. (2015) ‘Structural changes in the minimal spanning tree and the hierarchical network in the Korean Stock market around the global financial crisis’, *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*, 66(8), 1153-1159. doi:10.3938/jkps.66.1153.
- Opsahl, T., Agneessens, F. and Skvoretz, J. (2010) ‘Node centrality in weighted networks: Generalizing degree and shortest paths’, *Social Networks*, 32(3), 245-251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2010.03.006>.
- Park, K. and Yilmaz, A. (2010) A Social Network Analysis Approach to Analyze Road Networks. *Proceedings of the 2010 ASPRS Annual Conference*. April 26-30, 2010. San Diego, CA. 1-6.
- Pena, D. and Rodriguez, J. (2003) ‘Descriptive measures of multivariate scatter and linear dependence’, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 85(2), 361–374. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-259X\(02\)00061-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-259X(02)00061-1).
- Perra, N. and Fortunato, S. (2008) ‘Spectral centrality measures in complex networks’, *Physical Review E*, 78(3), 036107. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.78.036107>.
- Prim, R. C. (1957) ‘Shortest connection networks and some generalizations’, *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, 36(6), 1389-1401. doi:10.1002/j.1538-7305.1957.tb01515.x.
- Reis, M. S. and Rato, T. J. (2013) Large-scale statistical process control: Should we use partial or marginal correlations? Proceeding of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE). November 3- 8, 2013. Hotel San Francisco Union Square, San Francisco. <https://www.aiche.org/resources/proceedings/aiche-annual-meeting/2013>.

- Roy, R. B. and Sarkar, U. K. (2011) A Social Network Approach to Examine the Role of Influential Stocks in Shaping Interdependence Structure in Global Stock Markets. *Proceedings of the 2011 IEEE International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining*. July 25-27, 2011. Kaohsiung, Taiwan: IEEE, 567-569. doi: 10.1109/ASONAM.2011.87.
- Sandoval L. J. (2012) ‘A map of the Brazilian stock market’, *Advances in Complex Systems*, 15(5), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219525912500427>.
- Serfling, R.J. (1980) *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Shirley, K. and Bradley, K. D. (2009) ‘Examining graduate committee faculty compositions - A social network analysis example’.<http://www.uky.edu/~kdbrad2/Kate.pdf>
- Singh, A. (2009) ‘An artificial bee colony algorithm for the leaf-constrained minimum spanning tree problem’, *Applied Soft Computing*, 9(2), 625-631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2008.09.001>.
- Situngkir, H. and Surya, Y. (2005) ‘Indonesian stock market dynamics through ultrametricity of minimum spanning tree’, 1-18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.768204>.
- Sohn, J. (2006) ‘Evaluating the significance of highway network links under the flood damage: An accessibility approach’, *Transportation Research Part A*, 40(6), 491–506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2005.08.006>.
- Stam, C. J., Tewarie, P., Van Dellen, E., Van Straaten, E. C. W., Hillebrand, A. and Van Mieghem, P. (2014) ‘The trees and the forest: characterization of complex brain networks with minimum spanning trees’, *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 92(3), 129-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.04.001>.
- Tabak, B. M., Serra, T. R. and Cajueiro, D. O. (2010) ‘Topological properties of stock market networks: The case of Brazil’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 389(16), 3240-3249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2010.04.002>.
- Tang, P.F. and Barnett, N.S. (1996) ‘Dispersion control for multivariate processes’, *Australian Journal of Statistics*, 38(3), 235-251.
- Tapia, E. and Rojas, R. (2003). Recognition of On-Line Handwritten Mathematical Expressions Using a Minimum Spanning Tree Construction and Symbol

- Dominance. *International Workshop on Graphics Recognition*. 329-340. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-25977-0_30.
- Tarabalka, Y., Chanussot, J. and Benediktsson, J. A. (2009) Classification of Hyperspectral Images Using Automatic Marker Selection & Minimum Spanning Forest. *Proceedings of the 2009 Hyper spectral Image and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing*. August 26-28, 2009. Grenoble, France: IEEE, 1-4. doi: 10.1109/WHISPERS.2009.5289054.
- Tarabalka, Y., Chanussot, J. and Benediktsson, J. A. (2009) Segmentation & Classification of Hyperspectral Images Using Minimum Spanning Forest Grown From Automatically Selected Markers. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)*. 40(5), 1267-1279. doi: 10.1109/TSMCB.2009.2037132.
- Tumminello, M., Aste, T., Di Matteo, T., and Mantegna, R. N. (2005) A tool for filtering information in complex systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(30), 10421-10426.
- Ministry of Transport (MOT). *Transport Statistics Malaysia*. (2016).
- Ulusoy, T., Keskin, M., Shirvani, A., Deviren, B., Kantar, E. and Dönmez, C. Ç. (2012) ‘Complexity of major UK companies between 2006 and 2010: Hierarchical structure method approach’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 391(21), 5121-5131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2012.01.026>
- Valente, T. W., Coronges, K., Lakon, C. and Costenbader, E. (2008) ‘How correlated are network centrality measures?’, *Connections (Toronto, Ont)*, 28(1), 16-26.
- Villas, B.P.R., Rodrigues F.A. and Fontoura, C. L. (2009) *modeling highway networks with path-geographical transformations*, in: Fortunato S., Mangioni G., Menezes R., Nicosia V. (eds.) *Complex Networks. Studies in Computational Intelligence*, 207. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Wiliński, M., Sienkiewicz, A., Gubiec, T., Kutner, R. and Struzik, Z.R. (2013) ‘Structural and topological phase transitions on the German stock exchange’, *Physica A*, 392(23), 5963–5973. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2013.07.064>.
- Woodall, W. H. and Montgomery, D. C. (1999) ‘Research issues and ideas in statistical process control’, *Journal of Quality Technology*, 38, 89-104.

- Wright, M., Horowitz, R. and Kurzhanskiy, A. A. (2016) A dynamic system characterization of road network node models. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*. 49(18), 1054-1059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.10.307>.
- Xu, Y., Olman, V. and Xu, D. (2001) ‘Minimum spanning trees for gene expression data clustering’, *Genome Informatics*, 12, 24-33. <http://doi.org/10.11234/gi.1990.12.24>.
- Xu, Y., Olman, V. and Xu, D. (2002) ‘Clustering gene expression data using a graph-theoretic approach: An application of minimum spanning trees’, *Bioinformatics*, 18(4), 536-545. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/18.4.536>.
- Yuewu, Y. (2010) A New Algorithm for Vehicle Routing Problems with Capacity Limited Based on Minimum Spanning Tree. *Proceedings of the 2010 IEEE Transactions on Optoelectronics and Image Processing*. November 11-12, 2010. Haiko, Hainan, China, China: IEEE, 47-50. doi: 10.1109/ICOIP.2010.323.
- Yusoff, N. S., Djauhari, M. A., Sharif, S. and Suleiman, E. S. (2012) ‘Organizational commitment in Malaysian public university: An evidence via social network analysis’, *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 12(5), 17-21.
- Zhang, Y., Lee, G. H. T., Wong, J. C., Kok, J. L., Prusty, M. and Cheong, S. A. (2011) ‘Will the US economy recover in 2010? A minimal spanning tree study’, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 390(11), 2020-2050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2011.01.020>.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Indexed Journal

1. **Asrah, N. M.**, Djauhari, M. A., & Mohamad, I. (2016). PLUS Traffic Highway: An Analysis Based on Time Series Similarity Approach. *The Social Sciences (Pakistan)*. 11(11), 2753-2759. doi:10.3923/sscience.2016.2753.2759. (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)

Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. **Asrah, N. M.** & Djauhari, M. A. A. (2014). Recommendation on PLUS Highway Development: A Social Network Analysis Approach. In *International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management*. (pp.1187-1191). IEEE. doi:10.1109/IEEM.2014.7058826. (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)
2. **Asrah, N. M.**, Djauhari, M. A. & Mohamad, I. (2016). PLUS Highway Network Analysis: Case of In-Coming Traffic Burden in 2013. In *The 3rd ISM International Statistical Conference 2016*. (pp. 1-7). doi:10.1063/1.4982846 (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)
3. **Asrah, N. M.**, Djauhari, M. A. & Mohamad, I. (2018). The Most Important Centrality Measures in PLUS Highway Traffic Network: An Approach Based on Effective Variance. In *Simposium Kebangsaan Sains Matematik ke 25 (SKSM25)*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5041694> (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)
4. **Asrah, N. M.**, Djauhari, M. A., Khamis, A., Salleh, R. M., & Avtar, S. S. S. M. F. (2018). Granger Causality Analysis on PLUS Highway Traffic Network. In *4th International Conference on The Application of Science and Mathematics 2018*. (pp. 432-437).
<https://www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/ijet/article/view/22359>. (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)