MALAYSIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' ROLE TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT FOCUSING ON KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PRACTICES FRAMEWORK

SULZAKIMIN BIN HAJI MOHAMED

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Technology Management and Business Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

DEDICATION

Praise be to Allah S.W.T and thanks be upon Him. This thesis is dedicated to my parents, Haji Mohamed Bin Johari and Hajjah Zakiah Binti Haji Basah, who have supported me financially and spiritually all these years. It is also dedicated to my brother, sister, sister in-law, nieces, nephews and my wife, who have given me joy and meaningful lives.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study would not have been possible without the support of many people. Millions thanks to my inspirational main supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Seow Ta Wee, who made this all happened, who had pulled me through the uncertainty of this study, who had read and critically commented my drafted work, who kindly enough offered his room and printer to be used, who had given his precious time and energy for my study.

Also thanks to my informative co-supervisor, Dr Goh Kai Chen, who had pushed me to the limit and kept pushing to make me focus, who kindly enough offered his room, printer, valuable time and energy for my study.

And thanks to Dr Md Asrul Nasid Masrom, who offered guidance and morale support as well as to my friends and colleagues at Department of Construction Management and at Faculty of Technology Management and Business, UTHM

And also thanks to Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for the second chance they had given me to complete my study.

And finally, thanks to my Dean and Head of Department who have always offered guidance and support.



ABSTRACT

Local Governments are facing very demanding and dynamic situation in development agenda when dealing with sustainable development. When the development's activities do not start with the sustainability elements at Local Governments, the negative impacts are imminent and it will cause hazards to society, economy and environment. Hence, the knowledge of sustainability needs to be inculcated in Local Governments' practices. It needs to be shown in the stage of planning approval since this is where the development begins. In relation to that, the research objectives are to identify the constraints in knowledge transfer practices of sustainable development in the planning approval at Local Governments, to study the perceptions of stakeholders involved in the planning approval stage at Local Governments regarding knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development, to study the knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development at Local Governments and to develop the framework of knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development into the planning approval stage at Local Governments. Therefore, respondents involved are 55 selected Malaysian Local Governments for questionnaire, 17 selected Malaysian Local Governments for interview and 9 selected stakeholders. The results of this study show that there is lacking in clear direction which bring to the unsupportive policy and management, the perceptions of stakeholders suggest that Local Governments need to show their capabilities in handling sustainable development at the planning approval stage and overall result demonstrates that staffs have low percentage of below 30% in acquiring and participating in activities related to sustainable development. In relation to that, it contributes to the lack of knowledge on sustainable development. Hence knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development at the planning approval stage are needed as suggested in the analysis of interviews. Therefore, this research has developed a framework of the knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development at planning approval stage in Malaysian Local Governments, which is to improve the process of the planning approval for sustainability delivery.

ABSTRAK

Sepanjang dekad yang lalu khususnya, Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan telah melalui satu keadaan yang mencabar dan dinamik dalam agenda pembangunan bila berurusan dengan pembangunan mapan. Apabila aktiviti pembangunan idak bermula dengan unsur-unsur kemapanan di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan, kesan negatif akan berlaku dan ia akan menyebabkan bahaya kepada masyarakat, ekonomi dan alam sekitar. Oleh itu, kemapanan dalam amalan Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan perlu ditunjukkan dalam peringkat kebenaran merancang kerana ia adalah di mana pembangunan bermula. Sehubungan dengan itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kekangan dalam mengurus pemindahan pengetahuan pembangunan mapan dalam peringkat kebenaran merancang di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan, untuk mengkaji persepsi pihak-pihak berkepentingan yang terlibat dalam peringkat kebenaran merancang di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan mengenai pemindahan pengetahuan amalan pembangunan mapan, untuk mengkaji amalan pemindahan pengetahuan pembangunan mapan di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan dan untuk membangunkan rekabentuk amalan pemindahan pengetahuan pembangunan mapan di peringkat kebenaran merancang di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan. Justeru, responden terdiri daripada 55 buah Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan Malaysia yang terpilih untuk kajiselidik, 17 buah Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan Malaysia yang terpilih untuk ditemuduga dan 9 pihak yang berkepentingan yang terpilih untuk ditemuduga. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan terdapat hala tuju dan dasar yang tidak jelas yang tidak menyokong pihak pengurusan, pandangan pihak-pihak berkepentingan yang mencadangkan supaya Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan perlu menunjukkan keupayaan mereka dalam mengendalikan pembangunan mapan di peringkat kebenaran merancang dan keputusan keseluruhan menunjukkan bahawa kakitangan mempunyai peratusan yang rendah di bawah 30% dalam mempelajari dan menyertai aktiviti yang berkaitan dengan pembangunan mapan. Oleh itu, kajian ini adalah untuk menyumbang kepada rekabentuk untuk dipraktikkan dalam pemindahan pengetahuan dalam pembangunan mapan di peringkat kebenaran merancang di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan. Seterusnya, kajian ini dapat membantu dalam meningkatkan amalan pemindahan pengetahuan kearah pembangunan mapan pada peringkat kebenaran merancang di Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1111	E .	1
	DECI	LARATION	ii
	DEDI	ICATION	iii
	ACK	NOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABST	TRACT	v
	ABST	TRAK	vi
	TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	XX
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER 1	INTR	ODUCTION Letro dustion	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Background of study	2
	1.3	Problem Statement	5
	1.4	Research Questions	7
	1.5	Resesarch Objectives	8
	1.6	Scope of Study	9
	1.7	Significance of Study	9
	1.8	Organisation of the Thesis	10
	1.9	Summary	11
CHAPTER 2	SUST	AINABLE DEVELOPMENT, KNOWLEDGE	
TRANSFER	PRAC	TICES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	12
	2.1	Introduction	12
	2.2	Sustainable Development	12

	2.2.1	Forms	of Sustainable Development		16
	2.2.1.	1	Sustainable Housing	16	
	2.2.1.	2	Sustainable Transport	17	
	2.2.1.	3	Sustainable Construction	18	
	2.2.1.	4	Sustainable Society	20	
	2.2.1.	5	Sustainable Environment	21	
	2.2.2	Review	v of Best Practices of Sustainable		
		Develo	pment in Other Selected Countries		22
		2.2.2.1	Practices in England		22
		2.2.2.2	Practices in the United States	S	
			of America		23
		2.2.2.3	Practices in Japan		24
		2.2.2.4	Practices in Singapore	25	
	2.2.3	The Ra	ationale for Local Governments in		
		The Pra	actices of Sustainable Development		26
2.3	Defin	ition of 1	Knowledge Transfer		28
2.3.1	The In	mportan	ce of Knowledge Transfer	30	
2.4	Defin	ition of l	Knowledge Transfer Practices		32
2.4.1	Know	ledge Ti	ransfer Practices towards		
		Sustain	nable Development in Local Governm	nents	34
	2.4.2	The Ra	ationale for Knowledge Transfer		
		Practic	es in Local Governments		36
2.5	Malay	sian Lo	cal Government	37	
	2.5.1	The St	ructure of Local Governments		39
	2.5.2	Functi	ons of Local Governments		41
	2.5.3	Planni	ng Approval at Local Government		43
	2.5.4	Role C	Of Malaysian Local Government towa	ıds	
		Sustain	nable Development		45
	2.5.5	The R	elevance of Knowledge Transfer		
		Practic	es towards Sustainable Development		
		at Mala	aysian Local Governments		47

		2.5.6	Knowledge Transfer Practices towards		
			Sustainable Development at		
			Malaysian Local Governments		49
	2.6	Theor	retical Framework		50
		2.6.1	Knowledge Transfer Practice towards		
			Sustainable Development		53
		2.6.2	Problems Disregarding Knowledge Transfe	er	
			practices towards Sustainable Development	ţ	54
		2.6.3	Factors that Influence the knowledge Trans	fer	
			of Sustainable Development		57
			2.6.3.1 Awareness	61	
			2.6.3.2 Act/Policy/Rules	62	
			2.6.3.3 Management's Priority	63	
			2.6.3.4 Resources	64	
		2.6.4	Enhancing Sustainability Through Knowle	dge	
			Transfer Practices towards Sustainable		
			Development in Local Governments	65	
	2.7	Sumn	nary		67
CHAPTER 3	RESE	ARCH	DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY		69
	3.1	Introd	luction		69
	3.2	Resea	rch Design		69
		3.2.1	Review of Methodologies		72
		3.2.2	Qualitative Interview		73
		3.2.3	Quantitative Questionnaire		75
	3.3	Pilot S	Study		77
	3.3.1	Pilot S	Study (Interviews for Local Governments)	77	
	3.3.2	Pilot S	Study (Interviews for Stakeholders)	79	
	3.3.3	Pilot S	Study (Questionnaire)	80	
	3.4	Respo	ondent		82
	3.4.1	Select	tion of Respondent of Interview for Local		

			Governments			82
		3.4.2	Selection of 1	Respondent of Interview for		
			Stakeholders	-		85
		3.4.3	Selection of 1	Respondent for Questionnaire		86
	3.5	Analy	ses of Data	-		90
		3.5.1	Analysis for	Interviews (Local Government	is)	90
		3.5.2	Analysis for	Interviews (Stakeholders)		92
		3.5.3	Analysis for	Questionnaire		93
	3.6	Summ	ary			96
CHAPTER 4	I ANAI	LYSIS,	RESULTS, D	ISCUSSION AND FINDING	: :	
LOCAL GO	VERN	MENTS	S & STAKEH	OLDERS		98
	4.1	Introd	uction			98
	4.2	Data A	Analyses: Pilot	Study for Interviews		98
	4.3	Data A	Analysis: Inter	view for Local Governments		100
		4.3.1	Background	of Respondents for Local		
			Governments			100
		4.3.2	Analysis & F	Finding for Interview of		
			Local Govern	aments		104
			4.3.2.1	Planning Approval		104
			4.3.2.2	Awareness		109
			4.3.2.3	Management's Priority		114
			4.3.2.4	Act/Policy/Rules		119
			4.3.2.5	Resources		124
	4.4	Data A	Analysis – Inte	rview for Stakeholders		127
	4.4.1	Backg	round of Resp	ondents for Stakeholders	128	
	4.4.2	Stakeh	nolders: Interv	iew Results and Findings	130	
		4.4.2.1	View	on the Principle of		
				Sustainable Development		131
			4.4.2.2	Views on Planning Approva	1	
				at Local Governments	133	

		4.4.2.3	Involvement at Planning		
			Approval Stage in Local		
			Governments		135
		4.4.2.4	Knowledge Transfer Practice	s	
			Of Sustainable Development a	at	
			Local Governments' Planning)	
			Approval		136
		4.4.2.5	Knowledge on Sustainable		
			Development's act/policy/rule	es	
			at Local Government		138
		4.4.2.6	Strategies of Stakeholders in		
			terms of Sustainable		
			Development		139
		4.4.2.7	Resources in Sustainable		
			Development's Matter	141	
		4.4.2.8	Challenges in Sustainable		
			Development at Local		
			Governments		143
		4.4.2.9	Improvement for Local		
			Governments		145
4.5	Discu	ission			147
	4.5.1	Constraint or	n Local Governments		147
	4.5.2	Perception fi	rom Stakeholders	150	
4.6	Summ	nary			152
CHAPTER 5 ANAI	LYSIS,	RESULTS, D	DISCUSSIONS AND FINDING	GS:	
KNOWLEDGE TR	ANSFE	ER PRACTIC	CES TOWARDS SUSTAINAB	LE	
DEVELOPMENT A	AT MA	LAYSIAN LO	OCAL GOVERNMENTS		153
5.1	Introd	uction			153
5.2	Data A	Analysis: Pilot	Study		153
5.3	Data A	Analysis: Ques	stionnaire for Local Governmen	ts	154
5.3.1	Backg	ground of Resp	oondents	154	

5.3.2	Analy	rsis & Finding		157
	5.3.2.	1 Indiv	idual/Staff Activities:	
			Participation in Activities, Sen	ninar
			and Courses related to	
			Sustainable Development	157
		5.3.2.2	Individual/Staff Activities:	
			Acquire Knowledge on	
			Sustainable Development	160
		5.3.2.3	Organisational Activities in	
			Sending Staff to Participate in	
			Activities Related to	
			Sustainable Development	162
		5.3.2.4	Staff's Knowledge of	
			Sustainable Development's	
			Act/Policy/Rules	165
		5.3.2.5	Resources for Sustainable	
			Development	171
5.4	Corre	lation between	Implementation and Factors that	at
	Influe	nced Sustainab	ole Development	174
	5.4.1	Correlation b	etween Implementation	
		and Staff's K	nowledge of Sustainable	
		Development		174
	5.4.2	Correlation b	between Implementation and	
		Management	of Sustainable Development	176
	5.4.3	Correlation b	between Implementation and	
		Documentation	on of Sustainable Development	177
	5.4.4	Correlation b	between Implementation and	
		Resources of	Sustainable Development	178
5.5	Signif	icance of facto	ors in Correlation	179
5.6	Discu	ssion		184
5.7	Sumn	nary		188

CHAPTER 6 FRAMEWORK DEVELOPMENT AND CONCLUSION 1				189	
	6.1	Introdu	action		189
	6.2	Framev	work Development of Knowledge Transfer		
		Practice	e at Local Governments' Planning		
		Approv	ral Stage		190
		6.2.1	Validation of the Framework		199
	6.3	Discus	sion		202
		6.3.1	Objective No.1		203
		6.3.2	Objective No.2		205
		6.3.3	Objective No.3		206
		6.3.4	Objective No.4		208
	6.4	Knowl	edge Transfer Practices towards		
		Sustain	able Development at Planning Approval		
		Stage in	n Local Governments		210
	6.5	Resear	ch Contribution		212
	6.5.1	Contril	outions to the Body Of Knowledge	212	
	6.5.2	Contril	outions to the Local Governments	213	
	6.5.3	Contril	oution to the Industry	213	
	6.6	Recom	mendations for Future Research		213
	6.7	Study 1	Limitation		214
	6.8	Summa	ary		214
REFERENC	EFERENCES 21				216

APPENDIX

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Categories of Local Governments for each state	41
2.2	Factors that influenced the implementation of sustainable development	opment
	59	
2.3	Main factors of implementation of sustainable development 60	
3.1	Pilot study (Interview for Local Governments)	78
3.2	Pilot study (Interview for stakeholders)	79
3.3	Pilot study (questionnaire)	81
3.4	The selected Local Government (interview)	83
3.5	No of Respondents of Local Governments	84
3.6	Respondent for selected stakeholders 85	
3.7	No. of respondent for questionnaire at Local Governments	88
3.8	Dependent & independent variables	95
4.1	Coding for for respondent (Interview for Local Governments)	101
4.2	No. of respondents at each Local Governments	102
4.3	Knowledge of planning approval	105
4.4	Knowledge on sustainable development according to respondents	109
4.5	Local Governments' practices in acquiring and disseminating know	vledge
	on sustainable development 112	
4.6	Constraints in staff to be aware of sustainable development 113	
4.7	Constraints for management to practice and relate to sustainable	
	Development	115
4.8	How act/policy/rules enhance staff's knowledge on	
	sustainable development	121
4.9	Constraints for staff to relate the act/policy/rules with sustainable	
develo	pment 122	

4.10	The resources needed in the implementation of sustainable	
	development	125
4.11	Coding for respondent (Stakeholders)	128
4.12	No of Respondents for interview (Stakeholders)	129
4.13	Views on planning approval	133
4.14	Staff involvement	135
4.15	Views of Knowledge Transfer Practices towards Sustainable	e
	Development at the Planning Approval Stage	136
4.16	Strategies of the stakeholders to retrieve information related	l to sustainable
	development 140	
4.17	Resources that are needed towards achieving sustainable	
	development in Local Government	141
4.18	Challenges in relating to sustainable development at Local	Governments
	143	
4.19	Improvement needed for Local Governments towards achie	ving
	sustainable development	146
4.20	Summary of Constraints on Sustainable Development	
at Loc	al Governments 148	
4.21	Summary of perception of stakeholders on sustainable	
	Development	151
5.1	Frequency of participation in activities related to sustainable	e development
	(SD), e.g. recycle programme	158
5.2	Frequency of participation in seminars, e.g.: SD academic	
	seminar	158
5.3	Frequency of participation in courses, e.g.: Green building	
	Course	159
5.4	Mean of participating in sustainable development's activities	es,
	seminar and courses	159
5.5	Frequency of acquiring knowledge related to SD: through s	stakeholders
	contact (training) 160	
5.6	Frequency of acquiring knowledge related to SD: through g	

	overnment networking related to SD, e.g. project developmen	ıt
	collaboration	161
5.7	Frequency of respondent acquiring knowledge related to	
	SD: through expert advice related to SD, e.g. consultation at	
	planning approval stage	161
5.8	Mean of respondent for acquiring knowledge related to SD 1	62
5.9	Frequency of organisation send respondent to participate in	
	activities related to SD, e.g. recycle programme	163
5.10	Frequency of organisation send respondent to participate in	
	seminars related to SD, e.g. SD academic seminar	163
5.11	Frequency of organisation send respondent to courses related	
	to SD, e.g. Green related course/training	164
5.12	Mean of organisation in sending staff for participation	164
5.13	Frequency of Documentation being referred to relate for SD,	e.g. Local
	Government Act 1976 (1)	66
5.14	Frequency of documentation being referred to relate for SD,	e.g.
	Town and Country Act 1976 (2)	166
5.15	Frequency of documentation being referred to relate for SD, of	e.g. Street
	Drainage and Building Act 1974 (3)	67
5.16	Frequency of documentation being referred to for SD, e.g.	
	National Strategic Plan for Solid Waste Management (4)	167
5.17	Frequency of documentation being referred to for SD, e.g.	
	National Urban Policy (5)	168
5.18	Frequency of documentation being referred to for SD, e.g. La	ndscaping
	Policy (6) 168	
5.19	Frequency of documentation being referred to for SD, e.g.	
	Structure Plan (7)	168
5.20	Frequency of documentation being referred to for SD, e.g.	
	Local Plan (8)	169
5.21	Frequency of documentation being referred for SD, e.g.	

	National Housing Plan (9)		169
5.22	Frequency of documentation being referred to relate for SD,	, e.g. <i>A</i>	Agenda
	21 (10) 170		
5.23	Frequency of documentation being referred to relate for SD,	, e.g. (Green
	Building Index (GBI) (11)	170	
5.24	Mean for Documentation		171
5.25	Frequency of sufficient resource for SD, e.g. human resource	e	
	(related staff)		172
5.26	Frequency of sufficient resource for SD, e.g. training/course	of	
	sustainable development		172
5.27	Frequency of sufficient resource for SD, e.g. financial (budge	get allo	ocation)
	173		
5.28	Frequency of sufficient resource for SD, e.g. technology		
	know-how		173
5.29	Mean for resources		173
5.30	Correlation between level of implementation and sustainable	e deve	elopment
	according to respondent 175		
5.31	Correlation between level of implementation and management	ent	176
5.32	Correlation between level of implementation and documentation	ation	177
5.33	Correlation between level of implementation and resources	178	
5.34	Level of Implementation 1		179
5.35	Regression summary 1		180
5.36	Coefficient for regression 1		181
5.37	Level of Implementation 2		182
5.38	Regression summary 2		182
5.39	Coefficient for regression 2		183
5.40	Summary of findings		184
6.1	Steps in Framework development		189
6.2	Dependent and independent variables	191	
6.3	Four Main Factors that generate knowledge		192
6.4	Main and sub-factors for knowledge transfer practice		193

6.5	Knowledge transfer practices in the planning approval stage (Framework)		
	195		
6.6	Coding and no.of expert and practitioners	198	
6.7	Summary of Constraints on sustainable development at Lo	ocal	
	Governments	201	
6.8	Summary of Perception of Stakeholders towards Local		
	Governments on sustainable development	202	
	LIST OF FIGURES		
2.1	Sustainable development adopted from (Brandon et al.,19	97; Planning,	
	2004;Redcliff,2005) 14		
2.2	Forms of sustainable development (Brown, 2011;		
	Meadowcroft, 2000; Redclift, 2005; Ruhanen, 2008)	27	
2.3	Knowledge Transfer Practices modified through (Goh, 20	02;	
	Griffith & Sawyer, 2006; Kamara et al., 2002; Nonaka, 19	997) 33	
2.4	Hierarchy of Governments (Hussai, 2006; Phang, 2008)	38	
2.5	Planning Approval Chart (MHLG, 2013)	44	
2.6	Development process from Federal to Local		
	Government in Malaysia (Omar, 2008; Yaakup et al., 200)7) 46	
2.7	Existing Planning Approval Chart, source:		
	(MHLG, 2013; Yaakup et al., 2007)	51	
2.8	Preliminary Theoretical Framework	52	
3.1	Research Methodology Process	71	
3.2	Interview Process Development	74	
3.3	Questionnaire Process Development	88	
4.1	Position of respondents at respective Local Government	102	
4.2	Age Range of the Respondent	103	

4.3	Level of Education	103
4.4	Readiness of the management to embark on the national	
	sustainable development's agenda	116
4.5	Frequency of staff attending seminar/training/workshop on	
	sustainable development (yearly)	117
4.6	Question on whether Local Governments have the right work	
	force related to sustainable development	125
4.7	Position of Respondent in their respective organisation	129
4.8	Sustainable development according to the respondent	131
5.1	Frequency of respond according to Local Government	
	category	155
5.2	Level of Education	156
5.3	Education background (Area of study)	156
6.1	Framework of the study	193

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

CIDA Canadian International Development Agency

GBI

LA 21

Local Authorities for Sustainable Development
Level of Implementation

Ministra **LASD**

LI

Ministry of Housing and Local Governments MHLG

NGONon-governmental Organisation

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

RMMalaysian Ringgit (Ringgit Malaysia)

SDSustainable Development

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Sciences

Socio-Economic and Environmental Research Institute **SERI**

UNDP United Nations Development Program

UNESCAP United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and

the Pacific

UN**United Nations**

UNEP United Nations Environment Program

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE
A	List of publication
В	Interview form for Local Governments
C	Interview form for stakeholders
D	Questionnaire form for Local Governments
E	Interview form for validation of framework

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Local Governments need to be prepared in facing a future that is very demanding and dynamic in the development agenda. They are now experiencing various challenges and one of them is in the implementation of sustainable development concept as in the environmental, economical and social aspect (Rowe 2000; Hussai 2006). In addition, the concept of sustainable development was first proposed by the Brundtland Commission in 1972. This definition has evolved since the United Nations Earth Summit held by the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) in Rio de Janeiro (1992). Over the past decade, many definitions are discussed comprehensively, however, without the ability of an organisation to fully grasp the understanding of its value, the objectives of sustainable development will not be achieved. Therefore the term refers to as knowledge transfer is essential for any organisation to prosper (Reagans & McEvily 2003; Richards et al.

2010). In addition, the absorptive capacity of knowledge is closely linked to the level of the organisations' prior knowledge.

The prior knowledge can be integrated into the planning approval stage where it is the stage of evaluation and approval of the development agenda. This is because the ability of organisations to innovate and successfully achieve technological and organisational changes is an important factor in the knowledge transfer towards sustainable development at Local Governments. Accordingly, those are a major concern in Local Governments globally when dealing with the implementation of sustainable development (Rowe 2000; Singaravelloo 2010; Wolman & Page 2002). This means that the knowledge of sustainable development at Local Government needs to be present especially in the process of planning approval stage. This study aims to encourage knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development. Moreover, this study is AAN TUNKU TUN AMINA! to develop a framework of knowledge transfer practices towards sustainable development in the planning approval stage at Malaysian Local Governments.

1.2 **Background of Study**

How can Local Governments become an effective machinery to facilitate national growth and enhance the sustainable development? Agenda 21 can be the answer for that subject in handling the sustainability issues. Agenda 21 is a comprehensive plan for sustainability deliverables to be taken globally, nationally and locally (Barrutia et al. 2007; Pamme 2005). It reflects the action in every area in which local community have impacts on the environment and economy aspects. Therefore, the planning approval stage at Local Government is the appropriate place where the sustainability matters can be implemented and enforced.

During the United Nations Earth Summit held by the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) in Rio de Janeiro (1992), a sustainable development was defined as "Improving the quality of human life while living within the carrying capacity of supporting eco systems". Depending on the context in which it is used, sustainability can be best be implemented in the planning approval where the sustainability issues can be delivered to the people that is affected by the socio-economic development. Hence, the Bruntland's definition stated that the development should meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Adams 2012; Urquidi 2008). This definition has an impact on the economic, social and environmental development and was later formally adopted worldwide.

In order for sustainable development to achieve its objective, it must be integrated into the planning of development in any organisation. Therefore, a holistic approach is essential if the full sustainability agenda to be implemented (Bourdeau, 1999; Gilham, 1998; Hai et.al., 2009). This means that the process of planning approval is the suitable place for sustainability deliverable to be considered as it is where the approval of any development for the new town and regional planning development takes place. In addition, there is a need to create increasing economic values while using natural resources sustainably and making a broader contribution to the community's social aims and objectives (McLaren 1998a; Goh & Yang 2010). Moreover, Local Governments are where the local community infrastructure underpins the nation's economy and provides significant support to the state and national development projects (McLaren 1998a; Evans & Theobald 2003). This extends beyond the traditional concern of Local Governments' practices, which is about profitability and increasing shareholder value.

Since the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio, everyone has a role to play in developing sustainable development (Barrutia et al. 2007; Courtney 1999). In relation to the development of human settlement, it is mainly on housing development with the construction industry the main player. Therefore, construction industry must initiate actions to reduce the negative impacts of development and sharpen this competitive edge (Zainul 2009; Du Plessis 2007). This means that Local Governments must bring about changes and economic growth accordingly.

Local Government should play an important role in encouraging sustainable development. Sustainable development for the organisation needs to be developed and implemented according to its concept. Currently there have been several actions in the Malaysia's sustainable development agenda. Sustainable development issues were

formed in the Seventh Malaysian Plan (1995-2000) and the formulation of the Total Planning Doctrine indicates that the concern for one of the element in sustainable development, which is environment, begins to gain firmer ground (Omar 2008; Tooley et al. 2009; Hezri 2004). Moreover, the initiatives taken by Malaysia in response to the needs identified in Agenda 21 as well as those identified through its own development programme, namely the five-yearly Malaysia Development Plans and the longer-term Outline Perspective Plans. It included initiatives undertaken by the Federal Government of Malaysia (the central government), the State Government and private sectors. In 1995, amendments were made to the Town and Country Planning Act 1976 which stressed the need for better environmental protection (Dola & Mijan 2006; Omar 2008). This means that good governance within each country and at the international level is essential for sustainable development, where environmental, social and economic policies are responsive to the needs of the people. However, it fails to mention specifically where and how sustainable development should best be integrated at Malaysian Local Governments. Therefore, in performing Local Governments' functions, the staff must be knowledgeable in protecting and utilising the resources towards sustainability objectives. Thus, knowledge in sustainable development should be essential in order for the approval of project development for local community.

Sveiby (2001) iterates that people use their capacity-to-act in order to create value in mainly two directions; by transferring and converting knowledge externally and internally to the organisation. This means that by introducing knowledge transfer practices, many organisations could improve the flows of knowledge around their organisation and make it accessible when and where needed. Hence, the knowledge transfer practices are use to add sustainability value, such as through planning development, improved development processes or new products and services. Thus the knowledge transfer practices of sustainable development could help Local Governments become more effective with sustainability delivery by sharing knowledge across different divisions and organisations.

Barclay & Murray (2000), Pea (1987b) and Richards et al. (2010) argue that knowledge transfer is becoming increasingly important in organisations. Consequently, there is also a great need of knowledge to enable an organisation to implement, manage,

REFERENCES

- A Manaf, H., 2012. The Influence of Knowledge Sharing On Performance among Malaysian Public Sector Managers and the Moderating Role of Individual Personality. University of Hull: Ph.D Thesis. Available at: https://hydra.hull.ac.uk/catalog/hull:6378.
- A Rahman, H., Abdullah, A.A. & Zakaria, H., 2011. Planning Process of Development Project in the Malaysian Context. *A Crucial Brief Overview*, *1*(2), pp.74–81.
- Abdul Rashid, A., 2013. The MURNInets. In 43rd Annual Conference of the Urban Affairs Association (UAA). San Francisco California, United States of America.
- Abdullah, F. et al., 2009. Provision of Barrier-Free Environment at Waterfront Development in Malaysia. In *International Conference on Universal Design in the Built Environment, "Musawah: Sharing the World."* KL: Kulliyyah of Architecture & Environmental Design, IIUM.
- Adams, W.M., 2007. Green Development Theory? Environmentalism and Sustainable Development. In *Power of Development*. pp. 87–99.
- Adams, W.M., 2012. The Future of Sustainability: Re-thinking Environment and Development in the Twenty-First Century. In *Sustainability*. pp. 71–78.
- Adeloye, M., 2011. The Implication of Global Economic Recession on Sustainable Housing in Lagos Megacity. *International Business*, 4, pp.167–175.
- Ahmad, R., 2002. Forging a Sustainable Development Model: The Malaysian Way. *Development*, 45, pp.74–79.
- Alavi, M. & Leidner, D.E., 1999. Knowledge Management Systems: Issues, Challenges, and Benefits. *Communications of the AIS*, 1(2es), p.1.
- Alipour, F., Idris, K. & Karimi, R., 2011. Knowledge Creation and Transfer: Role of Learning Organization. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 2, pp.61–67.
- Almazan, R.S. et al., 2011. Local Government Websites: Cases of Innovation and Best Practices in Mexico. In *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. pp. 349–350.
- Amundsen, E.S. & Asheim, G., 1991. The Notion of Sustainable Development. *Environmental Economics*, pp.10–14.

- Andrade, J.B., 2008. Analysis of the Impacts of Economic and Social Indicators to Sustainability Assessment. pp.163–168.
- Arts, L., 2004. Qualitative Methods Used in the. *Journal of Engineering Education*, pp.65–72.
- Atan, R. et al., 2010. Financial Performance of Malaysian Local Authorities: A Trend Analysis. In *Science and Social Research (CSSR)*, 2010 International Conference. pp. 271–276.
- Atkinson, D., 2002. Local Government , Local Governance and Sustainable Development Getting the Parameters Right. Retrieved from www.hsrcpress.ac.za.
- Awang, A.H., Hussain, M.Y. & Malek, J.A., 2008. Promoting Knowledge Transfer in Science and Technology: A Case Study of Technology Park Malaysia (TPM). *Croatian Economic Survey*, pp.95–113.
- Babbie, E., 2010. The Practice of Social Research, 12th Ed: 2010 Cengage Learning Inc.
- Bacot, H., McCoy, B. & Plagman-Galvin, J., 2002. Municipal Commercial Recycling Barriers to Success. *American Review of Public Administration*, 32, pp.145–165.
- Bajo, M.T. et al., 2001. Comprehension and Memory Processes in Tanslation and Interpreting. *Quaderns Revista de traducci*, 6, pp.27–31.
- Baker, S., 2009. In Pursuit of Sustainable Development: A Governance Perspective. In 8th International Conference of the European Society for Ecological Economics. pp. 1–17.
- Barclay, R.O. & Murray, P.C., 2000. What is Knowledge Management?, pp.1–10. Available at: www.media-access.com/ whatis.html.
- Barney, J., 1991. Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17, pp.99–120.
- Barrutia, J., Aguado, I. & Echebarria, C., 2007. Networking for Local Agenda 21 Implementation: Learning from Experiences with Udaltalde and Udalsarea in the Basque Autonomous Community. *Geoforum*, 38, pp.33–48.
- Beaman, J.G., Huan, T.-C. & Beaman, J.P., 2004. Tourism Surveys: Sample Size, Accuracy, Reliability, and Acceptable Error. *Journal of Travel Research*, 43, pp.67–74.
- Benbasat, I. & Dhaliwal, J.S., 1989. A Framework for the Validation of Knowledge Acquisition. *Knowledge Acquisition*, 1, pp.215–233.

- Bender, S. & Fish, A., 2000. The transfer of knowledge and the retention of expertise: the continuing need for global assignments. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4, p.125.
- Berardi, U., 2013. Clarifying the new interpretations of the concept of sustainable building. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 8, pp.72–78.
- Berkes, F., 2009. Evolution of co-management: role of knowledge generation, bridging organizations and social learning. *Journal of environmental management*, 90(5), pp.1692–1702.
- Berkhout, F. et al., 2010. Sustainability Experiments in Asia: Innovations Shaping Alternative Development Pathways? *Environmental Science Policy*, 13, pp.261–271.
- Blum, H.P., 1994. The Conceptual Development of Regression. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 49, pp.60–76.
- Bots, P.W.G., Daalen, C.E. van & Mayer, I.S., 2004. Perspectives on Policy Analyses: A Framework for Understanding and Design. *International Journal of Technology, Policy and Management*, 4, p.169.
- Bourdeau, L., 1999. Sustainable Development and the Future of Construction: A Comparison of Visions from Various Countries. *Building Research and Information*, 27, pp.355–367.
- Boyd, S.F., 2001. Sustainable Communities and the Future of Community Movements. *National Civic Review*, 90(4), p.385. Available at: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=6128861&site=ehost-live.
- Brandimarte, P., 2011. Dependence, Correlation, and Conditional Expectation. In *Quantitative Methods*. pp. 353–381.
- Brandon, P.S., Lombardi, P.L. & Bentivegna, V., 1997. Evaluation of the Built Environment for Sustainability. doi: 10.1145/347642.347690
- Bratianu, C., 2010. A Critical Analysis of the Nonaka's Model of Knowledge Dynamics. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management, Vol.8* (2). pp.193–200.
- Brown, K., 2011. Sustainable Adaptation: An Oxymoron? *Climate and Development*, 3, pp.21–31.
- Bryman, A., 2008a. Mixed Methods Research: Combining Quantitative and Qualitative Research. In *Social Research Methods*. pp. 608–626.

- Bryman, A., 2008b. Self-completion Questionnaires. In *Social Research Methods*. p. 748.
- Bryson, J.R. & Lombardi, R., 2009a. Against Business Profitability: Sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 107, pp.97–107.
- Bryson, J.R. & Lombardi, R., 2009b. Balancing Product and Process Sustainability Against Business Profitability: Sustainability as a Competitive Strategy in the Property Development Process. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 18(2), pp.97–107.
- Bueren, E. Van & Heuvelhof, E. Ten, 2005. Improving Governance Arrangements in Support of Sustainable Cities. *Environment and Planning B Planning and Design*, 32, pp.47–66.
- Bulkeley, H., 2010. Urban Sustainability: Learning from Best Practice? *Environment and Planning Part A*, 38, pp.1029–1044.
- Burwell, D., 2008. Sustainable Automobile Transport: Shaping Climate Change Policy. Journal of the American Planning Association, 74, p.2p.
- Buys, L. et al., 2005. Smart Housing and Social Sustainability: Learning from the Residents of Queensland's Research House. *Australian Journal of Emerging Technologies and Society*, 3, pp.43–57.
- Chan, N.W., 2012. Managing Urban Rivers and Water Quality in Malaysia for Sustainable Water Resources. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 28, pp.343–354.
- Chatelain-ponroy, S., 2012. The Sustainable Development Reporting: A New Organizational Practice in Higher Education Institutions? 28th EGOS Colloquium July 5–7 2012 Submission to Subtheme 57 Designing Organizational Control Paths and Stakes of a Practice Based Perspective, pp.1–21.
- Chenail, R.J., 2012. Conducting Qualitative Data Analysis: Managing Dynamic Tensions Within. *The Qualitative Report*, 17, pp.500–505.
- Chua, L.C., 2006. Sample Size Estimation Using Krejcie And Morgan And Cohen Statistical Power Analysis: A Comparison. *Jurnal Penyelidikan IPBL*, 7, pp.78–86.
- Chung, S.-S. & Lo, C.W.-H., 2007. The Roles of Grassroots Local Government in Sustainable Waste Management in China. *International Journal of Sustainable Development World Ecology*, 14, pp.133–144.
- Cole, R.J., 2011. Motivating Stakeholders to Deliver Environmental Change. *Building Research & Information*, 39, pp.431–435.

- Courtney, R., 1999. CIB Agenda 21 and the Building Research Community. *Building Research Information*, 27, pp.373–377.
- Crabtree, L. & Hes, D., 2009. Sustainability Uptake in Housing in Metropolitan Australia: An Institutional Problem, Not a Technological One. *Housing Studies*, 24, pp.203–224.
- Creswell, J.W., 2003. Chapter One, "A Framework for Design." *Research design Qualitative quantitative and mixed methods approaches*. Retrieved from http://www.sagepub.com/upm-data/22780_Chapter_1.pdf.
- Cushman, M. et al., 2002. Understanding Sustainability as Knowledge Practice. In *British Academy of Management Conference 2002 Knowledge and Learning Track*. Available at: www.emeraldinsight.com/10.1108/01409170610690862.
- Dale, A. & Newman, L., 2005. Sustainable Development, Education and Literacy. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 6, pp.351–362.
- Daniilidis, C.I. et al., 2010. A Systematic Approach to Design a Knowledge Transfer Framework for Process Improvement Projects. *Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management IEEM 2010 IEEE International Conference on*, pp.183–187.
- Darus, Z.M.D., Norisma, W.A.I.W.A. & Novalia, R.B., 2011. A Conceptual Approach in Sustainable Housing in Malaysia. *International Journal of Environmental Cultural Economic and Social Sustainability*, pp.225–235.
- Dasgupta, S. & Tam, E.K.L., 2005. Indicators and Framework for Assessing Sustainable Infrastructure. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 32, pp.30–44.
- De Rada, V.D., 2005. Influence of Questionnaire Design on Response to Mail Surveys. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8, pp.61–78.
- DNFF, 2012. Draft National Policy Planning Framework 2011. Available at: http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/planningandbuilding/pdf/1951811.pdf.
- Dola, K. & Mijan, D., 2006. Public Participation in Planning for Sustainable Development: Operational Questions and Issues, *International Journal Sustainable Tropical Design Research and Practice* 1(1), pp.1–8.
- Donate, M.J. & Guadamillas, F., 2010. The Effect of Organizational Culture on Knowledge Management Practices and Innovation. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 17, pp.82–94.
- Doyle, A., 2012. Behavioral Interviews. *Aboutcom Job Searching*. Available at http://jobsearch.about.com/cs/interviews/a/behavioral.htm

- Drexhage, J. & Murphy, D., 2012. Sustainable Development: From Brundtland to Rio 2012. *New York*, p.26.
- Edmiston, K.D., 2003. State and Local E-Government: Prospects and Challenges. *American Review of Public Administration*, 33, pp.20–45.
- Eisenhardt, K.M., 1989. Building Theories from Case Study Research. Academy of Management Review, 14, pp.532–550.
- Elias, A.A. et al., 2004. Analyzing Stakeholder Dynamics in Environmental Conflict: A New Zealand Transport Infrastructure Project. Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference of the System Dynamics Society.
- Endut, A.F., Mustapa, S.I. & Peng, L.Y., 2011. Role of knowledge institution in achieving sustainable development: UNITEN experience. In 15th International Conference on ISO & TQM (15-ICIT), 26--28 July.
- Evans, B.O.B. & Theobald, K., 2003. Policy And Practice Lasala: Evaluating Local Agenda 21 in Europe. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, AMINA pp.781–794. 46(September), Available http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0964056032000138481.
- FDTCP, 2005. Manual of Malaysia Urban Indicators Network Kuala Lumpur,
- Fowler, K., 2012. Triple Bottom Line Assessment: Practical Tools for Local Government. In Proceedings Of The Resilient Cities 2012 Congress. Available at http://resilient-cities.iclei.org/resilient-cities-hub-site/congress-publications/on-lineproceedings-2012/
- Fuller, W. a., 2002. Regression Estimation for Survey Samples. Survey Methodology, 28, pp.5–23.
- Funaki, K. & Adams, L., 2010. Chapter 16 Japanese Experience with Efforts at the Community Level Toward a Sustainable Economy: Accelerating Collaboration Between Local and Central Governments. In Sustainable Communities. pp. 243-261.
- Galvin, M., 1999. The Impact of Local Government on Rural Development in South Africa. Transformation Critical Perspectives on Southern Africa.
- Gao, H.G.H. & Feng, Q.F.Q., 2010. Some Thoughts on Sustainable Transport Management. Advanced Management Science ICAMS 2010 IEEE International Conference on, 3.
- Gibson, R.B., 2005. Governance for Sustainable Development: Moving from Theory to Practice René Kemp * and Saeed Parto. *Alternatives Journal*, 8, pp.12–30.

- Gilham, A., 1998. Strategies for Change Understanding Sustainable Development from a Construction Industry Perspective (Proceedings CIB World Building Congress, Gaevle, Sweden, 7-12 June 1998).
- Giuliani, E., 2008. Multinational Corporations and Patterns of Local Knowledge Transfer in Costa Rican High-Tech Industries. *Development and Change*, 39, pp.385–407.
- Goh, 2002. Managing Effective Knowledge Transfer: An Integrative Framework and Some Practice Implications. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 6, pp.23–30.
- Goh, K.C. & Yang, J., 2010. Responding to Sustainability Challenge and Cost Implications in Highway Construction Projects. Full Paper Proceedings - CIB 2010 World Congress.
- Gov.UK, G.D.S., 2010. Local Government. Available at: ,http://www.direct.gov.uk/en/Governmentcitizensandrights/UKgovernment/Localg overnment/DG 073310.
- Greene, D.L. & Wegener, M., 1997. Sustainable Transport. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 5, pp.177–190.
- Greenwood, R. & Stewart, J.D., 1986. The Institutional and Organizational Capabilities of Local Government. *Public Administration*, 64, pp.35–51.
- Griffith, T.L. & Sawyer, J.E., 2006. Supporting Technologies and Organizational Practices for the Transfer of Knowledge in Virtual Environments. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 15, pp.407–423.
- Guo, H. & Suo, Z., 2011. Structural Model of the Capability of Enterprises Sustainable Development. *MSIE* 2011, pp.962–965.
- Hai, L.T. et al., 2009. Influencing Factors on Sustainable Development: A Case Study in Quang Tri Province, Vietnam. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 12(1), pp.103–116. Available at: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10668-008-9183-y [Accessed September 5, 2013].
- Halder, A. & Bhattacharya, R., 2011. Model Validation: A Probabilistic Formulation. *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference*, pp.1692–1697.
- Han, S.S., 2010. Managing Motorization in Sustainable Transport Planning: The Singapore Experience. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 18, pp.314–321.
- Handzic, M., Lagumdzija, A. & Celjo, A., 2009. Knowledge Management Technology in Local Government. *IGI Global*, pp.2373–2379.

- Harris, F., 2012. Sustainable Development: Negotiating the Future. In *Global Environmental Issues*. pp. 275–294.
- Harris, P. & Holt, G., 1999. The Management of Sustainable Social Housing Refurbishment Strategies in the West Midlands Region on the UK. In *15th Annual ARCOM Conference*. pp. 203–210.
- Hartley, J., Butler, M.J.R. & Benington, J., 2002. Local Government Modernisation: UK and Comparative Analysis from an Organizational Perspective. *Public Management Review*, 4, pp.387–404.
- Hawkins, C. V & Wang, X., 2011a. Sustainable Development Governance: Citizen Participation and Support Networks in Local Sustainability Initiatives. *Public Works Management Policy*, 17, pp.7–29.
- Hester, A., 2008. Innovating with Organizational Wikis: Factors Facilitating Adoption and Diffusion of an Effective Collaborative Knowledge Management System. *Knowledge Creation Diffusion Utilization*, pp.161–163.
- Hezri, A.A., 2004. Sustainability Indicator System and Policy Processes in Malaysia: A Framework for Utilisation and Learning. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 73, pp.357–371.
- Hopkins, W.G., 2006. Estimating Sample Size for Magnitude-Based Inferences. *Sportscience*, 10, pp.63–70.
- Hopwood, B., Mellor, M. & O'Brien, G., 2005. Sustainable Development: Mapping Different Approaches. *Sustainable Development*, 13(1), pp.38–52.
- Hsu, T.P., 2003. The Level of Sustainability (LOS) for Urban Transport. In *Sustainable World Volume 6*. pp. 741–750.
- Huber, G.P., 2001. Transfer of Knowledge in Knowledge Management Systems: Unexplored Issues and Suggested Studies. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 10, pp.72–79.
- Hung, W.-H. et al., 2012. Relationship Bonding for a Better Knowledge Transfer Climate: An ERP Implementation Research. *Decision Support Systems*, 52, pp.406–414.
- Husin, A.R., 2006. Malaysia's Economic Development with Emphasis on Public-Private Collaboration. *World Bank PSD Conference*. May 2006.
- Hussai, A.A., 2006. Administrative Modernization in the Malaysian Local Government: A Study in Promoting Efficiency, Effectiveness and Productivity, 14(1), pp.51–62.

- Hwang, B.-G. & Tan, J.S., 2012. Green Building Project Management: Obstacles and Solutions for Sustainable Development. *Sustainable Development*, 20, pp.335–349.
- Idris, N.H. & Ismail, Z., 2011. Framework Policy for Sustainable Construction in Malaysia. 2011 IEEE Symposium on Business Engineering and Industrial Applications ISBEIA, pp.441–446.
- Ighalo, B.I.J.I., 2012. Sustainable Housing Development As A Panacea To Sustainable Environmental Management In Developing Countries. In *The Global Chinese Real Estate Congress GCREC 2012 Annual Conference*. pp. 1093–1100.
- IIED, 2001. Sustainable Penang Initiative. Available at: http://www.iied.org/pubs/display.php?l=10&n=10&o=9140IIED&s=LA21).
- Jacobs, a J., 2004. Federations of Municipalities: A Practical Alternative to Local Government Consolidations in Japan? *Governance*, 17, pp.247–274.
- Jacquier, C., 2005. On Relationships between Integrated Policies for Sustainable Urban Development and Urban Governance. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, 96, pp.363–376.
- Jasimuddin, S., Connell, N.A.D. & Klein, J.H., 2010. Knowledge Transfer Frameworks: an Extension Incorporating Knowledge Repositories and Knowledge Administration. *Information Systems Journal*, 22, pp.195–209.
- Jasimuddin, S.M., Connell, N.A.D. & Klein, J.H., 2006. What Motivates Organisational Knowledge Transfer? Some Lessons from a UK-Based Multinational. *Journal of Information Knowledge Management* Vol 5, 5, pp.165–171.
- Jeffery, C., 2006. Devolution and Local Government. *Publius The Journal of Federalism*, 36, pp.57–73.
- Jie, S.J.S., 2010. The Sustainable Development of Highway Transport in China. Infrastructure Systems and Services Next Generation Infrastructure Systems for EcoCities INFRA 2010 Third International Conference on, pp.1–4.
- Johar, F., 2004. Managing Sustainable Development Through Planning Conditions. *Jurnal Alam Bina*, 6(2), p.12.
- Ju, S., Jang, S.O. & Yoo, T., 2011. Major Concepts and Perspective of Sustainability in Housing Content Area: Comparison of Home Economics Textbooks of Korea and Japan. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 35, pp.578–588.
- Juwana, I., Perera, B.J.C. & Muttil, N., 2009. Conceptual Framework for the Development of West Java Water Sustainability Index. *Environmental Protection*, 62, pp.3343–3349.

- Kamara, J.M., Anumba, C.J. & Carrillo, P.M., 2002. A CLEVER Approach to Selecting a Knowledge Management Strategy. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20, pp.205–211.
- Kase, R., Paauwe, J. & Zupan, N., 2009. HR Practices, Interpersonal Relations, and Intrafirm Knowledge Transfer in Knowledge-Intensive Firms: A Social Network Perspective. *Human Resource Management*, 48, pp.615–639.
- Kibert, C.J., 2007. The Next Generation of Sustainable Construction. *Building Research Information*, 35, pp.595–601.
- Kibert, C.J., Sendzimir, J. & Guy, B., 2000. Construction Ecology and Metabolism: Natural System Analogues for a Sustainable Built Environment. *Construction Management and Economics*, 18, pp.903–916.
- Kim, Y., 2011. The Pilot Study in Qualitative Inquiry: Identifying Issues and Learning Lessons for Culturally Competent Research. *Qualitative Social Work*, 10, pp.190–206.
- Kizilaslan, N., Gürler, A.Z. & Kizilaslan, H., 2007. An Analytical Approach to Sustainable Development in Turkey. *Sustainable Development*, 266, pp.254–266.
- Krauss, S.E. et al., 2009. Preliminary Investigation and Interview Guide Development for Studying how Malaysian Farmers 'Form their Mental Models of Farming. *The Qualitative Report*, 14, pp.245–260.
- Kusakabe, E., 2013. Advancing Sustainable Development at the Local Level: The Case of Machizukuri in Japanese Cities. *Progress in Planning*, 80, pp.1–65.
- Lafferty, W., 2001. Local Agenda 21: The Pursuit of Sustainable Development in Subnational Domains. In *How Green is the City Sustainability Assessment and the Management of Urban Environments*. pp. 63–84.
- Laffin, M., 2008. Local Government Modernisation in England: A Critical Review of the LGMA Evaluation Studies. *Local Government Studies*, 32, pp.109–125.
- LASD, L.A.S.D., 1997. Sustainable Development for Local Authorities Approaches, Experiences and Sources. *European Environment*.
- Lehaney, B. et al., 2003. Beyond Knowledge Management. *Operational Research Society (Vol.56, pp.386-387)*
- Leroux, K. & Carr, J.B., 2007. Explaining Local Government Cooperation on Public Works. *Public Works Management Policy*, 12, pp.344–358.

- Li, Q., 2011. The combination of Human Resource Management and Knowledge Management in E-commerce environment. 2011 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Management Science and Electronic Commerce AIMSEC, pp.6432–6435.
- Lin, W. & Ryzin, G.G., 2011. Web and Mail Surveys: An Experimental Comparison of Methods for Nonprofit Research. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*.
- Liu, Jinghua & Liu, Jiaping, 2011. A Study on Sustainable Design Strategies for Rural Housing in Yinchuan Region. 2011 International Conference on Remote Sensing Environment and Transportation Engineering, pp.8029–8031.
- Liyanage, C. et al., 2009. Knowledge Communication and Translation: A knowledge Transfer Model. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13, pp.118–131.
- Local Government and Planning Ministers' Council, 2009. Framework 3: Financial planning and reporting. *Financial Planning*.
- Loraine, J.A., 1982. Population, Environment, Medicine and Global Sustainability. *Ecology of disease*, 1, pp.167–175.
- Low, S.P., Liu, J.Y. & Wu, P., 2009. Sustainable Facilities: Institutional Compliance and the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-city Project. *Facilities*, 27, pp.368–386.
- Lu, B., Yue, L. & Liao, X.W., 2006. Model Research on Tacit Knowledge Transfer of an Organization by its External Learning. *Xitong Gongcheng Lilun yu ShijianSystem Engineering Theory and Practice*, 26, pp.35–43.
- M Osman, M., S A Rashid, S.N. & Ahmad, N., 2008. Local Agenda 21 in malaysia: Issues and Problems Faced by the Stakeholders in the Participation Process. In The *Ecocity World Summit Proceeding.*, 21, pp.1–11.
- MacDougall, C., 2008. Natural Building Materials in Mainstream Construction: Lessons from the U.K. *Journal of Green Building*, 3, pp.3–14.
- Magara, E.A., Bukirwa, J.B. & Kayiki, R.C., 2011. Knowledge Transfer Through Internship: The EASLIS Experience in Strengthening the Governance Decentralisation Programme in Uganda. *African Journal of Library Archives and Information Science*, 21, pp.29–40.
- Maidin, A.J., 1997. Access to Public Participation in the Land Planning and Environmental Decision Making Process in Malaysia., pp.148–164.
- Mäler, K.-G., 2008. Sustainable Development and Resilience in Ecosystems. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 39, pp.17–24.

- Marien, M., 1992. Environmental Problems and Sustainable Futures: Major Literature from WCED to UNCED. *Futures*, 24, pp.731–757.
- Masnavi, M.R., 2003. Sustainable Development, and the New Urban Paradigms: The Compact City and Urban Dispersal Theories. *Journal of Environmental Studies*, 29, pp.89–104+8.
- Masrom, M., Skitmore, M. & Bridge, A., 2011. The Identification of Malaysian Contractor Satisfaction Dimensions: A Strategy for Continuous Improvement. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Construction Engineering and Project Management: ICCEPM-2011.* sydney, pp. 1–5.
- Mbeng, L., Phillips, P. & Fairweather, R., 2010. Developing Sustainable Waste Management Practice: Application of Q Methodology to Construct New Strategy Component in Limbe Cameroon. *Waste Management*, pp.27–36.
- McLaren, D., 1998. Overcoming the Barriers to Effective National Sustainable Development Strategies: the role of environmental space analysis. *Local Environment*, 3(2), p.363. Available at: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=aph&AN=1290689&site=e host-live.
- Meadowcroft, J., 2000. Sustainable Development: a New(ish) Idea for a New Century? *Political Studies*, 48, pp.370–387.
- Meadowcroft, J., 2009. What About the politics? Sustainable Development, Transition Management, and Long Term Energy Transitions. *Policy Sciences*, 42, pp.323–340.
- Mei, Y., Wang, Z. & Cao, Z., 2011. Performance Evaluation Model of Knowledge Transfer. In 2011 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Management Science and Electronic Commerce AIMSEC 2011 Proceedings. pp. 5677–5681.
- Metaxiotis, K. & Ergazakis, K., 2008. Exploring Stakeholder Knowledge Partnerships in a Knowledge City: A Conceptual Model. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12, pp.137–150.
- MHLG, 2013. Local Governments Definition. *Ministry of Housing & Local Government, Malaysia*, pp.http://www2.epbt.gov.my/portal/?rid=review&id=21. Available at: http://www2.epbt.gov.my/portal/?rid=review&id=21.
- Michelini, R.C. & Razzoli, R.P., 2004. Product-Service for Environmental Safeguard: A Metrics to Sustainability. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 42, pp.83–98.
- Milutinovic, S., 2010. Local Sustainable Development Planning in Serbia: Achievements so Far and Future Challenges. *Thermal Science*, 14, pp.579–592.

- Minbaeva, D.B., 2005. HRM practices and MNC knowledge transfer. *Personnel Review*, 34, pp.125–144.
- Moore, F.C., 2011. Toppling the Tripod: Sustainable Development, Constructive Ambiguity, and the Environmental Challenge. *Consilience The Journal of Sustainable Development*, 5, pp.141–150.
- MPC, 2010. Sustainable Development Initiatives in Malaysia, Petaling Jaya: Malaysia Productivity Corporation. Available at: http://www.mpc.gov.my.
- Nathan, H.S.. & Sudhakara, R.B., 2012. Towards a conceptual framework for development of sustainable development indicators for an urban setup. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 15, pp.187–205.
- Ndlela, M.N., 2010. Knowledge Management in the Public Sector: Communication Issues and Challenges at Local Government Level. *Proceedings of the 11th European Conference on Knowledge Management Vols 1 and 2*, pp.711–716.
- Neustadtl, A., Robinson, J.P. & Kestnbaum, M., 2002. Doing social science research online. In *The Internet on Everyday Life*. pp. 186–211.
- Newell, C.J. & Moore, W.B., 2010. Creating Small Business Sustainability Awareness. *Journal of Business and Management*, 5, pp.19–26.
- Newell, G. & Manaf, Z., 2008. The Significance of Sustainability Practices by the Malaysian Property Sector. *Local Economy*, 23, pp.152–167.
- Newman, P., 2010. Green Urbanism and its Application to Singapore. *Environment and Urbanization Asia*, 1, pp.149–170.
- Nonaka, I., 1994. A Dynamic Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation. *Organization Science*, 5, pp.14–37.
- Nonaka, I., 1997. Nonaka 's Four Modes of Knowledge Conversion. *Organization*, 5, pp.14–37.
- Norhaidah, S. & Idros, S., 2005. Exploring Environmental Behaviours, Attitudes and Knowledge Among University Students: Positioning the Concept of Sustainable Development within. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in South East Asia Vol*, 29, pp.79–97.
- Norton, B., 1992. Sustainability, human welfare and ecosystem health. *Environmental Values*, 1(2), pp.97–111.
- OECD, 2011. State of Penang, Malaysia.

- OECD, 2001. Sustainable development: critical issues. *OECD Observer*, pp.1–8.
- OECD, S.S.D., 2007. Institutionalising Sustainable Development. *Sustainable Development*, 44, pp.135–141.
- Olsson, J., 2009. Sustainable development from below: institutionalising a global ideacomplex. *Local Environment*, 14, pp.127–138.
- Omar, D.B., 2008. Planning Principles and Control Mechanisms of New Town Development in Malaysia., (c), pp.139–144.
- Omar, D.B., Ling, O. & Leh, H., 1990. Malaysian Development Planning System: Kuala Lumpur Structure Plan and Public Participation., 5(3), pp.30–36.
- Osterloh, M. & Frey, B.S., 2007. Motivation, Knowledge Transfer, and Organizational Forms., 11(5), pp.538–550.
- Pamme, H., 2005. Local sustainability by organizational learning? Organizational sociology recommends modesty. *Kommunale nachhaltigkeit durch organisationslernen Ein plädoyer für bescheidenheit*, 14, pp.57–65.
- Pea, R.D., 1987a. Socializing the knowledge transfer problem. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 11(6), pp.639–663. Available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDF-468DT4D-7/2/da1c7927b0cbb71875691b9366264dee.
- Pea, R.D., 1987b. The Aims of Software Citicism: Reply to Professor Papert. *Educational Researcher*, 16(5), pp.4–8. Available at: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1175271.
- Pearsall, H. & Pierce, J., 2010. Urban sustainability and environmental justice: evaluating the linkages in public planning/policy discourse. *Local Environment*, 15, pp.569–580.
- Phang, S.N., 2008. Transforming Local Government In Malaysia: Implications For Centralization And Democratization. *Journal of Malaysian Chinese Studies*, 11, pp.97–110.
- Places, C.S., 2012. Implementation Guidebook for Sustainable Development in Greater Kansas City,
- Du Plessis, C., 2007. A strategic framework for sustainable construction in developing countries. *Construction Management and Economics*, 25, pp.67–76.
- Du Plessis, C., 2005. Action for sustainability: preparing an African plan for sustainable building and construction. *Building Research Information*, 33, pp.405–415.



- Portney, K. et al., 2007. Urban Governance Regimes and their Correlation with Environmental Sustainability.
- Potbhare, V., Syal, M. & Korkmaz, S., 2009. Adoption of Green Building Guidelines in Developing Countries Based on U.S. and India Experiences. *Journal of Green Building*, 4, pp.158–174.
- Publishing, I.G.I., 2008. Managing Knowledge with Technology: Current Trends in Local Government. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4, pp.77–89.
- Qin, Q. & Yang, L., 2008. Knowledge transfer model of integrated system Take ERP implementation for example. In *Proceedings of 2008 IEEE International Conference on Service Operations and Logistics and Informatics IEEESOLI 2008*. pp. 797–800.
- Rashid, Y.R. et al., 2011. Greening Government's Office Buildings: PWD Malaysia Experiences. *Procedia Engineering 2011 International Conference on Green Buildings and Sustainable Cities*, 21, pp.1056–1060.
- Rashman, L., Downe, J. & Hartley, J., 2005. Knowledge Ccreation and Transfer in the Beacon Scheme: Improving Services Through Sharing Good Practice. *Local Government Studies*, 31, pp.683–700.
- Razali, M.N. & Juanil, D.M., 2011. A study on Knowledge Management Implementation in Property Management Companies in Malaysia. *Facilities*, 29, pp.368–390.
- Reagans, R. & McEvily, B., 2003. Network Structure and Knowledge Transfer: The Effects of Cohesion and Range. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 48(2), pp.240–267.
- Redclift, M., 2005. Sustainable Development (1987--2005): An Oxymoron Comes of Age. *Sustainable Development*, 13(4), pp.212–227.
- Reid, L.A. & Houston, D., 2013. Low Carbon Housing: A "Green" Wolf in Sheep's Clothing? *Housing Studies*, 28, pp.37–41.
- Reimann, C. et al., 2008. Correlation. In *Statistical Data Analysis Explained*. pp. 181–191.
- Renukappa, S., Egbu, C.O. & Kumar, B., 2006. Knowledge Portal for Addressing Corporate Sustainability Issues: A Conceptual Framework. In *Construction and Building Research Conference COBRA*. pp. 570–581.

- Richards, F.E., Hons, B.A. & Cook, J., 2010. The Role of Local Government in the Production and Distribution of Knowledge Within Australian Regional Tourism Systems.
- Rid, W. & Profeta, A., 2011. Stated Preferences for Sustainable Housing Development in Germany--A Latent Class Analysis. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 31, pp.26–46.
- Riege, A. & Lindsay, N., 2006. Knowledge Management in the Public Sector: Stakeholder Partnerships in the Public Policy Development. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 10, pp.24–39.
- RIO Declaration, 2012. United Nations: Rio+20 The future we want. In *Rio20 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development* (pp.1-53).
- Robinson, H.S. et al., 2006. STEPS: A Knowledge Management Maturity Roadmap For Corporate Sustainability. *Business Process Management Journal*, 12, pp.793–808.
- Roelofsen, J. & Lindemann, U., 2010. A Framework for Situation Specific Planning of Product Development Processes. In *Proceedings of DESIGN 2010, the 11th International Design Conference*. pp. 433–442.
- Ross, A., 2006. Sustainable Development in Scotland Post Devolution. *Environmental Law Review*, 8, pp.6–32.
- Rovers, R., 2003. The Role of Policies in Promoting Sustainable Practices. *Sustainable Building and Construction*, pp.29–32.
- Rowe, J., 2000. The Local Agenda 21 Issue Commission in Bath and North-East Somerset: review of a community consultation exercise towards sustainability. *Local Government Studies*, 26(2), pp.71–92.
- Ruhanen, L., 2008. Progressing the Sustainability Debate: A Knowledge Management Approach to Sustainable Tourism Planning. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 11, pp.429–455.
- Rydin, Y., Holman, N. & Wolff, E., 2003. Local Sustainability Indicators. *Local Environment*, 8, pp.581–589.
- Saha, D., 2009. Empirical Research on Local Government Sustainability Efforts in the USA: Gaps in the Current Literature. *Local Environment*, 14, pp.17–30.
- Saha, D. & Paterson, R.G., 2008. Local Government Efforts to Promote the "Three Es" of Sustainable Development: Survey in Medium to Large Cities in the United States. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 28, pp.21–37.

- Sahely, H.R., Kennedy, C.A. & Adams, B.J., 2005. Developing Sustainability Criteria for Urban Infrastructure Systems. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 32, pp.72–85.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A., 2009. *Research Methods for Business Students*, Financial Times Prentice Hall. Vol 5, p.656.
- Scottish Executive, U., 2006. Sustainable Development: A Review of International Literature. *Social Research*, 25, pp.576–580.
- Segger, M.-C.C., 2004. Significant Developments in Sustainable Development Law and Governance: A proposal. *Natural Resources Forum*, 28(1), pp.61–74. Available at: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.0165-0203.2004.00072.x.
- Seow, T.W., 2012. New Perspective of Integrated Solid Waste Management in Malaysia. In *International Conference on Human Habitat and Environmental in Malay World*. UKM, Bangi.
- Shafie, S.M. et al., 2011. Current Energy Usage and Sustainable Energy in Malaysia: A Review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15, pp.4370–4377.
- Shafii, F., 2006. Achieving Sustainable Construction in the Developing Countries of Southeast Asia., (September 2002), pp.5–6.
- Shafii, F., Ali, Z.A. & Othman, M.Z., 2006. Achieving Sustainable Construction in the Developing Countries of Southeast Asia. In *Proceedings of the 6th Asia Pacific Structural Engineering and Construction Conference APSEC 2006*. pp. C29–C44.
- Shafii, F. & Othman, M.Z., 2007. Sustainable Building in the Malaysian Context. *Building*, pp.601–606.
- Shelbourn, M.A. et al., 2006a. Managing Knowledge in the Context of Sustainable Construction. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction ITcon*, 11, pp.57–71.
- Singaravelloo, K., 2010. PPP: The Right Marriage between Local Government and the Private Sector in Malaysia?, 2, pp.142–166.
- Sjostrom, C. & Bakens, W., 1999. CIB Agenda 21 for sustainable construction: why, how and what. *Building Research Information*, 27, pp.347–353.
- Smith, A., 2005. Interactive Qualitative Analysis: A Systems Method for Qualitative Research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 8, p.481.
- Smith, C., Clayden, A. & Dunnett, N., 2008. Putting Residential Development in a Sustainable Context. In *Residential Landscape Sustainability*. pp. 1–28.

- Smits, S. & Butterworth, J., 2006. Integrated Water Resources Management and Knowledge Transfer. In *Integrating Science and Technology into Development Policies An International Perspective*. pp. 131–137.
- Stoner, P., 2009. Sustainable Cities as Communities and Villages. In *Sustainable Communities*. pp. 45–55.
- Streib, G.D. & Willoughby, K.G., 2005. Local Governments as E-Governments: Meeting the Implementation Challenge. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 29, pp.77–109.
- Sveiby, K.-E., 2001. A Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm to Guide in Strategy Formulation. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 2(4), pp.344–358.
- Tàbara, J.D. & Pahl-wostl, C., 2007. Sustainability Learning in Natural Resource Use and Management. *Ecology And Society*, 12, p.3.
- Taib, M.S. & Siong, H.C., 2008. Planning System In Malaysia. Seminar of Sustainable development and Governance (TUT-UTM).
- Talja, S., 1999. Analyzing Qualitative Interview Data: The Discourse Analytic Method. *Library & Information Science Research*, 21, pp.459–477.
- Tashakkori, A. & Teddlie, C., 2003. The Past and Future of Mixed Methods Research: From Data Triangulation to Mixed Model Designd. In *Handbook of Mixed Methods in Social and Behavioral Research*. pp. 671–702.
- Tatari, O. & Kucukvar, M., 2012. Sustainability Assessment of U.S Construction Sectors: Ecosystems Perspective., (August), pp.918–922.
- Tavares, M. et al., 2007. Knowledge Management Process in the Local Government. *Communication*, pp.182–188.
- The Commissioner of Law Revision, M., 2006. *Act 172 Town and Country Planning Act 1976*, The Revision of Laws Act 1968.
- Thomson, C.S., El-Haram, M.A. & Hardcastle, C., 2009. Managing Knowledge of Urban Sustainability Assessment. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Engineering Sustainability*, 162, pp.35–43.
- Tooley, S., Hooks, J. & Basnan, N., 2009. Stakeholders Perceptions on the Accountability of Malaysian Local Authorities. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0408.2009.00478
- Tovey, H., 2009. Sustainability: A Platform for Debate. Sustainability, 1, pp.14–18.

- Trauth, E.M., 2012. Barriers to Knowledge Acquisition, Transfer and Management in Regional Knowledge Economy Development. 2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 0, pp.3612–3621.
- Turner, R.K. et al., 2003. Valuing Nature: Lessons Learned and Future Research Directions. *Ecological Economics*, 46(3), pp.493–510.
- Ubale, M.Y., Martin, D. & Wee, S.T., 2012. The Current Practices Of Sustainable Housing Development Mechanisms. In 2nd International Conference on Management. Kedah.
- UN, The United Nation., 1992. *The Rio Declaration On Environment And Development* (1992), Rio de Janeiro: United Nations Department of Public Information.
- Upadhyay, N. & Brinkmann, R., 2010. Green Local Governments in Florida: Assessment of Sustainability Performance. *Sustainability Science Practice and Policy*, 6, pp.18–27.
- Urquidi, V.L., 2008. The Population and the Environment. *Salud Publica de Mexico*, 31, pp.212–216.
- Vagnoni, E. & Bracci, E., 1998. Never-Ending Spiral of Tacit and Explicit Knowledge. Retrieved from http://www1.imp.unisg.ch/org/idt/ipmr.nsf/ac4c1079924cf935c1256c76004ba1a6/2 1d5239dde6456adc1256c76004de9fb/\$FILE/IPMR_2_1_Knowledge_transfer.pdf
- Van Egmond, E., 2012. Innovation, Technology and Knowledge Transfer for Sustainable Construction. In *Construction Innovation and Process Improvement*. pp. 95–123.
- Van Kerkhoff, L. & Lebel, L., 2006. Linking Knowledge and Action for Sustainable Development. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, 31, pp.445–477.
- Vogler, J., 2008. The Crisis of Global Governance: Towards a New Political Economy of Sustainability. *Environmental Politics*, 18, pp.453–454.
- Volet, S., 1999. Learning Across Cultures: Appropriateness of Knowledge Transfer. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 31(7), pp.625–643. Available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0883035599000282.
- Wang, Y., 2008. Knowledge Transfer and Management Strategy Within the Context of Integrated Design & Process. In *Proceedings Of 2008 International Conference On System Management*. pp. 3–7.
- Waters, D.J., 2004. Building on Success, Forging New Ground: The Question of Sustainability. *First Monday*, 9.

- Watt, P.A., 2006. The Future Of Local Principles And Theories Of Local Government. *Economic Affairs*.
- Weng, C.N., 2005. Sustainable management of rivers in Malaysia: Involving All Stakeholders. *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 3, pp.147–162.
- Wester, K.L., Willse, J.T. & Davis, M.S., 2008. Responsible Conduct of Research Measure: Initial Development and Pilot Study. *Accountability in Research*, 15, pp.87–104.
- Wild River, S., 2005a. Enhancing the Sustainability Efforts of Local Governments. *International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development*, 1, pp.46–64.
- Wild River, S., 2005b. Enhancing the Sustainability Efforts of Local Governments. *International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development*, 1, pp.46–64.
- Winston, N., 2010. Regeneration for Sustainable Communities? Barriers to Implementing Sustainable Housing in Urban Areas. *Sustainable Development*, 330, pp.319–330.
- Witzel, A., 2000. The Problem-centered Interview. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Qualitative Social Research, 1, pp.1–9.
- Wolman, H. & Page, E., 2002. Policy transfer among local governments: An information--theory approach. *Governance*, 15(4), pp.501–577.
- Wong, J., 2011. Creating a Sustainable Living Environment for Public Housing in Singapore. In *Climate Change and Sustainable Urban Development in Africa and Asia SE 7*. pp. 117–128.
- Yaakup, A. et al., 2007. Computerised Planning Approval System For A Local Authority In Malaysia. *10th International Conference on Computers in Urban Planning and Urban Management*, p.15. Available at: eprints.utm.my/4273/1/YaakubAhris2007_computers_in_urban_planning.pdf.
- Yahya, S. & Goh, W.-K., 2002. Managing Human Resources Toward Achieving Knowledge Management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 6, pp.457–468.
- Yakhlef, A., 2007. Knowledge Transfer as the Transformation of Context. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 18, pp.43–57.
- Yali, C. & Taozhen, H., 2011. Conceptual Model of Tacit Knowledge Transfer Within Organizations. 2011 International Conference on Product Innovation Management ICPIM 2011, pp.151–154.



- Yang, Z., Liuyanping & Jie, T., 2008. Research on the Choice of Knowledge Transfer Models in Strategic Alliance. In R. Chi, ed. *Proceedings Of 2008 International Conference On Risk And Reliability Management Vols I And Ii (Pp. 476–480)*. Universe Academic Press Toronto., pp. 476–480.
- Yashiro, H., 2009. Sustainability Education for the Development of New Business. PICMET 09 2009 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering Technology.
- Yates, S.J., 2004. *Doing Social Science Research*. London: SAGE Publication Ltd. p.293.
- Yilmaz, K., 2013. Comparison of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Traditions: epistemological, theoretical. *European Journal of Education*, 48, pp.311–325.
- Yin, R.K., 2009. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (Vol.5, p.219). doi:10.1097/FCH.0b013e31822dda9e
- Yuan, M. & Yang, J., 2009. A Knowledge Management Framework to Promote Infrastructure Project Sustainability. In Criocm2009 International Symposium On Advancement Of Construction Management And Real Estate Vols 16. pp. 2480– 2486.
- Zainul, A.N., 2010. Investigating the Awareness and Application of Sustainable Construction Concept by Malaysian Developers. *Habitat International*, 34, pp.421–426.
- Zainul, A.N., 2009. Sustainable Construction in Malaysia Developers 'Awareness. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, pp.807–814.
- Zakaria, R. et al., 2012a. Sustainable Neighbourhood Planning And Design In Malaysian Perspective. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 209-211, pp.1690–1693.
- Zakaria, R. et al., 2012b. Sustainable Neighbourhood Planning And Design In Malaysian Perspective. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 209-211, pp.1690–1693.
- Zakaria, R. & Yang, J., 2004. Smart And Sustainable Inhabitation In Residential-Industrial Neighbourhood. 2004 IEEE International Engineering Management Conference IEEE Cat No04CH37574, 1, pp.209–213.
- Zeemering, E.S., 2009a. What Does Sustainability Mean to City Officials? *Urban Affairs Review*, 45, pp.247–273.
- Zeemering, E.S., 2009b. What Does Sustainability Mean to City Officials? *Urban Affairs Review*, 45, pp.247–273.

- Van Zeijl-Rozema, A. et al., 2008. Governance for Sustainable Development: A Framework. *Sustainable Development*, 16, pp.410–421.
- Zhang, J., Dawes, S.S. & Sarkis, J., 2005. Exploring Stakeholders' Expectations Of The Benefits And Barriers Of E-Government Knowledge Sharing. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 18, pp.548–567.
- Zhu, Y. & Lin, B., 2004. Sustainable Housing And Urban Construction In China. *Energy and Buildings*, 36, pp.1287–1297.
- Zimmermann, M., 2007. Local Governments and Sustainable Development. Environmental Policy Law, 37, pp.504–506.
- Zyngier, S. & Venkitachalam, K., 2011. Knowledge Management Governance A Strategic Driver. Knowledge Management Research Practice. Knowledge Management Research Practice, 9, pp.136–150.