# VOID AVOIDANCE OPPORTUNISTIC ROUTING DENSITY RANK BASED FOR UNDERWATER SENSOR NETWORKS

NASARUDIN BIN ISMAIL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Science)

School of Computing
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

Specially Dedicated to...

My lovely Wife, Son and Daughters

My Parents in Law

My dear Brothers and Sisters

My love to you will always remain and thank you for your

Support, Guidance, Patience, Joyfulness to make this experience complete.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to extend my gratitude to Allah (Subhanahu Wa Ta'ala), for granting me this opportunity and with His permission; I managed to complete my study and complete this thesis successfully.

Secondly, I also would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, **Associate Professor Dr Mohd Murtadha Mohamad**, for encouragement, guidance, critics, and friendship. Thanks also to all the lecturers who have taught me during my study in UTM.

Thanks also go to all my colleagues at **Pusat Teknologi Maklumat UTHM** who have really helped me doing this research. Without you all, I could not successfully complete this task in time.

Not to forget for all my family members, especially to my lovely **wife, son** and **daugthers** who have helped me during implementing this research study. You all gave me the passion and desire to continue this fight until I finish this thesis successfully.

Finally, I would like to thank all my **friends** who have me helped directly or indirectly. Thanks you so much.

#### ABSTRACT

Currently, the Underwater Sensor Networks (UWSNs) is mainly an attractive area due to its technological ability to gather valuable data from underwater environments such as tsunami monitoring sensors, military tactical applications, and environmental monitoring. However, UWSNs are suffering from limited energy, high packet loss, and the use of acoustic communication which have very limited bandwidth and slow transmission. In UWSNs, the energy consumption used is 125 times more during the forwarding of the packet data from source to destination as compare to during receiving data. For this reason, many researchers are keen to design an energy-efficient routing protocol to minimize the energy consumption in UWSNs while at the same time provide adequate packet delivery ratio and less cumulative delay. As such, the opportunistic routing (OR) is the most promising method to be used in UWSNs due to its unique characteristics such as high path loss, dynamic topology, high energy consumption, and high propagation delay. However, the OR algorithm had also suffered from as higher traffic load for selection next forwarding nodes in the progression area, which suppressed the redundant forwarding packet and caused communication void. There are three new proposed algorithms introduced to address all three issues which resulted from using the OR approach in UWSNs. Firstly, the higher traffic load for selection next forwarding nodes in the problematic progression area problem was addressed by using the Opportunistic Routing Density Based (ORDB) algorithm to minimize the traffic load by introducing a beaconless routing to update the neighbor node information protocol. Secondly, the algorithm Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based (ORDRB) was developed to deal with redundant packet forwarding by introducing a new method to reduce the redundant packet forwarding while in dense or sparse conditions to improve the energy consumption effectively. Finally, the algorithm Void Avoidance Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based (ORDRB) was developed to deal with the communication void by introducing a simple method to detect a void node and avoid it during the forwarding process. Simulation results showed that ORDB has improved the network performance in terms of energy tax average (25%, 40%), packet delivery ratio (43%, 23%), and cumulative delay (67%, -42%) compared to DBR and UWFlooding routing protocols. While for ORDRB, the network performance improved in terms of energy tax average (0.9%, 53%, 62%), packet delivery ratio (100%, 83%, 58%) and cumulative delay (-270%, -94%, 55%) compared to WDFAD-DBR, DBR and UWFlooding. Lastly, for VAORDRB, the network performance improved in terms of energy tax average (3%, 8%), packet delivery ratio (167%, 261%), and cumulative delay (68%, 57%) compared to EVA-DBR and WDFAD-DBR. Based on the findings of this study, the protocol VAORDRB is a suitable total solution to reduce the cumulative delay and increase the packet delivery ratio in sparse and dense network deployment.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Pada masa ini, Rangkaian Sensor Dalam Air (UWSNs) adalah bidang yang menarik kerana kemampuam teknologinya untuk mengumpulkan data bernilai dari persekitaran bawah air seperti pemantauan tsunami, aplikasi taktikal tentera dan pemantauan alam sekitar. Walau bagaimanapun, UWSNs menghadapi masalah tenaga yang terhad, kehilangan paket yang tinggi, dan menggunakan komunikasi akustik yang mempunyai masalah jalur lebar yang terhad dan masa penghantaran yang perlahan. Dalam UWSNs, penggunaan tenaga yang digunakan adalah 125 kali lebih tinggi semasa penghantaran data paket dari sumber ke destinasi dibandingkan dengan semasa menerima data. Oleh itu, ramai penyelidik berminat menghasilkan protocol penghala tenaga yang cekap untuk meminimumkan penggunaan tenaga dalam UWSNs dan pada masa yang sama memberikan nisbah penghantaran paket yang memuaskan dan mengurangkan masa kelewatan kumulatif. Oleh itu, penghala secara oportunis (OR) adalah kaedah yang paling sesuai untuk digunakan dalam UWSNs kerana ciriciri uniknya seperti kehilangan laluan yang tinggi, topologi dinamik, penggunaan tenaga yang tinggi, dan kelewatan penyebaran yang tinggi. Walau bagaimanapun, algoritma OR juga mempunyai masalahnya tersendiri seperti beban lalu lintas yang lebih tinggi untuk pemilihan nod pemajuan seterusnya dalam kawasan kemajuan, penghantaran paket yang berlebihan dankomunikasi yang terhalang. Terdapat tiga algoritma baru yang diperkenalkan untuk menangani ketiga-tiga isu yang timbul dengan menggunakan pendekatan OR dalam UWSNs. Pertama, beban lalu lintas yang tinggi untuk pemilihan nod pemajuan seterusnya ditangani dengan menggunakan algoritma Penghala Secara Oportunis Berdasarkan Ketumpatan (ORDB) untuk meminimumkan beban lalu lintas dengan memperkenalkan protokol penghalaan tanpa seruan untuk kemaskini senarai jiran nod. Kedua, algoritma Penghala Secara Oportunis Berdasarkan Darjat Ketumpatan (ORDRB) dibangunkan untuk berurusan dengan isu penghantaran paket berlebihan dengan memperkenalkan kaedah baru untuk mengurangkan penyebaran paket berlebihan semasa berada dalam keadaan yang padat atau jarang untuk meningkatkan penggunaan tenaga secara efektif. Akhirnya, algoritma Penghindaran Tidak Sah Penghala Secara Oportunis Berdasarkan Darjat (VAORDRB) dibangunkan untuk menangani komunikasi terhalang dengan memperkenalkan kaedah mudah untuk mengesan nod tanpa jiran dan mengelakkannya semasa proses penghantaran. Hasil simulasi menunjukkan bahawa ORDB meningkatkan prestasi rangkaian dari segi purata tenaga (25%, 40%), nisbah penghantaran paket (43%, 23%) dan kelewatan kumulatif (67%, -42%) berbanding dengan DBR dan penghalaan UWFlooding protokol. Sementara untuk ORDRB, prestasi rangkaian bertambah baik dari segi purata tenaga (0.9%, 53%, 62%), nisbah penghantaran paket (100%, 83%, 58%) dan kelewatan kumulatif (-270%, -94% 55%) berbanding dengan WDFADDBR, DBR dan UWFlooding. Akhir sekali untuk VAORDRB, prestasi rangkaian bertambah baik dari segi purata tenaga (3%, 8%), nisbah penghantaran paket (167%, 261%) dan kelewatan kumulatif (68%, 57%) berbanding dengan EVA-DBR danWDFAD-DBR. Berdasarkan penemuan kajian, protokol VAORDRB adalah penyelesaian menyeluruh yang sesuai untuk mengurangkan kelewatan kumulatif dan meningkatkan nisbah penghantaran paket dalam penempatan rangkaian yang jarang dan padat.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
DEC	LARATION	iii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABS	ГКАСТ	vi
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST	OF TABLES	xiii
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST	OF APPENDICES	xviii
СНАРТЕ	R 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Background	3
1.3	Problem Statement	JM 5
1.4	Research Question Research Goal Research Objectives	7
1.5	Research Goal	8
1.6	Research Objectives	8
1.7	Scope of the Study	9
1.8	Significant of Research	10
1.9	Organization of the Thesis	10
СНАРТЕН	R 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1	Overview of UWSNs	12
	2.1.1 Characteristic of UWSNs	13
	2.1.1.1 High Propagation delay	13
	2.1.1.2 High Energy Consumption	13
	2.1.1.3 High Path Loss	14
	2.1.1.4 Limited Bandwidth	14
	2.1.2 Challenges in Design Communication Architecture of	
	UWSNs	15
2.2	Communication Architecture in UWSNs	16
	2.2.1 Static UWSNs	16

	2.2.2 Mobile UWSNs	16
2.3	Background of Routing Protocol in UWSNs	17
	2.3.1 Proactive Routing Protocols	18
	2.3.2 Reactive Routing Protocols	18
	2.3.3 Geographic Routing Protocols	19
2.4	Energy Efficient Routing in UWSNs	19
2.5	Energy Efficient OR in UWSNs	20
	2.5.1 Network Initialization Phase	21
	2.5.1.1 Sink Node to Bottom	21
	2.5.1.2 Node exchange information into their one-hop neighbor	22
	2.5.2 Data Forwarding Phase	23
	2.5.3 Energy-Efficient Location-Based OR	27
	2.5.3.1 Higher Traffic Load during Selection Next Forwarding Nodes in Progression Area	27
	2.5.3.2 Redundant Packet Forwarding in Energy- Efficient Location-Based OR	28
	2.5.3.3 Communication Void in Energy-Efficient Location-Based OR	29
	2.5.3.4 Discussion	29
	2.5.4 Energy-Efficient Location-Free OR	30
	2.5.4.1 Sender-Side-Based	31
	2.5.4.2 Receiver-Side-Based	39
	2.5.4.3 Hybrid-Based	45
	2.5.5 Discussion	46
	2.5.5.1 Higher Traffic Load during Selection Next Forwarding Nodes in Progression Area	52
	2.5.5.2 Redundant Packet Forwarding	53
	2.5.5.3 Communication Void	55
2.6	Underwater Network Simulator	56
2.7	Summary	58
CHAPT	ER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	59
3.1	Overview to Research	59
	3.1.1 Operational Framework	59
	3.1.2 Overall Research Plan	62

	3.2	Design and Development	65
		3.2.1 Opportunistic Routing Density Based Forwarding (ORDB)	65
		3.2.2 Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based Forwarding (ORDRB)	66
		3.2.3 Void Avoidance Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based Forwarding (VAORDRB)	68
	3.3	Packets and Table in proposed OR Routing Algorithms	69
		3.3.1 Common Packets	69
		3.3.1.1 Hello Packet	69
		3.3.1.2 Data Packet	70
		3.3.2 Neighborhood Node Table (NNT)	70
	3.4	Performance Evaluation	71
		3.4.1 Network Model	71
		3.4.2 Simulation Setup and justification	72
		3.4.3 Energy Model	76
	3.4.4	Performance Metric Evaluation	78
	3.5	Summary	79
CH.	APTER	4 OPPORTUNISTIC ROUTING DENSITY BASED	
		FORWARDING ROUTING PROTOCOL	80
	4.1	Overview	80
	4.2	Opportunistic Routing Density Based Forwarding (ORDB) Algorithms	80
		4.2.1 Overview of ORDB Algorithm	81
		4.2.2 Design Approach of ORDB	82
		4.2.2.1 Table and Packets in ORDB	83
		4.2.2.2 Initialization Phase	83
		4.2.2.3 Opportunistic Data Forwarding Phase	86
	4.3	Results and Discussion	90
		4.3.1 Effect of Design Parameters	90
		4.3.1.1 Effect of Increasing Minimum Number of Neighbor	90
		4.3.1.2 Effect of Increasing Sink Node	93
		4.3.2 Compared ORDB with Existing Routing Algorithms	96
	4.4	Summary	101

CHAPTER	R 5 OPPORTUNISTIC ROUTING DENSITY RANK BASED FORWARDING ROUTING PROTOCOL	102
5.1	Overview	102
5.2	Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based Forwarding (ORDRB) Algorithms	102
	5.2.1 Overview of ORDRB Algorithm	103
	5.2.2 Design Approach of ORDRB	104
	5.2.2.1 Table and Packets in ORDRB	104
	5.2.2.2 Initialization Phase	105
	5.2.2.3 Enhanced Opportunistic Data Forwarding Phase	105
5.3	Results and Discussion	110
	5.3.1 Compared ORDRB with ORDB	110
	5.3.2 Compared ORDRB with Existing Routing Algorithms	115
5.4	Summary	120
CHAPTER	R 6 VOID AVOIDANCE OPPORTUNISTIC ROUTING DENSITY RANK BASED FORWARDING ROUTING PROTOCOL	121
6.1	Overview	121
6.2	Void Avoidance Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based Forwarding (VAORDRB) Algorithms	122
	6.2.1 Overview of VAORDRB Algorithm	122
	6.2.2 Design Approach of VAORDRB	123
	6.2.2.1 Table and Packets in VAORDRB	123
	6.2.2.2 Initialization Phase	124
	6.2.2.3 Void Avoidance Opportunistic Data Forwarding Phase	124
6.3	Results and Discussion	129
	6.3.1 Compared VAORDRB with ORDRB and ORDB	129
	6.3.2 Compared VAORDRB with Existing Routing Algorithms	134
6.4	Summary	139
CHAPTER	R 7 CONCLUSIONS	140
7 1	Overview	140

7.2	Research Contributions	141
	7.2.1 Opportunistic Routing Density Based Forwarding (ORDB)	141
	7.2.2 Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based Forwarding (ORDRB)	143
	7.2.3 Void Avoidance Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based Forwarding (VAORDRB)	144
7.3	Future Works	145
REFEREN	NCES	147
APPENDI	CES	155-156

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	The Different Ranges in UWSNs Acoustic Channel	15
Table 2.2	Comparison of energy efficient OR protocols	47
Table 2.3	Features of energy efficient OR algorithms in UWSNs	49
Table 3.1	Overall Research Plan	63
Table 3.2	Simulation Setup	75
Table 4.1	Notation used in ORDB Algorithm	82
Table 5.1	Notation used in ORDRB Algorithm	104
Table 6.1	Notation used in VAORDRB Algorithm	123
Table A1	The result <i>t-test</i> for VAORDRB and EVA-DBR	155
Table A2	The results of increasing number of nodes for VAORDRB	
	and EVA-DBR	156
Table A3	The result <i>t-test</i> for VAORDRB and WDFAD-DBR	160
Table A4	The results of increasing number of nodes for VAORDRB	
	and WDFAD-DBR	161

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	An Example Flow of Sink to Bottom	22
Figure 2.2	An Example Flow NEI into their one-hop neighbor.	23
Figure 2.3	Classification of OR building blocks for UWSNs	24
Figure 2.4	Taxonomy of Energy Efficient OR protocols in UWSNs	26
Figure 2.5	Aqua-Sim Architecture	57
Figure 3.1	Operational framework	61
Figure 3.2	Hello Packet	69
Figure 3.3	Data Packet	70
Figure 3.4	The Neighborhood Node Table (NNT)	71
Figure 4.1	How NNT save the Node Information	84
Figure 4.3	Flowchart of ORDB	88
Figure 4.4	Effect of Increasing Min of Neighbor in Energy Tax	91
Figure 4.5	Effect of Increasing Min of Neighbor in Packet Delivery Ratio	92
Figure 4.6	Effect of Increasing Min of Neighbor in Cumulative Delay	
	(ms)	93
Figure 4.7	Effect of increasing the Sink Node in Energy Tax	94
Figure 4.8	Effect of increasing the Sink Node on Packet Delivery Ratio	95
Figure 4.9	Effect of increasing the Sink Node on Cumulative Delay	96
Figure 4.10	Comparison of ORDB on Energy Tax	97
Figure 4.11	Comparison of ORDB on Packet Delivery Ratio	98

Figure 4.12	Comparison of ORDB on Cumulative Delay (ms)	99
Figure 4.13	Improvement ORDB over UWFlooding and DBR	100
Figure 5.1	Flowchart of ORDRB	107
Figure 5.2	Comparison ORDRB with ORDB on Energy Tax	111
Figure 5.3	Effect of increasing the number of nodes on Packet Delivery Ratio	112
Figure 5.4	Effect of increasing the number of nodes on Cumulative Delay	113
Figure 5.5	Improvement of ORDRB over ORDB	114
Figure 5.6	Comparison of ORDRB on Energy Tax	115
Figure 5.7	Comparison of ORDRB on Packet Delivery Ratio	117
Figure 5.8	Comparison of ORDRB on Cumulative Delay (ms)	118
Figure 5.9	Improvement of ORDRB over UWFlooding, WDFAD-DBR and DBR	119
Figure 6.1	Flowchart of VAORDRB	126
Figure 6.2	Comparison VAORDRB with ORDRB and ORDB on Energy Tax	130
Figure 6.3	Comparison VAORDRB with ORDRB and ORDB on Packet Delivery Ratio	131
Figure 6.4	Comparison VAORDRB with ORDRB and ORDB on Cumulative Delay	132
Figure 6.5	Improvement of VAORDRB over ORDRB and ORD	133
Figure 6.6	Comparison of VAORDRB on Energy Tax	134
Figure 6.7	Comparison of VAORDRB on Packet Delivery Ratio	136
Figure 6.8	Comparison of VAORDRB on Cumulative Delay (ms)	137
Figure 6.9	Improvement of VAORDRB over EVA-DBR and WDFAD-DBR	138

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHH-VBF - Adaptive Hop by Hop Vector Based Forwarding Routing

**AODV** Ad-Hoc On Demand Vector

**AUV** Autonomous Underwater Vehicles

**CARP Channel Aware Routing Protocol** 

**CBR** Constant Bit Rate

Co-UWSN Cooperative UWSN

**CSC** Candidate Set Coordination

**CSS** Candidate Set Selection

**CSSR** Candidate Selection Set and Ranking

CVCommunication Void

UN AMINA! **DCEER** The Dynamic Control Energy Efficient and Reliable Algorithm

Depth Based Multi-Hop Routing **DBMR** 

**DBR** Depth Based Routing

**DFR** Directional Flooding Based Routing Protocol

DREE Distance Based Reliable and Energy Efficient

**DSDV** Destination-Sequenced Distance Vector

E-CARP **Enhanced CARP** 

**EEDBR Energy Efficient Depth Based Routing** 

**EEF Energy Efficient Fitness Based Routing** 

Energy Efficient Routing Protocol Based on Physical Distance and

Residual Energy ERP2R

Energy-efficient and Void Avoidance Depth Based Routing **EVA-DBR** 

**FBR** Focus Beam Routing Protocol

**GPS** Global Position System

H2-DAB Hop by Hop dynamic addressing based

HH-VBF Hop by Hop Vector Based Forwarding

Hydrocast Reliable Pressure Based Opportunistic Routing

Improved Adaptive Mobility of Courier Nodes in Threshold Optimized iAMCTD

**DBR** 

JSIM - Java Simulator

LPL - Low Power Listening

LPP - Low Power Probing

MAC - Media Access Control

NEI - Node Exchange Information

NNT - Neighborhood Network Table

NS2 - Network Simulator 2

NS3 - Network Simulator 3

OEER - The Optimize Energy Efficient and Reliable Algorithm

OMNET - Objective Modular Network Testbed in C++

OPNET - Optimized Network Engineering Tools

OR - Opportunistic Routing

ORDB - Opportunistic Routing Density Based

ORDRB - Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based

OTcl - Object-Oriented Tool Command Language

OVAR - Opportunistic Void Avoidance Routing

PER - Power Efficient Routing

QUALNET - QUALNET Network Simulator

Reliable Energy Efficient Routing Protocol Based on Physical Distance

RERP2R - and Residual Energy

RF - Radio Frequency

RPFA - Redundant Packet Forwarding Avoidance

RSB - Receiver Side Based

SEANAR - Energy Efficient and Topology Aware Routing

SSB - Sender Side Based

SUNSET - Sapienza University Networking framework for underwater Simulation

Emulation and real-life Testing

TWSN - Terrestrial Wireless Sensor Networks

UWSNs - Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks

VAEER - Void Avoidance Energy Efficient and Reliable Algorithm

VAORDRB - Void Avoidance Opportunistic Routing Density Rank Based

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Significance Test of VAORDRB routing protocol	155
В	List of Publications	165

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

Although the Earth's surface is covered by water over 70% compared to the land, human knowledge regarding the underwater environment is still very limited as compared to the land. Due to technological advances in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) nowadays, exploration of knowledge about the land and its structure are able to grow successfully. This remarkably exploration encourages researcher to venture with the same technology to be used in the underwater environment which is called Underwater Wireless Sensors Networks (UWSNs) (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2005). According to Ayaz *et al.* (2011), the use of UWSNs is more appropriate for unmanned exploration since the underwater environment are harsh, vast and has high water pressure.

UWSNs consist of autonomous vehicles and individual sensor nodes that implement monitoring operations as well as sensing, storing and forwarding the data that has been collected to a sink node. Acoustic communications are the typical physical layer technology in UWSNs as other mediums are not feasible to use at the underwater environment such as radio waves and optical waves (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2005). Each of these sensor nodes is equipped with acoustic modem and being deployed manually or randomly in deep or shallow water based on their requirement application.

There are a few hurdles that need to be overcome with the use of UWSNs technology. First, by using the acoustic wave in the communication channel the available bandwidth are limited, higher propagation delay which acoustic speed slower than in radio frequency (RF) channel a difference of five orders of magnitude, high packet loss and consume more energy (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2005). Second,

a very costly underwater equipment compared to terrestrial equipment, limited computational power and memory storage (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2005; Ovaliadis, Savage and Kanakaris, 2010). Third, due to the continuous motion of the nodes with water flow currents makes underwater is a dynamic network topology and unreliable communication (Li *et al.*, 2016). Lastly, the location information of node for underwater environment cannot use the Global Position System (GPS) because high frequencies face the problem of quick absorption in water environment (Ayaz *et al.*, 2011; Melodia *et al.*, 2013).

However due to the characteristic differences between UWSNs and Terrestrial Wireless Sensor Network (TWSNs), many existing established hardware and software developed for TWSNs could not directly adapt to UWSNs. Because of the above reason, there have been many current research in different field of UWSNs and it is slowly getting much attention from the researchers around the world to solve many issues in UWSNs field. Routing protocol design is one of the hot topics of research in UWSNs which can guarantee the reliability and effective packet transmission from source node to the destination node in UWSNs. This routing protocol design is one of the crucial problems in UWSNs (Melodia *et al.*, 2013; Climent *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2016).

Since the research in the physical and the data link layer is already in the maturity stage in UWSNs, then researchers have shifted toward more exploration of network layer issues. As a result, recently many new routing protocols in UWSNs have been proposed by researchers. Many researchers have already carried out research on routing protocol but all of them have their own advantages and disadvantage since each of the research tackles different problem and requirement. So there are greater chances to develop a suitable routing protocol that can achieve at least reliable communication, less energy consumption and prolong network life with packet delivery ratio of the UWSNs.

## 1.2 Problem Background

In UWSNs, sensor nodes are powered by battery which had limited capacity and very difficult to recharge and replace (Akyildiz, Pompili and Melodia, 2005). Sensor nodes in UWSNs consume high energy compared to TWSNs sensor due to the use of acoustic wave for communication which experience high latency, low bandwidth and high error probability (Zenia *et al.*, 2016). Therefore to prolong the network lifetime in UWSNs it is important to consider an energy efficient protocol design without sacrificing the network performance such as by reducing the energy consumption during communication (Ovaliadis, Savage and Kanakaris, 2010; Zenia *et al.*, 2016). Communication in UWSNs is mostly to transmit and receive the packet either data packet or control packet, routing protocol in network layer is the key player to deliver a packet from the source towards destination. There are several techniques used in UWSNs routing protocol to reduce energy consumption such as reducing flooding transmission, clustering, limited retransmission, mobicast and intelligent algorithm like reinforcement learning to preserve the battery power in sensor node (Zenia *et al.*, 2016).

Opportunistic Routing (OR) approach is the promising routing approach to be used in UWSNs due to high dynamic network topology in UWSNs compared to traditional routing approach in TWSNs using end-to-end routing (Darehshoorzadeh and Boukerche, 2015; Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2016; Coutinho, Boukerche and Guercin, 2019). However, OR are suffered from high network traffic with redundant packets which can lead to higher energy consumption (Darehshoorzadeh and Boukerche, 2015; Coutinho *et al.*, 2016; Rahman *et al.*, 2020). OR algorithm in UWSNs can be divided into two categories, location-based and location-free (Kheirabadi and Mohamad, 2013). For location-based, each of the protocol is using the costly location information of sensor during the network communication. In contrast, location-free does not require the full location information of sensor node. Furthermore, based on candidate selection set approach, the location-based and location-free can be divided into three more subcategories namely as sender-side-based, receiver-side-based and hybrid approach (Coutinho *et al.*, 2016).

However, in the recent year there are several OR algorithms being proposed to increase the energy efficiency by reducing the redundant packets travel by suppressing it which can reduce the traffic load in network (Yan, Shi and Cui, 2008; Ahmed *et al.*, 2015; Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2016; Noh *et al.*, 2016; Yu *et al.*, 2016). Each of this OR protocol such as VBF (Xie, Cui and Lao, 2006), HH-VBF (Nicolaou, See, P. Xie, *et al.*, 2007), DBR (Yan, Shi and Cui, 2008), DBMR (Liu and Li, 2010), HydroCast (Noh *et al.*, 2016), WDFAD-DBR (Yu *et al.*, 2016), EVA-DBR (Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2017a) and SORP (Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2018) is also using different technique to reduce the traffic load and to identify the progressing area for forwarding the packet. In addition, each of this OR approach has their own advantages and disadvantages. Nevertheless, there is still a need to design an energy efficient OR algorithm for UWSNs.

Redundant packet forwarding is one of the common issues in OR algorithms either in TWSNs or UWSNs, which can increase network traffic load that would lead to higher energy consumptions (Bayrakdar, Meratnia, & Kantarci, 2011; Coutinho et al., 2016; Darehshoorzadeh & Boukerche, 2015, Khan, Hua, Ayaz, Shahid Anwar, & Ahmad, 2020). The mechanism to handle this issues in OR is commonly by using overhear and suppression algorithm which can suppress the packet after overhear the same packet that already being transmit. However in UWSNs, the progressing area for packet is so wide open which can affect the efficiency on performance of overhearing and suppression algorithms especially in the large area as compared to a small area. Therefore, selecting a suitable size progressing area for OR algorithms in UWSNs is a big task. Thus, in the several existing proposed solutions especially for location-based approach, the each forwarder candidate node is chosen in progressing area based on the average link quality of its next hop node in progressing area (Shin, Hwang and Kim, 2012).

While for location-free approach OR, mostly existing proposed is suffered from the wide area progressing area. Nevertheless, some of them are using the costly two-hop neighboring information to overcome that issues which are very complex and costly solution with limited computing and energy (Noh *et al.*, 2016; Yu *et al.*, 2016; Hussain *et al.*, 2020; Zhang and Cai, 2020). Therefore, the improving of overhearing

and suppression algorithm in location-free OR routing algorithms for UWSNs is necessary to reduce the high load network traffic due to redundant packet forwarding and at the same time reduce the energy consumption to prolong the network lifetime but still have a sensible packet delivery ratio.

Communication void or routing void is one of the critical problems in OR, which happens when a sender node cannot forward the data packet if there is no neighbors node reside in its progression area (P. Xie et al., 2009; Khasawneh, Abualigah and Al Shinwan, 2020). There are several proposed OR algorithms have been introduced to handle the communication void in UWSNs either propose a solution to handle the communication void after it happens (Jornet, Stojanovic and Zorzi, 2008; P. Xie et al., 2009; Shin, Hwang and Kim, 2012; Noh et al., 2016) or solution to detect the void nodes in the packet forwarding process (Javaid et al., 2014; Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2016) or solution to avoid trapped nodes which lead to void communication (Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2017a, 2018). As most of the existing solution are either using the full location of node or topology information which involve a complex algorithm. As a result, it is still needed to design and develop a heuristic energy efficient communication void handling algorithm that does not need full location information node and complex algorithm in location free OR in UWSNs in order to improve the packet delivery ratio in sparse UWSNs with realistic energy consumption, especially in sparse networks.

#### 1.3 Problem Statement

In UWSNs, sensor nodes spend mostly their limited energy to transmit and relay the data packets during communication. As OR algorithm is more suitable to use in UWSNs environment due to its high dynamic topology network. However, OR approach is suffered from high energy consumption due to the greedy technique which redundant the packet into network to provide more probability for packet delivery success. Thus, the energy efficient OR is proposed based on location-based and location-free approach. However, in location-based approach, there is a need to use costly full location information which is not suitable in UWSNs due to unable to use

GPS signal in underwater environment because of highly absorb GPS signal in water. Therefore, the location-free OR algorithm is more promising than location based OR for applying in UWSNs to get an energy efficient OR.

Most of the existing proposed location-free OR algorithms either beacon-based or pressure-based is using hello message to exchange their neighbor nodes information to choose the candidate selection set and their progression area of UWSNs (Yu et al., 2016; Khasawneh et al., 2017; Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2018; Hussain et al., 2020). This technique needs interval update which could lead to higher traffic load resulting to more energy consumption to operate the network which could lead to shorten network lifetime. Therefore the existing location-free OR protocols in UWSNs is still suffered from an unnecessary traffic load just for updating their neighbor information to select the best candidate selection set or their progression area especially for sender-based algorithm. Therefore, designing a technique to collect their neighbor nodes information for choosing the candidate next forwarder node or progression area is essential for location-free OR in UWSNs to minimize the traffic load and reduce the energy consumption while still maintaining a reasonable packet delivery ratio.

Even though, it is known that current overhear and suppression algorithms do not efficiently suppress the redundant packet forwarding in OR algorithms in large progressing area, however the existing proposed OR algorithms do not find the suitable solution upon this matter (Lee *et al.*, 2010; Darehshoorzadeh and Boukerche, 2015; Khasawneh *et al.*, 2015; Bouabdallah, 2019). On the other hand, the existing solutions for this issue in OR algorithms are mostly using location information to control the size of progressing area and improve the efficiency of overhear and suppression algorithm, while finding this location information could lead to higher energy consumption of nodes respectively. Consequently, it is necessary to design and develop a new novel algorithm to increase the efficiency of overhear and suppression algorithms to reduce the unnecessary load network traffic and decrease the total energy consumption without any location information and topology-less especially in dense area.

Existing proposed OR algorithm handling communication void, either using the location information, network topology control, void avoidance, transmission power adjustment, or special mechanism to overcome this problem like using courier node or AUV(Ghoreyshi, Shahrabi and Boutaleb, 2017b; Ali et al., 2018; Coutinho, Boukerche and Loureiro, 2020). Therefore, most of the existing proposed algorithms are still using costly and complex algorithm solution to overcome this issues on OR implementation in UWSNs which could lead to extra energy consumption. As a result, it is still needed to design and develop a heuristic energy efficient communication void handling algorithm that does not need full location information node and complex algorithm in location free OR in UWSNs in order to improve the packet delivery ratio in dense or sparse UWSNs with realistic energy consumption.

#### 1.4 **Research Question**

Based on the aforementioned issues and problems, the research questions are ped as the followings: developed as the followings:

- How to design a location-free OR algorithm that uses low network overhead for selecting next forwarding nodes which can reduce the energy consumption while still providing an improve packet delivery ratio in UWSNs?
- ii. How to design and develop a dynamic location-free OR algorithm which performs sufficiently in dense and sparse deployment without wasting so much energy and improve packet delivery ratio generally?
  - a. How to identify the sensor node are in sparse or dense deployment in advancement area?
  - b. How to optimize the selecting next forwarding nodes algorithm without the location information and topology-less while each of selected nodes can still overhear each other either in sparse or dense deployment?

- iii. How to design and develop a void avoidance OR density rank based algorithm which can identify and avoid the communication hole in UWSNs especially in sparse deployment to improve the packet delivery ratio and end to end delay?
  - a. How to identify the void node during routing process?
  - b. How to avoid the void node during routing process without sacrificing the network performance and consume more energy?

#### 1.5 Research Goal

The main goal of this study is to propose a novel design of a void avoidance opportunistic routing density rank based algorithm in order to deal with three main issues in opportunistic routing algorithms. The three main issues are; a higher traffic load for selection next forwarding nodes in progression area, suppressing the redundant forwarding packet and the communication void issue while concerning saving the energy of nodes, improve the packet delivery ratio and reduce the overhead network especially during dense deployment sensor nodes which could consume more energy.

### 1.6 Research Objectives

The objective of this study are as follow:

- i. To design and develop a location-free OR algorithm which uses low network overhead in selecting next forwarder node.
- ii. To design and develop a dynamic location-free OR algorithm which performs efficiently in dense and sparse deployment.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M., Salleh, M. and Channa, M. I. (2016) 'Routing protocols based on node mobility for Underwater Wireless Sensor Network (UWSN): A survey', *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. Elsevier, (October), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2016.10.022.
- Ahmed, S. et al. (2015) 'Co-UWSN: Cooperative energy-efficient protocol for underwater WSNs', *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 2015. doi: 10.1155/2015/891410.
- Akyildiz, I. F., Pompili, D. and Melodia, T. (2004) 'Challenges for efficient communication in underwater acoustic sensor networks', *ACM SIGBED Review*, 1(2), pp. 3–8. doi: 10.1145/1121776.1121779.
- Akyildiz, I. F., Pompili, D. and Melodia, T. (2005) 'Underwater acoustic sensor networks: Research challenges', *Ad Hoc Networks*, 3(3), pp. 257–279. doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2005.01.004.
- Akyildiz, I. F., Pompili, D. and Melodia, T. (2006) 'State-of-the-art in protocol research for underwater acoustic sensor networks', *Proceedings of the 1st ACM international workshop on Underwater networks WUWNet '06*, p. 7. doi: 10.1145/1161039.1161043.
- Alasarpanahi, H., Ayatollahitafti, V. and Gandomi, A. (2020) 'Energy-efficient void avoidance geographic routing protocol for underwater sensor networks', *International Journal of Communication Systems*, 33(6), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1002/dac.4218.
- Ali, B. *et al.* (2018) 'Retransmission Avoidance for Reliable Data Delivery in Underwater WSNs', *Sensors*, 18(2), p. 149. doi: 10.3390/s18010149.
- Ashrafuddin, M., Islam, M. M. and Mamun-Or-Rashid, M. (2013) 'Energy Efficient Fitness Based Routing Protocol for Underwater Sensor Network', *I.J. Intelligent Systems and Applications Intelligent Systems and Applications*, 5(06), p. 61. doi: 10.5815/ijisa.2013.06.08.
- Ayaz, M. *et al.* (2011) 'A survey on routing techniques in underwater wireless sensor networks', *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. Elsevier, 34(6), pp. 1908–1927. doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2011.06.009.

- Ayaz, M. and Abdullah, A. (2009) 'Hop-by-hop dynamic addressing based (H2-DAB) routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks', 2009 International Conference on Information and Multimedia Technology, ICIMT 2009, pp. 436–441. doi: 10.1109/ICIMT.2009.70.
- Bayrakdar, Y., Meratnia, N. and Kantarci, A. (2011) 'A comparative view of routing protocols for underwater wireless sensor networks', *OCEANS*, *2011 IEEE-Spain*, pp. 1–5.
- Bose, P. et al. (2001) 'Routing with Guaranteed Delivery in ad hoc Wireless Networks', Wireless Networks, 7(6), pp. 609–616. doi: 10.1023/A:1012319418150.
- Bouabdallah, F. (2019) 'Selection of Optimal Deployment and Routing Configurations in Underwater Acoustic Sensor Networks for Avoiding Energy Holes', *Mobile Information Systems*, 2019, pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1155/2019/3597304.
- Chen, K. *et al.* (2014) 'A Survey on MAC Protocols for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks', *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 16(3), pp. 1433–1447. doi: 10.1109/SURV.2014.013014.00032.
- Climent, S. et al. (2014) 'Underwater acoustic wireless sensor networks: advances and future trends in physical, MAC and routing layers.', Sensors (Basel, Switzerland), 14(1), pp. 795–833. doi: 10.3390/s140100795.
- Coutinho, R. W. L. *et al.* (2015) 'A novel void node recovery paradigm for long-term underwater sensor networks', *Ad Hoc Networks*. Elsevier B.V., pp. 144–156. doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2015.01.012.
- Coutinho, R. W. L. *et al.* (2016) 'Design guidelines for opportunistic routing in underwater networks', *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 54(2), pp. 40–48. doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2016.7402259.
- Coutinho, R. W. L., Boukerche, A. and Guercin, S. (2019) 'Performance Evaluation of Candidate Set Selection Procedures for Underwater Sensor Networks', *ICC* 2019 2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC). IEEE, pp. 1–6.
- Coutinho, R. W. L., Boukerche, A. and Loureiro, A. A. F. (2020) 'A novel opportunistic power controlled routing protocol for internet of underwater things', *Computer Communications*. Elsevier B.V., pp. 72–82. doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2019.10.020.
- Cui, J. H. et al. (2006) 'The challenges of building scalable mobile underwater wireless

- sensor networks for aquatic applications', *IEEE Network*, 20(3), pp. 12–18. doi: 10.1109/MNET.2006.1637927.
- Darehshoorzadeh, A. and Boukerche, A. (2015) 'Underwater sensor networks: A new challenge for opportunistic routing protocols', *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 53(11), pp. 98–107. doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2015.7321977.
- Domingo, M. C. and Prior, R. (2008) 'Energy analysis of routing protocols for underwater wireless sensor networks', *Computer Communications*, 31(6), pp. 1227–1238. doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2007.11.005.
- Felemban, E. et al. (2015) 'Underwater Sensor Network Applications: A Comprehensive Survey', International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks, 2015. doi: 10.1155/2015/896832.
- Ghoreyshi, S. M., Shahrabi, A. and Boutaleb, T. (2017a) 'An underwater routing protocol with void detection and bypassing capability', *Proceedings International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications, AINA*. IEEE, pp. 530–537. doi: 10.1109/AINA.2017.82.
- Ghoreyshi, S. M., Shahrabi, A. and Boutaleb, T. (2017b) 'Void-Handling Techniques for Routing Protocols in Underwater Sensor Networks: Survey and Challenges', *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, 19(2), pp. 800–827. doi: 10.1109/COMST.2017.2657881.
- Ghoreyshi, S. M., Shahrabi, A. and Boutaleb, T. (2018) 'A Stateless Opportunistic Routing Protocol for Underwater Sensor Networks', *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2018, pp. 1–18. doi: 10.1155/2018/8237351.
- Ghoreyshi, S., Shahrabi, A. and Boutaleb, T. (2016) 'A Novel Cooperative Opportunistic Routing Scheme for Underwater Sensor Networks', *Sensors*, 16(3), p. 297. doi: 10.3390/s16030297.
- Guan, Q. et al. (2019) 'Distance-vector-based opportunistic routing for underwater acoustic sensor networks', *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*. IEEE, 6(2), pp. 3831–3839. doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2019.2891910.
- Guo, Z. et al. (2008) 'Adaptive Routing in Underwater Delay/Disruption Tolerant Sensor Networks', in 2008 Fifth Annual Conference on Wireless on Demand Network Systems and Services. IEEE, pp. 31–39. doi: 10.1109/WONS.2008.4459352.
- Heidemann, J. et al. (2006) 'Research challenges and applications for underwater sensor networking', IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking

- Conference, 2006. WCNC 2006., 00(c), pp. 228–235. doi: 10.1109/WCNC.2006.1683469.
- Hsu, C. J., Liu, H. I. and Seah, W. K. G. (2011) 'Opportunistic routing A review and the challenges ahead', *Computer Networks*. Elsevier B.V., 55(15), pp. 3592–3603. doi: 10.1016/j.comnet.2011.06.021.
- Huang, C.-J. *et al.* (2011) 'A power-efficient routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks', *Applied Soft Computing*. Elsevier B.V., 11(2), pp. 2348–2355. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2010.08.014.
- Hussain, T. *et al.* (2020) 'Two hop verification for avoiding void hole in underwater wireless sensor network using SM-AHH-VBF and AVH-AHH-VBF routing protocols', *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, 31(8), pp. 1–16. doi: 10.1002/ett.3992.
- Javaid, N. et al. (2014) 'iAMCTD: Improved Adaptive Mobility of Courier Nodes in Threshold-Optimized DBR Protocol for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks', International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks. IEEE, 2014, pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1155/2014/213012.
- Jornet, J. M., Stojanovic, M. and Zorzi, M. (2008) 'Focused beam routing protocol for underwater acoustic networks', *Proceedings of the third ACM international workshop on Wireless network testbeds experimental evaluation and characterization WuWNeT 08*, p. 75. doi: 10.1145/1410107.1410121.
- Jouhari, M. et al. (2016) 'New greedy forwarding strategy for UWSNs geographic routing protocols', in 2016 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC). IEEE, pp. 388–393. doi: 10.1109/IWCMC.2016.7577089.
- Kamaruddin, A. *et al.* (2019) 'Energy Efficient Opportunistic Routing Protocol (EEOR) for Underwater Wireless Sensor Network', *Journal of Physics:*Conference Series, 1174, p. 012010. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1174/1/012010.
- Kanthimathi, N. and Dejey (2016) 'Balanced and Multi-objective Optimized Opportunistic Routing for Underwater Sensor Networks', *Wireless Personal Communications*. Springer US. doi: 10.1007/s11277-016-3495-2.
- Khasawneh, A. *et al.* (2015) 'Pressure Based Routing Protocol for Underwater Wireless Sensor Network: A Survey', *KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems*, 9(2), pp. 504–527. doi: 10.3837/tiis.2015.02.002.
- Khasawneh, A. et al. (2017) 'A reliable energy-efficient pressure-based routing

- protocol for underwater wireless sensor network', *Wireless Networks*. Springer US, (February), pp. 1–15. doi: 10.1007/s11276-017-1461-x.
- Khasawneh, A. M., Abualigah, L. and Al Shinwan, M. (2020) 'Void Aware Routing Protocols in Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks: Variants and challenges', *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1550(3). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1550/3/032145.
- Kheirabadi, M. T. and Mohamad, M. M. (2013) 'Greedy Routing in Underwater Acoustic Sensor Networks: A Survey', *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 2013(9), p. 21. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/701834.
- Lee, U. et al. (2010) 'Pressure Routing for Underwater Sensor Networks', in 2010 Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM. IEEE, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1109/INFCOM.2010.5461986.
- Li, N. et al. (2016) 'A Survey on Underwater Acoustic Sensor Network Routing Protocols', Sensors, 16(3), p. 414. doi: 10.3390/s16030414.
- Liu, G. and Li, Z. (2010) 'Depth-based multi-hop routing protocol for underwater sensor network', *ICIMA 2010 2010 2nd International Conference on Industrial Mechatronics and Automation*, 2, pp. 268–270. doi: 10.1109/ICINDMA.2010.5538317.
- Martin, R. et al. (2015) 'Aqua-Sim Next Generation', in Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Underwater Networks & Systems WUWNET '15.

  New York, New York, USA: ACM Press, pp. 1–2. doi: 10.1145/2831296.2831341.
- Melodia, T. et al. (2013) 'Advances in Underwater Acoustic', in Mobile Ad Hoc Networking: Cutting Edge Directions, Second Edition, pp. 804–854.
- Mirza, D., Lu, F. and Schurgers, C. (2009) 'Efficient broadcast MAC for underwater networks', *Proceedings of ACM WUWNet, Berkeley, CA*, pp. 1–2. Available at: http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.331.1216&rep=rep 1&type=pdf.
- Nicolaou, N., See, A., Xie, P., *et al.* (2007) 'Improving the Robustness of Location-Based Routing for Underwater Sensor Networks', in *OCEANS 2007 Europe*. IEEE, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/OCEANSE.2007.4302470.
- Nicolaou, N., See, A., Xie, P. X. P., *et al.* (2007) 'Improving the Robustness of Location-Based Routing for Underwater Sensor Networks', in *OCEANS* 2007 *Europe*. IEEE, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/OCEANSE.2007.4302470.

- Noh, Y. et al. (2013) 'VAPR: Void-Aware Pressure Routing for Underwater Sensor Networks', *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 12(5), pp. 895–908. doi: 10.1109/TMC.2012.53.
- Noh, Y. et al. (2016) 'HydroCast: Pressure routing for underwater sensor networks', *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 65(1), pp. 333–347. doi: 10.1109/TVT.2015.2395434.
- Ovaliadis, K., Savage, N. and Kanakaris, V. (2010) 'Energy Efficiency in Underwater Sensor Networks: a Research Review', *Science And Technology*, 3(1), pp. 151–156.
- Partan, J., Kurose, J. and Levine, B. N. (2007) 'A survey of practical issues in underwater networks', *ACM SIGMOBILE Mobile Computing and Communications Review*, 11(4), p. 23. doi: 10.1145/1347364.1347372.
- Perkins, C. E. and Bhagwat, P. (1996) 'Routing Over Multi-Hop Wireless Network of Mobile Computers', *Mobile Computing*, 353(212), pp. 183–205. doi: 10.1007/978-0-585-29603-6 6.
- Perkins, C. E. and Royer, E. M. (1999) 'Ad-hoc on-demand distance vector routing', Proceedings - WMCSA'99: 2nd IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, pp. 90–100. doi: 10.1109/MCSA.1999.749281.
- Pompili, D. and Akyildiz, I. F. (2009) 'Overview of Networking Protocols for Underwater Wireless Communications', *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 47(January), pp. 97–102. doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2009.4752684.
- Prodhan, A. T. et al. (2011) 'TTL based routing in opportunistic networks', *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. Elsevier, 34(5), pp. 1660–1670. doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2011.05.005.
- Rahman, Z. et al. (2020) 'Normalized Advancement Based Totally Opportunistic Routing Algorithm with Void Detection and Avoiding Mechanism for Underwater Wireless Sensor Network', *IEEE Access*. IEEE, 8, pp. 67484–67500. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2984652.
- Shin, D., Hwang, D. and Kim, D. (2012) 'DFR: an efficient directional flooding-based routing protocol in underwater sensor networks', *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 12(17), pp. 1517–1527. doi: 10.1002/wcm.1079.
- Stojanovic, M. and Preisig, J. (2009) 'Underwater acoustic communication channels: Propagation models and statistical characterization', *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, 47(1), pp. 84–89. doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2009.4752682.

- Tariq, M. *et al.* (2015) 'Distance based Reliable and Energy Efficient (DREE) Routing Protocol for Underwater Acoustic Sensor Networks', *Journal of Networks*, 10(5), pp. 311–321. doi: 10.4304/jnw.10.5.311-321.
- Wahid, A. and Kim, D. (2012) 'An Energy Efficient Localization-Free Routing Protocol for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks', *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 2012, pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1155/2012/307246.
- Wahid, A., Lee, S. and Kim, D. (2011) 'An energy-efficient routing protocol for UWSNs using physical distance and residual energy', *OCEANS 2011 IEEE Spain*, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/Oceans-Spain.2011.6003615.
- Wahid, A., Lee, S. and Kim, D. (2014) 'A reliable and energy-efficient routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks', *International Journal of Communication Systems*, 27(10), pp. 2048–2062. doi: 10.1002/dac.2455.
- Wu, X., Chen, G. and Chen, J. (2010) 'Energy-efficient and topology-aware routing for underwater sensor networks', *Proceedings International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks, ICCCN*. doi: 10.1109 / ICCCN.2010.5560016.
- Xie, P. et al. (2009) 'Void Avoidance in Three-Dimensional Mobile Underwater Sensor Networks', in *WUWNet'07*, pp. 305–314. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-03417-6 30.
- Xie, P., Cui, J.-H. and Lao, L. (2006) 'VBF: Vector-Based Forwarding Protocol for Underwater Sensor Networks', in Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), pp. 1216–1221. doi: 10.1007/11753810\_111.
- Xie, P. X. P. et al. (2009) 'Aqua-Sim: An NS-2 based simulator for underwater sensor networks', OCEANS 2009, MTS/IEEE Biloxi Marine Technology for Our Future: Global and Local Challenges, pp. 1–7.
- Yan, H., Shi, Z. J. and Cui, J. H. (2008) 'DBR: Depth-based routing for underwater sensor networks', *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 4982 LNCS, pp. 72–86. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-79549-0\_7.
- Yu, H. *et al.* (2016) 'WDFAD-DBR: Weighting depth and forwarding area division DBR routing protocol for UASNs', *Ad Hoc Networks*. Elsevier B.V., 37, pp. 256–282. doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2015.08.023.
- Yu, H., Yao, N. and Liu, J. (2015) 'An adaptive routing protocol in underwater sparse

- acoustic sensor networks', *Ad Hoc Networks*. Elsevier B.V., 34, pp. 121–143. doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2014.09.016.
- Zenia, N. Z. *et al.* (2016) 'Energy-efficiency and reliability in MAC and routing protocols for underwater wireless sensor network: A survey', *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 71, pp. 72–85. doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2016.06.005.
- Zhang, M. and Cai, W. (2020) 'Energy-Efficient Depth Based Probabilistic Routing within 2-Hop Neighborhood for Underwater Sensor Networks', *IEEE Sensors Letters*, 4(6), pp. 3–7. doi: 10.1109/LSENS.2020.2995236.
- Zhou, Z. et al. (2016) 'E-CARP: An Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for UWSNs in the Internet of Underwater Things', *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 16(11), pp. 4072–4082. doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2015.2437904.

#### APPENDIX B

### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Ismail, N., & Mohamad, M. M. (2018). REVIEW ON ENERGY EFFICIENT OPPORTUNISTIC ROUTING PROTOCOL FOR UNDERWATER WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS. KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems, 12(7), 3064–3094. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3837/tiis.2018.07.006">https://doi.org/10.3837/tiis.2018.07.006</a> (Scopus Indexed, ISI, IF 0.611)
- Ismail, N., Mohamad, M. M., & Salamat, M. A. (2019). Simulation and visualization of acoustic underwater sensor networks using aqua-sim and aqua-3d: An evaluation. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, 8(3), 943–948. <a href="https://doi.org/10.30534/ijatcse/2019/93832019">https://doi.org/10.30534/ijatcse/2019/93832019</a> (Scopus Indexed)
- 3. Ismail N., Mohamad M.M. (2020) An Evaluation of Performance of Location-Based and Location-Free Routing Protocols in Underwater Sensor Networks. In: Saeed F., Mohammed F., Gazem N. (eds) Emerging Trends in Intelligent Computing and Informatics. IRICT 2019. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 1073. Springer, Cham (Scopus Indexed)
- 4. Ismail, N., & Mohamad, M. M. (2019). Evaluation of the Performance of Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks Routing Protocols under High-density Network, 9(2), 65–70 (Non-Indexed)