


TREATMENT OF FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER USING
TWO STAGES ANAEROBIC SYSTEM

MUHAMMAD SHAHRUL SHAFENDY BIN IBRAHIM



This thesis is submitted as a fulfillment of
the requirement for the award of the Degree of
Master of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

AUGUST 2014

*To my beloved parents, family, siblings and fellow friends. All of you will be always
in my heart. Thank you so much for the care and support given*



PTTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUN AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, all praise is to Allah, the Almighty for giving me the courage and guidance to finish my project. The author would like to acknowledge and extend his heartfelt gratitude to his Supervisor, Prof. Hj. Ab. Aziz bin Abdul Latiff, for his advice and encouragement, without his guidance, this research would not have been possible. The author would also like to express his gratitude to his Co Supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zawawi Bin Daud for his guidance and support towards the completion of this research.

The author would also like to thank his beloved family especially his parents, Ibrahim Bin Salim and Zubaidah Bte Ibrahim and also sisters; Aizatul Afzan and Azlin Azuin for their unending support. Moreover, the author would like to express his love and affection to his nephew, Azalea Arissa, for being his 'vitamin' from time to time. Besides that, the author would like to thank his beloved friends and lab mates who had helped him during this project and for their support given.

Last but not least, the author would like to extend his gratitude to lab assistants, Pn. Mahfuzah, En. Ridhwan, En. Tarmizi, En Azri, En Faisal and staffs in Faculty of Civil Engineering for helping him to complete his Master project. Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

The wastewater produced by food manufacturing industry is known for its high concentration of COD and suspended solid. In wastewater treatment, anaerobic process is favorable due to its low cost, biogas production, low sludge production and more. In this study, upflow anaerobic sludge bed (UASB) and hybrid-UASB (HUASB) reactors, were combined with anaerobic filter (AF) bioreactors forming two stages system to treat food processing industry wastewater. This study was focused on the performance of UASB-AF (R1) and HUASB-AF (R2) treatment systems and the granules development. Seed sludge was deposited into HUASB column up to a third of the height. Palm oil shells were then packed into the HUASB (above seed sludge) as well as AF reactors to promote growth of microorganisms. The R1 and R2 systems were operated simultaneously, fed with raw food manufacturing wastewater taken from Azhar Food Manufacturing Factory. Parameters measured to evaluate the performance of the process were pH, COD, $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$, oil and grease and total phosphorus. The highest average COD removal efficiency, at 99%, were detected in R1 and R2 systems, both at OLR 10.56 g COD/L.d. Moreover, the presence of aggregated bio particles with diameter ranges from 2.934 to 5.00 mm were observed in both UASB and HUASB reactors. The highest percentage of 2.934 to 5.00 mm diameter granules were 7.6 % and 10.7% in the UASB and HUASB respectively. In addition, the highest removal rate coefficient, k values for UASB and HUASB were 2.1981 and 3.3950, occurred at OLR 8.59 and 10.56 g COD/L.d, respectively. Overall, the k values have proved that HUASB reactor had performed better than UASB reactor.

ABSTRAK

Air sisa yang dihasilkan oleh industri pembuatan makanan terkenal dengan kandungan COD dan pepejal terampai yang tinggi. Dalam rawatan air sisa, proses anaerobik selalu digunakan kerana kos yang rendah, pengeluaran biogas, pengeluaran enapcemar yang rendah dan lain-lain. Dalam kajian ini, aliran ke atas katil enapcemar anaerobik (UASB), dan hibrid-UASB (HUASB) telah digabungkan dengan penapis anaerobik (AF) bioreaktor menjadi sistem dua fasa untuk merawat air sisa pemprosesan makanan di industri. Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada prestasi sistem rawatan UASB-AF (R1) dan HUASB-AF (R2) serta pembesaran granul. Benih mikrob dalam bentuk enapcemar dimasukkan ke dalam bahagian bawah reaktor HUASB sehingga sepertiga ketinggian. Cengkerang kelapa sawit pula diletakkan ke dalam HUASB (bahagian atas) dan AF reaktor untuk menggalakkan pertumbuhan mikroorganisma. Sistem R1 dan R2 beroperasi pada masa yang sama, dipam dengan air sisa pemprosesan makanan yang diambil dari Azhar Food Manufacturing Factory. Parameter yang diukur untuk menilai prestasi proses adalah pH, COD, $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$, minyak dan gris dan jumlah fosforus. Purata tertinggi kecekapan penyingkiran COD, dengan 99 %, telah dikesan di sistem R1 dan R2, kedua-dua pada OLR 10.56 g COD/L.d. Selain itu, kehadiran granul bio agregat dengan diameter antara 2.934-5.000 mm ditemui dalam UASB dan HUASB reaktor. Peratusan tertinggi kumpulan granul berdiameter 2.934-5.000 mm dalam UASB dan HUASB adalah 7.6% dan 10.7 % masing-masingnya. Di samping itu, nilai pekali penyingkiran k tertinggi untuk UASB dan HUASB adalah 2.1981 dan 3.3950, berlaku pada OLR 8.59 dan 10.56 g COD/L.d masing-masingnya. Secara keseluruhan, daripada nilai k membuktikan bahawa reaktor HUASB adalah lebih baik daripada reaktor UASB.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi

1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objective Research	4
1.4	Scope of Study	4
1.5	Significance of Study	5
1.6	Expected Outcome	6
1.7	Thesis Outline	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Wastewater	8
2.2	Food Industry Wastewater	10
2.3	Wastewater Treatment	12
2.4	Environmental Quality (Industrial Effluent) Regulations 2009	13
2.5	Biological Treatment Process	13
	2.3.1 Aerobic Treatment Process	14
	2.3.2 Anaerobic Treatment Process	15
2.6	Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB)	17
	2.6.1 Hybrid Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (HUASB)	18

2.6.2	Treatment Process of UASB and HUASB	19
2.6.3	Seed Sludge (Inoculum)	24
2.7	Anaerobic Filter (AF)	24
2.7.1	Design of AF Bioreactor	25
2.7.2	Biomass Development in AF Bioreactor	25
2.8	Organic Loading Rate (OLR)	26
2.9	Two Stage Anaerobic Treatments	28
2.10	Food to Microorganism (F/M) Ratio	30
2.11	Support Media in Biological Treatment	30
2.11.1	Support Media Used in HUASB Reactor	33
2.11.2	Support Media Used in AF Reactor	34
2.12	Granule Development	34
2.14	Microbiology Aspect	40
2.14.1	Methanogens	40
2.15	Biogas Production	44
2.15	Particle Size Distribution	46



3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Food Industry Wastewater	51
3.3	Seed Sludge	51
3.4	Experimental Set-up	51
3.5	Palm Oil Shells	55
3.6	Experimental Procedure	55
3.7	Data Collection and Data Analysis	
3.7.1	Determination of pH	56
3.7.2	Determination of COD	56
3.7.3	Determination of Ammonia-nitrogen	56
3.7.4	Determination of Total Phosphorus	57
3.7.5	Determination of Oil and Grease (APHA 5520B)	57
3.7.6	Granule Development Analysis	58
3.7.7	Determination of Total Suspended Solids and Volatile Suspended Solids (APHA 2540D and 2540E)	58
3.7.8	Microstructural Imaging of Granules and POS	59
3.7.9	Microorganism's Examination	59

3.8	Kinetic Model of UASB and HUASB Reactor	60
3.9	Statistical Analysis	63

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Food Industry Wastewater Characteristics	64
4.2	Reactor Performance	65
4.2.1	Organic Loading Rate (OLR) and Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)	65
4.2.2	Startup Period	68
4.3	Food to Microorganism (F/M) Ratio	68
4.4	pH Value	70
4.5	COD Concentration	73
4.5.1	COD Removal Efficiency	77
4.5.2	Determination of Removal Coefficient, k_1 for the Treatment Systems	79
4.6	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Concentration	82
4.7	Nitrogen Ammonia (NH ₃ -N) Concentration	87
4.8	Total Phosphorus (TP) Concentration	91
4.9	Oil and Grease Concentration	94
4.10	Biomass Concentration	97
4.11	Biogas Production	99
4.12	Particle Size Distribution	100



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUN AMINAH

4.13	Morphology Study	
4.13.1	Microbial Study	103
4.13.2	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Study	105

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1	Conclusion	110
5.2	Recommendation	113

REFERENCES	114
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES	125
-------------------	-----



LIST OF TABLES

NO OF TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Food industry wastewater and its characteristics	11
2.2	Motivations for research on new technologies for improving wastewater treatment efficiencies	12
2.3	Conditions for discharge of industrial effluent or mixed effluent of standards A and B	13
2.4	Aerobic treatment method and the performance	15
2.5	The advantages and disadvantages of anaerobic treatment compared to aerobic treatment	16
2.6	Performance of UASB in treating several type of wastewaters	18
2.7	Performance of HUASB in treating several type of wastewaters	19
2.8	Recommended loading range for design of UASB based on COD concentration at average flow	20
2.9	Operational conditions affecting solids removal in UASB reactor	22
2.10	Influents and sludge bed characteristics affecting solids removal in UASB)	23
2.11	Performance of AF in treating several type of wastewaters	25
2.12	Performance of two stage anaerobic treatments on several types of wastewater	29
2.13	Influence of addition of various materials on the sludge granulation	33
2.14	Anaerobic granulation process model by Ahn (Hulshoff <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	38

2.15	Taxonomic Subgroups of Methanogens (Microbiology by Prescott, <i>et al.</i> 1999)	42
4.1	Characteristics of raw food industry wastewater taken from Azhar Food manufacturing industry	64
4.2	Steady state periods for R1 and R2 treatment systems	66
4.3	k values for R1 and R2 systems	80
4.4	Group size and the range of granules diameter	100



LIST OF FIGURES

NO OF FIGURES	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Aerobic decomposition	14
2.2	Schematic diagram of UASB reactor	17
2.3	Anaerobic granules from the UASB reactor of Papierfabrick Roermond	35
2.4	Granule composition model by McLeod (Hulshoff, <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	39
2.5	Schematic diagram of inert nuclei model	39
2.6	Schematic diagram of ECP bonding model	40
2.7	Aggregate of <i>Methanosarcina</i> present at the bottom of a UASB reactor	43
2.8	SEM of <i>Methanotrix</i> cells growing (a) in long filaments and (b) in short chains	44
2.9	Anaerobic decomposition of organic matter	45
3.1	Research process flowchart	50
3.2	Design for UASB and HUASB reactor	52
3.3	Design for AF reactor (Front view)	53
3.4	Design for AF reactor (Side view)	53
3.5	Schematic diagram of UASB-AF and HUASB-AF treatment system	54
3.6	Two stage anaerobic treatment system setup	54

3.7	Kinetic Mass Balance	62
4.1	OLR and HRT operated throughout the research	66
4.2	UASB and HUASB reactor failed	67
4.3	AF reactor failed	67
4.4	F/M ratio inside UASB and HUASB reactors at each OLR	69
4.5	Distribution of pH value for R1 treatment system	71
4.6	Distribution of pH value for R2 treatment system	71
4.7	Average pH for R1 treatment system	72
4.8	Average pH for R2 treatment system	73
4.9	Distribution of COD concentration for R1 treatment system	74
4.10	Distribution of COD concentration for R2 treatment system	74
4.11	Average COD concentration at each OLR for R1 treatment system	76
4.12	Average COD concentration for at each OLR for R2 treatment system	76
4.13	COD removal efficiencies for R1 treatment system	77
4.14	COD removal efficiencies for R2 treatment system	78
4.15	Average COD removal efficiencies of R1 system	78
4.16	Average COD removal efficiencies of R2 system	79
4.17	Removal rate constant, k (day^{-1}) for each OLR in UASB	81
4.18	Removal rate constant, k (day^{-1}) for each OLR in HUASB	81
4.19	Distribution of TSS concentration for R1 treatment system	83

4.20	Distribution of TSS concentration for R2 treatment system	83
4.21	Average of TSS concentration at each OLR in R1 system	84
4.22	Average of TSS concentration at each OLR in R2 system	85
4.23	Average TSS removal efficiencies for R1 system	86
4.24	Average TSS removal efficiencies for R2 system	86
4.25	Distribution of NH ₃ -N concentration for R1 treatment system	87
4.26	Distribution of NH ₃ -N concentration for R2 treatment system	88
4.27	Average NH ₃ -N concentration at each OLR in R1 system	90
4.28	Average NH ₃ -N concentration at each OLR in R2 system	90
4.29	Distribution of TP concentration for R1 treatment system	91
4.30	Distribution of TP concentration for R2 treatment system	92
4.31	Average TP concentrations at each OLR for R1 system	93
4.32	Average TP concentrations at each OLR for R2 system	93
4.33	Distribution of oil and grease concentration for R1 system	94
4.34	Distribution of oil and grease concentration for R2 system	94
4.35	Average O&G concentrations at each OLR for R1 system	95
4.36	Average O&G concentrations at each OLR for R2 system	96

4.37	Average O&G removal efficiencies for R1 system	97
4.38	Average O&G removal efficiencies for R2 system	97
4.39	Average MLVSS concentration for UASB and HUASB at each steady state	98
4.40	Average biogas production in HUASB reactor (mL/g COD)	99
4.41	Particle contents in UASB reactor	101
4.42	Particle contents in HUASB reactor	102
4.43	Gram positive microbial population	104
4.44	Gram negative microbial population	104
4.45	Sludge image before granule development in reactors, 1500X magnification	105
4.46	Sludge granules image in UASB and HUASB reactors, 1500X magnification	106
4.47	Sludge granules image in AFs, 1500X magnification	107
4.48	POS image before treatment	108
4.49	POS image after treatment	108



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

APHA	- American Public Health Association
USEPA	- US Environmental Protection Agency
UASB	- Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket
HUASB	- Hybrid-UASB
AF	- Anaerobic Filter
OLR	- Organic Loading Rate
HRT	- Hydraulic Retention Time
Q	- Flow rate
POS	- Palm Oil Shells
COD	- Chemical Oxygen Demand
SS	- Suspended solids
TSS	- Total Suspended solids
VSS	- Volatile suspended solid
SVI	- Sludge volume index
SMA	Specific methanogenic activity
TP	- Total Phosphorus
N-NH ₃	- Ammonia-Nitrogen
O&G	- Oil and grease
SO ₄ ²⁻	- Sulphate
CH ₄	- Methane
mg	- milligram
L	- Liter
%	- Percentage
mL	- Milliliter
mm	- Millimeter
SEM	- Scanning Electron Micrograph

VFA	- Volatile fatty acid
LCFA	- Long chain fatty acid
ECP	- Extracellular polymer



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Calculations of OLR and HRT	125
Appendix B	Tabulated data obtained for each reactors	126
Appendix C	ANOVA and t-test reports	144



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Water is important to all living things in this world. 70.9% of the Earth's surface is covered with water. The ocean holds about 97% of surface water, the glaciers and polar ice caps holds 2.4%, while the other 0.6% of water in this world can be found at lakes, rivers and ponds. Unfortunately, the water quality has deteriorated from time to time due to human's daily routines. Making matter worse is the production of wastewater discharged by domestic residences, commercial properties, industry and agriculture that cover a broad range of potential contaminants and concentrations.

Malaysia now is a major exporter of electronic and machinery, petroleum, textiles, clothing and footwear, palm oil and wood products (Zain *et al.*, 2004). The industrial processes inevitably results in uncontrollable and high production of wastewater which if not treated properly will contaminate the environment. There are many factories contributing to industrial wastewaters such as metal industry, complex organic chemicals industry, and food industry. Industrial wastewaters are considerably diverse in their nature, toxicity and treatability, and normally require pre-treatment before being discharged to sewer. Food processing in particular is very dissimilar to other types of industrial wastewater, being readily degradable and largely free from toxicity. However, it usually has high concentrations of biological oxygen demand (BOD) and suspended solid (Gray, 1999).

Compared to other industrial sectors, the food industry uses a much greater amount of water for each ton of product (Mavrov *et al.*, 2000). One of a well-known food industry, chips industry, is also getting bigger in Malaysia throughout the years.

The most commonly used raw material in chip manufacturing industry is tapioca. Tapioca is produced from treated and dried cassava (manioc) root. Tapioca can also be used for starch-processing plants and production of pellets and chips (Chavalparit *et al.*, 2009). The process for chips or any other food processing plants normally use immense volume of water, yielding large amounts of wastewater that must be treated. Excessive water use and wastewater production results in economic and environmental burdens to the industry. The usage of water for clean-up in food processing plants flushes loose meat, blood, soluble proteins, inorganic particles, and other food waste to the drain. The wastewater produced could be treated and recycled to the process (Chen *et al.*, 1999).

The social and economic requirement for low-cost, low-technology wastewater treatment technologies has stimulated study of more advanced level wastewater treatment, including the development of new reactor designs and operating conditions (McHugh *et al.*, 2003). One of the well-known treatment methods in treating industry wastewaters is the anaerobic treatment (Moawad *et al.*, 2009). Anaerobic process has been used for the treatment of concentrated domestic and industrial wastewater for well over century. Anaerobic treatment of wastewater can be traced from the beginning of wastewater treatment itself in the form of septic tank treatment process (Seghezo *et al.*, 1998).

The interest on anaerobic systems as the main biological step (secondary treatment) in wastewater treatment was kind of inadequate, until the establishment of upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor in the early 70s though a similar system called the 'biolytic tank' had been previously used in the 1910 by Winslow and Phelps (1911). Now the UASB reactor is broadly used for the treatment of several types of wastewater (Seghezo *et al.*, 1998). Other than UASB, anaerobic filter (AF) technology is also another system that applies the concept of anaerobic digestion process. AF technology has become established as a high rate process for treating industrial wastewater (Wang *et al.*, 2006). Being inspired from the UASB and AF bioreactors, the hybrid-UASB, or also known as HUASB has become popular in anaerobic bioreactor section (Oktem *et al.*, 2007). HUASB has been successfully applied as part of the treatment system in palm oil mill effluent (Habeeb *et al.*, 2011), dairy wastewater (Banu *et al.*, 2007) and many other high strength wastewaters.

There are times where wastewater treatment would make use of support media to enhance the efficiency. Activated carbons are widely known support media that

exhibits high surface area and opened pore that allows adsorption of contaminants (Haji *et al.*, 2013). However, activated carbon usually increases the cost of treatment process. This drawback has stimulated more research to utilize agricultural by-products and wastes to be used as support media (Al-Qodah and Shawabkah, 2009). One of the most acknowledged agricultural industry in Malaysia is the palm oil industry. Fibre, shell, decanter cake and empty fruit bunch makes up for 30%, 6%, 3% and 28.5% of the fresh fruit bunch respectively (Rupani *et al.*, 2010). Previous studies showed that the surface area of the resulting activated carbon prepared from the palm oil shells (POS) on a pilot plant scale without any chemical activator was 950 m²/g (Hussein *et al.*, 1996). It was also mentioned that raw materials of palm oil shell contain high carbon and low ash (Hamad *et al.*, 2010).

1.2 Problem Statement

The food manufacturing wastewater contains high concentrations of several organic compounds including carbohydrates, starches, proteins, vitamins, pectines and sugars which are accountable for high chemical oxygen demand (COD) and suspended solids (Kobyta *et al.*, 2006). The wastewater resulted from a series of processes (cleaning, cutting, slicing, washing, frying, salting, coating and packing) is one of the significant source in environmental pollution. The produced wastewater streamed with different levels of pollution load (low, medium and high contamination) are normally collected and treated in an on-site installation or in a municipal sewage treatment plant (Mavrov *et al.*, 2000). However, it is believed that more efficient treatment is required to assure the wastewater released are in compliant with the Environment Regulation 2009 (Industrial Effluent).

Nowadays, there are various treatments that can be applied to treat the industrial wastewater. The commonly preferred treatment is anaerobic treatment due to its low cost and high effectiveness. Some of the well-established anaerobic bioreactors are UASB, HUASB and AF bioreactors. Although UASB, HUASB and AF reactors are able to treat the wastewater effectively on their own, there are still flaws and disadvantages that needed to be overcome. Some of the drawbacks of UASB, HUASB and AF reactors are the slow start-up period and instability of

performance. To improve on this shortcoming, studies on two stage anaerobic treatments are diligently investigated to improve on the efficiency and start-up period of the anaerobic treatment.

Ke and Fang (2005) stated that two stage anaerobic treatment is a reliable treatment system with variety of reactor designs available and can be modified or upgraded to achieve increased stability and greater efficiencies than single stage systems. Excellent performance of two stage anaerobic system had been observed in researches by Stamatelatou *et al.*, (2012), Nidal *et al.* (2003), and many more. Halalsheh *et al.* (2010) especially had done a research on two stage treatment system comprised of UASB and AF reactors in treatment of concentrated sewage which shows great efficiency, stability, and shorter start-up period. On the other hand, this study had applied the UASB-AF and HUASB-AF two stage anaerobic treatment systems to study their performance in treating food industry wastewater.

1.3 Objective of the Study

The objectives of this study are:

- a) To investigate the performance of individual UASB, HUASB and AF as well as combinations of UASB-AF and HUASB-AF
- b) To characterize and study the development of sludge granulation in UASB and HUASB reactors
- c) To determine the removal rate constant, k of the organic pollutants in UASB and HUASB reactors.

1.4 Scope of Study

The research focuses on the laboratory scale of anaerobic treatment on food industry wastewater using UASB-AF and HUASB-AF treatment systems. The food industry wastewater was taken from the Azhar Food Manufacturing Sdn. Bhd., Food Beverage. The performance of UASB-AF and HUASB-AF were studied based on the efficiency

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, K. L., Jayadevan, J. Y., Harada, H., and Nakamura, K. (1997). Utilization of Dried Pelletized Anaerobic Sludge for Anaerobic Treatment of Wastewater. *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, 83 (1), 91-95.
- Alengaram, U. J., Mahmud, H., and Jumaat, M. Z. (2011). Enhancement and Prediction of Modulus of Elasticity of Palm Kernel Shell. *Materials and Design*, 32, 2143-2148.
- Al-Qodah, Z., and Shawabkha, R. (2009). Production and Characterization of Granular Activated Carbon from Activated Sludge. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 26 (1).
- American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA), Water Environment Federation (WEF) (2005). 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.' 21st ed. Washington, DC.
- Amin, G. A., and Vriens, L. (2014). Optimization of UASB Reactor for Treatment of Composite Fermentation and Distillation Wastewater. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 13 (10), 1136-1142.
- Alturkmani, A. (2010). Industrial Wastewater. Environmental Engineering Website Manager (www.4enveng.com).
- Azeera, N.B. (2010). Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) using HUASB Reactors. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Badroldin, N., A., Latiff, A. A., Karim, A. T., and Fulazzaky, M., A. (2008). Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment using Hybrid Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (HUASB) Reactors: Impact on COD Removal and Organic Loading Rates. *Engineering Postgraduate Conference*.
- Balasubramanian, N. and Muthukumar, M. (2012). Performance of HUASB Reactor for Treating Paper and Pulp Wastewater using Effective Microorganism (EM). *International Journal of Science and Technology*, 4 (6), 2453-2461.

- Banu, J. R., Kaliappan, S., and Ick-Tae, Y. (2007). Two-stage anaerobic treatment of Dairy Wastewater using HUASB with PUF and PVC Carrier. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 12, 257-264.
- Bao, R., Yu, S., Shi, W., Zhang, X., and Wang, Y. (2009). Aerobic Granules Formation and Nutrients Removal Characteristics in Sequencing Batch Airlift Reactor (SBAR) at Low Temperature. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 168, 1334-1340.
- Berardino, S. D., Costa, S., and Converti, A. (2000). Semi-continuous Anaerobic Digestion of a Food Industry Wastewater in an Anaerobic Filter. *Bioresource Technology* 71, 261-266.
- Belkin, S., Brenner, A., and Abeliovich, A. (1992). Effect of Inorganic Constituents on Chemical Oxygen Demand-I. Bromides are Unneutralized by Mercuric Sulfate Complexation. *Water Research*, 26, 1557-1581.
- Bhatnagar, A., Vitor, J. P. V., Cidalia, M. S., Botelho, and Rui, A. R. B. (2010). Coconut-based Biosorbents for Water Treatment – A Review of The Recent Literature. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* 160, 1-15.
- Bhunja, P., and Ghangrekar, M., M. (2007). Required Minimum Granule Size in UASB Reactor and Characteristics Variation with Size. *Bioresource Technology*. 98, 994-999.
- Bilotta, G. S., and Brazier, R. E. (2008). Understanding the Influence of Suspended Solids on Water Quality and Aquatic Biota. *Water Research*, 42, 2849-2861.
- Bo, Z., Wei-min, C., and Pin-Jing, H. (2007). Influence of Lactic Acid on the Two Phase Anaerobic Digestion of Kitchen Wastes. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*. (19), 244-249.
- Borja, R., Banks, C., J., and Sanchez, E. (1996). Anaerobic Treatment of POME in a Two Stage UASB System. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 45, 125-135.
- Braguglia, C. M., Mininni, G., Tomei, M. C. and Rolle, E. (2006). Effect of Feed/Inoculum Ratio on Anaerobic Digestion of Sonicated Sludge. *Water Science Technology*, 54, 77-84
- Catarino, J., Mendonca, E., Picado, A., Anselmo, A., Costa, J., N., and Partidario, P. (2007). Getting Value from Wastewater: By-products Recovery in a Potato Chips Industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 15, 927-931.
- Chavalparit, O., and Ongwandee, M. (2009). Clean Technology for the Tapioca Starch Industry in Thailand. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 12, 105-110.

- Chelliapan, S. (2009). Treatment of Wastewater by Anaerobic Stage Reactor. *University of Malaya Press*.
- Chen, L. A., Carbonell, R. G., and Serad, G. A. (1999). Recovery of Proteins and Other Biological Compounds from Food Processing Wastewaters using Fibrous Materials and Polyelectrolytes. *Water Research* 34 (2), 510-518.
- Chen, Y., Cheng, J., J., and Creamer, K., S. (2008). Inhibition of Anaerobic Digestion Process: Review. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 4044-4064.
- Claudia, E., T., C., Magali, C., C., and Alcina, M., F., X. (2001). Slaughterhouse Wastewater Treatment: Evaluation of a New Three Phase Separation System in a UASB Reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 81, 61-69.
- Crolla, A., M., Kinsley, C., B., and Kennedy, K. (2004). Anaerobic Digestion in Canada. ATAU Course Notes, University of Guelph, Canada.
- Das, S., and Chaudhari, S. (2009). Improvement on Biomass Characteristics and Degradation Efficiency in Modified UASB Reactor Treating Municipal Sewage: a Comparative Study with UASB Reactor. *Asia-Pac J. Chem. Eng.* 4, 596-601.
- Delia, T., S. (2003). Enhancement of Granule Formation and Sludge Retainment for Tetrachloroethylene (TCE) Removal in an UASB Reactor. *Advance in Environmental Research*, 7, 453-462.
- Deshannavar, U. B., Basavaraj, R. K., and Nandini, M. N. (2012). High Rate Digestion of Dairy Industry Effluent by Upflow Anaerobic Fixed-bed Reactor. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 4 (6), 2895-2899.
- Droste, R. L. (1997). Theory and Practice of Water and Wastewater Treatment. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*
- Demirer, G., N., and Chen, S. (2005). Two-phase Anaerobic Digestion of Unscreened Dairy Manure. *Process Biochemistry*. 40, 3542-3549.
- Emilia, P., Tamara, R. and Carmen, G. (2011). Anaerobic Treatment of The Wastewater in the Food Industry. University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, Romania, 16, 435-440.
- Environment Requirements: A guide for investors, Department of Environment, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, 11th Edition, October 2010.
- Fang, C., Boe, K., and Angelidaki, I. (2011). Biogas Production from Potato-juice, a By-product from Potato-starch processing, in UASB and EGSB Reactors. *Bioresource Technology* 101, 5732-5741.

- Fukuzaki, S., Chang, Y., Nishio, N. and Nagai, S. (1991). Characterization of Granular Methanogenic Sludge Grown on Lactate in an UASB Reactor. *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, 72 (6), 465-472.
- Gajender, C. S., and Shanta, S. (2013). Efficient Treatment of Slaughterhouse Wastewater by Anaerobic Hybrid Reactor Packed with Special Floating Media. *International Journal of Chemical and Physical Sciences*, 2, 73-81.
- Garcia, H., Rico, C., Garcia, P. H., and Rico J. L. (2008). Flocculants Effect in Biomass Retention in a UASB Reactor Treating Dairy Manure. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 6028-6036.
- Gao, D., Liu, L., Liang, H., and Wei-min, Wu (2011). Comparisons of four Enhancement strategies for aerobic granulation in Sequencing Batch Reactors. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186, 320-327.
- Ghangrekar, M., M. (2008). Design of and UASB Reactor. Indian Institute of Technology, India
- Gray, N. F. (1999). Water Technology: An Introduction for Environmental Scientists and Engineers. *Butterworth-Heinemann*.
- Gupta, A., Yadav, R., and Devi, P. (2010). Removal of Hexavalent Chromium using Activated Coconut Shell and Activated Coconut Coir as Low Cost Adsorbent. *The IIOAB Journal*, 2, 8-12.
- Habeeb, S. A., Ab Aziz, A. L., Zawawi, D. and Zulkifli, A. (2011a). A Biodegradation and Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) using a Hybrid-upflow Anaerobic Sludge Bed (HUASB) Reactor. *International Journal of Energy and Environment*, 2(4), 653-660.
- Habeeb, S. A., Aziz, A. L., Zawawi, D., and Zulkifli, A. (2011b). A Review on Granules Initiation and Development inside UASB Reactor and The Main Factors Affecting Granules Formation Process. *International Journal of Energy and Environment*, 2(2), 311-320.
- Habeeb, S. A. (2012). The Influence of Temperature and Types of Filter Media on The POME Treatment using the HUASB Reactor. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Master Thesis
- Haji, A. G., Pari, G., Nazar, M., and Habitati (2013). Characterization of Activated Carbon Produced from Urban Organic Waste. *International Journal of Science and Engineering*, 5 (2), 89-94.

- Halalsheh, M., Rumman, A. Z., and Field, J. (2010). Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment of Concentrated Sewage using Two Stage UASB-AF System. *Substances and Environmental Engineering*, 45 (3), 383-388.
- Hamad, B. K., Noor, A. M., Afida, A. R., and Asri, M. N. M. (2010). High Removal of 4-chloroguaicol by High Surface Area of Palm Oil-activated Carbon Activated with NaOH from Aqueous Solution. *Desalination*, 257, 1-7.
- Hao, X., Cai, Z., Fu, K., and Zhao, D. (2012). Distinguishing Activity Decay and Cell Death from Bacteria Decay for Two Types of Methanogens. *Water Research*, 46, 1251-1259.
- Holland, C. D., and Anthony, R. G. (1979). *Fundamentals of Chemical Reaction Engineering*. Prentice-Hall.
- Huang, X., and Zhang, J. (2009). Neutral Persulfate Digestion at Sub-boiling Temperature in an Oven for Total Dissolved Phosphorus Determination in Natural Waters. *Talanta*, 78, 1129-1135.
- Hulshoff, L. W. (1989). *The Phenomenon of Granulation of Anaerobic Sludge*. Agricultural University of Wageningen: PhD Thesis.
- Hulshoff, L. W., Lettinga, G., de Castro Lopes, S. I., and Lens, P. N. L. (2004). Anaerobic Sludge Granulation. *Water Research*, 38, 1376-1389.
- Hussein, M. Z., Tarmizi, R. S. H., Zainal, Z. and Ibrahim, R. (1996). Preparation and Characterization of Active Carbons from Oil Palm Shells. *Carbon* 34 (11), 1447-1996.
- Ijung, K., Sang-Hyoun, K., Hang-Sik, S., and Jin-Young, J. (2006). Lipid Degradation in a Two-phase Anaerobic SBR and UASB. *Water Environment Foundation*. 2576-2592.
- Imai, T., Ukita, M., Liu, J., Sekine, M., Nakanishi, H., and Fukugawa, M. (1997). Advanced Start-up of UASB Reactors by Adding of Water Absorbing Polymer. *Water Science Technology*, 36 (7), 399-406.
- Jawed, M., and Tare, V. (2000). Post-mortem Examination and Analysis of Anaerobic Filters. *Bioresource Technology* 72, 75-84.
- Kandiyoti, R. (2009). *Fundamentals of Reaction Engineering*. Ventus Publishing ApS.
- Kassab, G., Halalsheh, M., Klapwijk, A., Fayyad, M. and Van Lier, J. B. (2010). Sequential Anaerobic-Aerobic Treatment for Domestic Wastewater – A Review. *Bioresource Technology* 101, 3299-3310.

- Kennedy, K., J., and Lentz, E., M. (2000). Treatment of Landfill Leachate using Sequencing Batch and Continuous Flow UASB Reactors. *Water Research*, 34 (14), 3640-3656.
- Ke, S., Shi, Z., and Fang, H., H., P. (2005) Applications of Two-phase Anaerobic Degradation in Industrial Wastewater Treatment. *Int. J. Environment and Pollution*. 23 (1), 65-80.
- Kim, M., and Speece, R. E. (2002). Aerobic Waste Activated Sludge (WAS) for Start-up Seed of Mesophilic and Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion. *Water Research* 36, 3860-3866.
- Koby, M., Hiz, H., Senturk, E., Aydiner, C., and Demirbas, E. (2006). Treatment of Potato Chips Manufacturing Wastewater by Electrocoagulation. *Desalination* 190, 201-211.
- Kuan-Yeow, S., Joo-Hwa, T., Limei, Y., Ying, W., and Choon-Hau, L. (2004). Effects of Stressed Loading on Startup and Granulation in UASB Reactors. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 130 (7), 743-750.
- Ladu, J. L. C., Lu, X., and Loboka, M. K. (2012). Experimental Assesment on the Effect of OLR and HRT on the Efficiency of Anaerobic Filter Treating Abattoir Wastewater. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 2 (11), 415-420.
- Lee, N. M., and Welander, T. (1996). The Effect of Different Carbon Sources on Respiratory Denitrification in Biological Wastewater Treatment. *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, 82 (3), 277-285.
- Leitao, R. C., van Haandel, A. C., Zeeman, G., lettinga, G. (2006). The Effects of Operational and Environmental Variations on Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment Systems: A Review. *Bioresource Technology*, 97, 1105-1118.
- Lettinga, G., Velsen, A. F. M. V., Hobma, S. W., Zeeuw, W. D., and Klapwijk, A. (1980). Use of Upflow Sludge Blanket Reactor Concept for Biological Wastewater Treatment, Especially for Anaerobic Treatment. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 22 (4), 699-734.
- Lettinga, G., Renato, C., L., Adrianus, C., H., and Zeeman, G. (2006). The Effects of Operational and Environmental Variations on Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment Systems: A Review. *Bioresource Technology*, 97, 1105-1118.
- Li, W., Yang, K., Peng, J., Zhang, L., Guo, S., and Xia, H. (2008). Effects of Carbonization Temperatures on Characteristics of Porosity in Coconut Shell

- Chars and Activated Carbons Derived from Carbonized Coconut Shell Chars. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 28, 190-198.
- Liu, Y., Hai-Lou, X., Shu-Fang, Y., and Joo-Hwa, T. (2002). Mechanisms and Models for Anaerobic Granulation in UASB Reactor. *Water Research*, 37, 661-673.
- Mahmoud, N., Zeeman, G., Gijzen, H., and Lettinga, G. (2003). Solids Removal in Upflow Anaerobic Reactors, A Review. *Bioresource Technology*, 90, 1-9.
- Mavrov, V., and Beleires, E. (2000). Reduction of Water Consumption and Wastewater Quantities in The Food Industry by Water Recycling using Membrane Processes. *Desalination* 131, 75-86.
- Mchugh, S., Carton, M., Mahony, T. and O'Flaherty, V. (2003). Methanogenic Population Structure in a Variety of Anaerobic Bioreactors. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 219, 297-304.
- Mishra, B., K., Arora, A., and Lata (2004). Optimization of a Biological Process for Treating Potato Chips Industry Wastewater using Mixed Culture of *Aspergillus Foetidus* and *Aspergillus Niger*. *Bioresource Technology* 94, 9-12.
- Moawad, A., Mahmoud, U. F., El-Khateeb, M. A. and El-Molla, E. (2008). Coupling of Sequencing Batch Reactor and UASB Reactor for Domestic Wastewater Treatment. *Desalination* 242, 235-335.
- Morgan, J. W., Goodwin, J. A. S., Wase, D. A. J. and Forster, C. F. (1991). The Effects of using Various Types of Carbonaceous Substrate on UASB Granules and on Reactor Performance. *Biology Wastes*, 34, 55-71.
- Mulligan, C. N., Davarpanah, N., Fukue, M., and Inoue, T. (2009). Filtration of Contaminated Suspended Solids for the Treatment of Surface Water. *Chemosphere*, 74, 779-786.
- Najafpour, G. D., Tajallipour, M., Komeili, M., and Mohammadi, M. (2009). Kinetic Model for an UASB bioreactor: Dairy Wastewater Treatment. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8 (15), 3590-3596.
- Nidal, M., Zeeman, G., and Lettinga, G. (2003), Anaerobic Sewage Treatment in a One stage UASB and a Combined UASB-Digester System. *7th International Water Technology Conference*, 307-322.
- Norsafarina, N. J. (2009). Kesan Peningkatan Beban Organik (OLR) dan Perubahan Nilai pH ke atas Effluen Industri Miyak Sawit (EIMS) Menggunakan Reaktor UASB. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia: Master Thesis

- Oktem, Y. A., Orhan, I., Sallis, P., Donnelly, T., and Ince, B. K. (2007). Anaerobic Treatment of a Chemical Synthesis-based Pharmaceutical Wastewater in a HUASB reactor. *Bioresource Technology* 99, 1089-1096.
- Onet, C. (2010). Characteristics of the Untreated Wastewater Produced by Food Industry. University of Oradea-Faculty of Environmental Protection, Vol. XV, 709-714.
- Ong, S., L., Hu, J., Y., Ng, W., J., and Lu, Z., R. (2002). Granulation Enhancement in Anaerobic Sequencing Batch Reactor Operation. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 128 (4), 387-390.
- Pereboom, J., H., F., and Vereijken, T., L., F., M. (1994). Methanogenic Granule Development in Full Scale Internal Circulation Reactors. *Water Science and Technology*, 30 (8), 9-21.
- Prashanth, S., Kumar, P., and Mehrotra, I. (2006). Anaerobic Degradability: Effect of Particulate COD. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 132 (4).
- Quarmby, J., and Forster, C. F. (1995). An Examination of the Structure of UASB Granules. *Water Resource*, 29, 2449-2454.
- Rajakumar, R., Meenambal, T., Banu, J. R., and Yeom, I. T. (2011). Treatment of Poultry Slaughterhouse Wastewater in UAF under Low Upflow Velocity. *International Journal Environment Science Technology*, 8 (1), 149-158.
- Rajakumar, R., Meenambal, T., Saravanan, P. M., and Ananthanarayanan, P. (2012). Treatment of Poultry Slaughterhouse Wastewater in Hybrid Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor Packed with Pleated Polyvinylchloride Rings. *Bioresource Technology*, 103, 116-122.
- Rajbhandari, B. K., and Annachatre, A. P. (2004). Anaerobic Ponds Treatment of Starch Wastewater: Case Study in Thailand. *Bioresource Technology* 95, 135-14
- Ren, L., Ahn, Y., and Logan, B. E. (2014). A Two Stage Microbial Fuel Cell and Anaerobic Fluidized Bed Membrane Bioreactor (MFC-AFMBR) System for Effective Domestic Wastewater Treatment. *Environmental Science and Technoogy*, 48, 4199-4206.
- Ruiz, I., Soto, M., Veiga, M., C., Ligeró, P., Vega, A., and Blazquez, R. (1998). Performance of and Biomass Characterisation in a UASB Reactor Treating Domestic Wastewater at Ambient Temperature. *Water SA*, 24, 215-222.

- Rodgers, M. And Zhan, X. (2004). Biological Nitrogen Removal using Vertically Moving Biofilm System. *Bioresource Technology*, 93, 313-319.
- Romli, M., Greenfield, P., F., and Lee, P., L. (1994). Effect of Recycle on a Two Phase High-rate Anaerobic Wastewater Treatment System. *Great Britain*, 28 (2), 475-482.
- Saatci, Y., Arslan, E., I, and Konar, V. (2003). Removal of Total Lipids and Fatty Acids from Sunflower Oil Factory Effluent by UASB Reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 87, 269-272.
- Sayed, S., de Zeeuw, W., and Lettinga, G. (1984). Anaerobic Treatment of Slaughterhouse using a Flocculant Sludge UASB Reactor. *Agricultural Wastes*, 2, 197-226.
- Seghezzo, L., Zeeman, G., Van Lier, J. B., Hamelers, H. V. M., and Lettinga, G. (1998). A Review: The Anaerobic Treatment of Sewage in UASB and EGSB Reactors. *Bioresource Technology* 65, 175-190.
- Senturk, E., Ynce, M., and Onkal, E. G. (2013). Assesment of Kinetic Parameters for Thermophilic Anaerobic Contact Reactor Treating Food-Processing Wastewater. *International Journal of Enviroment Res.*, 7 (2), 293-302.
- Sharda, A. K., Sharma, M. P., and Kumar, S. (2013). Performance Evaluation of Brewery Wastewater Treatment Plant. *International Journal of Engineering Practical Research*, 2 (3), 105-111.
- She, Z., Zheng, X., Yang, B., Jin, C., and Gao, M. (2006). Granule Development and Performance in Sucrose Fed Anaerobic Baffled Reactors. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 122 (2), 198-208.
- Siewhui, C., Tushar, K., S., Ahmed, K., and Ha, M., A. (2012) The Performance Enhancement of UASB Reactors for Domestid Sludge Treatment – A State-of-the-art Review. *Water Research*, 46 (1), 3434-3470.
- Singh, K. S. (1999). Municipal Wastewater Treatment by Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) Reactors. University of Regina: PhD Thesis.
- Solera, R., Romero, L., I., and Sales, D. (2002). The Evolution of Biomass in a Two Phase Anaerobic Treatment Process during Start-up. *Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q.*, 16 (1). 25-29.
- Spellman, F. R. (2003). Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant Operations. *CRC Press LLC*.

- Stamatelatou, K., Giantsiou, N., Diamantis, V., Alexandridis, A., and Aivasidis, A. (2012). Anaerobic Digestion of Cheese Whey Wastewater through a Two Stage System. *International Conference on Industrial and Hazardous Waste Management*, 1-8.
- Tanikawa, D., Yamashita, T., Hatamoto, M., Fukuda, M., Takahashi, M., Syutsubo, K., Choesaim P. K., and Yamaguchi, T. (2012). Development of an Appropriate Treatment Process for Wastewater from a Natural Rubber Processing Factory. *International GIGAKU Conference*, 1 (1), 01010/1-8.
- Tay, J., Shun, P., Yanxin, H., and Stephen, T., L., T. (2004). Effect of OLR on Aerobic Granulation. II: Characteristics of Aerobic Granules. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 1102-1109.
- Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F. L., and Stensel, H. D. (2003). *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Torkian, A., Eqbali, A., and Hashemian, S., J. (2003). The Effecto of Organic Loading Rare on the Performance of UASB Reactor Treating Slaughterhouse Effluent. *Resource, Conservation and Recycling*, 40, 1-11.
- Wang, Z., and Banks, C. J. (2007). Treatment of a High-strength Suphate-rich Alkaline Leachate Using an Anaerobic Filter. *Waste Management* 27, 359-366.
- Wiegant, W. M., and Lettinga, G. (1985). Thermophilic Anaerobic Digestion of Sugars in UASB Reactors. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, XXVII, 1603-1607.
- Yan, Y., and Tay, J. (1997). Characterization of the Granulation Process during UASB Start-up. *Water Resource*, 31 (7), 1573-1580.
- Yang, Q., Liu, Z., and Yang, J. (2004). Simultaneous Determination of COD and BOD in Wastewater by Near-Infrared Spectrometry. *J. Water Resource and Protection*, 4, 286-289.
- Yilmaz, T., Yuccer, A., and Basibuyuk, M. (2008). A Comparison of the Performance of Mesophilic and Thermophilic AF Treating Papermill Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 99, 156-163.
- Yoda, M., Kitagawa, M. and Miyaji, Y. (1989). Granular Sludge Formulation in the Anaerobic Expanded Micro Carrier Process. *Water Science Technology*, 21(4-5), 109-120.

- Yu, H. Q., Tay, J. H., and Fang, H. H. P. (1999). Effects of Added Powdered and Granular Activated Carbons on Start-up Performance of UASB Reactors. *Environ Technol.* 20, 1095-1100.
- Zain, M., Rose, R. C., Abdullah, I. and Masrom, M. (2004). The Relationship between Information Technology Acceptance and Organizational Agility in Malaysia. *Information & Management* 42, 829-839.
- Zhou, M., and Struve, D. M. (2004). The Effects of Post-persulfate-digestion Procedures on Total Phosphorus Analysis in Water. *Water Research*, 38, 3893-3898.
- Zhou, X., and Ren, N. (2007), Acid Resistance of Methanogenic Bacteria in a Two Stage Anaerobic Process Treating High Concentration Methanol Wastewater. *Frontiers of Environmental Sciences and Engineering in China*, 1 (1), 53-58.



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH