IMPACT OF THE USAGE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TOOLS ON KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PROCESS: A CASE OF MINISTRY OF LABOUR, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES (UAE)

SALEM OBAID SALEM BIN HADEEBA ALNUAIMI

A thesis submitted in
Fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Master of Science in Technology Management

Faculty of Technology Management and Business
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2023

To the memory of

I dedicate this thesis to my dear and beloved parents and family for their exceptional support, encouragement and prayers, in spite of the hard times they went through to keep me on the track to complete my master's program. Their constant support gave me the strength to withstand the obstacles I went through during my academic journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ABSTRACT

The usage of IT tools is essential for knowledge management; however, studies reported that the role of IT in the knowledge management process has not been that successful mainly due to the improper usage and integration of information technology into knowledge management. In the UAE, there is no clear evidence for any study that has investigated the usage of IT tools in enhancing the KM process. Accordingly, the current study aims to identify IT tools and the effect of their usage on the KM process (knowledge sharing and knowledge application) in government organizations in the UAE. The current study employed a quantitative research design, and the data were collected through a survey. The sample size of the research is 269, who were selected based on convenience non-probability random sampling. The data was analysed using SPSS and PLS-SEM. The first research objective led to the identification of Cloud Computing (CC), Online Conferencing Systems (OCS), and Mobile Technology (MT) as the IT tools that affect the KM process. This objective was supported by the analysis of the mean values of each factor through SPSS, which showed that these factors have high mean values as follows: 3.988 for Cloud computing, 4.162 for OCS, and 4.146 for MT. The second research objective showed that only the usage of MT has a significant relationship with the knowledge management process (knowledge sharing and knowledge application), while the two variables CC and OCS do not have a significant relationship with knowledge sharing and knowledge application in government agencies in the UAE. The third research objective showed that Organization Culture (OC) significantly mediates the relationship between CC, MT, and OCS and the knowledge management process (knowledge sharing and knowledge application), but it did not significantly mediate the relationship between the CC and knowledge application. This research contributes to the understanding of the relationship between the usage of IT tools and the KM process in emerging countries since the usage of Information Technology tools is significant in supporting the KM process in organizations, especially since organizational culture is essential in supporting the KM process with the usage of IT tools. Also, policy and decision-makers need to consider the usage of IT tools and promote it in the organizational culture to support the enhancement of the KM process in the organization.

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan alatan IT adalah penting untuk pengurusan pengetahuan; walau bagaimanapun, kajian melaporkan bahawa peranan IT dalam proses pengurusan pengetahuan tidak begitu berjaya terutamanya disebabkan oleh penggunaan dan penyepaduan teknologi maklumat yang tidak betul ke dalam pengurusan pengetahuan. Di UAE, tiada bukti jelas bagi mana-mana kajian yang telah menyiasat penggunaan alat IT dalam meningkatkan proses KM. Sehubungan itu, kajian semasa bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti alatan IT dan kesan penggunaannya terhadap proses KM (perkongsian pengetahuan dan aplikasi pengetahuan) dalam organisasi kerajaan di UAE. Kajian semasa menggunakan reka bentuk penyelidikan kuantitatif, dan data dikumpulkan melalui tinjauan. Saiz sampel penyelidikan ialah 269, yang dipilih berdasarkan persampelan rawak bukan kebarangkalian kemudahan. Data dianalisis menggunakan SPSS dan PLS-SEM. Objektif penyelidikan pertama membawa kepada pengenalpastian Pengkomputeran Awan (CC), Sistem Persidangan Dalam Talian (OCS), dan Teknologi Mudah Alih (MT) sebagai alat IT yang mempengaruhi proses KM. Objektif ini disokong oleh analisis nilai min setiap faktor melalui SPSS, yang menunjukkan faktor-faktor tersebut mempunyai nilai min yang tinggi seperti berikut: 3.988 untuk pengkomputeran Awan, 4.162 untuk OCS, dan 4.146 untuk MT. Objektif kajian kedua menunjukkan hanya penggunaan MT mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan proses pengurusan pengetahuan (perkongsian pengetahuan dan aplikasi pengetahuan), manakala kedua-dua pembolehubah CC dan OCS tidak mempunyai hubungan yang signifikan dengan perkongsian pengetahuan dan aplikasi pengetahuan di agensi kerajaan. di UAE. Objektif kajian ketiga menunjukkan bahawa Budaya Organisasi (OC) secara signifikan menjadi pengantara hubungan antara CC, MT, dan OCS dan proses pengurusan pengetahuan (perkongsian pengetahuan dan aplikasi pengetahuan), tetapi ia tidak secara signifikan menjadi pengantara hubungan antara CC dan aplikasi pengetahuan. Penyelidikan ini menyumbang kepada pemahaman tentang hubungan antara penggunaan alat IT dan proses KM di negara-negara membangun memandangkan penggunaan alat Teknologi Maklumat adalah signifikan dalam menyokong proses KM dalam organisasi, terutamanya kerana budaya organisasi adalah penting dalam menyokong proses KM. dengan penggunaan alatan IT. Selain itu, dasar dan pembuat keputusan perlu mempertimbangkan penggunaan alatan IT dan mempromosikannya dalam budaya organisasi untuk menyokong peningkatan proses KM dalam organisasi.

CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IT	-	Information Technology
KM	-	Knowledge Management
CC	-	Cloud Computing
MT	-	Mobile Technology
OCS	-	Online Conferencing System
UAE	-	United Arab Emirates
SEM	-	Structural Equation Modeling

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1   Introduction

The rapid growth of information technology (IT) and its influential domains affect all human aspects of life, including organizations through information technology tools and knowledge management processes. IT tools have transformed individual, organization, and government functions and helped to improve job performance (Ihnatenko *et al.,*2020). Undoubtedly, quick access to accurate information through IT tools is a key factor in the global competition era (Sharma & Sharma, 2020). Since information is a criterion and a touchstone for organization improvement, IT tools are growing rigorously around the world. Organizations require this technology to survive, and any organization ignore will likely be doomed to fail (Martínez-Caro *et al.*, 2020).

Due to the current information age explosion, it makes organizations emphasise information through using IT tools, which is considerably important in every aspect and dimension of the organization. One of the main elements related to the use of IT tools is facilitating knowledge management process (Gloet & Samson, 2020). Knowledge management process supports knowledge sharing with others and improves knowledge application to support the organization's performance (Obeso, *et al.,*2020; Ihnatenko, 2020).

Within the context of the IT tools and knowledge management processes, this chapter introduces the research; it starts with the background of the study, followed by problem statement, research questions, it continues with the aims and objectives of the research. The chapter also explained the significance of this research as well as the scope of the research.

1.2   Background of the Study

There is a constant discussion on the dynamic existence of market conditions and the advanced economy's sources of productivity. It asserted that knowledge, as the main economic resource in the advanced economy, easily overtakes capital and labor. In an enterprise, intangible assets are commonly regarded as critical components for improving productivity. This has forced scholars and practitioners to address the manner in which knowledge assets are managed; hence, in management science, knowledge management (KM) emerges as an important term. KM has been identified as one of the key drivers of organizational change and wealth formation, but it remains a source of uncertainty both theoretically and practically (Nauman *et al.,*2022).

While knowledge management is about managing the organization knowledge, IT tools have become essential to KM. Information technology tools for knowledge management is attributed to different forms of technology dealing with the processing, keeping and sending information electronically through computers, transmission via faxes, micrographs, and telecommunications (Gloet & Samson, 2020). So, the advancement of IT tools lead to the improvement of KM processes since technology tools have made knowledge practices, such as knowledge sharing and knowledge application, easier and more effective (Obeso *et al.,*2020). That is, Information Technology tools help to record, store, process, transfer and receive information. The resulting revolution in IT has enabled a lot of workers and employees to work at home, which shows the influence of IT tools on KM of the organization (Laudon *et al.,*2016).

With the aid of IT tools, the organizational framework may provide an environment suitable for creating and executing strategic knowledge management directly through decentralization, coherence, informality, and/or through the development of social interactions in order to enable the individual and mutual sharing of knowledge and experience indirectly. In other words, several variables are affected by knowledge management, but IT tools play a successful role in implementing knowledge management. Given the importance of knowledge management tools in information technology, it is therefore essential to apply them in order to strengthen and monitor the processes of change and growth within different organizations. The benefit is clearly shown by the efforts of business organizations to use knowledge management as much as possible in processes of growth and also in organizational change (Peppard *et al.,*2016).

One of the factors that influence KM is organizational culture through their behaviours and values, and the impact of organizational culture on KM has been proved in past literature (Afshari, 2020). KM practices focus on how new internal and external information is received, digested, and integrated into the organization memory so that organization staff can get meaning and put it in new data and information to take appropriate actions to improve the organization's competitive advantage and performance. This whole process is related to organizational culture since the values and behavioural norms of the staff are the centre of sense and meaning-making processes (Martínez-Caro *et al.*, 2020). This shows the tight relationship between IT tools, KM, and organizational culture.

In terms of the population in the UAE, the country saw rapid population growth during the last few years due to the noteworthy prosperity in several economic sectors, leading to an influx of personnel belonging to different cultures and having different religious backgrounds. The population of the UAE more than doubled from 4.1 million in 2005 to 8.3 million by year-end 2010. Of these individuals, the native Emirati population is less than one million (947,947).

The current population of the United Arab Emirates is 10,168,116 as of October, 2022, based on Worldometer elaboration of the latest United Nations data. According to the Statistics Centre, population statistics indicate that Abu Dhabi had

about 2.6 million residents, while the number was 2.3 million for Dubai as per the data provided by the Dubai Statistics Centre. The government of the UAE intends to create a better demographic balance in the context of the expatriates and the natives. In this regard, the President of the UAE, H. H. Sheikh Khalifa bin Zayed Al Nahyan, declared the year 2008 as the "year of national identity." Consequently, in this era of globalisation, the UAE began activities towards retaining the nation's identity. Population statistics of Abu Dhabi are shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Population Statistics for Abu Dhabi (as of 2021)

(www.worldometers.info)

Population					
Key Figures					
Indicator	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Total Population estimate – Emirate of Abu Dhabi	1,343,353	1,419,699	1,452,057	1,482,816	1,511,768
Population growth rate – Emirate of Abu Dhabi	5.68%	5.68%	2.28%	2.12%	1.95%
Population density estimate – Emirate of Abu Dhabi	72,241	76,346	32,358	30,759	28,952

The UAE is one of the most attractive markets of ICT in the region of the Middle East, since it focuses on digitalization initiatives with the aim of increasing the use of latest applications of technologies, including cloud, internet of things (IoT), big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI). Therefore, in the UAE, the ICT spending is expected to grow with a compound annual growth rate of 8% during the period 2019-2024 to become US$23bn by the year 2024 (Globaldata, 2022). Moreover, one of the strategic priorities for the government to achieve UAE vision 2021 is the competitive knowledge economy. Shifting to knowledge is based on a highly productive and competitive economy through investment in research, science and technology.

Knowledge management process refers to the company's implementation of a set of procedures for is intended to advance and apply knowledge since knowledge is continuously transformed into valuable data through the process of information management (Igbinovia & Ikenwe, 2017). Different scholars, like Igbinovia and Ikenwe (2017), have outlined some of the information management processes, which

are knowledge acquisition, knowledge storage, knowledge codification, knowledge sharing, knowledge application, and knowledge creation. But as all employees in any firm must essentially share knowledge and apply it in their work activities, so the current research concentrated on two aspects of knowledge management procedures, namely knowledge sharing and knowledge application.

Moreover, a baseline data of KM initiatives in both public and private UAE organizations (270 companies) was collected to assess their progress towards the usage of KM. Unfortunately, nearly 50% of the surveyed organizations are unaware of the KM concept (Ghabbour, 2017), which is the most important KM implementation barrier. In addition, most surveyed companies have currently focused only on the management of explicit knowledge practices with relative neglect of tacit knowledge; none of the surveyed companies hire KM officer or KM manager (Daleure, 2017). The UAE government has made great effort to support the application and use of IT tools in government organizations (Alkatheeri *et al.*, 2021), yet there is little investigation on the outcomes of these efforts. Hence, the focus of this study on government agencies since the main initiatives and strategies made by the UAE government have focused on this sector.

Besides, the issue of the business organisations in the UAE is that there is a weak knowledge management in the Middle Eastern countries in comparison with Western and Eastern business organisations, and these organizations have paid high attention to promote operational productivity but there is a need for more focus on the value of knowledge management (Raudeliuniene *et al.*, 2020). Neglecting the role of IT tools and KM has a negative impact on organisations' overall effectiveness and efficiency. Therefore, KM is an essential tool for gaining competitive advantage and improving performance, especially with using IT tools. Accordingly, the current study investigates IT tools impact on knowledge management processes in the context of the UAE.

1.3   Problem Statement

Recently, there has been a lot of interest in the academic and practical field of knowledge management (KM), but most of these studies have focused on the commercial rather than the public sector (Al Ahbabi *et al.,*2018). Since knowledge is a crucial resource for the public sector as it is for the private sector (Massaro *et al.,*2015), studies on KM in the public sector are fragmented and have failed to develop a coherent and consistent body of knowledge, which restrains understanding of KM in the public sector. This is especially true given that KM for the public sector is no longer a choice but rather an imperative if the respective country's public sector wishes to survive in the developing era of globalization (Al Ahbabi *et al.,*2018). Such limitations to the effective utilisation of KM in the public sector makes it the focus of the current research.

The usage of Information Technology (IT) in the knowledge management process is essential for an organization to move forward in future. Martínez-Caro *et al.* (2020) discussed that many organizations realized the importance of information technology for better organizational performance. For example, IT has been used to make essential changes in all its managerial system that affect work implementation and the organizations policies (Wiedenhöft *et al.,* 2020) and this essential to support knowledge management process in the organization (Yoshikuni & Albertin, 2020). With the advancement and increasing importance of knowledge management role within organizations that outline knowledge as the most strategic organizational resource, the question is still there to manage organizational knowledge effectively and efficiently to derive benefits from strategic goals.

Despite the importance of IT to the knowledge management process, many studies found that the role of IT in knowledge management process has not been so successful mainly due to improper usage and integration of information technology into knowledge management (Alrawi *et al.,*2018; Sachdeva *et al.,*2015; Hughes, *et al*, 2016; Morabito *et al.,*2015; Migdadi & Abu Zaid, 2016). Akram *et al.* (2018) stated that even though KM is a great asset to organizations to improve their competitive products and services, only a few organizations have efficient use of the

IT technologies to extract and then manage their knowledge resources. Moreover, past studies have highlighted that one of the issues related to KM is the lack of necessary KM tools and the weakness of the workers' IT skills (Akram *et al.,*2018).

In addition, knowledge management supports organizations to expand their capabilities by taking advantage of their staff's skills and intelligence because employees are the main source of new knowledge in any organization (Rana & Goel, 2017). This is related to organizational culture (i.e., the shared patterns of norms and values) to develop interpersonal interactions to ensure high quality knowledge management outcomes (Werner & Dickson, 2018; Kim & Chang, 2019). However, the issue is that even through organizational culture leverages knowledge management (Prasetyo, 2017), studies on knowledge management are constantly needed to examine the evolving role of organizational culture in facilitating the process of knowledge management (Afshari *et al.,*2020).

Many factors affect the necessity of adopting information technology since it has a direct impact on how to manage the information of the organization for better performance (Agrawal *et al.,*2021). Hence, the gap of this study is to relate the impact of the usage of IT tools on knowledge management processes in the UAE government agencies, especially that most of the studies on KM focused on private sector (Al Ahbabi *et al.,*2018). The study concentrates on recognizing the nature of the relationship between the usage of the tools of information technology and knowledge management processes to highlight the importance of the usage of information technology in knowledge management (Hughes *et al.,*2016). That is, there is a under investigation on the topic of the usage of IT tools and knowledge management processes in the context of the UAE, especially in the public sector, which shows the need for the current study to highlight the relationship between the two essential factors of any organizations, namely the usage of IT tools and KM processes, in order to improve the organization performance and its competitive advantage.

For these reasons, this study made efforts to relate knowledge management with the usage of IT tools to better understand their impact on UAE government organizations/agencies, especially that IT tools are advancing, which requires

capturing the new trends for better KM. To enable the UAE government to progress and develop their organizations' performance better, it needs to embrace information technology with their employees' mentality skills and creativity in achieving organizational culture characterized by experimentation and courageous decision-making. It also needs the pursuit of creativity and innovation as well as a complete knowledge of the work and its requirements (Chege *et al.*, 2020).

1.4   Research Questions

The research questions to be answered in this research are as follows:

1.   What is the level of the usage of the IT tools in terms of their usage in knowledge management process in UAE government agencies?

2.   What is the relationship between the usage of IT tools and knowledge management process in UAE government agencies?

3.   What is the mediating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between the usage of IT tools and knowledge management process in UAE government agencies?

1.5   Aim and Objectives of the Research

The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between the usage of IT tools (Cloud computing, Online conferencing system, and Mobile technology) on knowledge management processes (knowledge sharing and knowledge application) in the UAE government agency/organization. This aim is achieved through the following objectives:

1.   To identify the level of the usage of IT tools related to knowledge management process among UAE government agencies.

2.   To investigate the relationship between the usage of IT tools and knowledge management process in UAE government agencies.

3. To assess the mediating role of organizational culture values on the relationship between the usage of IT tools and knowledge management process in UAE government agencies.

1.6   Significance of the Research

The current study has theoretical and practical significance. Theoretically, the importance of this study comes from caring about users, with the attention mostly be focused on information technology tools. Since this study concerned with using information technology tools for knowledge management processes, the findings and final structural model will provide a bulk of ideas to those interested in IT and KM, including academicians, scholars, and organizations' decision-makers.

Another theoretical significance is highlighting the importance of technical and non-technological approaches to knowledge management, which is related to knowledge-based theory of the firm in this research. In this research, organisational culture is a non-technical element, but the other IT tool elements (Cloud computing, Online conferencing system, and Mobile technology) are technological, while the independent factor is knowledge management. Relating the research investigation to the knowledge-based theory of the firm supports using the usage of IT tools and knowledge management as significant sources of any organization.

The importance of this research also comes from investigating information technology and its effect on the processes of knowledge management in the government agencies of the UAE. It is important for this study to discuss one of the organizational principles that use information technology, and it is one of the important topics in modern management, and to be an important source of organizational survival and continuity, particularly in companies that strive to follow a modern management strategy that aims to improve effectiveness and efficiency of performance. Therefore, decision-makers' findings can be utilized by decision-makers to apply the findings to improve organization performance through IT and KM.

Another practical implication is for policy-maker since information technology plays a key role in facilitating knowledge creation and management. Also, the findings extracted from this study can be used by experts, organizational policies and procedures, problem solving episodes, etc. because such elements are captured in the organizational knowledge base.

Another practical significance is that the concept of information technology is relatively recent in the environment, so that the subject of the concept applied to the study gives clear significance to advanced management methods in the acquisition of knowledge and skills within the scientific framework and is used to improve performance and increase productivity. The importance of this study stems from the importance of the UAE government agencies' usage of information technology as IT tools allows to incorporate modern working methods varies from conventional work, allowing them to address their problems and accept changes. This study may also draw the attention of decision-makers in the government agencies of the UAE to the value of using information system technology management to improve them, as well as to assist in the proper use of knowledge.

1.7   Scope of the Research

This study focuses on the impact of IT on knowledge management processes in the United Arab Emirate government agency. In terms of the research variables, the independent variables are the usage of cloud computing, usage of online conferencing, and usage of mobile technology, while the mediator is organization culture, and the dependent variable is knowledge management process (knowledge sharing and knowledge application). Since the IT and knowledge management are the main concern, the study uses government organizations of UAE. To be specific, ministry of labor is the case study of this research. In order to get rich data, the data has been collected from the operational and managerial staff of this ministry in the UAE by the end of the year 2021 using non-probability convenient sampling technique.

1.8 Operational Definitions

Knowledge Management Process: knowledge management process refers the "formation and consequent management of a surrounding which gives confidence for knowledge to be created, transferred, learned, advanced, planned and used for the welfare of the firm and its consumers" The British Standards Institution (BSI, 2003). In this research, knowledge management process will measured by investigating the usage of the process of KM in the organization.

Knowledge sharing: It is an act by which information, knowledge, ideas, skills and experiences acquired are exchanged and shared between individuals, organizations and institutions (Ikenwe & Igbinovia, 2015). In this research, knowledge sharing will be measured by the practice of the individuals in terms of sharing knowledge with the others.

Knowledge application: information can be used affectively and efficiently to fill a void or to fill a need. The proper application of the obtained, processed, generated and exchanged information requires proper communication of the knowledge to users (Dhamdhere, 2015). This variable will be measured by the practice of using knowledge to improve their work.

Information Technology (IT): organizing and communicating information effectively is referred to as information technology. Organizations may develop facilities and instruments to support the expansion of KM with the aid of information technology (Mathi, 2004). In this research Information Technology usage refers to the use of IT tools at the organization.

Cloud Computing Usage: it is a method that uses developments in ITs such as virtualization and grid computing to deliver a range of IT services via software and virtual hardware (as opposed to physical) provided (by data centers owned and operated by cloud providers and/or end users) according to user requirements and needs (Zhang *et al.,*2010). Cloud computing usage in this research refers to the usage of cloud computing in work activities, such as sending information to the others and getting updates about the work.

REFERENCES

Abbas, F., Rasheed, A., Habiba, U., & Shahzad, I. (2013). Factors promoting knowledge sharing & knowledge creation in banking sector of Pakistan. *Management science letters*, *3*(2), 405-414.

Abdulla, A. (2018). *A Study on Knowledge Management and Knowledge Transfer* (Doctoral dissertation, The British University in Dubai (BUiD)).

Afshari, L., Nasab, A. H., & Dickson, G. (2020). Organizational culture, social capital, and knowledge management: an integrated model. *International Journal of Knowledge Management (IJKM)*, *16*(2), 52-66.

Akhavan, P., Sanjaghi, M. E., Rezaeenour, J., & Ojaghi, H. (2014). Examining the relationships between organizational culture, knowledge management and environmental responsiveness capability. *VINE: The journal of information and knowledge management systems*.

Akhavan, P., Jafari, M., & Fathian, M. (2006). Critical success factors of knowledge management systems: a multi-case analysis. *European business review*.

Akter, S., D'ambra, J., & Ray, P. (2011). An evaluation of PLS based complex models: the roles of power analysis, predictive relevance and GoF index.

Akram, M. S., Goraya, M., Malik, A., & Aljarallah, A. M. (2018). Organizational performance and sustainability: exploring the roles of IT capabilities and knowledge management capabilities. *Sustainability*, *10*(10), 3816.

Al Ahbabi, S. A., Singh, S. K., Balasubramanian, S., & Gaur, S. S. (2018). Employee perception of impact of knowledge management processes on public sector performance. *Journal of knowledge management*.

Al-Alawi, A. I., Al-Marzooqi, N. Y., & Mohammed, Y. F. (2007). Organizational culture and knowledge sharing: critical success factors. *Journal of knowledge management*.

Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2001). Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS quarterly*, 107-136.

Al Atwi, F. (2008). *The availability of knowledge management processes and its impact on organizational effectiveness among workers in the General Organization for Technical and Vocational Education in Saudi Arabia* (Unpublished Master thesis). Mutah University, Karak, Jordan.

Aleem, A., & Ryan Sprott, C. (2012). Let me in the cloud: analysis of the benefit and risk assessment of cloud platform. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 20(1), 6-24.

Alegbeleye, B. (2010, July). Old wine in new bottle: A critical analysis of the relationship between knowledge management and library and information science. In *48th National Conference of the Nigeria Library Association, Abuja*.

Alkatheeri, A. (2018). *An investigative study on the relationship between organizational factors and knowledge management effectiveness in UAE public organizations: the case study of Abu Dhabi*.

Al-Kurdi, O. F., El-Haddadeh, R., & Eldabi, T. (2020). The role of organisational climate in managing knowledge sharing among academics in higher education. *International Journal of Information Management*, *50*, 217-227.

Al Maktoum Foundation (2022), Mohammed Bin Rashid Al Maktoum Foundation, https://mbrf.ae/en

Al Saifi, S. A. (2015). Positioning organisational culture in knowledge management research. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.

Al-Rasheed, A., Berri, J., & Chikh, A. (2014). Toward a cloud based knowledge management system of e-learning best practices. In *New Perspectives in Information Systems and Technologies, Volume 1* (pp. 115-125). Springer, Cham.

Alrawi, K., Alrawi, A., & Alrawi, W. (2018). Challenges in managing small business management in UAE: Sustainability of development and success. *International Journal of Innovation and Knowledge Management in the Middle East and North Africa*, 4(2).

Amayah, A. T. (2013). Determinants of knowledge sharing in a public sector organization. *Journal of knowledge management*.

Anderson, N., Potočnik, K., & Zhou, J. (2014). Innovation and creativity in organizations: A state-of-the-science review, prospective commentary, and guiding framework. *Journal of management*, *40*(5), 1297-1333.

Anser, M. K., Yousaf, Z., Khan, A., & Usman, M. (2020). Towards innovative work behavior through knowledge management infrastructure capabilities: Mediating role of functional flexibility and knowledge sharing. *European Journal of Innovation Management*.

Antunes, H. D. J. G., & Pinheiro, P. G. (2020). Linking knowledge management, organizational learning and memory. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *5*(2), 140-149.

Anna Kamakari and Athanasios Drigas, Video Conferencing and Knowledge Management in In-Service Teacher Distance Lifelong Training and Development, In book: *Technology Enhanced Learning Quality of Teaching and Educational*

Apuke, O. D. (2017). Quantitative research methods: A synopsis approach. *Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, *33*(5471), 1-8.

Arghode, V. (2012). Qualitative and Quantitative Research: Paradigmatic Differences. *Global Education Journal*, *2012*(4).

Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Razavieh, A., & Sorensen, C. K. (2010). Introduction to research in education (8 ed.). New York, NY: HultRinchart&Wiston.

Asrar-ul-Haq, M., & Anwar, S. (2016). A systematic review of knowledge management and knowledge sharing: Trends, issues, and challenges. *Cogent Business & Management*, *3*(1), 1127744.

Bagozzi, R. P., & Yi, Y. (1988). On the evaluation of structural equation models. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, *16*(1), 74-94.

Bam, K. (1992). *Research methods for business and management*.

Bandera, C., Keshtkar, F., Bartolacci, M. R., Neerudu, S., & Passerini, K. (2017). Knowledge management and the entrepreneur: Insights from Ikujiro

Nonaka's Dynamic Knowledge Creation model (SECI). *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, *1*(3), 163-174.

Banerjee, A., & Chaudhury, S. (2010). Statistics without tears: Populations and samples. *Industrial psychiatry journal*, *19*(1), 60.

Barclay, R. O., & Murray, P. C. (1997). What is knowledge management. *Knowledge praxis*, *19*(1), 1-10.

Barnes, B. (2014). *Interests and the Growth of Knowledge (RLE Social Theory)*. Routledge.

Becerra-Fernandez, I., & Sabherwal, R. (2014). *Knowledge management: Systems and processes*. Routledge.

Bertot, J., Estevez, E., & Janowski, T. (2016). Universal and contextualized public services: Digital public service innovation framework.

Bhatnagar, R., Kim, J., & Many, J. E. (2014). Candidate surveys on program evaluation: Examining Instrument reliability, validity and program effectiveness. *American Journal of Educational Research*, *2*(8), 683-690.

Bitkowsk, A. (2020). The relationship between Business Process Management and Knowledge Management-selected aspects from a study of companies in Poland. *Journal of entrepreneurship, management and innovation*, *16*(1), 169-193.

Bolisani, E. , & Cegarra-Navarro, J. G. (2021). Bad counter knowledge: Case studies and countermeasures. In Business revolution in a digital era (pp. 1–13). Springer.

Borges, R., Bernardi, M., & Petrin, R. (2019). Cross-country findings on tacit knowledge sharing: evidence from the Brazilian and Indonesian IT workers. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.

Bruque Camara, S., Moyano Fuentes, J., & Maqueira Marin, J. M. (2015). Cloud computing, Web 2.0, and operational performance: the mediating role of supply chain integration. The *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 26(3), 426-458.

Bryman, A. (2006). Integrating quantitative and qualitative research: how is it done?. *Qualitative research*, *6*(1), 97-113.

Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods*. Oxford university press.

Byrne Barbara, M. (2016). Structural equation modeling with AMOS: basic concepts, applications, and programming.

Byrne, D. M., Fernald, J. G., & Reinsdorf, M. B. (2016). Does the United States have a productivity slowdown or a measurement problem?. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, *2016*(1), 109-182.

Buntak, K., Kovačić, M., & Martinčević, I. (2020). Impact of digital transformation on knowledge management in organization. *Advances in Business-Related Scientific Research Journal*, *11*(1), 36-47.

Cegarra-Navarro, J. G., Soto-Acosta, P., & Wensley, A. K. (2016). Structured knowledge processes and firm performance: The role of organizational agility. *Journal of Business Research*, *69*(5), 1544-1549.

Cepeda-Carrion, I., Martelo-Landroguez, S., Leal-Rodríguez, A. L., & Leal-Millán, A. (2017). Critical processes of knowledge management: An approach toward the creation of customer value. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, *23*(1), 1-7.

Chang, W. J., Liao, S. H., & Wu, T. T. (2017). Relationships among organizational culture, knowledge sharing, and innovation capability: a case of the automobile industry in Taiwan. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, *15*(3), 471-490.

Chaturvedi, S., & Singh, T. (2021). Knowledge management initiatives for tackling the COVID-19 pandemic in India. *Metamorphosis*, *20*(1), 25-34.

Chen, L., & Mohamed, S. (2010). The strategic importance of tacit knowledge management activities in construction. *Construction Innovation*.

Chen, C. J., & Huang, J. W. (2009). Strategic human resource practices and innovation performance—The mediating role of knowledge management capacity. Journal of business research, 62(1), 104-114.

Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods for business research*, *295*(2), 295-336.

Choi, S. Y., Lee, H., & Yoo, Y. (2010). The impact of information technology and transactive memory systems on knowledge sharing, application, and team performance: A field study. MIS quarterly, 855-870.

Choy, L. T. (2014). The strengths and weaknesses of research methodology: Comparison and complimentary between qualitative and quantitative approaches. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, *19*(4), 99-104.

Churchill, G. A., Brown, T. J., & Suter, T. A. (1996). *Basic marketing research*. Orlando, FL: Dryden Press.

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological bulletin*, *112*(1), 155.

Connelly, L. M. (2008). Pilot studies. *Medsurg Nursing*, *17*(6), 411.

Cook, S. D., & Brown, J. S. (1999). Bridging epistemologies: The generative dance between organizational knowledge and organizational knowing. *Organization science*, *10*(4), 381-400.

Cook, D. A., Kuper, A., Hatala, R., & Ginsburg, S. (2016). When assessment data are words: validity evidence for qualitative educational assessments. *Academic Medicine*, *91*(10), 1359-1369.

Craig, C. S., & Douglas, S. P. (2005). *International marketing research*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches*. Sage.

Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *psychometrika*, *16*(3), 297-334.

Cvitanovic, C., McDonald, J., & Hobday, A. J. (2016). From science to action: principles for undertaking environmental research that enables knowledge exchange and evidence-based decision-making. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *183*, 864-874.

Daleure, G. (2017). *Emiratization in the UAE Labor Market*. Springer Singapore.

Dalkir, K. (2017). *Knowledge management in theory and practice*. MIT press.

Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know*. Harvard Business Press.

De Keyser, A., Lemon, K. N., Klaus, P., & Keiningham, T. L. (2015). A framework for understanding and managing the customer experience. *Marketing Science Institute working paper series*, *85*(1), 15-121.

Del Chiappa, G., & Baggio, R. (2015). Knowledge transfer in smart tourism destinations: Analyzing the effects of a network structure. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, *4*(3), 145-150.

Del Giudice, M., & Maggioni, V. (2014). Managerial practices and operative directions of knowledge management within inter-firm networks: a global view. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *18*(5), 841-846.

Dhamdhere, S. N. (2015). Importance of knowledge management in the higher educational institutes. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, *16*(1), 162-183.

Dimitrova, D. V., Shehata, A., Strömbäck, J., & Nord, L. W. (2014). The effects of digital media on political knowledge and participation in election campaigns: Evidence from panel data. *Communication Research*, *41*(1), 95-118.

Di Maria, E., Bettiol, M., Capestro, M., & Furlan, A. (2018, September). Do industry 4.0 technologies lead to more (and better) knowledge?. In *European Conference on Knowledge Management* (pp. 174-181). Academic Conferences International Limited.

Di Vaio, A., Palladino, R., Pezzi, A., & Kalisz, D. E. (2021). The role of digital innovation in knowledge management systems: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Research*, *123*, 220-231.

Durst, S., & Zieba, M. (2019). Mapping knowledge risks: towards a better understanding of knowledge management. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, *17*(1), 1-13.

Elhachemi, T. (2020). The influence of knowledge management practice on knowledge acquisition: conceptual paper proposition. *Journal of Global Business and Social Entrepreneurship (GBSE)*, *6*(17).

Erickson, S., & Rothberg, H. (2015). Big data and knowledge management: establishing a conceptual foundation. *Leading Issues in Knowledge Management*, *2*, 204.

Evans, M., Dalkir, K., & Bidian, C. (2015). A holistic view of the knowledge life cycle: the knowledge management cycle (KMC) model. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, *12*(1), 47.

Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior research methods*, *41*(4), 1149-1160.

Federal Authority for Government Human Resources (FAHR) (2017). *Guide of Knowledge Management in the Federal Government.*

Felipe, C. M., Roldán, J. L., & Leal-Rodríguez, A. L. (2017). Impact of organizational culture values on organizational agility. *Sustainability*, *9*(12), 2354.

Fellows, R., & Liu, A. (2008, December). Impact of participants' values on construction sustainability. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Engineering Sustainability* (Vol. 161, No. 4, pp. 219-227). Thomas Telford Ltd.

Finnegan, R. (2018). *Oral poetry: its nature, significance and social context*. Wipf and Stock Publishers.

Firestone, J. M., & McElroy, M. W. (2003). *Key issues in the new knowledge management*. Routledge.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of marketing research*, *18*(1), 39-50.

Frost, A. (2014). A synthesis of knowledge management failure factors. *Recuperado el*, *22*, 1-22.

Geisler, E., & Wickramasinghe, N. (2015). *Principles of Knowledge Management: Theory, Practice, and Cases: Theory, Practice, and Cases*. Routledge.

Gilaninia, S., Askari, M. A., & Dastour, M. (2013). Overview of the importance of knowledge management and its agents. *Kuwait Chapter of the Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, *2*(12), 23.

Girard, J., & Girard, J. (2015). Defining knowledge management: Toward an applied compendium. *Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management*, *3*(1), 1-20.

Gloet, M., & Samson, D. (2020). Knowledge management and systematic innovation capability. In *Disruptive Technology: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1198-1218). IGI Global.

Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic management journal*, *17*(S2), 109-122.

Grant, R. M. (2016). *Contemporary strategy analysis: Text and cases edition*. John Wiley & Sons.

Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. *Handbook of qualitative research*, *2*(163-194), 105.

Gunzler, D., Chen, T., Wu, P., & Zhang, H. (2013). Introduction to mediation analysis with structural equation modeling. *Shanghai archives of psychiatry*, *25*(6), 390.

Gunjal, B. (2019). Knowledge management: why do we need it for corporates. Malaysian Journal of Library & Information Science (ISSN: 1394-6234).

Gürbüz, S. (2017). Survey as a quantitative research method. *Research Methods and Techniques in Public Relations and Advertising*, *2017*, 141-62.

Haass, O., & Azizi, N. (2020). Challenges and solutions across project life cycle: A knowledge sharing perspective. *International Journal of Project Organisation and Management*, *12*(4), 346-379.

Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & William, C. (1998). Black (1998), Multivariate data analysis.

Hair Jr, J. F. (2006). Black, Wc, Babin, Bj Anderson, Re & Tatham, Rl (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*, *6*.

Hair, J. F., Black, W., Babin, B., & Anderson, R. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.) Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEW: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139-152.

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM).* London: Sage Publications.

Hair, J.E., Hult, T.M., Ringle, C.M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA, USA.

Hansen, M. T., Nohria, N., & Tierney, T. (1999). What's your strategy for managing knowledge. *The knowledge management yearbook 2000–2001*, *77*(2), 106-116.

Harahap, N. J., & Rafika, M. (2020). Industrial Revolution 4.0: and the Impact on Human Resources. *Ecobisma (jurnal ekonomi, bisnis dan manajemen)*, *7*(1), 89-96.

Hedlund, G. (1994). A model of knowledge management and the N-form corporation. *Strategic management journal*, *15*(S2), 73-90.

Hislop, D., Bosua, R., & Helms, R. (2018). *Knowledge management in organizations*:
A critical introduction. Oxford University Press.

Howe, K. R. (1988). Against the quantitative-qualitative incompatibility thesis or dogmas die hard. *Educational researcher*, *17*(8), 10-16.

Hussein, A. T. T., Singh, S. K., Farouk, S., & Sohal, A. S. (2016). Knowledge sharing enablers, processes and firm innovation capability. *Journal of Workplace Learning*.

Hughes, D. L., Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., & Simintiras, A. C. (2016). Information systems project failure–analysis of causal links using interpretive structural modelling. Production Planning & Control, 27(16), 1313-1333.

Hughes, J. A., & Sharrock, W. W. (2016). *The philosophy of social research*. Routledge.

Igbinovia, M. O., & Ikenwe, I. J. (2017). Knowledge management: processes and systems. *Information Impact: Journal of Information and Knowledge Management*, *8*(3), 26-38.

Ihnatenko, M., Marmul, L., Petrenko, V., Karnaushenko, A., & Levaieva, L. (2020). Innovative tools in the methodology and teaching of the basic principles of enterprise management.

Ikenwe, I. J., & Igbinovia, M. O. (2015). Influence of knowledge sharing in reducing the spread of" hiv/aids" among adolescents in rural areas in Delta state,

Nigeria. *Kuwait Chapter of the Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, *4*(12), 18.

Inkinen, H. (2016). Review of empirical research on knowledge management practices and firm performance. *Journal of knowledge management*.

Iqbal, M., Astuti, E. S., Trialih, R., Arifin, Z., & Aprilian, Y. A. (2020). The influences of information technology resources on Knowledge Management Capabilities: Organizational culture as mediator variable. *Human Systems Management*, (Preprint), 1-11.

Ivezic, N., Frechette, S., Ivezic, N., Jones, A., Kulvatunyou, B., Lee, J., ... & Lu, Y. (2016). *OAGi/NIST workshop on open cloud architecture for smart manufacturing*. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Jimenez-Jimenez, D., & Sanz-Valle, R. (2013). Studying the effect of HRM practices on the knowledge management process. *Personnel Review*.

Jugend, D., da Silva, S. L., Oprime, P. C., & Pimenta, M. L. (2015). Organizational issues for integration of high-technology in new product development: framework proposal and case studies in Brazilian companies. Innovation, 17(2), 217-231.

Kaba, A., & Ramaiah, C. K. (2020). Predicting knowledge creation through the use of knowledge acquisition tools and reading knowledge sources. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*.

Kassim, N. A., Baharuddin, M. F., & Samad, Z. A. (2016). Knowledge Management Practices and Organizational Performance in Malaysia Government Institution. *International Journal for Infonomics (IJI)*, *9*(4).

Kim, J. C., & Chung, K. (2019). Knowledge-based hybrid decision model using neural network for nutrition management. *Information Technology and Management*, 1-11.

Kline, R. B. (2015). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. Guilford publications.

Kline, R. B. (2015). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. Guilford publications.

Kline, R. B. (2011). Convergence of structural equation modeling and multilevel modeling.

Kong, S. C. (2014). Developing information literacy and critical thinking skills through domain knowledge learning in digital classrooms: An experience of practicing flipped classroom strategy. *Computers & Education*, *78*, 160-173.

Kordab, M., Raudeliūnienė, J., & Meidutė-Kavaliauskienė, I. (2020). Mediating role of knowledge management in the relationship between organizational learning and sustainable organizational performance. *Sustainability*, *12*(23), 10061.

Kotrlik, J. W. K. J. W., & Higgins, C. C. H. C. C. (2001). Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research appropriate sample size in survey research. *Information technology, learning, and performance journal*, *19*(1), 43.

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and psychological measurement*, *30*(3), 607-610.

Kraha, A., Turner, H., Nimon, K., Zientek, L., & Henson, R. (2012). Tools to support interpreting multiple regression in the face of multicollinearity. *Frontiers in psychology*, *3*, 44.

Kumar, K., Zindani, D., & Davim, J. P. (2019). *Industry 4.0: developments towards the fourth industrial revolution*. Springer.

Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, J. P. (2016). *Management information system*. Pearson Education India.

Lee, G. R., & Lee, S. (2020). How outsourcing may enhance job satisfaction in the US federal bureaucracy: Exploring the role of knowledge sharing. *The American Review of Public Administration*, *50*(4-5), 387-400.

Lee, J. C., Shiue, Y. C., & Chen, C. Y. (2016). Examining the impacts of organizational culture and top management support of knowledge sharing on the success of software process improvement. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *54*, 462-474.

Leopold, T. A., Ratcheva, V., & Zahidi, S. (2016, January). The future of jobs: employment, skills, and workforce strategies for the Fourth Industrial Revolution. World Economic Forum.

Li, H., You, J. X., Liu, H. C., & Tian, G. (2018). Acquiring and sharing tacit knowledge based on interval 2-tuple linguistic assessments and extended fuzzy Petri nets. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems*, *26*(01), 43-65.

Liebowitz, J., & Beckman, T. (2020). *Knowledge organizations: What every manager should know*. CRC press.

Lin, T. Y., Maire, M., Belongie, S., Hays, J., Perona, P., Ramanan, D., ... & Zitnick, C. L. (2014, September). Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *European conference on computer vision* (pp. 740-755). Springer, Cham.

Lithner, J. (2008). A research framework for creative and imitative reasoning. *Educational studies in mathematics*, *67*(3), 255-276.

Lu, Y., Morris, K. C., & Frechette, S. (2016). Current standards landscape for smart manufacturing systems. *National Institute of Standards and Technology, NISTIR*, *8107*, 39.

MacKinnon, D. P., & Fairchild, A. J. (2009). Current directions in mediation analysis. *Current directions in psychological science*, *18*(1), 16-20.

Manesh, M. F., Pellegrini, M. M., Marzi, G., & Dabic, M. (2020). Knowledge management in the fourth industrial revolution: Mapping the literature and scoping future avenues. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, *68*(1), 289-300.

Mathi, K. (2004). *Key success factors for knowledge management*. Lindau, Germany.

McAdam, R., Mason, B., & McCrory, J. (2007). Exploring the dichotomies within the tacit knowledge literature: towards a process of tacit knowing in organizations. *Journal of knowledge management*.

McKenney, S., Kali, Y., Markauskaite, L., & Voogt, J. (2015). Teacher design knowledge for technology enhanced learning: an ecological framework for investigating assets and needs. *Instructional science*, *43*(2), 181-202.

Meihami, B., & Meihami, H. (2014). Knowledge Management a way to gain a competitive advantage in firms (evidence of manufacturing companies). *International letters of social and humanistic sciences*, *3*(14), 80-91.

Memon, S. B., Qureshi, J. A., & Jokhio, I. A. (2020). The role of organizational culture in knowledge sharing and transfer in Pakistani banks: A qualitative study. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, *39*(3), 45-54.

Migdadi, M. M., & Abu Zaid, M. K. S. (2016). An empirical investigation of knowledge management competence for enterprise resource planning systems success: insights from Jordan. *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(18), 5480-5498.

Mohamed, A., M., & Pillutla, S. (2014). *Cloud computing: a collaborative green platform for the knowledge society*. VINE, 44(3), 357-374.

Mohamad, A. A., Ramayah, T., & Lo, M. C. (2017). Knowledge management in MSC Malaysia: The role of information technology capability. *International Journal of Business & Society*, *18*.

Morabito, R., Kjällman, J., & Komu, M. (2015, March). Hypervisors vs. lightweight virtualization: a performance comparison. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Cloud Engineering* (pp. 386-393). IEEE.

Morabito, V. (2015). Big data and analytics for government innovation. In B*ig data and analytics* (pp. 23-45). Springer, Cham.

Moustaghfir, K., & Schiuma, G. (2013). Knowledge, learning, and innovation: research and perspectives. *Journal of knowledge management*, *17*(4), 495-510.

Mueller, J. (2015). Formal and informal practices of knowledge sharing between project teams and enacted cultural characteristics. *Project Management Journal*, *46*(1), 53-68.

Muhammed, S., & Zaim, H. (2020). Peer knowledge sharing and organizational performance: the role of leadership support and knowledge management success. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.

Muhuri, P. K., Shukla, A. K., & Abraham, A. (2019). Industry 4.0: A bibliometric analysis and detailed overview. *Engineering applications of artificial intelligence*, *78*, 218-235.

Muhammed, S., & Zaim, H. (2020). Peer knowledge sharing and organizational performance: the role of leadership support and knowledge management success. Journal of Knowledge Management.

Muñoz-Pascual, L., Galende, J., & Curado, C. (2020). Human resource management contributions to knowledge sharing for a Sustainability-Oriented performance: a mixed methods approach. *Sustainability*, *12*(1), 161.

Muthuveloo, R., Shanmugam, N., & Teoh, A. P. (2017). The impact of tacit knowledge management on organizational performance: Evidence from Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, *22*(4), 192-201.

Nadadoor, V. R., De la Hoz Siegler, H., Shah, S. L., McCaffrey, W. C., & Ben-Zvi, A. (2012). Online sensor for monitoring a microalgal bioreactor system using support vector regression. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, *110*(1), 38-48.

Nagelkerke, N. J. (1991). A note on a general definition of the coefficient of determination. *Biometrika, 78*(3), 691-692.

National Media Council (Department of Internal Information) (2011). *United Arab Emirates 2011*. Trident Publishing Company, London.

Nauman, S., Midler, C., & Musawir, A. U. (2022). Project Knowledge Management and the Challenges of Rising Complexity and Uncertainty: Creating Learning Projects in Contemporary Transitions.

Ngoc Thang, N., & Anh Tuan, P. (2020). Knowledge acquisition, knowledge management strategy and innovation: An empirical study of Vietnamese firms. *Cogent Business & Management*, *7*(1), 1786314.

Nguyen, H. N., & Mohamed, S. (2011). Leadership behaviors, organizational culture and knowledge management practices: An empirical investigation. *Journal of management development*.

Nicholson, B., & Sahay, S. (2004). Embedded knowledge and offshore software development. *Information and organization*, *14*(4), 329-365.

Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*. Oxford university press.

Nonaka, I., & Toyama, R. (2015). The knowledge-creating theory revisited: knowledge creation as a synthesizing process. In *The essentials of knowledge management* (pp. 95-110). Palgrave Macmillan, London.

Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*. Oxford university press.

North, K., & Kumta, G. (2020). *Knowledge management: Value creation through organizational learning*.

Nur Hafizah Mat Daud and Safawi Abdul Rahman, Advantages of Using Cloud Computing by Knowledge Management Personnel, *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 2017, Vol. 7, No. 11, ISSN: 2222-6990

Obeso, M., Hernández-Linares, R., López-Fernández, M. C., & Serrano-Bedia, A. M. (2020). Knowledge management processes and organizational performance: the mediating role of organizational learning. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.

O'Brien, R. (1998). An overview of the methodological approach of action research.

Ode, E., & Ayavoo, R. (2020). The mediating role of knowledge application in the relationship between knowledge management practices and firm innovation. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *5*(3), 210-218.

Oduro, S. (2019). Examining open innovation practices in low-tech SMEs: insights from an emerging market. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*.

O'Dell, C. S., O'dell, C., Grayson, C. J., & Essaides, N. (1998). *If only we knew what we know: The transfer of internal knowledge and best practice*. Simon and Schuster.

OECD. (2013). Structural policy country notes. https://www.oecd.org/dev/asia-pacific/Malaysia.pdf [3 September, 2022]

Oliva, F. L., Couto, M. H. G., Santos, R. F., & Bresciani, S. (2019). The integration between knowledge management and dynamic capabilities in agile organizations. *Management Decision*.

Oliver, J. (2008). Knowledge management practices to support continuous improvement. *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*, *9*(4), 1-14.

Omari, A. H., Khammash, M. R., Qasaimeh, G. R., Shammari, A. K., Yaseen, M. K. B., & Hammori, S. K. (2014). Acute appendicitis in the elderly: risk factors for perforation. *World Journal of Emergency Surgery*, *9*(1), 6.

Omotayo, F. O. (2015). Knowledge Management as an important tool in Organisational Management: A Review of Literature. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, *1*(2015), 1-23.

Omotayo, F. O. (2015). Knowledge Management as an important tool in Organisational Management: A Review of Literature. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, *1*(2015), 1-23.

Othman, M., & Egbu, C. O. (2009, May). Issues associated with knowledge sharing initiatives in government agencies in Malaysia. In *CIB World Conference 2010* (Vol. 1). School of Built Environment, The University of Salford.

Oztemel, E., & Gursev, S. (2020). Literature review of Industry 4.0 and related technologies. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, *31*(1), 127-182.

Pateli, A. G., & Giaglis, G. M. (2004). A research framework for analysing eBusiness models. *European journal of information systems*, *13*(4), 302-314.

Peppard, J., & Ward, J. (2016). *The strategic management of information systems: Building a digital strategy*. John Wiley & Sons.

Peruffo, E., Marchegiani, L., & Vicentini, F. (2018). Experience as a source of knowledge in divestiture decisions: emerging issues and knowledge management implications. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.

Popkova, E. G., Ragulina, Y. V., & Bogoviz, A. V. (Eds.). (2019). *Industry 4.0: Industrial revolution of the 21st century* (p. 253). Springer.

Prasetyo, A. H. (2017). Knowledge management proponents or opponents: empirical test on micro finance in emerging market. *International Journal of Knowledge Management (IJKM)*, *13*(2), 35-48.

Rahman, M. S. (2020). The advantages and disadvantages of using qualitative and quantitative approaches and methods in language "testing and assessment" research: A literature review.

Rana, G., & Goel, A. K. (2017). Knowledge management process at BHEL: a case study. *International Journal of Knowledge Management Studies*, *8*(1-2), 115-130.

Ray, G., Barney, J. B., & Muhanna, W. A. (2004). Capabilities, business processes, and competitive advantage: choosing the dependent variable in empirical tests of the resource-based view. *Strategic management journal*, *25*(1), 23-37.

Raza, I., & Awang, Z. (2020). Knowledge sharing in multicultural organizations: evidence from Pakistan. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*.

Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., & Becker, J. M. (2015). SmartPLS 3. SmartPLS GmbH, Boenningstedt. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, *10*(3).

Robinson, H. S., Carrillo, P. M., Anumba, C. J., & Al-Ghassani, A. M. (2005). Knowledge management practices in large construction organisations. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.

Rodrigo, C. P., Aranceta, J., Salvador, G., & Varela-Moreiras, G. (2015). Food frequency questionnaires. *Nutricion hospitalaria*, *31*(3), 49-56.

Rusly, F. H., Corner, J. L., & Sun, P. (2012). Positioning change readiness in knowledge management research. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.

Saadi, I. K. (2010). The impact of the use of information technology on the audit profession in the industrial sector in Jordan: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Public Administration*, *50*(1), 53-83.

Saito, A., Umemoto, K., & Ikeda, M. (2007). A strategy-based ontology of knowledge management technologies. *Journal of knowledge Management*.

Sachdeva, A., Sharma, V., Garg, R. K., Hussain, M., Ajmal, M. M., Khan, M., & Saber, H. (2015). Competitive priorities and knowledge management. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management.

Sanghi, S. (2016). *The handbook of competency mapping: understanding, designing and implementing competency models in organizations*. SAGE publications India.

Santoro, G., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., & Dezi, L. (2018). The Internet of Things: Building a knowledge management system for open innovation and knowledge management capacity. *Technological forecasting and social change*, *136*, 347-354.

Sarina, T. (2018). Enhancing knowledge management (KM) in the fourth industrial revolution era: The role of human resource systems. In *The Palgrave*

handbook of knowledge management (pp. 411-435). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.

Sarrafizadeh, A., & Alipour, V. (2011). A feasibility study of applying the e-insurance in presenting the existing insurance products.

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*. John Wiley & Sons.

Seligman, M. E., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2014). Positive psychology: An introduction. In *Flow and the foundations of positive psychology* (pp. 279-298). Springer, Dordrecht.

Selya, A. S., Rose, J. S., Dierker, L. C., Hedeker, D., & Mermelstein, R. J. (2012). A practical guide to calculating Cohen's f2, a measure of local effect size, from PROC MIXED. *Frontiers in psychology*, *3*, 111.

Sharma, P., Leung, T. Y., Kingshott, R. P., Davcik, N. S., & Cardinali, S. (2020). Managing uncertainty during a global pandemic: An international business perspective. *Journal of business research*, *116*, 188-192.

Shujahat, M., Sousa, M. J., Hussain, S., Nawaz, F., Wang, M., & Umer, M. (2019). Translating the impact of knowledge management processes into knowledge-based innovation: The neglected and mediating role of knowledge-worker productivity. Journal of Business Research, 94, 442-450.

Siddique, H. R., & Saleem, M. (2012). Role of BMI1, a stem cell factor, in cancer recurrence and chemoresistance: preclinical and clinical evidences. *Stem cells*, *30*(3), 372-378.

Siddique, C. M. (2012). Knowledge management initiatives in the United Arab Emirates: a baseline study. *Journal of knowledge Management*.

Silverman, D. (2013). *Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook*. Sage.

Singh, S., & McKeen, J. D. (2008). The development and investigation of a conceptual model to understand knowledge management.

Small, C. T., & Sage, A. P. (2005). Knowledge management and knowledge sharing: A review. *Information Knowledge systems management*, *5*(3), 153-169.

Smuttrasen, K., & Heo, D. (2020). The impact of leader roles on cross-border knowledge management and the development of boundaryless business

models: A case study of Thai construction companies. *Knowledge and Process Management*, *27*(1), 53-62.

Sultan, N. (2013). Knowledge management in the age of cloud computing and Web 2.0: Experiencing the power of disruptive innovations. *International journal of information management*, *33*(1), 160-165.

Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., & Ullman, J. B. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics* (Vol. 5, pp. 481-498). Boston, MA: Pearson.

Tadesse, D. K. (2020). The impact of knowledge management towards organization performance. *Journal of Business and Management*, *22*(3), 37-48.

Teece, D., Peteraf, M., & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy. *California Management Review*, *58*(4), 13-35.

Tenenhaus, M., Amato, S., & Esposito Vinzi, V. (2004, June). A global goodness-of-fit index for PLS structural equation modelling. In *Proceedings of the XLII SIS scientific meeting* (Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 739-742).

Tidd, J., & Bessant, J. R. (2020). *Managing innovation: integrating technological, market and organizational change*. John Wiley & Sons.

Van Teijlingen, E., & Hundley, V. (2002). The importance of pilot studies. *Nursing Standard (through 2013)*, *16*(40), 33.

Vidaver-Cohen, D. (1998). Moral climate in business firms: A conceptual framework for analysis and change. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *17*(11), 1211-1226.

Vinzi, V. E., Chin, W. W., Henseler, J., & Wang, H. (2010). *Handbook of partial least squares* (Vol. 201, No. 0). Berlin: Springer.

Wang, M. H., & Yang, T. Y. (2016). Investigating the success of knowledge management: An empirical study of small-and medium-sized enterprises. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, *21*(2), 79-91.

Wang, Y., Li, J., Zhao, X., Feng, G., & Luo, X. R. (2020). Using mobile phone data for emergency management: A systematic literature review. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *22*(6), 1539-1559.

Walby, S. (2001). Against epistemological chasms: The science question in feminism revisited. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, *26*(2), 485-509.

Wang, Z., & Wang, N. (2012). Knowledge sharing, innovation and firm performance. Expert systems with applications, 39(10), 8899-8908.

Wang, Z., Sharma, P. N., & Cao, J. (2016). From knowledge sharing to firm performance: A predictive model comparison. Journal of Business Research, 69(10), 4650-4658.

Wielki, J., Jurczyk-Bunkowska, M., & Madera, D. (2020, December). Knowledge Capture to Monitor the Alignment of Hospital Processes and Applied Information Technologies. In *Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Knowledge Management* (pp. 2-4).

Werner, K., & Dickson, G. (2018). Coworker knowledge sharing and peer learning among elite footballers: Insights from German Bundesliga players. *Sport Management Review*, *21*(5), 596-611.

Wickramasinghe, N. (2003). Do we practise what we preach? Are knowledge management systems in practice truly reflective of knowledge management systems in theory?. *Business Process Management Journal*.

Wong, K. K. K. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) techniques using SmartPLS. *Marketing Bulletin*, *24*(1), 1-32.

Wong, K. K. K. (2016). Mediation analysis, categorical moderation analysis, and higher-order constructs modeling in Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM): A B2B Example using SmartPLS. *Marketing Bulletin*, *26*(1), 1-22.

Yeh, Y. J., Lai, S. Q., & Ho, C. T. (2006). Knowledge management enablers: a case study. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.

Yoshikuni, A. C., & Albertin, A. L. (2020). Leveraging firm performance through information technology strategic alignment and knowledge management strategy: an empirical study of IT-Business Value. *International Journal of Research-GRANTHAALAYAH*, *8*(10), 304-318.

Yoshikuni, A. C., & Lucas, E. C. (2021). Knowledge Management Processes and Performance: Key Role of IS Strategies in Knowledge Capture and

Utilisation. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, *20*(04), 2150047.

Yu, T. S. (2003). Can East Asia rise again?. *Journal of Asian Economics*, *13*(6), 715-729.

Zhang, Q., Cheng, L., & Boutaba, R. (2010). Cloud computing: state-of-the-art and research challenges. *Journal of internet services and applications*, *1*(1), 7-18.

Zong, W., Wu, F., & Jiang, Z. (2017). A Markov-based update policy for constantly changing database systems. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, *64*(3), 287-300.

VITA

Mr Salem Obaid Salem Alnuami was born at Ras Al Khaimah – UAE on 09th June 1993. He currently Strategy And Performance Development Department at Police Department. He get his Bachelor's degree in police sciences and criminal justice from Police College on 2016. He also got CPR Emergency First Aid for Adult/Child and was appointed as Internal Assessor Training Government Excellence Model (GEM 2.0)

He also get appreciation from Prime Ministers Initiatives - Certificate of Appreciation 2021, Digital Dubai Authority - Certificate of Appreciation 2022 and Dubai Media Office - Certificate of Appreciation 2022.