

**OPTIMIZATION OF FLOOD INUNDATION
SIMULATION USING MULTI-RESOLUTION
DIGITAL ELEVATION MODEL**

MUHAMMAD AZRAIE BIN ABDUL KADIR



PITAUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUN HJ TUN AMINAH

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA
2020**

**OPTIMIZATION OF FLOOD INUNDATION
SIMULATION USING MULTI-RESOLUTION
DIGITAL ELEVATION MODEL**

by

MUHAMMAD AZRAIE BIN ABDUL KADIR



**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah S.W.T., I am most grateful to Him for His Grace, help in all my endeavours and for bringing me this far in my educational career to produce my doctoral research project thesis entitled ‘Optimizing of Flood Inundation Simulation using Multi-Resolution Digital Elevation Model’.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Professor Dr. Ismail Abustan for his consistent guidance throughout this research; his comments and constructive criticisms have greatly enhanced this thesis and helped me to gain dynamic research skills to complete this research.

I want to thank my parents Hj. Abdul Kadir bin Yahya and Shamsiah binti Hj. Termizi and also my wife Ida Hidayah binti Shahrudin for their encouragement for my PhD, may Allah S.W.T. bless you. To my colleagues and friends, my endless gratitude for your support and advice. I thank and love you all.

I would like to extend my heartfelt acknowledgement to people either directly or indirectly involved in completing my research work. My gratefully acknowledge to my financial sponsor, TNBR Sdn. Bhd., Universiti Sains Malaysia and Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.

To all of them, this thesis is earnestly dedicated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS.....	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xviii
ABSTRAK.....	xxii
ABSTRACT.....	xxiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	3
1.3 Objective of the Study.....	7
1.4 Scope of the Study.....	7
1.5 Limitation and Assumption of the Study.....	8
1.6 Significant Contribution.....	9
1.7 Structure of Thesis.....	10
1.8 Summary.....	11
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	12
2.1 Introduction.....	12
2.2 Flood Inundation Prediction.....	12
2.2.1 General.....	12
2.2.2 Flood Inundation Prediction Processes.....	13
2.2.3 Output in Flood Inundation Prediction.....	15

2.3 Optimization of Flood Inundation Prediction.....	16
2.3.1 General.....	16
2.3.2 Optimizing base on DEM error.....	16
2.3.3 Optimizing on River Cross Section.....	18
2.4 Flood Inundation Model.....	20
2.4.1 Available Flood Inundation Models.....	24
2.4.2 Selection of Flood Inundation Models.....	41
2.4.3 HEC-RAS Model.....	48
2.4.4 Criteria for Flood Inundation Model Assessment.....	56
2.5 DEM.....	56
2.5.1 General.....	56
2.5.2 DEM Resolution.....	59
2.5.3 Public Domain Satellite DEMs.....	59
2.5.4 DEM Resampling.....	62
2.6 Relationship between DEM Resolution and Flood Inundation Prediction.....	63
2.7 Knowledge Gap.....	67
2.8 Summary.....	68
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	69
3.1 Introduction.....	69
3.2 Site Description.....	69
3.2.1 Sungai Bertam, Cameron Highland.....	69
3.3 General Overview on Research Methodology.....	75
3.4 Hydraulic Model of Sungai Bertam.....	77
3.4.1 Hydraulic Model Scaling Factor Similitudes.....	77

3.4.2 Hydraulic Model Design.....	81
3.4.3 Hydraulic Model Setup.....	83
3.4.4 Experimental Facility.....	85
3.4.5 Managing and Controlling of Flow of the Hydraulic Model.	85
3.4.6 Instrumentation.....	88
3.4.7 Calibration of Instrumentation.....	93
3.4.8 Hydraulic Model Test Matrix.....	94
3.4.9 Hydraulic Model Procedures and Measurements.....	98
3.5 Application of Tools.....	98
3.5.1 ArcGIS.....	99
3.5.2 Agisoft Photoscan.....	99
3.6 Topography Datasets Description.....	100
3.6.1 Resample High Resolution DEM.....	100
3.7 Flood Inundation Analysis.....	102
3.7.1 Creation of Flood Extent.....	102
3.7.2 Flood Inundation Optimization.....	102
3.8 Summary.....	103
CHAPTER 4 HYDRAULIC MODEL AND NUMERICAL MODEL	
SIMULATION OF FLOOD INUNDATION.....	104
4.1 Introduction.....	104
4.2. Hydraulic Model Result.....	104
4.2.1 Profile of WSE.....	104
4.2.2 Profile of Velocity.....	106
4.2.3 Profile of Flood Extent.....	112
4.3. Numerical Model Calibration.....	114

4.4 Numerical Model Validation.....	115
4.4.1 WSE.....	115
4.4.2 Velocity.....	119
4.4.3 Flood Extent.....	123
4.4.4 Flood Area.....	129
4.4.5 Travel Time.....	130
4.5 Discussion.....	134
4.6 Summary.....	136
CHAPTER 5 OPTIMIZING FLOOD INUNDATION	
SIMULATION.....	138
5.1 Introduction.....	138
5.2 Influence of DEM Resolution.....	138
5.2.1 Channel Bed Elevation.....	138
5.2.2 WSE.....	142
5.2.3 Flood Extent.....	143
5.2.4 Flood Area.....	147
5.3 Optimizing Flood Inundation Simulation	150
5.3.1 Effect using Different Inflow.....	150
5.3.2 Identify Minimum Allowable Corrected Inflow.....	155
5.4 Validation using Flood Extent and Flood Area.....	157
5.5 Validation using Hydraulic Model Experiment.....	161
5.6 Discussion.....	165
5.7 Summary.....	166
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION FOR	
FUTURE STUDY.....	167

6.1 Introduction.....	167
6.2 Contribution of the Study.....	167
6.2.1 To Assess HEC-RAS Model to Predict Flood Inundation, Flood Extent and Flood Area	168
6.2.2 To Determine Relationship Between DEM Resolution and Flood Inundation, DEM Resolution and Flood Extent and also DEM Resolution and Flood Area.....	168
6.2.3 To Optimize Simulation of Flood Inundation using Low Resolution DEM.....	169
6.2.4 To Validate the Optimization Method using High Resolution DEM.....	169
6.3 Recommendation.....	170
REFERENCES.....	172
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A: HYDRAULIC MODEL EXPERIMENT	
APPENDIX B: WSE	
APPENDIX C: FLOOD EXTENT	
APPENDIX D: CROSS SECTION PROFILE OF FLOOD	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1 Method used for DEM Error Analysis.....	5
Table 1.2 Optimization Method of Flood Inundation Model using River Channel Cross Section as Main Elevation.....	6
Table 2.1 RMSE of WSE between Extrapolation Values by using Coarser Resolution DEM and Those Obtained by using the LiDAR (Saksena and Merwade, 2015).....	18
Table 2.2 Comparison of Previous Storage Cell Approach with Modelling Flood Inundation. Model Complexity Increase Down Table (Bates & Roo, 2000).....	25
Table 2.3 Comparison of Representative Simplified Inundation Model, Hydrologic Model and Hydrodynamic Model (Zhang & Pan, 2014).....	26
Table 2.4 Theoretical Comparison of Representative Flood Inundation Model.....	28
Table 2.5 Function Comparison of Representative Flood Inundation Model.....	37
Table 2.6 Criteria Selection for Flood Inundation Model.....	48
Table 2.7 Description of Performance Statistic.....	57
Table 2.8 Recommended DEM Cell Sizes and Their Range of Applications (Maidment, 1996).....	59
Table 2.9 Information of the DEMs.....	61

Table 2.10	Varying Reports Height Accuracies Represented by RMSE for the ASTER GDEM2 and SRTM v4.1 DEMs.....	62
Table 3.1	Froude Similarity between Model and Prototype.....	81
Table 3.2	Specification of the Hydraulic Model.....	83
Table 3.3	Specification of the Flow Meter Measurement.....	90
Table 3.4	Specification of the Velocity Meter Measurement.....	91
Table 3.5	Specification of the Flood Extent Measurement.....	93
Table 3.6	Experimental Cases.....	97
Table 3.7	Selected DEM Resolution.....	101
Table 4.1	T-Test Result of Comparison WSE between Hydraulic Model and Numerical Model.....	119
Table 4.2	MAE and MRAE Result of WSE between Hydraulic Model and Numerical Model.....	119
Table 4.3	T-Test Result of Comparison Mean and Centre Velocity between Hydraulic Model and Numerical Model.....	123
Table 4.4	MAE and MRAE Accuracy for Mean and Centre Velocity.....	124
Table 4.5	T-Test Result of Comparison Flood Extent between Hydraulic Model and Numerical Model.....	129
Table 4.6	MAE and MRAE Accuracy for Flood Extent.....	129
Table 5.1	Minimum Allowable Corrected Inflow.....	158
Table 5.2	Optimization Result for Flood Extent using Inflow Equal to 50 m ³ /s for 30 m DEM Resolution.....	159
Table 5.3	Optimization Result for Flood Area using Inflow Equal to 50 m ³ /s for 30 m DEM Resolution.....	160

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Hydraulic Parameters in the River-Floodplain System (Knight and Shiono, 1996).....	23
Figure 2.2 The Principle of Parsimony; The Conceptual Trade-off between Bias (Dashed) and Uncertainty (Black) versus Model Complexity (Box & Jenkins, 1970).....	42
Figure 2.3 Simple Schematization of Floodplain Inundation Processes.....	44
Figure 2.4 Example Grid used in HEC-RAS (Brunner, 2016b).....	52
Figure 2.5 Cell Face Terrain Data and Property Table (Brunner, 2016b)....	54
Figure 2.6 Example Showing the Subgrid Terrain Capabilities for the Cell and Face Hydraulic Properties.....	55
Figure 3.1 Location and Map of the Sungai Bertam, Pahang, Malaysia.....	72
Figure 3.2 LULC map of the Sungai Bertam, Pahang, Malaysia.....	73
Figure 3.3 DSM for Sungai Bertam (0.5 m Resolution).....	73
Figure 3.4 Location of the Cross Section Profile and Upstream Modification.....	74
Figure 3.5 Flowchart of Overall Methodology Adopted for this Study.....	76
Figure 3.6 View of the 4 km Sungai Bertam and the Position Selected for Hydraulic Model.....	82
Figure 3.7 Schematic Diagram of Hydraulic Model.....	83
Figure 3.8 Construction of the Hydraulic Model of Sungai Bertam	

(31/10/2017-11/01/2018).....	84
Figure 3.9 Configuration of the Piping System and the Storage for (a) Inlet Tank and (b) Outlet Tank.....	86
Figure 3.10 Main Channel, Left Floodplain and Right Floodplain.....	86
Figure 3.11 Main Tank Structure.....	87
Figure 3.12 Flow Control Valves.....	87
Figure 3.13 View of the Whole System of the Sungai Bertam Study Area... 88	
Figure 3.14 Ultrasonic Flow Meter (a) Ultrasonic Flow Meter and (b) Sensor attached at the pipe.....	90
Figure 3.15 Nixon Streamflo Velocity Meter (a) Sensor (b) Velocity Meter.....	91
Figure 3.16 Image Measurement using UAV.....	92
Figure 3.17 Graph Calibration Height and Flow Rate.....	95
Figure 3.18 Rectangular Flume.....	96
Figure 3.19 Yokogawa Master Flow Meter.....	96
Figure 3.20 Graph Calibration Nixon Streamflo Velocity Meter with Yokogawa Master Flow Meter.....	97
Figure 3.21 DSM, DEM and Orthophoto Extraction.....	100
Figure 4.1 Profile of WSE Along Hydraulic Model Channel.....	106
Figure 4.2 Flow Velocity (Centre Channel).....	107
Figure 4.3 Flow Velocity (Mean in Channel).....	108
Figure 4.4 Velocity Profile for Inflow = 8 l/s.....	108
Figure 4.5 Velocity Profile for Inflow = 16 l/s.....	109
Figure 4.6 Velocity Profile for Inflow = 24 l/s.....	109
Figure 4.7 Velocity Profile for Inflow = 32 l/s.....	110

Figure 4.8	Velocity Profile for Inflow = 40 l/s.....	110
Figure 4.9	Velocity Profile for Inflow = 48 l/s.....	111
Figure 4.10	Velocity Profile for Inflow = 56 l/s.....	111
Figure 4.11	Velocity Profile for Inflow = 64 l/s.....	112
Figure 4.12	Flood Extent along Chainage.....	113
Figure 4.13	Calibration of the Model: MAE versus the Two Model Parameters for the Calibration Experiment.....	115
Figure 4.14	WSE for Inflow 25 m ³ /s.....	117
Figure 4.15	WSE for Inflow 75 m ³ /s.....	117
Figure 4.16	WSE for Inflow 125 m ³ /s.....	118
Figure 4.17	WSE for Inflow 200 m ³ /s.....	118
Figure 4.18	Comparison of Mean Velocity along Channel.....	121
Figure 4.19	Comparison of Centre Velocity along Channel.....	122
Figure 4.20	Comparison Flood Extent for Inflow 25 m ³ /s.....	126
Figure 4.21	Comparison Flood Extent for Inflow 75 m ³ /s.....	126
Figure 4.22	Comparison Flood Extent for Inflow 100 m ³ /s.....	127
Figure 4.23	Comparison Flood Extent for Inflow 125 m ³ /s.....	127
Figure 4.24	Comparison Flood Extent for Inflow 150 m ³ /s.....	128
Figure 4.25	Comparison Flood Extent for Inflow 200 m ³ /s.....	128
Figure 4.26	Hydraulic Model of Flood Area at 100 m ³ /s.....	131
Figure 4.27	Numerical Model of Flood Area at 100 m ³ /s.....	131
Figure 4.28	Hydraulic Model of Flood Area at 150 m ³ /s.....	132
Figure 4.29	Numerical Model of Flood Area at 150 m ³ /s.....	132
Figure 4.30	Hydraulic Model of Flood Area at 200 m ³ /s.....	133
Figure 4.31	Numerical Model of Flood Area at 200 m ³ /s.....	133

Figure 4.32	Relationship between Inflow and Travel Time.....	135
Figure 4.33	Relationship between Grid Size and Travel Time.....	135
Figure 5.1	Bed Channel Elevation along River.....	140
Figure 5.2	MAE Analysis for Bed Elevation along River.....	141
Figure 5.3	MRAE Analysis for Bed Elevation along River.....	141
Figure 5.4	MAE Analysis for WSE Sungai Bertam.....	144
Figure 5.5	MRAE Analysis for WSE Sungai Bertam.....	144
Figure 5.6	MAE for Flood Extent.....	146
Figure 5.7	MRAE for Flood Extent.....	146
Figure 5.8	Influence of DEM Resolution to the Flood Area.....	148
Figure 5.9	MAE Analysis on Flood Area.....	149
Figure 5.10	MRAE Analysis on Flood Area.....	149
Figure 5.11	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $50 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	152
Figure 5.12	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	152
Figure 5.13	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $150 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	153
Figure 5.14	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $200 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	153
Figure 5.15	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $250 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	154
Figure 5.16	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $300 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	154
Figure 5.17	Prediction of MRAE for Inflow Equal to $350 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$	155
Figure 5.18	Cross Section at Chainage 320 m with Water Level for All Inflow Cases.....	156
Figure 5.19	Relationship between Wetted Area and Inflow for Chainage 320 m.....	157
Figure 5.20	Cross Section Chainage 320 m with Mean Increment of Bed Elevation due to Low DEM Resolution.....	158

Figure 5.21	Flood Area with Inflow Equal to 350 m ³ /s for (a) Actual Condition; (b) When using 30 m DEM Resolution; and (c) Optimize 30 m DEM Resolution using Inflow Equal 50 m ³ /s....	162
Figure 5.22	Comparison WSE from Three Different Sources for Inflow Equal to 200 m ³ /s.....	163
Figure 5.23	Flood Area for Inflow Equal to 200 m ³ /s for (a) Hydraulic Model; (b) When using 30 m DEM Resolution; and (c) Optimize 30 m DEM Resolution using Inflow Equal to 50 m ³ /s	164



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\%$	Percentage
A_k	Area of face k
$A_k(H)$	Area of face k as a function of water elevation
C	Courant number
D	Depth
D_{bias}	Bias of data
D_h	Equivalent diameter ($=4R_h$, m)
E_u	Euler number
E_b	Fluid's bulk modulus
F_m	Froude number of the model
F_p	Froude number of the prototype
F_r	Froude number
G	Gravitational acceleration
g	Gravitational acceleration
H	Water Surface Elevation, Water depth, Height
L	Length
l_k	Length of the edge k
L_m	Length of the model
L_p	Length of the prototype
M	Mass
M_a	Sarrau-Mach number
N	Total number of sample
n_k	Unit normal vector at face k
No.	Number
P	Cell area

ΔP	Pressure difference
Q_{do}	Observation data
Q_{ds}	Simulation data
Q_m	Flow of the model
Q_o	Observation data
Q_p	Flow of the prototype
Q_s	Simulation data
R^2	Square for the Pearson correlation coefficient
R_e	Reynolds number
Re_m	Reynolds number of the hydraulic model
Re_o	Reynold number of the real system
S	Scale between prototype and model
s	Time step
S_f	Friction Slope
S_o	Bed Slope
T	Time
$t + \Delta t$	New time step
U	Mean velocity
v	Velocity of the flood wave (m/s)
V	Average water velocity (m/s)
V_k	Average velocity at face k
V_m	Velocity of the model
V_p	Velocity of the prototype
W	Width
W_e	Weber number
Δt	Time step
ΔT	The computational time step

ΔX	Average cell size (m)
λ_I	Gravitational forces
μ	Dynamic viscosity ($=10^{-3}$ kg/sm)
ρ	Water density ($=1000$ kg/m ³)
Ω	Volume of a horizontally bounded region
σ	Surface tension



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	One-Dimensional
1D/2D	One-Dimensional and Two-Dimensional
1D-2D	One-Dimensional and Two-Dimensional
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
ADI	Alternating Direction Implicit
ASTER	Advance Space Borne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer
ASTER	Advance Space Borne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer-Global Digital Elevation Model
Bappeda	Palembang City Regional Planning and Development Agency
CA Approach	Cellular Automata Approach
CESR	Center for Environmental System Research
CGIAR-CSI	Consultative Group for International Agriculture Research Consortium for Spatial Information
CIAT	International Centre for Tropical Agriculture
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DGM	Digital Ground Model
DHM	Digital Height Model
DJI	Da-Jiang Innovations Science and Technology Co. Ltd.
DMA	Defence Mapping Agency
DSM	Digital Surface Model
DTEM	Digital Terrain Elevation Model
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
eATE	Enhanced Automatic Terrain Extraction
EU	European Union
FEM	Finite Element Method
FESWMS	Finite Element Surface Water Modelling Software

FESWMS-2DH	Finite Element Surface Water Modelling Software: Two-Dimensional Flow in a Horizontal Plane
GIS	Geographic Information System
GMTED	Global Multi-resolution Terrain Elevation Data
GMTED2010	Global Multi-resolution Terrain Elevation Data 2010
GPS	Global Positioning System
GTOPO30	Global Topography 30 arc second
GUFIM	GIS-based Urban Flood Inundation Model
HAND	Height Above the Nearest Drainage
HEC	Hydrological Engineering Center
HEC-HMS	Hydrological Engineering Center-Hydrologic Modeling System
HEC-RAS	Hydrological Engineering Center-River Analysis System
HydroSHEDS	Hydrological data and maps based on Shuttle Elevation Derivatives at Multiple Scales
IFAS	Integrated Flood Analysis System
IfSAR	Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar
InfoWorks RS	InfoWork River Simulation
JPS	Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran Malaysia
LiDAR	Laser Induced Detection and Ranging
LP DAAC	Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center
LPS	Leica Photogrammetry Suite
LULC	Land Use / Land Cover
MAE	Mean absolute error
METI	Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry
MLC	Maximum likelihood classification
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
MRAE	Mean relative absolute error
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCFS	Near Channel Floodplain Storage

NGA	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency
NIMA	National Imagery and Mapping Agency
No.	Number
Poly.	Polynomial
RANS	Reynolds Average Navier-Stokes
RFIM	Rapid Flood Inundation Model
RFSM	Rapid Flood Spreading Model
RISMO2D	River Simulation Model : 2-Dimensional
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RR	Rainfall-Runoff
RRI	Rainfall-Runoff-Inundation Model
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SfM	Structure from Motion
Sg.	Sungai
SIR-C	Dual Space Borne Imaging Radar
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission
SWE	Shallow Water Equation
SWMM	Storm Water Management Model
TIN	Triangular Irregular Network
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
TVD	Total Variation Diminishing
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
USA	United States of America
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USISM	Urban Storm Inundation Simulation Method
VisualSFM	Visual Structure from Motion
VSAS3	Variable Source Area Simulator 3

WGS84	World Geodetic System 1984
WSE	Water Surface Elevation
WWF	World Wildlife Fund
X-SAR	Dual X-band Synthetic Aperture Radar



PENGOPTIMUMAN SIMULASI LIMPAHAN BANJIR
MENGGUNAKAN KAEDEAH MULTI-RESOLUSI MODEL KETINGGIAN
DIGITAL

ABSTRAK

Beberapa kajian telah dilakukan untuk menambah baik simulasi limpahan banjir yang dihasilkan daripada Model Ketinggian Digital (DEM) kasar seperti penggunaan pengubahsuaian pengagihan ruang DEM dan pengubahsuaian keratan rentas sungai. Semua model ini memberi tumpuan kepada DEM. Sehingga kini, kajian pengoptimuman berkaitan hubungan di antara resolusi DEM dengan pengagihan limpahan banjir adalah terhad. Parameter ini adalah salah satu komponen utama di dalam fenomena banjir dan memerlukan ketepatan yang tinggi agar tindakan selanjutnya dapat dilakukan di dalam pengurusan risiko banjir. Di samping itu, nilai aliran masuk juga boleh digunakan untuk mengoptimumkan simulasi limpahan banjir tanpa mengganggu DEM kasar. Dalam kajian ini, hubungan di antara resolusi DEM dan ramalan limpahan banjir ditentukan dalam usaha untuk mencadangkan kaedah pengoptimuman baru bagi ramalan limpahan banjir. Kaedah ini dilakukan dengan menggabungkan nilai aliran masuk dengan hubungan di antara resolusi DEM dan limpahan banjir. Model hidraulik Sungai Bertam, Cameron Highland dibangunkan dengan skala 1:25 untuk menjalankan eksperimen dengan nilai aliran masuk yang berbeza. Hasilnya digunakan untuk mengesahkan model simulasi berangka 2D HEC-RAS. Kemudian, DEM beresolusi tinggi disampelkan kepada beberapa DEM beresolusi kasar untuk mensimulasikan semula limpahan banjir. Kedua-dua hasil DEM dan limpahan banjir (Ketinggian Permukaan Air (WSE), keluasan banjir, dan kelebaran banjir) digunakan untuk menentukan kesan

parameter aliran air masuk terhadap pengoptimuman simulasi limpahan banjir. Selain itu, pengoptimuman juga disahkan melalui eksperimen model hidraulik. Eksperimen model hidraulik menunjukkan geometri saluran air (bentuk and cerun) memainkan peranan penting dalam limpahan banjir dan nilai aliran masuk yang bersamaan dengan 64 l/s menghasilkan banjir besar di hilir sungai. Didapati bahawa persetujuan yang baik antara model hidraulik dan model HEC-RAS diperolehi kecuali pada aliran masuk yang rendah ($25 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ dan $50 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$), halaju air (maksimum Purata Relatif Ralat Mutlak (MRAE) = 0.408) dan masa perjalanan aliran air ($R^2 = 0.595$). Hal ini disebabkan oleh algoritma “diffusive wave” yang terhad, permodelan 2-dimensi dan kesan konfigurasi grid. Hubungan antara resolusi DEM dan WSE memberikan hasil R^2 yang paling baik dengan nilai minimum $R^2 = 0.9845$ pada aliran masuk bersamaan $150 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Peningkatan resolusi DEM juga meningkatkan indeks Purata Ralat Mutlak (MAE) dan MRAE. Pengoptimuman menggunakan hubungan antara resolusi DEM dan WSE serta beberapa nilai aliran air masuk memberikan peningkatan yang ketara sehingga 72 % daripada indeks MRAE untuk kelebaran banjir dan 131.53 % indeks MRAE untuk keluasan kawasan banjir. Pengesahan menggunakan eksperimen model hidraulik pada aliran masuk $200 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ menunjukkan bahawa kaedah pengoptimuman ini meningkatkan indeks MRAE bagi WSE sebanyak 23 %. Kajian ini boleh disimpulkan bahawa simulasi limpahan banjir boleh di tambah baik dengan mengubahsuai parameter aliran masuk dan juga korelasi diantara resolusi DEM dan ramalan limpahan banjir.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, M. B., 1979. Computational hydraulics: elements of the theory of free surface flows, *London: Pitman*.
- Afshari, S., Tavakoly, A. A., Rajib, M. A., Zheng, X., Follum, M. L., Omranian, E., Fekete, B. M., 2018. Comparison of new generation low-complexity flood inundation mapping tools with a hydrodynamic model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 556, 539-556.
- Aguilar, F. J., Mills, J. P., Delgado, J., Aguilar, M. A., Negreiros, J. G., Pérez, J. L., 2010. Modelling vertical error in LiDAR-derived digital elevation models. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 65, 103-110.
- Ahmed, M., Suphachalasai, S., 2014. Assessing the costs of climate change and adaptation in South Asia. *Asian Development Bank*, Mandaluyong
- Akbari, A., Samah, A. A., Othman, F., 2012. Integration of SRTM and TRMM date into the GIS-based hydrological model for the purpose of flood modelling. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 9, 4747-4775.
- Alaghmand, S., Abdullah, R., Abustan, I., Said, M. A. M., Vosoogh, B., 2012. GIS-based river basin flood modelling using HEC-HMS and MIKE11 – Kayu Ara River Basin, Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Hydrology*, 22,8.
- Aldhsan, S. R. S., Mohammed, O. Z., Shafri, H. Z. M., 2019. Flash flood area mapping using Sentinel-1 SAR data: A case study of Eight Upazilas in Sunamganj District, Bangladesh. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 357, 012034.
- Alfieri, L., Bisselink, B., Dottori, F., Naumann, G., Roo, A., Salamon, P., Wyser, K., and Feyen, L., 2016. Global projections of river flood risk in a warmer world. *Earth's Future*, 5, 171–182.
- Ali, M. H., & Abustan, I., 2014. A new novel index for evaluating model performance. *Journal of Natural Resources and Development*, 04, 1-9.
- Amora, A., Santilan, J. R., Makinano-Santillan, M., Marqueso, J. T., 2015. Flood hazard mapping of Mainit-Tubay River Basin, Mindanao, Philippines. In: *36th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing 2015 (ACRS 2015): Fostering Resilient Growth in Asia*, Quezon City, Metro Manila, Philippines, Vol. 1, pp. 440-450.
- Anees, M. T., Abdullah, K., Nawawi, M. N. M., Rahman, N. N. N. A., Piah, A. R. M., Zakaria, N. A., Syakir, M. I., Omar, A. K. M., 2016. Numerical modeling techniques for flood analysis. *Journal of African Earth Science*, 124, 478 – 486.

- Apurv, T., Mehrotra, R., Sharma, A., Goyal, M.K., Dutta, S., 2015. Impact of climate change on floods in the Brahmaputra Basin using CMIP5 Decadal predictions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 527, 281–291.
- ASTER GDEM Validation Team, 2012. ASTER Global DEM validation summary report.
- Azizian, A., & Brocca, L., 2020. Determining the best remotely sensed DEM for flood inundation mapping in data sparse regions. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 41(5), 1884 – 1906.
- Barkau, R. L., 1997. UNET One-Dimensional unsteady flow through a full network of open channels user's manual. US Army Corps Of Engineerings. *Hydrologic Engineering Center*, Davis, California, USA.
- Bates, P. D., Horritt, M. S., Hunter, N. M., Mason, D., and Cobby, D., 2005. Numerical modelling of floodplain flow. In Bates, P. D., Lane, S. N., and Ferguson, R. I. (eds). Computational fluid dynamics: applications in environmental hydraulics. *Chichester: John Wiley and Sons*, 271-304.
- Bates, P. D., Stewart, M. D., Singgers, G. B., Smith, C. N., Hervouet, J. M., & Sellin, R. J. H., 1998. Internal and external validation of a two-dimensional finite element code for river flood simulation. *Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers*, 130, 127-141.
- Bates, P. D., Anderson, M. G., 1992. Modelling floodplain flows using a two-dimensional finite element model. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 17, 575-588.
- Bates, P. D., Anderson, M. G., Baird, L., Walling, D. E., Simm, D., 1992. Modelling floodplain flow with a two-dimensional finite element scheme. *Earth Surface Processes And Landforms*, 17, 575-588.
- Bates, P. D., Anderson, M. G., Hervouet, J. -M., 1995. Initial comparison of two-dimensional finite element codes for river flood simulation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Water Maritim and Energy*, 112, 238-248.
- Bates, P. D., Horritt, M. S., Fewtrell, T. J., 2010. A simple inertial formulation of the shallow water equation for efficient two-dimensional flood inundation modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 387, 33-45.
- Bates, P. D., Roo, A. P. J. D., 2000. A simple raster-based model for flood inundation simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 236, 54-77.
- Bates, P. D., Trigg, M., Neal, J., Dabrowa, A., 2013. Lisflood-FP user manual code release 5.9.6. *Bristol, UK: Universiti of Bristol*.
- Bates, P. D., Wilson, M. D., Horritt, M. S., Mason, D. C., Holden, N., Currie, A., 2006. Reach scale floodplain inundation dynamics observed using airborne synthetic aperture radar imagery: data analysis and modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 328, 306-318.

- Becker, A., Grunewald, U., 2003. Flood risk in central europe. *Science*, 300 (5622), 1099.
- Beitel, C., Henry, A., Tschirhart, W., 2015. SARA's Bexar Country flood warning system. *World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2015*.
- Bolstad, P. V., Stowe, T., 1994. An elevation of DEM accuracy: elevation, slope, and aspect. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 60(11), 1327-1332.
- Box, G. E. P. & Jenkins, G. M., 1970. Time series analysis: forecasting and control. *San Francisco: Holden-Day*. (Revised edition published 1976).
- Brackett, R. A., Arvidson, R. E., Izenberg, N. R., Saatchi, S. S., 1995. Use of polarimetric and interferometric radar data for flood routing models: first results for the missouri river. in session 36-planetary geology: radar remote sensing of flood plains, Mountain Belts, And Volcanoes. *Annual Meeting of the Geological Society of America*, 5-9 November, New Orleans.
- Brandt, S. A., 2005. Resolution issues of elevation data during inundation modelling of river floods. *XXXI International Association of hydraulic engineering and research congress*, water engineering for the future: choices and challenges. September 11-16, 2005, Seoul, Korea.
- Brandt, S. A., 2016. Modeling and visualizing uncertainties of flood boundary delineation: algorithm for slope and dem resolution dependencies of 1D hydraulic models. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 30, 1677-1690.
- Brunner, G. W., 2016a. HEC-RAS river analysis system 2D modeling user's manual version 5.0. US Army Corps of Engineers. *Hydrologic Engineering Center*.
- Brunner, G. W., 2016b. HEC-RAS, river analysis system hydraulic reference manual. *US ARMY Corps of Engineering Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC)*.
- Buckingham, E., 1915. The principle of similitude, *Nature*, 96 (2406), 396-397.
- Bui, D. T., Shahabi, H, Shirzadi, A., Chapi, K., Alizadeh, M., Chen, W., Mohammadi, A., Ahmad, B., Panahi, M., Hong, H., Tian, Y., 2018. Landslide detection and susceptibility mapping by AIRSAR data using support Vector Machine and index of Entropy Models in Cameron Highlands, Malaysia. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 1527.
- Bürger, G., Heistermann, M., Bronstert, A., 2014. Towards subdaily rainfall disaggregation via Clausius-Clapeyron. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 15 (3), 1303–1311.
- Burnham, K. P., and Anderson, D. R., 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference, 2nd edition. *New York: Springer*.

- Burrough, P. A., 1998. Dynamic Modelling And Geocomputation. In Longley, P. A., Brooks, S. M., McDonnell, R. M., and Macmillan, B. (eds.), *Geocomputation*, Chichester: Wiley, 165-191.
- Carabajal, C. C., Harding, D. J., Jean-Paul, B., Danielson, J. J., Gesch, D. B., Suchdeo, V. P., 2011. Evaluation of the global multi-resolution terrain elevation data 2010 (GMTED2010) using Icesat Geodetic Control. In *Proceeding of SPIE-The International Society for Optical Engineering*, Nanjing, China.
- Carlisle, B. H., 2005. Modelling the spatial distribution of DEM error. *Transactions in GIS*, 9(4), 521-540.
- Casas, A., Benito, G., Thorndycraft, V. R., and Rico, M., 2006. The topographic data source of digital terrain models as a key element in the accuracy of hydraulic flood modelling. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, 31, 444-456, doi:10.1002/esp.1278.
- Casulli, V., 2009. A high-resolution wetting and drying algorithm for free-surface hydrodynamics. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, 60(39), 391-408.
- Chang, T.-J, Wang, C.-H., Chen, A.S., 2015. A novel approach to model dynamic flow interactions between storm sewer system and overland surface for different land covers in urban areas. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524, 662-679.
- Chanson, H., 1999. The hydraulic of open channel flows: an introduction. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, UK.
- Charlton, M. E., Large, A. R., Fuller, I. C., 2003. Application of Airborne LiDAR in river environments: the river Coquet, Northumberland, UK. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 28(3), 299-306.
- Charlton, R.A., 1999. Initial stages in the development of a coupled hillslope hydrology – floodplain inundation model. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* (B), 24, 37-41.
- Chen, Y., -H., Mossa, J., Singh, K., K., 2020. Floodplain response to varied flows in a large coastal plain river. *Geomorphology*, 354, 107035.
- Chintalapudi, S., Sharif, H., O., Xie, H., 2014. Sensitivity of distributed hydrologic simulations to ground and satellite based rainfall. *Water*, 6, 1221-1245.
- Chow, V. T., 1959. Open-channel hydraulics: New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Cobby, D. M., Manson, D. C., and Davenport, I. J., 2001. Image processing of Airborne Scanning Laser Altimetry Data for improved river flood modelling. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 56, 121-138.
- Cook, A., & Merwade, V. (2009). Effect of topography data, geometric configuration and modeling approach on flood inundation mapping. *Journal of Hydrology*, 377(1-2), 131-142.

- Costabile, P., Constanzo, C., Macchione, F., 2009. Two-dimensional numerical models for overland flow simulations. *River Basin Management*, 124, 137-148.
- CRED, 2019. EM-DAT: The OFDA/CRED international disaster database. *Catholic University of Leuven: Brussels, Belgium*.
- Cunge, J.A., 1980. Practical aspects of computational river hydraulics. *Pitman Publishing Program*, London.
- Danielson, J. J., Gesch, D. B., 2011. Global multi-resolution terrain elevation data 2010 (GMTED2010), *U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report*, U.S., Geological Survey: Sioux Falls, SD, USA.
- Danumah, J. H., Odai, S. N., Saley, B. M., Szarzynski, J., Thiel, M., Kwaku, A., Kouame, F. K., Akpa, L. Y., 2016. Flood risk assessment and mapping in Abidjan District using Multi-Criteria Analysis (AHP) Model and Geoinformation Techniques, (Cote D'Ivoire). *Geoenvironmental Disasters*, 3, 10.
- Darnell, A. R., Tate, N. J., Brunsdon, C., 2008. Improving user assessment of error implications in digital elevation models. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 32, 268-277.
- Dash, J., Paul, R., 2017. Worst monsoon floods in years kill more than 1200 across South Asia. *Reuters: London, UK*.
- Di Baldassarre, G., 2012. Flood in changing climate: inundation modelling. *Cambridge University Press*. Cambridge.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Castellarin, A., Montanari, A., and Brath, A., 2009a. Probability weighted hazard maps for comparing different flood risk management strategies: a case study. *Natural Hazards*, 50(3), 479-496.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Laio, F., and Montanari, A., 2009b. Design flood estimation using model selection criteria. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 34(10-12), 606-611.
- Diakakis, M., Boufidis, N., Grau, J. M. S., Andreadakis, E., Stamos, I., 2020. A systematic assessment of the effects of extreme flash floods on transportation infrastructure and circulation: the example of the 2017 Mandra flood. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 47, 101542
- Dimitriadis, P., Tegos, A., Oikonomou, A., Pagana, V., Koukouvinos, A., Mamassis, N., Koutsoyiannis, D., Efstratiadis, A., 2016. Comparative evaluation of 1D and quasi-2D hydraulic models based on benchmark and real-world applications for uncertainty assessment in flood mapping. *Journal of Hydrology*, 534, 478-492.
- Dingman, S.L., 1994. Physical hydrology. *MacMillan Publishing Co.*, New York, USA, pp. 456-457.

- Djamel, A., Achour, H., 2014. External validation of the ASTER GDEM2, GMTED2010 and CGIAR-CSI-SRTM v4.1 free access digital elevation models (DEMs) in Tunisia and Algeria. *Remote sens.*, 6, 4600-4620.
- Djokic, D. and Ye, Z., 2000. DEM pre-processing for efficient watershed delineation. *Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modelling Support with Geographic Information Systems*, 65-84.
- Dobrovolný, P., 1998. Remote sensing, digital image processing, *Masaryk University in Brno*.
- Dottori, F., Di Baldassarre, G., and Todini, E., 2013. Detailed data is welcome, but with a pinch of salt: accuracy, precision, and uncertainty in flood inundation modeling. *Water Resources Research*, 49, 6079-6085.
- Dottori, F., Todini, E., 2010. A 2D flood inundation model based on Cellular Automata approach. *XVIII International Conference on Water Resources*, CMWR 2010, J. Carrera (Ed), CIMNE, Barcelona, 2010.
- Environment Agency, 2018. Estimating the economic costs of the 2015 to 2016 winter floods. *Bristol, UK: Environment Agency*.
- Eos Systems Inc, 2014. PhotoModeler Scanner.
- Ercolani, G., Chiaradia, E. A., Gandolfi, C., Castelli, F., Masseroni, D., 2018. Evaluating performance of green roofs for stormwater runoff mitigation in a high flood risk urban catchment. *Journal of Hydrology*, 566, 830 – 845.
- Erdoğan, S., 2010. Modelling the spatial distribution of DEM error with Geographically Weighted Regression: An Experimental Study. *Computers & Geosciences*, 36(1), 34-43.
- Ervine, D.A., MacCleod, 1999. Modelling a river channel with distant floodbanks. *Proceedings of the institution of civil engineers, water maritime and energy*, 136, 21-33.
- ESRI, 2014. ArcGIS 10.1 Help-cell size of raster data. *Environmental Systems Research Institute*.
- Farid, M., Marlina, A., Kusuma, M. S. B., 2017. Flood hazard mapping of Palembang City by using 2D Model. *Proceeding of the 3rd International Conference on Construction and Building Engineering (ICONBUILD) 2017*, AIP Publishing.
- Feldhaus, R., Höttges, R., Brockhaus, T., Rouv , G., 1992. Finite element simulation of flow and pollution transport applied to part of the river Rhine. In: Falconer, R.A., Shiono, K., Matthews, R.G.S. (Eds). *Hydraulic and Environmental Modelling: Estuarine and River Waters*, Ashgate, Aldershot, PP. 323-334.
- Fewtrell, T.J., Bates, P.D., Horritt, M., and Hunter, N.M., 2008. Evaluating the effect of scale in flood inundation modelling in urban environments. *Hydrological processes*, 22, 5107-5118.

- Fisher, P. F., & Tate, N. J., 2006. Causes and consequences of error in digital elevation models. *Progress in physical geography*, 30 (4), 467-489.
- Fleischmann, A., Paiva, R., Collischonn, W., 2019. Can regional to continental river hydrodynamic models be locally relevant? a cross-scale comparison. *Journal of Hydrology*, 3, 100027.
- FLO-2D Software, Inc., 2009. FLO-2D reference manual (Version 2009), *FLO-2D Software Inc*, Nutrioso.
- Flood, M., and Gutelius, B., 1997. Commercial implications of topographic terrain mapping using Scanning Airborne Laser Radar. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 63, 327-366.
- Forkuor, G., Maathuis, B., 2012. Comparison of SRTM and ASTER derived digital elevation models over two regions in ghana implications for hydrological and environmental modeling. In *Studies on Environmental and Applied Geomorphology*, Piacentini, T., Ed., InTech: Rijeka, Croatia, 219-240.
- Fraser, C., S., Baltsavias, E., Gruen, A., 2002. Processing of IKONOS Imagery for submeter 3D Positioning and Building Extraction. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing*, 56, 177-194.
- Fread, D.L., 1985. Channel routing. In: *Hydrological Forecasting*. Anderson, M. G., Burt, T.P. (Eds.). (ch 14), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester.
- Gallant, J. C. and Hutchinson, M. F., 1997. Scale dependence in terrain analysis. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 43(3-6), 313-321.
- Garbrecht, J., and Martz, L. W., 2000. Digital Elevation Model issues in water resources modelling. *Hydrologic And Hydraulic Modelling Support: With Geographic Information Systems*, D. R. Maidment and D. Djokic, Eds., New York: ESRI Press.
- Garcia-Pintado, J., Mason, D.C., Dance, S.L., Cloke, H.L., Neal, J.N., Freer, J., Bates, P.D., 2015. Satellite-supported flood forecasting in river networks: a real case study. *Journal of Hydrology*, 523, 706-724.
- Gee, D.M., 1990. Large-scale floodplain modelling. *Earth Surface and Landforms*, 15, 513-523.
- Ghani, A. A., Chang, C. K., Leow, C. S., Zakaria, N. A., 2012. Sungai Pahang digital flood mapping: 2007 Flood. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 10 (2), 139-148.
- Ghimire, B., Chen, A.S., Guidolin, M., Keedwell, E.C., Djordjević, S., Savić, D.A., 2013. Formulation of a fast 2D urban pluvial flood model using a Cellular Automata approach. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 15(3), 676-686.
- Gichamo, T. Z., Popescu, I., Jonoski, A., Solomatine, D., 2012. River cross-section extraction from the ASTER Global DEM for flood modeling. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 32, 37-46.

- Gigavić, L., Pamučar, D., Bajić, Z., Drobnjak, S., 2017. Application of GIS-Interval Rough AHP methodology for flood hazard mapping in urban areas. *Water*, 9, 360.
- Gigliero, J. D., 2010. LiDAR basics for natural resource mapping applications. *Geological Society London Special Publications*, 345 (1), 103-115.
- Gonga-Saholiariliva, N., Gunnell, Y., Petit, C., Mering, C., 2011. Technique for quantifying the accuracy of gridded elevation models and for mapping uncertainty in digital terrain analysis. *Progress Physical Geography*, 35, 739-764.
- Gori, A., Blessing, R., Juan, A., Brody, S., Bedient, P., 2019. characterizing urbanization impacts on floodplain through integrated land use, hydrologic, and hydraulic modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 568, 82 – 95.
- Gourley, J., J., Flamig, Z., L., Vergara, H., Kirstetter, P., -E., Clark, R. A., Argyle, E., Arthur, A., Martinaitis, S., Terti, G., Erlingis, J., M., Hong, Y., Howard, K. W., 2017. The FLASH project: improving the tools for flash flood monitoring and prediction across the United States. *American Meteorological Society*, 98, 361-372.
- Guth, P. L., 2006. Geomorphometry from SRTM: comparison to NED. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 72, 269-277.
- Haile, A., & Rientjes, T., 2005. Effects of LiDAR DEM resolution in flood modeling: a model sensitivity study for the city of Tegucigalpa, Honduras. *ISPRS WG III/3, III/4*, 168-173.
- Haltas, I., Elçi, S., Tayfur, G., 2016. Numerical simulation of flood wave propagation in two-dimensions in densely populated urban areas due to dam break. *Water Resources Management*, 30, 5699 – 5721.
- Hebeler F., Purves, R. S., 2009. The influence of elevation uncertainty on derivation of topographic indices. *Geomorphology*, 111, 4-16.
- Hénonin, J., Russo, B., SuñerRoqueta, D., Diezma, R.S., Domingo, N.D.S., Thomsen, F., Ole Mark, O., 2010. Urban flood real-time forecasting and modelling: a state-of-the-art review. *MIKE by DHI Conference*, Copenhagen, 6-8 September 2010.
- Hettiarachchi, S., Wasko, C., and Sharma, A., 2018. Increase in flood risk resulting from climate change in a developed urban watershed – the role of storm temporal patterns. *Hydrology and Earth System Science*, 22(3), 2041 – 2056.
- Hill, G., Vojinovic, Z., Weesakul, S., Sanchez, A., Hoang, D., Djordjevic, S., Chen, A., Evans, B., 2018. Methodological framework for analysing cascading effects from flood events: the case of sukhumvit area, Bangkok, Thailand, *Water*, 10, 81.
- Homer, C. G., Dewitz, J. A., Yang, L., Jin, S., Danielson, P., Xian, G., Coulston, J., Herold, N. D., Wickham, J. D., and Megown, K., 2015. Completion of the

- 2011 national land cover database for the conterminous United States-representing a decade of land cover change information. *Photogramm. Eng. Rem. S.* 81(5), 345-354
- Horritt, M. S., 2000. Calibration and validation of a 2-Dimensional finite element flood flow model using satellite radar imagery. *Water Resources Research.* 36(11), 3279-3291.
- Horritt, M.S., Baldassarre, G.D., Bates, P.D., and Brath, A., 2007. Comparing the performance of a 2-D finite element and a 2-D finite volume model of floodplain inundation using Airborne SAR imagery. *Hydrological Processes,* 21, 2745-2759.
- Horritt, M.S., Bates, P.D., 2001a. Predicting floodplain inundation : raster-based modelling versus the finite-element approach. *Hydrological Processes,* 15, 825-842.
- Horritt, M.S., Bates, P.D., 2001b. Effect of spatial resolution on a raster based model of flood flow. *Journal of Hydrology,* 253, 239-249.
- Horritt, M.S., Bates, P.D., 2002. Evaluation of 1D and 2D numerical models for predicting river flood inundation. *Journal of Hydrology,* 268, 87-99.
- Hsu, M.H., Chen S.H., Chang, T.J., 2000. Inundation simulation for urban drainage basin with storm sewer system. *Journal of Hydrology,* 234, 21-37.
- Hsu, Y. -C., Prinsen, G., Bouaziz, L., Lin, Y., -J., Dahm, R., 2016. An investigation of DEM resolution influence of on flood inundation simulation. *Procedia Engineering,* 154, 826-834.
- Huang, C.-J., Hsu, M.-H, Yeh, S.-H, 2015. Distributed computation of 2D inundation modelling, *6th ICCCNT 2015*, 13-15 July 2015, Denton, U.S.A.
- Hunter, N.M., Bates, P.D., Horritt, M.S., Wilson, M.D., 2007. Simple spatially distributed models for predicting flood inundation: a review. *Geomorphology,* 90, 208-225.
- Hunter, N.M., Bates, P.D., Neelz, S., Pender, G., Villanueva, I., Wright, N.G., Liang, D., Falconer, R.A., Lin, B., Waller, S., Crossley, A.J., Mason, D.C., 2008. Benchmarking 2D hydraulic models for urban flooding, *Proceeding Of The Institution Of Civil Engineernings, Water Management,* 161, 13-30.
- Jamali, B., Bach, P. M., Deletic, A., 2020. Rainwater harvesting for urban flood management – an integrated modelling framework. *Water Research,* 171, 115372.
- Jarvis, A., Reuter, H. I., Nelson, A., Geuvara, E., 2012. Hole-filled SRTM for the Globe Version 4., CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database 2008.
- Jarvis, A., Rubiano, J., Nelson, A., Farrow, A., Mulligan, M., 2004. Practical use of SRTM data in the tropics – comparisons with digital elevation models

- generated from cartographic data, Working Document no. 198, *International Center for Tropical Agriculture*.
- Ji, Z., Wu, X., 2018. Research progress in risk assessment of mountain flood disasters. *Journal of Catastrophology*, 33(1), 162-167.
- Jung, S., Kang, J., Hong, I., Yeo, H., 2012. Case study: hydraulic model experiment to analyze the hydraulic features for installing floating islands. *Engineering*, 4, 90-99.
- Kalken, T.V., Skotner, C., Madsen, H., 2004. A new generation, GIS based, open flood forecasting system, *8th National Conference on Hydraulics in Water Engineering*, ANA Hotel Gold Coast, Australia, 13-16 July 2004.
- Keech, J. J., Smith, S. R., Peden, A. E., Hagger, M. S., Hamilton, K., 2019. The lived experience of rescuing people who have driven into floodwater: understanding challenges and identifying areas for providing support. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, 30(2), 252-257.
- King, I.P., and Norton, W.R., 1978. Recent application of RMA's finite element for two dimensional hydrodynamics and water quality. *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Finite Elements in Water Resources*, Pentech Press, London, 2, 81-99.
- Knebl M.R., Yang, Z.-L., Hutchison, K., Maidment, D.R., 2005. Regional scale flood modelling using NEXRAD rainfall, GIS, And HEC-HMS/RAS: a case study for the San Antonio river basin summer 2002 storm event. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 75, 325-336.
- Knight, D. W. & Shiono, K., 1996. River channel and floodplain hydraulics. In: Anderson, M. G., Walling, D. E. & Bates, P. D. (eds), *Floodplain Processes*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester.
- Knight, D. W., 1989. Hydraulics of flood channels, in *Floods: hydrological, sedimentological and geomorphological implications* (eds K. Beven and P. Carling), Chapter 6, Wiley, 83-105.
- Kolecka, N., Kozak, J., 2013. Assessment of the accuracy of SRTM C- and X- Band high mountain elevation data: A case study of the Polish Tatra Mountains, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 171, 897-912.
- Kourgialas, N. N., Karatzas, G. P., 2013. A hydro-economic modelling framework for flood damage estimation and the role of riparian vegetation. *Hydrological Processes*, 27, 515-531.
- Krabill, W. B., Collins, J. G., Link, L. E., Swift, R. N. and Butler, M. L., 1984. Airborne laser topographic mapping results. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 50, 685-694.
- Laks, I., Sojka, M., Walczak, Z., Wróżyński, R., 2017. Possibilities of using low quality digital elevation models of floodplains in hydraulic numerical models. *Water*, 9, 283.

- Lane S. N., James, T., Pritchard, H., Saunders, M., 2003. Photogrammetric and laser altimetric reconstruction of water levels for extreme flood event analysis. *Photogrammetric Record*, 18, 293-307.
- Lane, S. N., 1998. Hydraulic modeling in hydrology and geomorphology: a review of high resolution approaches. *Hydrological Processes*, 12, 1131-1150.
- Lane, S. N., Brookes, C. J., Kirkby, M. J., Holden, J., 2004. A Network-Index-Based version of TOPMODEL for use with high-resolution digital topographic data. *Hydrological Processes*, 18 (1), 191-201.
- Lee, S. J., Komatitisch, D., Huang, B., Tromp, J., 2009. Effect of topography on Seismic-Wave Propagation: an example from North Taiwan, Bull. *Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 99, 314-325.
- Leedal, D., Neal, J., Beven, K., Young, P., Bates, P., 2010. Visualization approaches for communicating real-time flood forecasting level and inundation information. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 3, 140-150.
- Lehner, B., 2013. Quality assessment in HydroSHEDS technical documentation, 1st ed. *World Wildlife Fund US: Washington DC*, USA, 1314.
- Li, H., He., Y., 2017. Collaborative early warning model for reservoir and mountain flood disaster prevention and control. *Journal of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering*, 1, 37-42.
- Li, J., Chapman, M. A., Sun, X., 2006. Validation of Satellite-Derived Digital Elevation Model from in track IKONOS Stereo Imagery, Toronto. *Ontario Ministry of Transportation*.
- Li, J., Chen, Y.D., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Chiew, F.H., 2016. Future changes in floods and water availability across China: linkage with changing climate and uncertainties. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 17 (4), 1295–1314.
- Li, S., Sun, D., Golberg, M., Stefanidis, A., 2013. Derivation of 30-m resolution water maps from TERRA/MODIS and SRTM. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 134, 417-430.
- Li, Z., Zhu, C., and Gold, C., 2010. Digital terrain modelling: principles and methodology: *CRC press*.
- Lian, J., Yang, W., Xu, K., Ma, C., 2017. Flash flood vulnerability assessment for small catchments with a material flow approach. *Natural Hazards*, 88, 699-719.
- Liu, R.Y., Liu, N., 2002. Study of GIS-based calculation of flood area and visualization of virtual reality. *J. Zhejiang Univ.*, 29(5), 573-578.
- Liu, Y., Pender, G., 2015. A flood inundation modelling using v-support vector machine regression model. *Engineering Application of Artificial Intelligence*, 46, 223-231.

- Mahmood, S., Rahman, A., Sajjad, A., 2019. Assessment of 2010 flood disaster causes and damages in district Muzaffargarh, Central Indus Basin, Pakistan. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 78, 63.
- Maidment, D. R., 1996. GIS and hydrologic modeling – and assessment of progress. *The Third International Conference on GIS and Environmental Modelling*, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- Maidment, D. R., and Djokic, D., 2000. Hydrologic and hydraulic modelling support: with geographic information systems, 1st Edition., Volume 1. *New York: ESRI Press*.
- Maidment, D., 1993. GIS and hydrologic modelling. *Environmental modelling with GIS*, 147-167.
- Marks, K. J., and Bates, P. D., 2000. Integration of high-resolution topographic data with floodplain flow models. *Hydrological Processes*, 14, 2109-2122.
- McKenzie, N. J., Gessler, P. E., Ryan, P. J., O'Connell, D., 2000. The role of terrain analysis in soil mapping (Chap. 10), In: Wilson, J. P., Gallant, J. C., (Eds.), *Terrain Analysis: Principles and Applications*, Wiley, New York (in press).
- Merkuryeva, G., Merkuryev, Y., Sokolov, B.V., Potryasaev, S., Zelentsov, V.A., Lektauers, A., 2015. Advanced river flood monitoring, modelling and forecasting. *Journal of Computational Science*, 10, 77-85.
- Miller, C., and Laflamme, R., 1958. The digital terrain model: theory and applications. *Photogrammetric Engineering*, 24, 433-442
- Mukherjee, S., Joshi, P. K., Mukherjee, S., Ghosh, A., Garg, R. D., Mukhopadhyay, A., 2013. Evaluation of vertical accuracy of open source digital elevation model (DEM). *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 21, 205-217.
- Nastiti, K.D., Kim, Y., Jung, K., An, H., 2015. The application of Rainfall-Runoff-Inundation (RRI) model for inundation case in Upper Citarum Watershed, West Java-Indonesia. *Proceeding Engineering*, 125, 166-172.
- Neal, J., Schumann, G., Bates, P., 2012a. A subgrid channel model for simulating river hydraulics and floodplain inundation over large and data sparse areas. *Water Resources Research*, 48.
- Neal, J., Schumann, G., Fewtrell, T., Budimir, M., Bates, P., and Mason, D., 2011. Evaluating a new LISFLOOD-FP formulation with data from the summer 2007 floods in Tewkesbury, UK. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 4, 88-95.
- Neal, J., Villanueva, I., Wright, N., Willis, T., Fewtrell, T., Bates, P., 2012b. how much physical complexity is needed to model flood inundation? *Hydrological Processes*, 26, 2264-2282.

- Neal, J.C., Atkinson, P.M., Hutton, C.W., 2007. Flood inundation model updating using an ensemble kalman filter and spatially distributed measurements. *Journal of hydrology*, 336, 401-415.
- Neal, J.C., Bates, P.D., Fewtrell, T.J., Hunter, N.M., Wilson, M.D., Horritt, M.S., 2009a. Distributed whole city water level measurements from the Carlisle 2005 urban flood event and comparison with hydraulic model simulations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 368, 42-55.
- Neal, J. C., Fewtrell, T. J., Bates, P. D., Wright, N. G., 2010. A comparison of three parallelisation methods for 2D flood inundation models. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 25, 398-411.
- Neal, J. C., Fewtrell, T. J., Trigg, M. A., 2009b. Parallelisation of storage cell flood models using OpenMP. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 24, 872-877.
- Neal, J. C., Odoni, N. A., Trigg, M. A, Freer, J. E., Garcia-Pintado, J., Mason, D. C., Wood, M., Bates, P. D., 2015. Efficient incorporation of channel cross-section geometry uncertainty into regional and global scale flood inundation models. *Journal of hydrology*, 529, 169-183.
- Nikolokopoulos, K. G., Kamaratakis, E. K., Chrysoulakis, N., 2006. SRTM vs ASTER elevation product comparison for two regions in Crete, Greece, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 27, 4819-4838.
- Noman, N. S., Nelson, E. J., & Zundel, A. K., 2001. Review of automated floodplain delineation from digital terrain models. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 127(6), 394-402.
- Novak, P., Moffat, A. I. B., Nalluri, C., and Narayanan, R., 2007. Hydraulic structure. *Taylor & Francis*, London and New York, 4th edition. 674.
- Nyaupane, N., Thakur, B., Kalra, A., Ahmad, S., 2018. Evaluating future flood scenarios using Cmip5 Climate Projections. *Water*, 10, 1866.
- Omer, C., Nelson, E. and Zundel, A., 2003. Impact of varied data resolution on hydraulic modeling and flood plain delineation. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 84602.
- Pakoksung, K., Takagi, M., 2015. Remote sensing data application for flood modeling. *JAST*, 26, 115-122.
- Papaioannou, G., Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L., Aronica, G.T., 2016. Flood inundation mapping sensitivity to riverine spatial resolution and modelling approach. *Nat Hazard*, 83, S117-S132.
- Parker, J. Kenyon, R.V. & Troxel, D.E. 1983. Comparison of interpolating methods for image resampling. *IEEE Transaction on Medical Imaging*, 2(1), 31-9.
- Patel, D. P., Ramirez, J. A., Srivastava, P. K., Bray, M., Han, D., 2017. Assessment of flood inundation mapping of Surat City by coupled 1D/2D hydrodynamic

- modeling: a case application of the new HEC-RAS 5. *Natural Hazards*, 89(1), 93-130.
- Pender, G., 2006. Briefing: introducing the flood risk management research consortium, proceeding of the institution of civil engineers, *Water Management* 159, March 2006, Issues WMI, 3-8.
- Petrucci, O., Aceto, L., Bianchi, C., Bigot, V., Brázdil, R., Pereira, S., Kahraman, A., Kılıç, Ö., Kotroni, V., Llasat, M. C., Llasat-Botija, M., Papagiannaki, K., Pasqua A. A., Řehoř, J., Geli, J. R., Salvati, P., Vinet, F., Zézere, J., L. 2019. Flood fatalities in Europe, 1980-2018: variability, features, and lessons to learn. *Water*, 11, 1682.
- Pinho, J., Ferreira, R., Vieira, L., Schwanenberg, D., 2015. Comparison between two hydrodynamic models for flooding simulations at river Lima basin. *Water Resour Manage*, 29, 431-444.
- Pitt, M., 2008. The pitt review: learning lessons from the 2007 flood. technical report, *UK Cabinet Office*, London, UK.
- Podhorányi, M., Unucka, J., Bobáí, P., Říhová, V., 2013. Effect of LiDAR DEM resolution in hydrodynamic modelling: model sensitivity for cross-sections. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 6(1), 3-27.
- Ponce, V. M., & Simons, D. B., 1977. Shallow wave propagation in open channel flow. *J. Hydraul. Div.*, Amer. Soc. Civ. Engrs 103, No. HY12, Proc. Paper 13392, 1461-1476
- Prince, W., 2009a. An optimized routing model for flood forecasting. *Water resources research*, 45 (2), W02426.
- Pulighe, G., Fava., F., 2013. DEM extraction from archive aerial photos: accuracy assessment in areas of complex topography. *Eur., J., Remote Sens.*, 46, 363-378.
- Reil, A., Skoulikaris, C., Alexandridis, T. K., Roub, R., 2018. Evaluation of riverbed representation methods for one-dimensional flood hydraulics model. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 11, 169-179.
- Rexer, M., Hirt, C., 2014. Comparison of free high resolution digital elevation data sets (ASTER GDEM2, SRTM v2.1/v4.1) and validation against accurate heights from the Australian National Gravity Database. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.*, 61, 213-226.
- Richard, D., 2014. UN report: 2014 Asia and Pacific Region floods cost US\$16 Billion.
- Rojali, A., Budiaji, A. S., Pribadi, Y. S., Fatria, D., Hadi, T. W., 2016. A preliminary comparison of hydrodynamic approaches for flood inundation modeling of urban areas in Jakarta Ciliwung River Basin. The 6th International Symposium on Earth Hazard and Disaster Mitigation, ITM, *AIP Conference Proceeding* 1857(1), October 2016.

- Romanowicz, R., Beven, K. 2003. Estimation of flood inundation probabilities as conditioned on event inundation maps. *Water resources research*, 39(3), art. No. 1073.
- Rossman, L.A., 2004. Storm water management model: user's manual version 5.0 [EB/OL].
- Ruanggrassamee, P., Ram-Indra, T., Hanittinan, P., 2015. Uncertainty in flood forecasting under climate change: case study of the Yom River Basin, Thailand. *World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2015*, Austin, TX., May 17-21, 2015.
- Saksena, S. & Merwade, V., 2015. Incorporating the effect of DEM resolution and accuracy for improved flood inundation mapping. *Journal of Hydrology*, 530, 180-194.
- Sampson, C.C., Bates, P.D., Neal, J.C., Horritt, M.S., 2013. An automated routing methodology to enable direct rainfall in high resolution shallow water models. *Hydrological Processes*, 27, 467-476.
- Samuels, P. G., 1990. Cross-section locations in 1-D models. *International Conference on River and Flood Hydraulics*, WR White (ed). John Wiley.
- Sayama, T., Ozawa, G., Kawakami, T., Nabesaka, S., Fukami, K., 2012. Rainfall-Runoff-Inundation analysis of the 2010 Pakistan flood in The Kabul River. *Hydrological sciences journal*, 57(2).
- Sayama, T., Tatebe, Y., Iwami, Y., and Tanaka, S., 2015a. Hydrologic sensitivity of flood runoff and inundation: 2011 Thailand floods in the Chao Phraya River Basin. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 15, 1617-1630
- Sayama, T., Tatebe, Y., Tanaka, S., 2015b. An emergency response-type Rainfall-Runoff-Inundation simulation for 2011 Thailand floods. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 10(1), 65-78.
- Schumann, G.J.-P, Neal, J.C., Voisin, N., Andreadis, K.M., Pappenberger, F., Phanthuwongpakdee, N., Hall, A.C., Bates, P.D., 2013. A first large-scale flood inundation forecasting model. *Water Resources Research*, 49, 6248-6257.
- Shiono, K., & Knight, D. W., 1991. Turbulence open-channel flows with variable depth across the channel. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 222, 617-646.
- Singh, P., Sinha, V. S. P., Vijhani, A., and Pahuja, N., 2018. Vulnerability assessment of urban road network from urban flood. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 28, 237-250.
- Siregar, R. I., 2017. Accuracy analysis of SRTM usage for Upper Citarum river flood modeling. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 101, 05019.
- Smith, G. P., and Wasko, C. D., 2012. Australian rainfall and runoff revision project 15: two dimensional simulations in urban areas – representation of buildings

- in 2D numerical flood models. *Water Research Laboratory, University of New South Wales*.
- Smith, M. J., 2010. Digital elevation models for research: UK datasets, copyright and derived products. *Geological Society*, 345 (1), 129-133.
- Snavely, N., 2015. Bundler: Structure from Motion (SfM) for unordered image collections.
- Sriariyawat, A., Pakoksung, K., Sayama, T., Tanaka, S., Koontanakulvong, S., 2013. Approach to estimate the flood damage in Sukhathai province using flood simulation. *Journal of Disaster Research*, 8 (3). 406-414.
- Summer, J. H., 1964. Cameron Highlands hydro-electric scheme, minimum daily flows and minimum daily available power. *Central Electricity Board*, Kuala Lumpur.
- Suwandana, E., Kawamura, K., Sakuno, Y., Kustiyanto, E., Raharjo, B., 2012. Evaluation of ASTER GDEM2 in comparison with GDEM1, SRTM DEM and Topographic-Map-Derived DEM using inundation area analysis and RTK-Dgps Data. *Remote Sensing*, 4(8), 2419-2431.
- Szöllösi-Nagy, A., and Mekis, E., 1988. Comparative analysis of three recursive real-time river flow forecasting models: deterministic, stochastic, and Coupled Deterministic-Stochastic. *Stochastic Hydrology and Hydraulics*, 2, 17-33.
- Tanaka, K., Fujihara, Y., Hoshikawa, K., Fuji, H., 2019. Development of a flood water level estimation method using satellite images and a digital elevation model for the Mekong floodplain. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 64(2), 241-253.
- Tate, E. C., Maidment, D. R., Olivera, F., Anderson, D. J., 2002. Creating a terrain model for floodplain mapping. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, 7, 100-108.
- Testa, G., Zuccalà, D., Alcrudo, F., Mulet, J., Soares-Frazão, S., 2007. Flash flood flow experiment in a simplified urban district. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 45, 37-44.
- Toombes, L., Chanson, H., 2011. Numerical limitations of hydraulic models. In: *Proceedings of the 34th World Congress of the International Association for Hydro-environment Research and Engineering: 33rd Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium and 10th Conference on Hydraulics in Water Engineering*, Engineers Australia, 2322-2329.
- U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 2010. HEC-RAS v. 4.1 user's manual. CPD-68. Davis, CA: USACE, *Institute for water resources*, Hydrologic Engineering Center.
- Ullah, S., Farooq, M., Sarwar, T., Tareen, M. J., Wahid, M. A., 2016. Flood modeling and simulations using hydrodynamic model and ASTER DEM – a case study of Kalpani river. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 9, 1 – 11.

USAINS S. B., 2018. Final report gated spillway hydraulic modelling of Sultan Abu Bakar (SAB) DAM and the structural analysis of the tilting gate.

USGS Data sources of HydroSHEDS, 2008.

Van Alphen, J., Martini, F., Loat, R., Slomp, R., Passchier, R., 2009. Flood risk mapping in Europe, experiences and best practices. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 2(4), 285-292.

Vaze, J., Teng, J., & Spencer, G., 2010. Impact of DEM accuracy and resolution on topographic indices. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 25(10), 1086-1098.

Vieux, B., 2004. Distributed hydrologic modelling using GIS. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*.

Vojtek, M., Petroselli, A., Vojteková, J., Asgharinia, S., 2019. Flood inundation mapping in small and ungauged basins: sensitivity analysis using the EBA4SUB and HEC-RAS modeling approach. *Hydrology Research*, 50(4), 1002 – 1019.

Vojtek, M., Vojteková, J., 2016. Flood hazard and flood risk assessment at the local spatial scale: a case study. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 7(6), 1973-1992.

Vozinaki, A. K., Morianou, G. G., Alexakis, D., Tsanis, I. K., 2017. Comparing 1D and combined 1D/2D hydraulic simulations using high-resolution topographic data: a case study of the Koiliaris Basin, Greece. *Hydrological Science Journal – Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques*, 2017. 62 (4), 642-656.

Wagenmakers, E. J., 2003. How many parameters does it take to fit an elephant? *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 47, 580-586.

Ward, R. C., 1978. Floods: a geographical perspective. *Macmillan*, London.

Weilbel, R., Heller, M., 1990. A framework for digital terrain modeling. In: *Forth International Symposium on Spatial Data Handling*, Zurich, Switzerland, 219-229.

Westaway, R. M., Lane, S. N., Hicks, D. M., 2003. Remote survey of large-scale braided rivers using digital photogrammetry and image analysis. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24, 795-816.

Westaway, R. M., Lane, S. N., Hicks, D. M., 2001. Airborne remote sensing of clear water, shallow, gravel-bed rivers using digital photogrammetry and image analysis. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 67, 1271-1281.

Wise, S., 2011. Cross-validation as a means of investigating DEM interpolation error. *Computers & Geosciences*, 37(8), 978-991.

- WMO (World Meteorological Organization), 2003. Our future climate. *Publication WO-952*.
- Woolhiser, D. A., and Liggett, J. A., 1967. Unsteady one-dimensional flow over a plane – the rising hydrograph. *Water Resources*, 3(3), 753-771.
- Wu, C., 2015. VisualSFM: A visual structure from motion system.
- Wu, S., Li, J., and Huang, G., 2008. A study on DEM-derived primary topographic attributes for hydrologic applications: sensitivity to elevation data resolution. *Applied Geography*, 28, 210-223
- Yang, Y., and Chui, T. F. M., 2018. integrated hydro-environmental impact assessment and alternative selection of low impact development practices in small urban catchments. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 223, 324 – 337.
- Young, P.C., Leedal, D., Beven, K.J., Szczypta, C., 2009. reduced order emulation of distributed hydraulic simulation models. *Proceedings of the 15th IFAC Symposium on System Identification Saint-Malo*, France, July 6-8.
- Yu, D., Coulthard, T.J., 2015. Evaluating the importance of catchment hydrological parameters for urban surface water flood modelling using a simple Hydro-Inundation Model. *Journal of hydrology*, 524, 385-400.
- Yue, T. -X., Du, Z. -P., Song, D. -J., Gong, Y., 2007. A new method of surface modeling and its application to DEM construction. *Geomorphology*, 91(1-2), 161-172.
- Zhang, Q., Li, J., Singh, V.P., Xiao, M., 2013. Spatio-temporal relations between temperature and precipitation regimes: implications for temperature-induced changes in the hydrological cycle. *Glob. Planet. Chang.* 111, 57–76.
- Zhang, S., Pan, B., 2014. An urban storm-inundation simulation method based on GIS. *Journal of Hydrology*, 517, 260-268.
- Zhang, S.H., Wang, T.W., Zhao, B.H., 2014. Calculation and visualization of flood inundation based on a topographic triangle network. *Journal of Hydrology*, 509, 406-415.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Muhammad Azraie Abdul Kadir, Ismail Abustan, Mohd Firdaus Abdul Razak, 2019.

2D Flood Inundation Simulation Based on a Large Scale Physical Model using Course Numerical Grid Method. International Journal of GEOMATE, 17 (59), 230-236.



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH