# DETERMINANTS OF WORKPLACE PRO-ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOR (WPEB) AMONG MALAYSIA PUBLIC SERVANTS

## NOR KAMARIAH BINTI KAMARUDDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment requirements for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy in Technology Management

Faculty of Technology Management and Business Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Alhamdulilah I am very grateful to Allah S.W.T., without His grace and blessings this study would not have been possible. Immeasurable appreciation and deepest appreciation for the help and support extended by the following persons who in one way or another have contributed to making this study possible.

To Prof. Madya Dr. Nor Hazana Binti Abdullah, my supervisor, for her support, advice, guidance, valuable comments, and suggestions that helped me very much in completing this study. I am very grateful for having such a good supervisor like you.

I also would like to thank the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia, for providing the scholarship to enable my study. To my employer, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), thank you for the support. My gratitude and thanks also go to those individuals and organizations that passionately contributed their support, guidance, encouragement, and contribution to this study.

To all the key persons involved in the preliminary interview, pre-test, and all the respondents, thank you for your worthy support and cooperation, and time in terms of providing me with all the needed information in this study.

To my beloved husband Mohd Zulkernain Iskandar, my dear sister Halimah, my lovely children Danish Mukhriz, Rayyan Luqman, and Firaz Mirza thank you for being my source of encouragement, my strength, and my motivation in completing this thesis.

Finally, to all my colleagues and friends, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eta, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Asrul Assoc. Prof. Dr. Alina, Dr. Wan Nurul Karimah, Dr. Nurazwa Ahmad, Dr. Hasrul Akmal, Dr. Gusman Nawanir, Mrs. Lilyanna Jamari, Mrs. Farhana Ismail, and others. Thank you for your prayers, friendship, and continuous encouragement.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Green growth can be achieved through pro-environmental behavior thru identifying its key determinants among Malaysian public servants. This is because public servants are the largest workforce in Malaysia. Moreover, studies on proenvironmental behavior among the Malaysian public servant is still limited, fragmented, and focusing on direct effect. Therefore, this study was conducted to identify the determinants that influence workplace pro-environmental behavior (WPEB) among Malaysian public servants using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) and confirmed by a preliminary study. It also examines the relationship between organisational determinants [environmental transformational leadership (ETL), organisational support toward the environment (POSE), and co-worker influence (CA)] and individual determinants [environmental harmonious passion (EHP), and environmental concern (EC)] on workplace pro-environmental behavior (WPEB) among Malaysian public servants. This study also investigates the mediating effects of two individual determinants (EHP and EC). The framework of this study is supported by Stimuli-Organism-Response (SOR) Theory. A total of 1000 survey questionnaires were distributed via email to respondents consisting of public servants from 14 ministries and government departments located at the Government Administrative Centre, Putrajaya using stratified sampling technique. The response rate was 62%. Measurement model assessment using Partial Least Squares-Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) showed satisfactory measurement in terms of internal consistency, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. Hypotheses testing indicated all organisational determinants (ETL, POSE, and CA) significantly influence WPEB. Nevertheless, among these three variables, co-worker influence (CA) had the strongest relationship with workplace pro-environmental behavior (WPEB). For individual determinants, only EHP significantly influences WPEB. However, EC does not significantly influence WPEB. Mediation analysis showed that EHP significantly mediates the relationship between the three organisational determinants (ETL, POSE, and CA) and WPEB. Conversely, environmental concern (EC) did not mediate the relationship between organisational determinants (ETL, POSE, and CA) and WPEB. 58.2% variance in WPEB is explained by EHP, while 56.1% variance in WPEB is explained by EC. More importantly, this study provides insight to assist policymakers in formulating strategies and effective policies to foster pro-environmental behavior among public servants and, as a result, achieve Malaysia's Green Growth goal.

#### ABSTRAK

Pertumbuhan hijau dapat dikecapi dengan mengenal pasti penentu utama tingkah laku pro-alam sekitar (WPEB) di kalangan penjawat awam Malaysia. Ini kerana, penjawat awam merupakan penyumbang tenaga kerja terbesar di Malaysia. Selain itu, kajian mengenai tingkah laku pro-alam sekitar di kalangan penjawat awam Malaysia masih terhad, samar dan menfokuskan kepada kesan secara langsung. Oleh itu, kajian ini dilaksanakan untuk mengenal pasti penentu yang mempengaruhi tingkah laku pro-alam sekitar di tempat kerja dengan menggunakan kaedah Literatur Sistematik (SLR) dan disahkan melalui kajian tinjauan. Kajian ini juga mengkaji hubungan diantara penentu organisasi [kepimpinan transformasi alam sekitar (ETL), sokongan organisasi terhadap alam sekitar (POSE) dan juga pengaruh rakan sekerja (CA)] dan penentu individu [semangat harmoni kecintaan alam sekitar (EHP) dan keprihatinan terhadap alam sekitar (EC)] dengan WPEB di kalangan penjawat awam Malaysia. Kajian ini turut mengkaji kesan pengantara dua penentu individu (EHP dan EC). Kerangka kajian ini disokong oleh Teori Stimuli-Tindak Balas-Organisma (SOR). Sejumlah 1000 borang soal selidik telah diedarkan melalui emel kepada responden yang terdiri daripada penjawat awam dari 14 kementerian dan jabatan kerajaan di Pusat Pentadbiran Kerajaan, Putrajaya dengan menggunakan teknik persampelan bestrata. Kadar maklumbalas adalah sebanyak 62%. Penilaian pengukuran model menggunakan perisian Partial Least Square-Structural Model (PLS-SEM) menunjukkan keputusan pengukuran yang memuaskan dari segi ketekalan dalaman, kesahan konvergen, dan kesahan diskriminasi. Hasil ujian hipotesis kajian menunjukkan kesemua penentu organisasi (ETL, POSE dan CA) mempengaruhi WPEB dengan ketara. Namun begitu, di antara ketiga-tiga pemboleh ubah ini, pengaruh rakan sekerja (CA) mempunyai hubungan yang paling kuat dengan WPEB. Bagi faktor penentu individu, hanya EHP mempengaruhi WPEB dengan ketara. Sebaliknya, EC tidak mempengaruhi WPEB dengan ketara. Hasil analisa pengantara pula menunjukkan EHP mejadi pengantara ketara di antara tiga faktor penentu organisasi (ETL, POSE dan CA) dengan WPEB. Sebaliknya, EC tidak menjadi pengantara hubungan di antara penentu organisasi (ETL, POSE dan CA) dengan WPEB. 58.2% varians dalam WPEB dijelaskan oleh EHP, manakala 56.1 varians dalam WPEB dijelaskan oleh EC. Lebih penting lagi, kajian ini dapat menyumbang kepada penggubal dasar dalam merangka strategi dan dasar yang berkesan untuk memupuk tingkah laku pro-alam sekitar dalam kalangan penjawat awam dan, seterusnya mencapai matlamat pertumbuhan Hijau Malaysia.

# **CONTENTS**

TITLE				i
DECLARATIO	ON			ii
ACKNOWLE	DGEME	NT		iii
ABSTRACT				iv
ABSTRAK				v
CONTENTS				vi
LIST OF TAB	LES			XV
LIST OF FIGU	URES			xi
CHAPTER 1	: INTRO	DUCTIO	N	1
	1.1	Introduct	ion	1
	1.2	Backgrou	and of research	1
	1.3	Research	problem	4
		1.3.1	Workplace pro-environmental behavior issues	5
		1.3.2	Empirical gap	8
		1.3.3	Theoretical gap	14
	1.4	Research	questions	15
	1.5	Research	objectives	15
	1.6	Scope of	the research	16
	1.7	Research	significance	18
	1.8	Operation	nal definitions	19
		1.8.1	Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	19
		1.8.2	Environmental Concern (EC)	19
		1.8.3	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	19
		1.8.4	Environmental Transformational Leadership (ETL)	20
		1.8.5	Perceived Organizational Support toward Environment (POSE)	20
		1.8.6	Co-worker Influence (CA)	20

	ò
V1	1

	1.9	Structure of the thesis	20
CHAPTER 2:	LITERA	ATURE REVIEW	22
	2.1	Introduction	22
	2.2	Conceptual definition of pro-environmental behavior	22
	2.3	Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	24
	2.4	Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior	28
		Measurement	
		2.4.1 Conserving	28
		2.4.2 Avoiding harm	29
		2.4.3 Transforming	29
		2.4.4 Influencing others	29
		2.4.5 Taking initiative	30
	2.5	Systematic Literature Review on Workplace Pro- Environmental Behavior (WPEB) Studies	32
	2.6	WPEB Determinants Based on Systematic Literature	34
		Review	1
		2.6.1 Individual determinants	35
		2.6.2 Organisational determinants	38
	2.7	Preliminary assessment of WPEB determinants by expert panels	41
	2.8	Individual determinants	46
		2.8.1 Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	47
		2.8.2 Environmental Concern (EC)	48
	2.9	Organisational determinants	49
		2.9.1 Environmental Transformational Leadership (ETL)	49
		2.9.2 Perceived Organisational Support towards Environment (POSE)	51
		2.9.3 Co-Worker Influence (CA)	52
	2.10	Underpinning Theory: Stimulus- Organism-Response (SOR)	53
		2.10.1 Relationship of stimulus-organism-response theory for the present study	56
	2.11	Hypothesis development	57

2.11.1	Relationship between Environmental	57
	Transformation Leadership Style (ETL)	
	and Workplace Environmental Behavior	
	(WPEB)	
2.11.2	Relationship between Perceived	58
	Organisational Supports towards	
	Environment (POSE) and Workplace Pro-	
	Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	
2.11.3	Relationship between Co-worker Influence	59
	(CA) and Workplace Environmental Pro-	
	Behavior (WPEB)	
2.11.4	Relationship between Environmental	60
	Transformation Leadership Style (ETL)	
	and Environmental Harmonious Passion	
	(EHP)	
2.11.5	Relationship between Perceived	61
	Organisational Support towards	
	Environment (POSE) and Environmental	
	Harmonious Passion (EHP)	
2.11.6	Relationship between Co-workers	61
	Influence (CA) and Environmental	
	Harmonious Passion (EHP)	
2.11.7	Relationship between Environmental	62
	Transformation Leadership Style (ETL)	
	and Environmental Concern (EC)	
2.11.8	Relationship between Perceived	63
	Organisational Supports toward	
	Environment (POSE) and Environmental	
	Concern (EC)	
2.11.9	Relationship between Co-workers	64
	Influence (CA) and Environmental	
	Concern (EC)	
2.11.10	Relationship between Environmental	65
	Harmonious Passion (EHP) and Workplace	
	Pro-Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	
2.11.11	Relationship between Environmental	
	Concern (EC) and Workplace Pro-	66
	Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	
2.11.12	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	67
	as a mediator between Environmental	
	Transformation Leadership Style (ETL)	
	and Workplace Environmental Behaviour	
	(WPEB)	
2 11 13	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	68

			as a mediator between Perceived	
			Organisational Supports towards	
			Environment (POSE) and Workplace Pro-	
			Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	
		2.11.14	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	69
			as a mediator between Co-Workers	
			Influence (CA) and Workplace Pro-	
		2 11 15	Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	70
		2.11.15	Environmental Concern (EC) as a mediator between Environmental Transformational	70
			Leadership Style (ETL) and Workplace	
			Pro- Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	
		2.11.16	Environmental Concern (EC) as a mediator	71
			between Perceived Organisational	
			Supports toward Environment (POSE) and	
			Workplace Pro-Environmental Behaviour	
			(WPEB)	
		2.11.17	Environmental Concern (EC) as a mediator	72
			between Co-Workers Influence (CA) and	
			Workplace Pro-Environmental Behaviour	
	2.12	Concent	(WPEB) ual framework	73
	2.12	Concepu	iai Irailiework	13
	2.13		y of empirical research gaps	74
				<b>7</b> 4
CHAPTER 3	2.13	Summary		74 <b>76</b>
CHAPTER 3	2.13	Summary	y of empirical research gaps  ETHODOLOGY	
CHAPTER 3	2.13 : <b>RESE</b>	Summary	y of empirical research gaps  ETHODOLOGY  tion	76
CHAPTER 3	2.13 : <b>RESE</b> . 3.1	Summary  ARCH M  Introduct  Research	y of empirical research gaps  ETHODOLOGY  tion	<b>76</b>
CHAPTER 3	2.13 : <b>RESE</b> . 3.1 3.2	ARCH M Introduct Research Research	y of empirical research gaps  ETHODOLOGY  tion  design	<b>76</b> 76
CHAPTER 3	2.13 : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Research	ey of empirical research gaps  ETHODOLOGY  tion  design  philosophy	<b>76</b> 76 76 78
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Research Methodo	ETHODOLOGY tion design philosophy approach	76 76 76 78
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Research Methodo	ETHODOLOGY  tion design philosophy approach clogical choices strategy	76 76 78 80 81
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Methodo Research	ETHODOLOGY  tion design philosophy approach logical choices strategy	76 76 78 80 81 82
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Methodo Research Time hor	ETHODOLOGY  tion design philosophy approach logical choices strategy	76 76 78 80 81 82 83
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Methodo Research Time hor	ETHODOLOGY  tion design philosophy approach clogical choices strategy rizon on	76 76 78 80 81 82 83
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Methodo Research Time hor Population Sampling	ETHODOLOGY  tion  design  philosophy approach  logical choices a strategy  rizon  on  g procedure	76 76 78 80 81 82 83 83
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Methodo Research Time hor Populatio Sampling 3.9.1 3.9.2	ETHODOLOGY  tion  design  philosophy approach  logical choices a strategy  rizon  on g procedure  Determination of sample size of the study	76 76 78 80 81 82 83 84 85
CHAPTER 3	2.13  : RESE. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9	ARCH M Introduct Research Research Methodo Research Time hor Populatio Sampling 3.9.1 3.9.2 Question	ETHODOLOGY  tion design philosophy approach logical choices strategy rizon on g procedure Determination of sample size of the study Unit of analysis	76 76 78 80 81 82 83 84 85 88

	3.11.2	Preliminary assessment	93
3.12	Stage 2:	Questionnaire development	93
	3.12.1	Questionnaire design	93
	3.12.2	Survey instrument measurement	96
		3.12.2.1 Co-Workers Influence (CA)	96
		3.12.2.2 Environmental Transformational Leadership Style (ETL)	97
		3.12.2.3 Perceived Organisation Support toward Environment (POSE)	97
		3.12.2.4 Environmental Concern (EC)	98
		3.12.2.5 Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	98
		3.12.2.6Workplace Pro-Environmental	
		Behavior (WPEB)	102
3.13	Stage 3:	Questionnaire content validity and reliability	105
	3.13.1	Pre-test	105
	3.13.2	Pilot study	113
3.14	Stage 4:	Finalising	114
	3.14.1	Questionnaire administration	114
	3.14.2	Ethical consideration	115
3.15	Data ana	alysis techniques	115
	3.15.1	Thematic analysis: systematic literature review and preliminary assessment	116
	3.15.2	Descriptive analysis: IBM SPSS	116
	3.15.3	Data analysis technique: structural equation modelling	117
3.16	Measure	ement model	118
3.17	Reflectiv	ve model measurement	120
	3.17.1	Internal consistency	120
	3.17.2	Indicator reliability	120
	3.17.3	Convergent validity	121
	3.17.4	Discriminant validity	122
3.18	Formativ	ve measurement model	123
	3.18.1	Convergent validity	123
	3 18 2	Collinearity	123

		3.18.3	Significance and relevance of the formative indicator	124
	3.19	Structura	ıl model	125
	3.20	Mediatin	g relationship	127
	3.21	Summar	y	128
CHAPTER 4:	DATA	ANALYS	SIS	130
	4.1	Introduc	tion	130
	4.2	Response	e rate	130
	4.3	Descript	ive analysis	132
		4.3.1	Demographic analysis	132
		4.3.2	Descriptive analysis of the variables	134
		4.3.3	Normality assessment	139
	4.4	Structura	al equation model using PLS-SEM	141
	4.5		ment model assessment	141
		4.5.1	Reflective model assessment	144
		4.5.2	Formative model assessment	150
	4.6		d model assessment	152
		4.6.1	Path coefficient analysis and hypothesis	153
			testing 4.6.1.1 Direct effects	154
	4.7	Mediatin	g effect assessment	158
	1,2,			
		4.7.1	Mediating effect of Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP) on	160
			Environmental Transformational	
			Leadership (ETL) and Workplace Pro-	
			Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	
		4.7.2	Mediating effect of Environmental	161
			Harmonious passion (EHP) on Perceived	
			Organisation Support Towards	
			Environment (POSE) and Workplace Pro-	
		4.7.0	Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	1.01
		4.7.3	Mediating effect of Environmental	161
			Harmonious Passion (EHP) on Co-Worker Influence (CA) and Workplace Pro-	
			Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	
		4.7.4	Mediating effect of Environment Concern	162
		,	(EC) on Environmental Transformational	102

		Leadership (ETL) and Workplace	
	175	Pro-Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	162
	4.7.5	Mediating effect of Environment Concern	163
		(EC) on Perceived Organisation Support	
		Towards Environment (POSE) and	
		Workplace Pro-Environmental Behaviour	
	176	(WPEB)	162
	4.7.6	Mediating effect of Environment Concern (EC) on Co-Worker Influence (CA) and	163
		Workplace Pro-Environmental Behaviour (WPEB)	
4.8		ty and validity of structural model	164
	assessme		
	4.8.1	Assessment of coefficient of determination $(R^2)$	164
	4.8.2	Assessment of effect size using Cohen's coefficient (f <sup>2</sup> )	165
	4.8.3	Assessment of predictive relevance (Q <sup>2</sup> )	166
4.9	Summar		167
1.7	Summar.		107
CHAPTER 5 : DISC	USSION A	AND CONCLUSION	170
5.1	Introduct	ion	170
5.2	Summar	y of findings	170
5.3	Discussion	on of research objectives	171
	5.3.1	To identify key determinants influencing	171
		Workplace Pro-Environmental	
		Behavior (WPEB) based on a systematic	
		literature review and preliminary study.	
	5.3.2	To investigate the relationship between	173
		Environmental Transformational	
		Leadership (ETL) and Workplace Pro-	
		Environmental Behavior (WPEB) among	
		Malaysian public servants	
	5.3.3	To investigate the relationship between	174
		Perceived Organizational	
		Support Toward the Environment (POSE)	
		and Workplace Pro-Environmental	
		Behavior (WPEB) among Malaysian	
		public servants	
	5.3.4	To investigate the relationship between	175
		Co-Worker Influence (CA)and Workplace	

	Pro-Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	
	among Malaysian public servants	
5.3.5	To investigate the relationship between	176
	Environmental Transformational	
	Leadership (ETL) and Environmental	
	Harmonious Passion (EHP) among	
	Malaysian public servants	
5.3.6	To investigate the relationship between	177
	Perceived Organizational Support toward	
	the Environment (POSE) and	
	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	
	among Malaysian public servants	
5.3.7	To investigate the relationship between	178
	Co-worker Influence (CA) and	
	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	
	among Malaysian public servants	
5.3.8	To investigate the relationship between	178
	Environmental Transformational	
	Leadership (ETL) and Environmental	
	Concern (EC) among Malaysian public	
	servants	
5.3.9	To investigate the relationship between	179
	Perceived Organizational Support Towards	
	the Environment (POSE)and	
	Environmental Concern (EC) among	
	Malaysian public servants	
5.3.10	To investigate the relationship between	180
	Co-Worker Influence (CA) and	
	Environmental Concern (EC) among	
	Malaysian public servants	
5.3.11	To investigate the relationship between	180
	Environmental Harmonious	
	Passion (EHP) and Workplace Pro-	
	Environmental Behavior (WPEB) among	
	Malaysian public servants	
5.3.12	To investigate the relationship between	181
	Environmental Concern (EC)	
	and Workplace Pro-Environmental	
	Behavior (WPEB) among Malaysian	
	public servants	
5.3.13	To determine the mediating effect of	183
-	Environmental Harmonious	
	Passion (EHP) on the relationship between	
	Environmental Transformational	

	Leadership (ETL) and Workplace Pro-	
	Environmental Behavior (WPEB)	
	among Malaysian public servants	
5.3.14	To investigate the mediating effect of	184
	Environmental Harmonious	
	Passion (EHP) on the Relationship	
	between Perceived Organizational Support	
	Toward the Environment (POSE) and	
	Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior	
	(WPEB) among Malaysian public servants	
5.3.15	To investigate the mediating effect of	184
	Environmental Harmonious Passion (EHP)	
	on the Relationship between Co-Workers	
	Influence (CA) and Workplace Pro-	
	Environmental Behavior (WPEB) among	
	Malaysian public servants	
5.3.16	To investigate the mediating effect of	185
	Environmental Concern (EC) on the	
	Relationship between Environmental	
	Transformational Leadership (ETL) and	
	Workplace Pro-Environmental Behavior	
	(WPEB) among Malaysian public servants	
5.3.17	To investigate the mediating effect of	186
	Environmental Concern (EC) on the	
	Relationship between Perceived	
	Organisational Support Toward the	
	Environment (POSE) and Workplace Pro-	
	Environmental Behavior (WPEB) among	
	Malaysian public servants	
5.3.18	To investigate the mediating effect of	187
	Environmental Concern (EC) toward	
	Public Servants on The Relationship	
	between Co-Workers Influence	
	(CA) and Workplace Pro-Environmental	
	Behavior (WPEB) among Malaysian	
	public servants	
Contribut	tions of the Study	189
5.4.1	Policy contribution	189
5.4.2	Managerial and practical contribution	189
5.4.3	Methodological contribution	190
5.4.4	Empirical contribution	191
J i		1

5.4

		ΛV
	5.4.5 Theoretical contribution	193
5.5	Limitations	194
5.6	Recommendation for future research	195
5.7	Overall conclusion	197
REFERENCES		199
APPENDICES		224
VITA		260



# LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Government initiatives for green growth	2
2.1	Definition terms of pro-environmental behavior	23
	(PEB)	
2.2	Definitions of terms/concept of WPEB	26
2.3	WPEB Domain	30
2.4	Previous studies and domain of WPEB	32
2.5	Summary of WPEB done in different workplace and	33
	country	
2.6	Individual determinants	35
2. 7	Organisational determinants	39
2.8	Selected organisation for preliminary study	42
2.9	Comparison of individual determinants based on	43
	systematic literature review and preliminary study	
	findings	
2.10	Comparison of organisational determinants based on	45
	systematic literature review and preliminary study	
2.11	Level of WPEB	46
2.12	The relationship between ETL, EHP and WPEB	68
2.13	The relationship between POSE, EHP and WPEB	69
2.14	The relationship between CA, EHP and WPEB	70
2.15	The relationship between ETL, EC and WPEB	71
2.16	The relationship between POSE, EC and WPEB	72
2.17	The relationship between CA, EC and WPEB	73
3.1	Number of staff	84
3.2	Distribution of sample size by ministry	87
3.3	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	92
3.4	Description of the questionnaire layout	95
3.5	Summary of measurement items	99

		xvii
3.6	Summary of measurement items (dependent variable)	104
3.7	Summary of measurement items pre-test	107
3.8	Response rate summary of the pilot study	113
3.9	Reliability test	114
3.10	Assessment of reflective constructs	122
3.11	Assessment of formative construct	124
3.12	Assessment of the structural model	126
4.1	Number of distributed samples and response rate	131
4.2	Demographic profile of respondents	133
4.3	Measurement items and coding	135
4.4	Level of agreement mean measurement for 5-point	136
	likert scale	
4.5	Summary of descriptive analysis of variables	137
4.6	Summary of normality assessment	140
4.7	Variable specification	143
4.8	Internal consistency measurement of the constructs	146
4.9	Convergent validity measurement of the constructs	147
4.10	Discriminant validity: Fornell-Larcker criterion	149
4.11	Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT) Statistic	150
4.12	Construct validity of formative constructs	152
4.13	List of hypotheses for direct effect	154
4.14	Summary of hypotheses testing for direct effect	155
4.15	List of hypotheses for mediating analysis or indirect	159
	effect	
4.16	Hypotheses testing on mediation (ETL-EHP-WPEB)	160
4.17	Hypotheses testing on mediation (POSE-EHP-	161
	WPEB)	
4.18	Hypotheses testing on mediation (CA-EHP-WPEB)	162
4.19	Hypothesis testing on mediation (ETL-EC-WPEB)	162
4.20	Hypothesis testing on mediation (POSE-EC-WPEB)	163
4.21	Hypothesis testing on mediation (CA-EC-WPEB)	164
4.22	Coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> )	165

		xviii
4.23	Effect size (f <sup>2</sup> )	166
4.24	Predictive relevance (Q <sup>2</sup> )	167
4.25	Summary of hypotheses testing results	168



# LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Composition of institutional waste of Malaysia	$\epsilon$
1.2	GHG emissions by three sectors	7
1.3	Summary of workplace pro-environmental issues	8
1.4	Studies on WPEB in different countries	12
1.5	Summary of empirical gaps	13
2.1	Basic SOR theoretical framework based on Mehrabian	54
	and Russell (1974)	
2.2	SOR theoretical framework by several studies	55
2.3	A proposed theoretical framework for this study	57
2.4	Proposed conceptual framework	74
3.1	The research onion	77
3.2	The research design process for this study	78
3.3	G- Power analysis	86
3.4	Questionnaire development procedure & process	89
3.5	Systematic literature review process flow	91
3.6	Data analysis techniques	116
3.7	Reflective and formative model assessment framework	119
3.8	Reflective measurement model	119
3.9	Formative measurement model	120
3.10	Mediating effect	128
4.1	PLS-SEM path model	142
4.2	Measurement model assessment	144
4.3	Formative construct	151
4.4	Output bootstrapping	153
5.1	Revised framework for Public Servant WPEB	198

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

MOHE - Ministry of Higher Education

UTHM - Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.

WPEB - Workplace Pro-environmental Behaviour

SLR - Systematic Literature Review

ETL - Environmental Transformational Leadership

POSE - Perceived Organisation Support Towards

Environment

CA - Co-worker Influence

EC - Environmental Concern

EHP - Environment Harmonious Passion

SOR - Stimuli-Organism-Response

PLS- - Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling

**SEM** 

R<sup>2</sup> - Coefficient of Determination

F<sup>2</sup> - Effect size

Q<sup>2</sup> - Predictive Relevance

GHG - Greenhouse Gas Emissions

CO<sup>2</sup> - Carbon Dioxide

# LIST OF APPENDICES

TITTLE	PAGE
Cover letter for Preliminary Study	224
Preliminary Study Schedule	225
Consent Letter for Preliminary Study	226
Preliminary Study Questions	236
Cover Letter questionnaire	240
Questionnaire	241
Pilot study analysis	253
Descriptive and normality test	258
	Preliminary Study Schedule  Consent Letter for Preliminary Study  Preliminary Study Questions  Cover Letter questionnaire  Questionnaire  Pilot study analysis  Descriptive and normality test



#### **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Introduction

This chapter describes a brief research background related to the topic determinants of workplace pro-environmental behavior (WPEB) among Malaysian public servants and highlights the research problem, which further leads to research questions and research objectives. The research scope is followed by the significance of the research and the research contribution is discussed in the next subsection. The final section concludes this chapter with a summary of the thesis structure.

## 1.2 Background of research

Malaysia is embarking on a clear direction to sustain the standards and reliability of sustainability, and it is expressly stated in the 11th Malaysia Plan (2016-2020) and 12th Malaysia Plan (2021-2025) that this will be achieved through green growth initiatives. The World Bank Report (2012) defined green growth as "growth that is effective in using natural resources, clean in a way that it lessens the pollution and hazardous environmental impacts, and strong in a manner that it accounts for natural hazards and the role of environmental management and natural capital in avoiding physical disasters". A green growth direction will protect the country's natural endowments for future generations, reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and improve environmental quality for better well-being. Therefore, green growth is

perceived as one of the strategic thrusts that help in enabling Malaysia to stay one step ahead in facing challenges and opportunities in the fast-changing global and political landscape. Numerous efforts were undertaken by the Malaysian government to strengthen the enabling environment for green growth. The government shows its commitment to green growth by affirming that it will provide an effective policy and institutional framework for green growth. Table 1.1 shows the initiatives at the various levels that have been taken by the Malaysian government to accomplish the targeted goals and objectives. However, the achievement of sustainability initiatives does not simply rely upon adoption of policies and different initiatives organized by the government. The empowering environment for green growth must include a long-term responsibility from all stakeholders, businesses, citizens, and public servants. Among the stakeholders, public servants are the basic group in guaranteeing the successful usage of different developmental policies and projects, as they are the backbone of a country. Moreover, they spoke to the whole population of AMINA Malaysia thus exemplified diversity.

Table 1.1: Government initiatives for green growth

Institutional Framework	Policies & Regulation	Promotion, Industry & Public
	VAAL	Awareness
Malaysian Green	National Renewable Energy Policy	IGEM
Technology	and Action Plan	Green Carnival
MTHPI	Renewable Energy Act	Malaysian green technology
SEDA	National Climate Change Policy	awards
Yayasan Hijau	National Automotive Policy	
Fiscal Instrument	Rating Tool	Labelling & Certification
Green technology	Low Carbon City Framework	Eco Labelling
financing scheme	(LCCF) Assessment Tool	MyHIJAU Mark
A feed-in tariff (Fit)	Green Building Index	Energy Efficient Rating and
Incentives for RE & EE	Green Pass	Labelling Scheme
Incentives for GBI certified	Green RE	Water Efficient Product
		Labelling Scheme
Human Capital	MyHIJAU Programme	Green Township- Pilot
Development		Projects
MGTC = Industry Lead	MyHIJAU Procurement	Putrajaya
Body for GT	MyHIJAU Mark	Cyberjaya
AEMAS	MyHIJAU Directory	Subang Jaya
MyHijau Youth Camp	MyHIJAU SME	Petaling Jaya
SME Development		Hang Tuah Jaya
Program		Iskandar Malaysia

Realizing the importance of public sector involvement, the Malaysian Administrative Modernization and Management Planning Unit (MAMPU) has introduced an initiative that specified the public sector involvement toward green growth focusing on nurturing green culture at the workplace. Therefore, in January 2014, MAMPU introduced an initiative to improve the existing Public Sector 5S Norm in a rebranding exercise presented by the Public Sector Conducive Ecosystem or Ekosistem Kondusif Sektor Awam (EKSA). This move is aligned with efforts to improve the high-performance organisational culture of public sector organizations by providing public servants with a useful environment, a culture of work, and positive values. The rebranding is designed to engage public sector entities to broaden the adoption of the Conducive Ecosystem in public sector organizations; improve their corporate image; demonstrate a culture of creativity and change in line with stakeholder expectations; promote efforts to support the Go Green campaign and ensure that auditing components respond to the wishes of the various public sector organizations. One of EKSA's main objectives is to create a green and helpful biological system through the culture of green practices in the public organization workplace. The culture of green practices emphasizes that public sector organizations implement programs such as recycling, energy skills to save resources, and adopt other green practices categorized as pro-environmental behavior. These practices have already been implemented since 2014. Based on the preliminary interview conducted among the EKSA key persons, however they admitted that the level of public servant awareness on green practices in the workplace is still at moderate level.

Throughout this study, the term "public servant" will be used which refer to employees working in Malaysian public organizations. In Malaysia, the Public Service is the biggest employer in the country. The Federal Constitution (Article 132) characterizes "Public Servants as comprising of (I) General Public Service of the Federation; (ii) Public Service of the States; (iii) Joint public service; (iv) Education Service; (v) Judicial and Legal Service; (vi) Police Force; and (vii) Armed Forces (Mansoor & Ariffin, 2014). The motivation to choose public servants as the respondents in this study is because Malaysian's public servants form a large number of 1.7 million employees (Abas, 2019), and the Malaysian public servants-

population ratio is 1:20, which is reportedly among the highest in the world (Yeap, 2019). Therefore, with the largest employee population in Malaysia, it is hoped that public servants could play their part by contributing to pro-environmental behavior in the workplace (WPEB). WPEB is defined as a concept that defines various environmental-coordinated actions including a wide range of voluntary or recommended actions taken by people at work aimed at securing the natural environment or improving organisational practices in this area (Saifulina & Carballo-Penela, 2016). Tsai, Stritch, and Christensen (2016) have revealed that employee level pro-environmentally friendly behavior can help to moderate public sector influence on the natural environment and can also conserve organization finances by monitoring job-related assets, such as water, paper, and utilities.

In a nutshell, green growth can be achieved through environmental sustainability which can be translated into pro-environmental behavior at the individual level. This is consistent with Razak (2019), who mentioned that one of the strategies for achieving the green growth goal is to introduce a green practice into a public sector organisation through employee behavioral change. This debate is also favored by Safari *et al.* (2018) who stated that pro-environmental behavior is one of the preventive behaviors and strategies that can be implemented by informing employees about potential environmental implications and encouraging them to think about how their actions affect the environment. Therefore, necessary efforts in identifying the key determinants of pro-environmental behavior among Malaysian public servants should be taken to realize Malaysia's green growth achievement by changing public servant behavior to more pro-environmental behavior. Consequently, it is expected that workplace pro-environmental behavior (WPEB) will significantly have a positive influence on employee actions on the environment.

# 1.3 Research problem

In this section, the researcher has divided the research problem into three subsections. The discussion begins with workplace pro-environmental issues, followed by a discussion on empirical gaps and theoretical issues in the next subsection.

#### REFERENCES

- A Jalil, E. E., Grant, D. B., Nicholson, J. D., & Deutz, P. (2016). Reverse logistics in household recycling and waste systems: a symbiosis perspective. *Supply Chain Management*, 21(2), 245–258.
- Abas, A. (2019). 1.7 million civil servants on government payroll. *New Straits Times*.
- Afsar, B., & Umrani, W. A. (2019). Corporate social responsibility and proenvironmental behavior at workplace: The role of moral reflectiveness, coworker advocacy, and environmental commitment. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(1), 109–125.
- Afsar, B., Badir, Y., & Kiani, U. S. (2016). Linking spiritual leadership and employee pro-environmental behavior: The influence of workplace spirituality, intrinsic motivation, and environmental passion. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 79–88.
- Afsar, B., Cheema, S., & Javed, F. (2018). Activating employee's pro-environmental behaviors: The role of CSR, organisational identification, and environmentally specific servant leadership. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 25(5), 904–911.
- Ali Memon, M., Cheah, J.-H., Ramayah, H. T., & Chuah, F. (2018). Mediation Analysis Issues and Recomendations. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 2(1), 2590–4221.

- Ali, F., Rasoolimanesh, S. M., Sarsted, M., Ringle, C., & Ryu, K. (2018). An Assessment of the Use of Partial Least Square Strucutral Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in Hospitality Research. *International Journal of Cotemporaray Hospitality Management*, 30(1), 514–538.
- Allcott, H. (2011). Social norms and energy conservation. Journal of Public Economics, 95(9–10), 1082–1095.
- Andersson, L., Shivarajan, S., & Blau, G. (2005). Enacting ecological sustainability in the MNC: A test of an adapted value-belief-norm framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *59*(3), 295–305.
- Arain, M., Campbel, M. J., Coope, C. L., & Lancaster, G. A. (2010). What is a pilot or feasibility study? A review of current practice and editorial policy Mubashir. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 10(67), 2–7.
- Attiq, S., Rasool, H., & Iqbal, S. (2017). The Impact of Supportive Work Environment, Trust, and Self-Efficacy on Organisational Learning and Its Effectiveness: A Stimulus-Organism Response Approach. *Business & Economic Review*, 9(2), 73–100.
- Avolio, B. J., Bass, B. M., & Jung, D. I. (1995). *MLQ multifactor leadership questionnaire: Technical report*. Redwood City, CA: Mindgarden.
- Axelrod, L. J., & Lehman, D. R. (1993). Responding to environmental concerns: what factors guide individual action? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 13, 149–159.
- Azhar, A., & Yang, K. (2018). Workplace and Non-Workplace Pro-environmental Behaviors: Empirical Evidence from Florida City Governments. *Public Administration Review*, 00(00), 1–12.
- Baas, J., Schotten, M., Plume, A., Côté, G., & Karimi, R. (2019). Scopus as a curated, high-quality bibliometric data source for academic research in quantitative science studies. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 1(1), 377–386.

- Baker, H. A., & Mustaffa, C. S. (2013). Understanding the meaning and embodiment of power distance in Malaysian Public Organization.
- Bamberg, S., & Moser, G. (2007). Twenty years after Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: A new meta-analysis of psycho-social determinants of proenvironmental behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 27(1), 14–25.
- Ban, C. (1995). How Do public managers manage? Bureaucratic constraints, organisational culture and potential for reform. Jossey Bass Public Administration Series.
- Bandura A. (1999). Social cognitive theory: An agentic Albert Bandura. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 2, 21–41.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51*(6), 1173–1182.
- Bass, B. M. (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organisational Psychology*, 8(1), 9–32.
- Bator, R. J., Bryan, A. D., & Schultz, P. W. (2011). Who gives a hoot? Intercept surveys of litterers and disposers. *Environment and Behavior*, 43(3), 295–315.
- Bedir, M., & Kara, E. C. (2017). Behavioral patterns and profiles of electricity consumption in dutch dwellings. *Energy and Buildings*, *150*, 339–352.
- Bernstad, A., La Cour Jansen, J., & Aspegren, A. (2013). Door-stepping as a strategy for improved food waste recycling behaviour-Evaluation of a full-scale experiment. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 73, 94–103.
- Bissing-Olson, M. J., Fielding, K. S., & Iyer, A. (2016). Experiences of pride, not guilt, predict pro-environmental behavior when pro-environmental

- descriptive norms are more positive. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 145–153.
- Blau, P.M. (1964) Justice in Social Exchange. Sociological Inquiry, 34, 193-206.
- Blok, V., Wesselink, R., Studynka, O., & Kemp, R. (2014). Encouraging sustainability in the workplace: A survey on the pro-environmental behaviour of university employees. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *1*(13), 1–13.
- Boiral, O. (2009). Greening the corporation through organisational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87(2), 221–236.
- Boiral, O., & Paillé, P. (2012). Organisational Citizenship Behaviour for the Environment: Measurement and Validation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 109(4), 431–445.
- Boiral, O., Paillé, P., & Raineri, N. (2015). The nature of employees' proenvironmental behaviors. *The Psychology of Green Organizations.*, 12–32.
- Boiral, O., Raineri, N., Talbot, D., & Talbot, D. (2016). Managers' Citizenship Behaviors for the Environment: A developmental perspective initiatives in this area depends on employee collaboration. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1–25.
- Boiral, O., Talbot, D., & Paillé, P. (2015). Leading by Example: A Model of Organisational Citizenship Behavior for the Environment. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 24(6), 532–550.
- Bowornwathana, B., & Poocharoen, O. O. (2010). Bureaucratic Politics and Administrative Reform: Why Politics Matters. *Public Organization Review*, 10(4), 303–321.
- Brewer, G. A., & Selden, S. C. (1998). Whistle Blowers in the Federal Civil Service: New Evidence of the Public Service Ethic. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 8(3), 413–439.

- Brewer, G. A., Selden, S. C., & Facer, R. L. (2000). Individual conceptions of public servive motivation. *Public Administration Review*, 60(3), 254–264.
- Brick, C., Sherman, D. K., & Kim, H. S. (2017). "Green to be seen" and "brown to keep down": Visibility moderates the effect of identity on proenvironmental behavior. Journal of Environmental Psychology.
- Brieger, S. A. (2018). Social Identity and Environmental Concern: The Importance of Contextual Effects. Environmental and Behavior, 1(28).
- Brislin, R. W. (1970). Back-translation for cross-cultural research. Journal of Cross-*Cultural Psychology*, *1*(3), 185–216.
- Bronfman, N. C., Cisternas, P. C., López-Vázquez, E., De la Maza, C., & Oyanedel, J. C. (2015). Understanding attitudes and pro-environmental behaviors in a Chilean community. Sustainability, 7(10).
- Bryman, A. (2012). Social research methods (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Burawat, P. (2019). The relationships among transformational leadership, sustainable leadership, lean manufacturing and sustainability performance in Thai SMEs manufacturing industry. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 1–24.
- Cantor, D. E., Morrow, P. C., & Montabon, F. (2012). Engagement in Environmental Behaviors Supply Chain Management Employees: Among Organisational Support Theoritical Perspective. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 48(3), 33–51.
- Cavana, R., Delahaye, B., & Sekeran, U. (2001). Applied Business research: Qualitative and Quantitative Methods. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Chan, E. S. W., Hon, A. H. Y., Chan, W., & Okumus, F. (2014). What drives employees' intentions to implement green practices in hotels? The role of knowledge, awareness, concern and ecological behaviour. International *Journal of Hospitality Management, 40, 20–28.*

- Chan, E. S. W., Hon, A. H. Y., Okumus, F., & Chan, W. (2017). An Empirical Study of Environmental Practices and Employee Ecological Behavior in the Hotel Industry. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 41(5), 585–608.
- Chao, Y. L., & Lam, S. P. (2011). Measuring responsible environmental behavior: Self-reported and other-reported measures and their differences in testing a behavioral model. *Environment and Behavior*, 43(1), 53–71.
- Chaudhary, A. K., Warner, L., Lamm, A., Israel, G., Rumble, J., & Cantrell, R. (2017). Using the Theory of Planned Behavior to Encourage Water Conservation among Extension Clients. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 58(3), 185–202.
- Chen, Yang, Tang, G., Jin, J., Li, J., & Paillé, P. (2015). Linking Market Orientation and Environmental Performance: The Influence of Environmental Strategy, Employee's Environmental Involvement, and Environmental Product Quality. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127(2), 479–500.
- Chen, Yu-Shan, & Chang, C.-H. (2013). The Determinants of Green Product Development Performance: Green Dynamic Capabilities, Green Transformational Leadership, and Green Creativity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 116, 107–119.
- Cherulnik, P. D., Donley, K. A., & Miller, S. R. (2001). Charisma Is Contagious: The Effect of Leaders' Charisma on Observers' Affect. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 31(10).
- Chou, C. J. (2014). Hotels' environmental policies and employee personal environmental beliefs: Interactions and outcomes. *Tourism Management*, 40, 436–446.
- Ciocirlan, C. E. (2017). Environmental workplace behaviors: Definition matters. *Organization & Environment*, 30(1), 51-70.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2013). The ethics of educational and social research (7th ed.). Routledge.

- Connelly, L. M. (2008). Pilot Studies. *MEDSURG Nursing*, 17(6), 411–413.
- Cresswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Dawes, J. (2008). Do data characteristics change according to the number of scale points used? An experiment using 5-point, 7-point and 10- point scales. *International Journal of Market Research*, 50(1), 61–104.
- de Groot, J. I. M., & Steg, L. (2009). Mean or green: which values can promote stable pro-environmental behavior? *Conservation Letters*, 2(2), 61–66.
- Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-Determination Theory in Work Organizations: The State of a Science. Annual Review Organisational Psychology Oganizational Behavior, 4, 19–43.
- Diamantopoulos, A., & Siguaw, J. A. (2006). Formative versus reflective indicators in organisational measure development: A comparison and empirical illustration. *British Journal of Management*, 17(4), 263–282.
- Douglas, S. P., & Craig, C. S. (2007). Collaborative and iterative translation: An alternative approach to back translation. *Journal of International Marketing*, 15(1), 30–43.
- Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of Green HRM Practices on Employee Workplace Green Behavior: The Role of Psychological Green Climate and Employee Green Values. *Human Resource Management*, 1–14.
- Dunlap, R. E., Van Liere, K. D., Mertig, A. G., & Jones, R. E. (2000). New Trends in Measuring Environmental Attitudes: Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm: A Revised NEP Scale. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 425–442.
- Dunlap, R., & Jones, R. (2002). Environmental concern: Conceptual and measurement issues. In R. Dunlap and W. Michelson (Eds.), *Handbook of environmental sociology*. London: Greenwood Press.

- Egri, C. P., & Herman, S. (2000). Leadership in the North American environmental sector: Values, leadership styles, and contexts of environmental leaders and their organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 571–604.
- Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, P., & Davis-LaMastro, V. (1990). Perceived Organisational Support and Employee Diligence, Commitment, and Innovation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 75(1), 51–59.
- El Dief, M., & Font, X. (2010). The Determinants of Hotels' Marketing Managers Green Marketing Behaviour. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 18(2), 157–174.
- Erdfelder, E., Faul, F., & Buchner, A. (1996). GPOWER: A general power analysis program. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 28(1), 1–11.
- Etikan, I., & Bala, K. (2017). Sampling and sampling methods. *Biometrics & Biostatistics International Journal*, 5(6), 215–217.
- Farrow, K., Grolleau, G., & Ibanez, L. (2017). Social Norms and Pro-environmental Behavior: A Review of the Evidence. *Ecological Economics*, 140, 1–13.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A. G., & Buncher, A. (2007). G\*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175–191.
- Fielding, K. S., Hornsey, M. J., Nolan, J. M., Ferguson, M., & Fielding, K. S. (2016). A Social Identity Analysis of Climate Change and Environmental Attitudes and Behaviours: Insights and Opportunities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(121), 1–12.
- Fink, A. (2003). The survey handbook. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fornell, C., & Lacker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Sturctural Equation Models with Unobserverable and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34(2), 161–188.
- Fowler Jr, F. J. (2013). Survey research methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Francoeur, V., Paillé, P., Yuriev, A., & Boiral, O. (2019). The Measurement of Green Workplace Behaviors: A Systematic Review. *Organization and Environment*, 1–25.
- Fritsche, I., Barth, M., Jugert, P., Masson, T., & Reese, G. (2018). A social identity model of pro-environmental action (SIMPEA). *Psychological Review*, 125(2), 245.
- Gaborieau, J. B., & Pronello, C. (2019). Validation of a unidimensional and probabilistic measurement scale for pro-environmental behaviour by travellers. *Transportation*, 48(2), 555–593.
- Gao, L., Wang, S., Li, J., & Li, H. (2017). Application of the extended theory of planned behavior to understand individual's energy saving behavior in workplaces. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127*, 107–113.
- Ge, B., Jiang, D., Gao, Y., & Tsai, S. B. (2016). The influence of legitimacy on a proactive green orientation and green performance: A study based on transitional economy scenarios in China. *Sustainability*, 8(12), 1–20.
- Gilal, F. G., Ashraf, Z., Gilal, N. G., Gilal, R. G., & Channa, N. A. (2019). Promoting environmental performance through green human resource management practices in higher education institutions: A moderated mediation model. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(6), 1579–1590.
- Goh, M. L., Tong, D. Y. K., & Ahmed, E. M. (2012). Extended Theory of Planned Behaviour Modelfor Measuring Households' Recycling Behaviour in Malaysia. *Advanced Materials Research*, 622–623, 1691–1695.
- Goldstein, N. J., Cialdini, R. B., & Griskevicius, V. (2008). A Room with a Viewpoint: Using Social Norms to Motivate Environmental Conservation in Hotels. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 35(3), 472–482.
- Graves, L. M., & Sarkis, J. (2018). The role of employees' leadership perceptions, values, and motivation in employees' pro-environmental behaviors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 196, 576–587.

- Graves, L. M., Sarkis, J., & Gold, N. (2019). Employee pro-environmental behavior in Russia: The roles of top management commitment, managerial leadership, and employee motives. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 140, 54–64.
- Graves, L. M., Sarkis, J., & Zhu, Q. (2013). How transformational leadership and employee motivation combine to predict employee pro-environmental behaviors in China. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *35*, 81–91.
- Hassan, J. S., Zin, R. M., Majid, M. Z. A., Balubaid, S., & Hainin, M. R. (2014). Building energy consumption in Malaysia: An overview. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 70(7), 33–38.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W., & Babin, B. J. (2010). *Multivariate Statistical Methods*. Prentice-Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24.
- Hayes, A. F., & Preacher, K. J. (2010). Quantifying and Testing Indirect Effects in Simple Mediation Models When the Constituent Paths Are Nonlinear. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 45, 627–660.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modelling. *Journal of the Academic Marketing Science*, 43, 115–135.
- Huang, J. W., & Li, Y. H. (2017). Green Innovation and Performance: The View of Organisational Capability and Social Reciprocity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 145(2), 309–324.
- Janmaimool, P. (2017). The role of descriptive social norms, organisational norms and personal norms in explaining solid waste management behaviours in

- workplaces. Journal of Organisational Change Management, 30(2), 184–198.
- Jia, J., Liu, H., Chin, T., & Hu, D. (2018). The continuous mediating effects of GHRM on employees' green passion via transformational leadership and green creativity. Sustainability (Switzerland), 10(9).
- Joreskog, K. (1971). Statistical Analysis of Sets of Congeneric Tests. *Psychometrika*, 36, 109–133.
- JPSPN. (2013). Survey on Solid Waste Composition, Characteristics & MAIN REPORT.
- Jung, D. I., Chow, C., & Wu, A. (2003). The role of transformational leadership in enhancing organisational innovation: Hypotheses and some preliminary findings. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14(4–5), 525–544.
- Junyong, I. (2017). Introduction of a pilot study. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 70(6), 601–605.
- Kang, H. (2021). Sample size determination and power analysis using the G\*Power software. *Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions*, 18, 1–12.
- Kaufmann, L., & Gaeckler, J. (2015). A structured review of partial least squares in supply chain management research. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 21(4), 259–272.
- Keizer, K., & Schultz, W. (2018). Social norms and pro environmental behaviour. In
   L. Steg & J. De Groot (Eds.), *Environmental Psychology: An introduction* 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Keogh, P. D., & Polonsky, M. J. (1998). Environmental commitment: a basis for environmental entrepreneurship? *Journal of Organisational Change*, 11(1), 38–49.

- Khalaila, R. (2013). Translation of questionnaires into Arabic in cross-cultural research: techniques and equivalence issues. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing*, 24(4), 363–370.
- Kim, A., Kim, Y., Han, K., Jackson, S. E., & Ployhart, R. E. (2014). Multilevel influences on voluntary workplace green behavior: Individual differences, Leader Behavior, and Coworker Advocacy. *Journal of Management*, 1(24), 1–24.
- Kim, S. H., Kim, M., Han, H. S., & Holland, S. (2016). The determinants of hospitality employees' pro-environmental behaviors: The moderating role of generational differences. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 52, 56–67.
- Kline, R. B. (2015). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling.4<sup>th</sup> Ed.

  The Guilford Press.
- Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the Gap: why do people behave environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behaviour. *Environmental Education Research*, 8(3), 239–260.
- Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Klein, K. J. (2000). *Multilevel theory, research, and methods in organizations: Foundations, extensions, and new directions* (pp. 3–90). Jossey-Bass.
- Krishnaswami, O. R., & Satyaprasad, B. G. (2010). *Business research methods*. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House.
- Kura, K. M. (2016). Linking Environmentally Specific Transformational Leadership and Environmental Concern to Green Behaviour at Work. *Global Business Review*, 17, 1S-14S.
- Lam, S. P. (1999). Predicting intentions to conserve water from the theory of planned behavior, perceived moral obligation, and perceived water right. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 29(5), 1058–1071.

- Lamm, E., Tosti-Kharas, J., & King, C. E. (2014). Empowering Employee Sustainability: Perceived Organisational Support Toward the Environment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 128(1), 207–220.
- Lamm, E., Tosti-Kharas, J., & Williams, E. G. (2013). Read This Article, but Don'tPrint It: Organisational Citizenship Behavior Toward the Environment.Group and Organization Management (Vol. 38, Issue 2).
- Lasda Bergman, E. M. (2012). Finding Citations to Social Work Literature: The Relative Benefits of Using Web of Science, Scopus, or Google Scholar. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 38(6), 370–379.
- Lasrado, F., & Arora, B. (2017). Social identity and environmental citizenship in multinational corporations: An exploratory investigation and future research directions. *Social Identities*, 24(5), 624–646.
- Lee, Y. H., Woo, B., & Kim, Y. (2018). Transformational leadership and organisational citizenship behavior: Mediating role of affective commitment. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 13(3), 373–382.
- Li, Z., Xue, J., Li, R., Chen, H., & Wang, T. (2020, June). Environmentally Specific Transformational Leadership and Employee's Pro-environmental Behavior:

  The Mediating Roles of Environmental Passion and Autonomous Motivation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1–13.
- Lo, S. H., van Breukelen, G. J. P., Peters, G. J. Y., & Kok, G. (2013). Proenvironmental travel behavior among office workers: A qualitative study of individual and organisational determinants. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 56, 11–22.
- Lu, H., Liu, X., Chen, H., Long, R., & Yue, T. (2017). Who contributed to "corporation green" in China? A view of public- and private-sphere proenvironmental behavior among employees. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 120, 166–175.

- Lülfs, R., & Hahn, R. (2013). Corporate greening beyond formal programs, initiatives, and systems: A conceptual model for voluntary proenvironmental behavior of employees. *European Management Review*, 10(2), 83–98.
- Luu, T. T. (2017). CSR and organisational citizenship behavior for the environment in hotel industry: the moderating roles of corporate entrepreneurship and employee attachment styles. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 1–43.
- Mahmud, A. R. (2008). Kesedaran alam sekitar kakitangan awam. Utusan Online, 1–3.
- Malhotra, M. K., Singhal, C., Shang, G., & Ployhart, R. E. (2014). A critical evaluation of alternative methods and paradigms for conducting mediation analysis in operations management research. *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(4), 127–137.
- Manika, D., Wells, V. K., Gregory-Smith, D., & Gentry, M. (2013). The Impact of Individual Attitudinal and Organisational Variables on Workplace Environmentally Friendly Behaviours. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 126(4), 663–684.
- Mansoor, N., & Ariffin, R. N. R. (2014). Public administration in Malaysia, in Routledge Handbook of Contemporary Malaysia (M. L.Weiss (ed.). Taylor & Francis.
- Marshall, R. S., Cordano, M., & Silverman, M. (2005). Exploring individual and institutional drivers of proactive environmentalism in the US wine industry. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 14, 92–109.
- Matell, M. S., & Jacoby, J. (1971). Is there an optimal number of alternatives for likert scale items? study 1: Reliability and validity. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 31(3), 657–674.
- Mathers, N., Fox, N., & Hunn, A. (2009). Surveys and questionnaires. In The NIHR Research Design Service for the East Midlands.

- Matthews, L., Hair, J., & Matthews, R. (2018). PLS-SEM: The Holy Grail for Advanced Anlysis. *Marketing Management Journal*, 28(1), 14–15.
- Mecklin, C. J., & Mundfrom, D. J. (2005). A Monte Carlo comparison of the Type I and Type II error rates of tests of multivariate normality. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 75(2), 93–107.
- Mertens, D. M. (2014). Research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology: Integrating Diversity with Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Molina-Azorin, J. F., Bergh, D. D., Corley, K. G., & Ketchen Jr, D. J. (2017). *Mixed methods in the organisational sciences: Taking stock and moving forward*. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE.
- Moser, S. (2010). Putrajaya: Malaysia's new federal administrative capital. *Cities*, 27(4), 285–297.
- Nag, M. (2012), Pro-Environmental Behaviors in the Workplace: Is Concern for the Environment Enough? Doctoral dissertation, College Park, MD: University of Maryland, accessed at http://hdl.handle.net/1903/13837
- Ng, T. W. H. (2017). Transformational leadership and performance outcomes:

  Analyses of multiple mediation pathways. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28, 385–417.
- Nitzl, C. (2016). The use of partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM) in management accounting research: Directions for future theory development. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, *37*, 19–35.
- Norton, T. A., Parker, S. L., Zacher, H., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2015). Employee green behavior: A theoretical framework, multilevel review, and future research agenda. *Organization and Environment*, 28(1), 103–125.
- Norton, T. A., Zacher, H., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2012). On the importance of proenvironmental organisational climate for employee green behavior. *Industrial and Organisational Psychology*, 5(4), 497–500.

- Norton, T. A., Zacher, H., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2014). Organisational sustainability policies and employee green behaviour: The mediating role of work climate perceptions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 38, 49–54.
- Norton, T. A., Zacher, H., Parker, S. L., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2017). Bridging the gap between green behavioral intentions and employee green behavior: The role of green psychological climate. *Journal of Organisational Behavior*, 38(7), 996–1015.
- Nunally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Ofstad, S. P., Tobolova, M., Nayum, A., & Klöckner, C. A. (2017). Understanding the mechanisms behind changing people's recycling behavior at work by applying a comprehensive action determination model. *Sustainability*, 9(2).
- Okoli, C. (2021). Inductive, Abductive and Deductive Theorizing. *Available at SSRN* 3774317.
- Olsen, W. (2004). Triangulation in social research: Qualitative and quantitative methods can really be mixed. *Development in Sociology*, 20, 103–118.
- Olson, M. J. B., Iyer, A., Fielding, K. S., & Zacher, H. (2013). Relationships between daily affect and pro- environmental behavior at work: The moderating role of pro-environmental attitude. *Journal of Organization Behavior*, 34(2), 157–175.
- Ones, D. S., & Dilchert, S. (2012). Environmental sustainability at work: A call to action. *Industrial and Organisational Psychology*, *5*(4), 444–466.
- Ones, D. S., Wiernik, B. M., Dilchert, S., & Klein, R. (2015). Pro-environmental behavior. In *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences: Second Edition* (pp. 82-88). Elsevier Inc.
- Otto, S., Kröhne, U., & Richter, D. (2018). The dominance of introspective measures and what this implies: The example of environmental attitude. *PLoS ONE*, 13(2).

- Paillé, P., & Raineri, N. (2015). Linking perceived corporate environmental policies and employee's eco-initiatives: The influence of perceived organisational support and psychological contract breach. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(11), 2404–2411.
- Paillé, P., Boiral, O., & Chen, Y. (2013). Linking environmental management practices and organisational citizenship behaviour for the environment: A social exchange perspective. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(18), 3552–3575.
- Paillé, P., Mejía Morelos, J. H., Raineri, N., & Stinglhamber, F. (2017). The influence of the immediate manager on the avoidance of non-green behaviors in the workplace: A three-wave moderated-mediation model. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1–18.
- Paille, P., Mejia-Morelos, J. H., Marche, A., & Chen, Y. (2016). Corporate Greening, Exchange Process Among Co-workers, and Ethics of Care: An Empirical Study on the Determinants of Pro-environmental Behaviors at Co-workers-Level. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 136, 655–673.
- Paillé, P., Raineri, N., & Boiral, O. (2017). Environmental Behavior On and Off the Job: A Configurational Approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1–16.
- Park, E., Kwon, S. J., & Kim, K. J. (2016). Assessing the Effects of Corporate Sustainable Management on Customer Satisfaction. *Sustainable Development*, 24(1), 41–52.
- Paillé, P., Boiral, O., & Prince, P. P. (2012). Linking Environmental Management Practices and Organisational Citizenship Behavior for the Environment: A Social Exchange Perspective. Faculté des sciences de l'administration, Université Laval.
- Pawirosumarto, S., Sarjana, P. K., & Gunawan, R. (2017). The effect of work environment, leadership style, and organisational culture towards job satisfaction and its implication towards employee performance in Parador hotels and resorts, Indonesia. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 59(6), 1337–1358.

- Peng, C., & Kim, Y. G. (2014). Application of the Stimuli-Organism-Response (S-O-R) Framework to Online Shopping Behavior. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 13, 159–176.
- Peng, D. X., & Lai, F. (2012). Using partial least squares in operations management research: A practical guideline and summary of past research. Journal of Operations Management, 30(6), 467–480.
- Peng, J., Chen, X., Zou, Y., & Nie, Q. (2021). Environmentally specific transformational leadership and team pro-environmental behaviors: The roles of pro-environmental goal clarity, pro-environmental harmonious passion, and power distance. *Human Relations*, 74(11), 1864-1888.
- Putrajaya. (2013). Putrajaya Green City 2025.
- Rahi, S. (2017). Research Design and Methods: A Systematic Review of Research Paradigms, Sampling Issues and Instruments Development. *International Journal of Economics & Management Sciences*, 06(02).
- Raineri, N., Mejía-Morelos, J. H., Francoeur, V., & Paillé, P. (2016). Employee ecoinitiatives and the workplace social exchange network. *European Management Journal*, 34(1), 47–58.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., & Memon, M. A. (2018). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) using SmartPLS3.0 (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Pearson.
- Ramus, C. A. (2001). Organisational support for employees: encouraging creative ideas for environmental sustainability. *California Management Review*, 43(3), 85–105.
- Ramus, C. A., & Killmer, A. B. C. (2007). Corporate greening through prosocial extra role behaviours A conceptual framework for employee motivation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *16*(8), 554–570.

- Ramus, C. A., & Steger, U. (2000). The roles of supervisory support behaviors and environmental policy in employee "Eco initiatives" at leading-edge European companies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 605–626.
- Rayner, J., & Morgan, D. (2018). An empirical study of 'green' workplace behaviours: ability, motivation and opportunity. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 56(1), 56–78.
- Rea, L. M., & Parker, R. A. (2014). Designing and conducting Survey Research; A Comprehensive Guide (4th ed.). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Reese, G. (2016). Common human identity and the path to global climate justice. *Climatic Change*, *134*, 521–531.
- Reese, G., Loew, K., & Steffgen, G. (2014). A towel less: Social norms enhance proenvironmental behavior in hotels. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 154(2), 97–100.
- Rezapouraghdam, H., Alipour, H., & Arasli, H. (2018). Workplace spirituality and organization sustainability: a theoretical perspective on hospitality employees' sustainable behavior. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1–19.
- Ritcher, N., Carrion, C., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). European management research using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) Editorial. *European Management Journal*, *34*(6), 589–597.
- Robertson, J. L., & Barling, J. (2013). Greening organizations through leaders' influence on employees' pro environmental behaviors. *Journal of Organisational Behavior*, 34, 176–194.
- Robertson, J. L., & Barling, J. (2017a). Contrasting the nature and effects of environmentally specific and general transformational leadership Jennifer. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 38(1), 22–41.

- Robertson, J. L., & Barling, J. (2017b). Toward a new measure of organisational environmental citizenship behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 75, 57–66.
- Robertson, J. L., & Carleton, E. (2018). Uncovering how and when environmental leadership affects employees' voluntary pro-environmental behavior. *Journal of Leadership and Organisational Studies*, 25(2), 197–210.
- Rowley, J. (2014). Designing and using research questionnaires. *Management Research Review*, 37(3), 308-330.
- Roy, M. J., Boiral, O., & Paillé, P. (2013). Pursuing quality and environmental performance: Initiatives and supporting processes. *Business Process Management Journal*, 19(1), 30–53.
- Ruepert, A. M., Keizer, K., & Steg, L. (2017). The relationship between corporate environmental responsibility, employees' biospheric values and proenvironmental behaviour at work. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 54, 65–78.
- Ruepert, A., Keizer, K., Steg, L., Maricchiolo, F., Carrus, G., Dumitru, A., García Mira, R., Stancu, A., & Moza, D. (2016). Environmental considerations in the organisational context: A pathway to pro-environmental behaviour at work. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 17, 59–70.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: classic definitions and new directions. In *Contemporary Educational Psychology* (Vol. 25).
- Safari, A., Salehzadeh, R., Panahi, R., & Abolghasemian, S. (2018). Multiple pathways linking environmental knowledge and awareness to employees' green behavior. *Corporate Governance*, 18(1), 81–103.
- Saifulina, N., & Carballo-Penela, A. (2016). Promoting sustainable development at an organisational level: An analysis of the drivers of workplace environmentally friendly behaviour of employees. Sustainable Development, 25(4), 299–310.

- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2007). Research methods for Business students. Prentice Hall.
- Saunders, M., Philip, L., & Adrian, T. (2019). Research methods for Business students (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Saunders, M., Thornhill, A., & Lewis, P. (2009). Research Methods for Business Students (5<sup>th</sup> ed.). Pearson Education.
- Scherbaum, C. A., Popovich, P. M., & Finlinson, S. (2008). Exploring individual-level factors related to employee energy-conservation behaviors at work. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 38(3), 818–835.
- Scherpenzeel, A. C. (1995). A question of quality. Evaluating survey questions by multitrait-multimethod studies. (Doctoral dissertation, Royal PTT, Amsterdam, Netherlands). Retrieved from <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/11245/1.113408">https://hdl.handle.net/11245/1.113408</a>
- Schmitt, M. T., Aknin, L. B., Axsen, J., & Shwom, R. L. (2018). Unpacking the relationships between pro-environmental behavior, life satisfaction, and perceived ecological threat. *Ecological Economics*, *143*, 130–140.
- Schneider, B., Ehrhart, M. G., & MacEy, W. H. (2013). Organisational climate and culture. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 361–388.
- Schultz, P. W., Shriver, C., Khazian, A. M., & Tabanico, J. J. (2004). Implicit connections with nature. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 24(1), 31–42.
- Sekaran, U., & Bogie, R. (2010). Research methods for Business: A skill building approach (5th ed..). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Sekaran, U., & Bogie, R. (2013). Research methods for Business: A skill building approach. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for Business*. New York, NY: Wiley..

- Sharma, G. (2017). Pros and cons of different sampling techniques. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 3(7), 749–752.
- Shmueli, G., & Koppius, O. R. (2011). Predictive analytics in information systems research. MIS Quarterly: *Management Information Systems*, 35(3), 553–572.
- Silverman, D. (2013). *Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Singleton Jr, R. A., & Straits, B. C. (2017). *Approaches to Social Research* (6th ed.). New York, NY Oxford University Press.
- Slattery, E. L., Voelker, C. C. J., Nussenbaum, B., Rich, J. T., Paniello, R. C., Neely, J. G., Slattery, E. L., Voelker, C. C. J., Paniello, R. C., & Neely, J. G. (2011). A practical guide to surveys and questionnaires. *American Academy of Ontololaryngology Head and Neck Surgery*, 1446(6), 831–837.
- Smith, J. R., Louis, W. R., Terry, D. J., Greenaway, K. H., Clarke, M. R., & Cheng, X. (2012). Congruent or conflicted? The impact of injunctive and descriptive norms on environmental intentions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 32(4), 353–361.
- Stern, P. C. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues*, 56(3), 407–424.
- Stritch, J. M., & Christensen, R. K. (2014). Going green in public organizations: Linking organisational commitment and public service motives to public employees' workplace eco-initiatives. *American Review of Public Administration*, 46(3), 337–355.
- Sudarji S., (2021, March 5). PPj sasar 350,000 penghuni di Putrajaya. *Kosmo*. https://www.kosmo.com.my/2021/03/05/ppj-sasar-350000-penghuni-di-putrajaya/

- Taiwo, A., Fatai Alani, L., & Edwin, A. (2016). Vision and mission in organization: Myth or heuristic device? *The International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(3), 127–134.
- Tang, Z., Warkentin, M., & Wu, L. (2019). Understanding employees' energy saving behavior from the perspective of stimulus-organism-responses. *Resources*, *Conservation and Recycling*, 140(2), 216–223.
- Temminck, E., Mearns, K., & Fruhen, L. (2013). Motivating employees towards sustainable behaviour. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 402–412.
- Tian, Q., & Robertson, J. L. (2017). How and when does perceived CSR affect employees' engagement in voluntary pro-environmental behavior? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1–14.
- Tosti-Kharas, J., Lamm, E., & Thomas, T. E. (2017). Organization OR environment?

  Disentangling employees' rationales behind organisational citizenship behavior for the environment. *Organization and Environment*, 30(3), 187–210.
- Tsai, C. C., Stritch, J. M., & Christensen, R. K. (2016). Eco-helping and eco-civic engagement in the public workplace. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 40(2), 336–360.
- Tudor, T. L., Barr, S. W., & Gilg, A. W. (2008). A novel conceptual framework for examining environmental behavior in large organizations: A case study of the Cornwall National Health Service (NHS) in the United Kingdom. *Environment and Behavior*, 40(3), 426–450.
- Weasly Schultz, P. (1999). Changing behavior with normative feedback Interventions: A Field Experiment on Curbside Recycling. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 21(1), 25–36.

- Wells, V. K., Taheri, B., Gregory-Smith, D., & Manika, D. (2016). The role of generativity and attitudes on employee's home and workplace water and energy saving behaviours. *Tourism Management*, 56, 63–74.
- Wesselink, R., Blok, V., & Ringersma, J. (2017). Pro-environmental behaviour in the workplace and the role of managers and organisation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 168, 1679–1687.
- White, K. M., & Hyde, M. K. (2012). The role of self-perceptions in the prediction of household recycling behavior in Australia. *Environment and Behavior*, 44(6), 785–799.
- Wit, A. P., & Kerr, N. L. (2002). "Me Versus Just Us Versus Us All". Categorization and cooperation in nested social dilemmas. *American Psychological Association*, 83(3), 616–637.
- World Bank. (2012). Inclusive green growth: The pathway to sustainable development. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Wright, K. B. (2005). Researching Internet-Based populations: Advantages and disadvantages of online survey research, online questionnaire authoring software packages, and web survey services. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 10(3).
- Wu, F., Tang, G., & Sun, W. (2018). Exploring 'new generation' employees' green tactics in environmental protection in China. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 24(4), 510–527.
- Xing, Y., & Starik, M. (2017). Taoist leadership and employee green behaviour: A cultural and philosophical microfoundation of sustainability. *Journal of Organisational Behavior*, 38(9), 1302-1319.
- Xing, Y., & Starik, M. (2017). Taoist leadership and employee green behaviour: A cultural and philosophical microfoundation of sustainability. *Journal of Organisational Behavior*, 38(9), 1302-1319.

- Zhang, J., & Huang, R. (2019, March). Employees' pro-environmental behaviours (PEBs) at international hotel chains (IHCs) in China: The mediating role of environmental concerns (ECs). *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 39, 129–136.
- Zibarras, L. D., & Coan, P. (2015). HRM practices used to promote proenvironmental behavior: A UK survey. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(16), 2121–2142.
- Zientara, P., & Zamojska, A. (2016). Green organisational climates and employee pro- environmental behaviour in the hotel industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 15, 1–18.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2013). Business research methods. Cengage learning.

## **VITA**

The author was born on September 29,1976 in Kluang, Johor. She went to Kluang High School, Kluang, Johor, Malaysia for her secondary school. In 2003, she graduated from Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM) with degree in Technology Management. She then continued her Master of Science in Technology Management at Universiti Utara Malaysia in 2007. Upon graduation, she worked as a Lecturer at Universiti Utara Malaysia in 2007 to 2011. With ten years of teaching experience, she is currently a lecturer at Faculty of Technology Management and Business, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. She is also a Chartered Institute of Logistics and Transport (CILT) certified member and a member of Technology and Innovation Management Focus Group. She is interested in research on organisational behavior, technology management and supply chain management research. In December 2019, she pursued her Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Technology Management in December 2019 at the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. Throughout her studies, she presented her research paper both locally and internationally.