A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DATA REDUNDANCY AND EXECUTION TIME BETWEEN RELATIONAL AND OBJECT ORIENTED SCHEMA TABLE

SALIM TAHIR ALAKARI

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Degree of Master of Computer Science (Software Engineering)

> The Department of Software Engineering Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

> > December 2014

DEDICATION

A special dedication for my family and all my friends. Without you, I would not have been able to go so far as where I am now. May Allah bless us all. Ameen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I thank Allah for the strength and courage that made this humble effort a reality.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my final year project supervisor, Dr. Mohd Zainuri Bin Saringat. His profound knowledge, ideas, and support motivated me to give my all for this project.

I wish to thank all my friends, the staffs, and those who directly or indirectly guided and helped me in this project. The knowledge and support that they shared with me will always be remembered.

Lastly, and most importantly, I wish to dedicate my appreciation to my beloved family for always being there for me all these years. Thanks for their unconditional love, encouragement, and support.



ABSTRACT

The design of database is one of the important parts in building software, because database is the data storage inside the system. There are some techniques that allow the programmer to improve design of the database. One of the most popular techniques being used for database is the relational technique, which content entity relationship diagram and normalization. The relational technique is easy to use and useful for reducing data redundancy because the normalization technique solves the data redundancy by applying normalization normal forms on the schema tables. The second technique is the object oriented technique, which content class diagram and generate schema table. An advantage of object oriented technique is its closeness to programming languages like C++ or C#. This project is starting with applying relational technique and object oriented technique to define which technique uses less data redundancy during design database. Based on experimental results for total data redundancy in HMS case study was 336 for relational technique and 364 for object oriented technique as well as, course database case study was 186 for relational technique and 204 for object oriented technique. Also, this project is focus on query execution time between relational databases and object oriented database by using user friendly window. The experimental result for query execution time in HMS case study was 107.25 milliseconds for RDBMS and 80.5 milliseconds for OODBMS. In course database case study was 46.75 milliseconds for RDBMS and 31.75 milliseconds for OODBMS. However, the comparative analysis in this project is explaining the result of comparison between relational and object oriented techniques specifically with data redundancy and query execution time.



ABSTRAK

Reka bentuk pangkalan data adalah salah satu bahagian yang penting dalam membina perisian, kerana pangkalan data akan menyimpan data di dalam sistem. Terdapat beberapa teknik yang membolehkan pengaturcara memperbaiki reka bentuk pangkalan data. Salah satu teknik yang paling popular adalah dengan menggunakan teknik hubungan, melalui Rajah Hubungan Entity dan teknik pernormalan. Teknik ini adalah mudah untuk digunakan dan berguna dalam mengurangkan pertindanan data dengan menggunakan beberapa bentuk peraturan biasa dalam jadual skema setelah dijana daripada ERD. Teknik yang kedua ialah teknik berorientasikan objek, yang mengandungi rajah kelas dengan menjana terus ke jadual skema. Kelebihan teknik berorientasikan objek adalah ianya boleh diimplementasikan dalam bahasa pengaturcaraan seperti C ++ atau C #. Projek ini bermula dengan menggunakan teknik hubungan dan teknik berorientasikan objek untuk menentukan teknik yang menggunakan kurang data pengulangan dalam pangkalan data reka bentuk. Berdasarkan keputusan eksperimen untuk jumlah data lebihan dalam HMS kajian kes adalah 336 untuk teknik hubungan dan 364 untuk teknik berorientasikan objek dan juga, kursus kajian kes pangkalan data adalah 186 untuk teknik hubungan dan 204 untuk teknik berorientasikan objek. Juga, projek ini adalah memberi tumpuan kepada masa pelaksanaan antara pertanyaan pangkalan data hubungan dan pangkalan data berorientasikan objek dengan menggunakan tetingkap mesra pengguna. Hasil eksperimen untuk masa pelaksanaan pertanyaan di HMS kajian kes adalah 107,25 milisaat untuk RDBMS dan 80.5 milisaat untuk OODBMS. Dalam perjalanan kajian kes pangkalan data adalah 46.75 milisaat untuk RDBMS dan 31,75 milisaat untuk OODBMS. Walau bagaimanapun, analisis perbandingan projek ini menjelaskan hasil daripada perbandingan antara teknik hubungan dan objek ditujukan khusus dengan data pengulangan dan masa pelaksanaan pertanyaan.



CONTENTS

TITLE	i
DECLARATION	vii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS LIST	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
TER 1 INTRODUCTION	
.1 Background of Study	1
2 Decklass Statement	2

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Project Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of Study	3
1.5	Significant of Study	4
1.6	Dissertation Outline	4
1.7	Chapter Summary	5
CHAPTER	2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Entity Relationship Diagram	6
2.3	Class Diagram	7
2.4	Comparing Object Oriented Model and Relational Model	9
2.5	Data Redundancy	10
2.6	Normalization Technique	11
2.7	Relational Database	12
2.8	Object Oriented Database	13
29	Compare Relational and Object Oriented Database	14

2.10)	Structure (Query Language	17
2.11		Relational	Technique in Designing Database	18
2.12	2	Object Ori	ented Technique in Designing Database	19
2.13	3	Compare I	Relational and Object Oriented Technique	19
2.14	ł	Related W	fork	21
2.15	5	Chapter Su	ummary	23
СНАРТЕВ	R 3	METHOI	DOLOGY	
	3.1	Introdu	ction	24
	3.2	2 The Flo	w Chart of Research	24
	3.3	B Compar	rison Redundancy	26
		3.3.1	Calculate Data Redundancy	26
		3.3.2	Calculate Redundancy Attributes	28
	3.4	Query I	Execution Time between RDBMS and OOBDMS	28
		3.4.1	Design Relational Database	30
		3.4.2	Creating Database Tables in RDBMS	30
		3.4.3	Design Object Oriented Database	31
		3.4.4	Database for Objects db40	32
		3.4.5	Creating Class	32
		3.4.6	Creating an Object	33
	3.5	5 Chapter	Summary	33
CHAPTE	R 4	IMPLEN	IENTATION AND RESULTS ANALYSIS	
	4.1	Introdu	action	34
	4.2	Over V	view on Two Case Studies	34
		4.2.1	First Case Study	34
		4.2.2	Second Case Study	34
	4.3	Impler	nentation of Relational Technique	35
		4.3.1	Create Entity Relationship Diagram	35
		4.3.2	Generate Schema Table From ER Diagram	38
		4.3.3	Implementation of Normalization Technique	42
	4.4	Impler	nentation of Object-Oriented Technique	46
		4.4.1	Generate Class Diagram From ERD	46
		4.4.2	Object-Oriented Concept	48
		4.4.3	Generate Schema Table from Class Diagram	51

viii

	4.5	Analysis	of Result Data Redundancy in Course Database	55
	4.6	Analysis	of Result Data Redundancy Attributes in HMS	56
	4.7	Analysis	of Result Redundancy Attributes	57
	4.8	Comparati	ve Analysis of Redundancy Relational and Object	58
		Oriented		
	4.9	Implemen	tation of Query Execution Time RDBM and	61
		OODBMS	5	
		4.9.1	Software Implementation	61
		4.9.2	Calculate Query Execution Time in RDBMS	62
		4.9.3	Calculate Query Execution Time in OODBMS	64
	4.10	Analysis	of Query Execution Time between RDBM and	66
		OODB		
	4.11	Compara	tive Analysis of Query Execution Time RDB and	68
		OODB		
	4.12	Chapter S	Summary	72
CHAPTEI	R 5 CO	NCLUSI	ONS	
	5.1	Objective	es Achievement	73
	5.2	Research	Findings	73
	5.3	Future we	ork	74
	REFE	RENCE		75
	APPE	NDIX		80

ix

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Comparing OODBMS and RDBMS Modelling	9	
2.2	The Equality of Various Concept in RDBMS and OODBMS	15	
2.3	Comparing OODBMS and RDBMS Considering Their Objective	16	
2.4	Key Difference between Relational and Object Oriented Analysis and	20	
	Design		
3.1	Explain of Calculate Query Execution Time Function	29	
4.1	Experimental Results of Data Redundancy in Course Database.	55	
4.2	Result of Compare Data Redundancy in Course Database	55	
4.3	Experimental Results of Data Redundancy in HMS.	56	
4.4	Result of Compare Data Redundancy in HMS	56	
4.5	Comparative Result about Redundancy Attributes	57	
4.6	Result of Compare Redundant Attributes	57	
4.7	Execution Time for 4 Queries in Relational Database HMS	62	
4.8	Execution Time for 4 Queries in Course Relational Database	63	
4.9	Queries Execution Time in Hospital Object Oriented Database	64	
4.10	Execution Time for 4 Queries in Course Object Oriented Database	65	
4.11	Comparing Query Execution Time for Hospital Management System	66	
4.12	Comparing Query Execution Time for Course Database	66	
4.13	Result of Query Execution Time between RDBMS and OODBMS	67	
4.14	Result of Query Execution Time between SQL and DB4O.	68	



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Class Icon in Class Diagram	8
2.2	Relational Technique in Designing Database	18
2.3	Object Oriented Technique in Designing Database	19
2.4	Framework of Auto Generate Tool for UML Class Diagram	21
3.1	Flow Chart Research	25
3.2	Enter Data Entry in Schema Table Doctor	26
3.3	Data Redundancy	27
3.4	Calculate Total Data Redundancy in Attribute	27
3.5	The Result from SQL Query	27
3.6	User Friendly Window for Hospital Management System	28
3.7	The C# Code Function Get Timer	29
3.8	Execution Time of Query by Millisecond	29
3.9	Created Relational Database in SQL Server 2012	30
3.10	Show the SQL Statement to Create Relational Database	31
3.11	Insert Data in Doctor Schema Table	31
3.12	Difference between db4o and Relational Database	31
3.13	C# Code for Create Appointment Class in HMS	32
3.14	Add an Object	33
4.1	The First page in Visual Paradigm Program	35
4.2	Add New Model	36
4.3	Write the Name for New Model.	36
4.4	Select Entity Relationship Diagram.	36
4.5	Entity Relationship Diagram for Hospital Management System	37
4.6	Entity Relationship Diagram for Course Database	38
4.7	Generate Schema Table from ER Diagram	38
4.8	Generate SQL Dialog Box	39
4.9	Database Configuration	39



4.10	Database Configuration Save	39
4.11	Create Schema Table for Hospital Management System	41
4.12	Generate Schema Table for Course Database	42
4.13	Normalization Techniques	42
4.14	Schema Tables Normalization until 3NF in Course Database	43
4.15	The Schema Tables Normalization until 3NF for HMS.	45
4.16	Generate Class Diagram from ER Diagram	46
4.17	Class Diagram for Hospital Management System	47
4.18	Class Diagram for Course	48
4.19	Inheritance Diagram to Table Mapping	49
4.20	Class Diagram Hospital Management System	50
4.21	Generate Database from Class Diagram.	51
4.22	Show Database Code Generation Dialog	51
4.23	Generate Schema Tables	52
4.24	Generate Schema Table for Course Class Diagram	52
4.25	Create Schema Table for Hospital Management System Class Diagram	54
4.26	Repeating group	58
4.27	Create New Rows for Repeating Groups	58
4.28	Reduce Data Redundancy in first Normal Form	59
4.29	Inheritance in Hospital Class Diagram	60
4.30	First Page of Visual Studio 2010	61
4.31	Execution Time Query for Relational Database HMS	62
4.32	Query Execution Time for Relational Courses Database.	63
4.33	Calculate Query Execution Time in Hospital OODBMS	64
4.34	Calculate Query Execution Time in Hospital OODBMS	65
4.35	Queries Execution Time between RDBMS and OODBMS	67
4.36	store objects in relational database	68
4.37	Store Objects in Relational Database.	69
4.38	The Query Search in Relational Database.	69
4.39	Store Objects as Objects in Object Oriented Database	70
4.40	Global Design in Object Oriented Database.	71

xii

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1NF	-	First Normal Form
2NF	-	Second Normal Form
3NF	-	Third Normal Form
RDBMS	-	Relational Database Management System
OODBMS	-	Object Oriented Database Management System
CD	-	Class Diagram
ERD	-	Entity Relationship Diagram
ERD	-	Entity Relationship Diagram
UML	-	Unified Modeling Language
AT	-	Arithmetic Mean Average
SQL	-	Structure query language
HMS	-	hospital management system
UTHM		Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

xiv

А	Normalization Technique	80
В	SQL Query for Calculate Data Redundancy	94
С	C# Source Code	99
C.1	Create Classes for Hospital Management System.	99
C.2	Create Classes for Course Database.	106
C.3	Add and Display Objects for HMS	107
C.4	Add and Display Objects for Course Database	111
C.5	Create Queries for Hospital Relational Database	115
C.6	Create Queries for Course Relational Database	117

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

A database is a mechanism to store information or data. Information is something that people use on a daily basis for a variety of reasons. Database should be able to store data in an organized manner. When data are stored in a database, it should be easy to retrieve information. The database stores the data to ease searching, modifying and removing information. Database design is supported by many methodologies and techniques, which contribute to a perfect design (Stephens & Plew, 2001).

Currently, databases have become very important because it is a collection of data that is organized to be the information, so that it can be easily accessed, managed, and updated. In one view, databases can be classified according to the types of content bibliographic, full-text, numeric, and images. Database is an important part of building software. Therefore, there are many software companies around the world which focus on developing databases to ensure their effectiveness in performance during design databases. One of the most important problems facing designing databases is redundancy. Redundancy is considered as the gravest problem threatening the efficiency of a database. Data redundancy is a term used to describe databases, which means simply that some data fields are redundant in the database designers attempt to eliminate it using a technique called normalization. Data redundancy in database means that some data fields are repeated in the database. Data redundancy may occur either when the field is repeated within the table multiple times in a database for a variety of reasons. Also this project concerned designing two important types of databases in computer, which are relational database and object oriented database. These databases were designed based on the relational model and the object oriented model. The purpose of this project was to analyse the redundancy problem using the object-oriented technique and relational technique, and then calculating the total data redundancy using SQL query. Also, based on these techniques, relational database and object oriented database were designed and compared based on the query execution time of both types of databases. The comparison was performed by designing a user-friendly window to calculate the query execution time by millisecond in these types of databases.

1.2 Problem Statement



One of the main dangers faced in designing a database is data redundancy. Many database designers try to reduce redundancy in order to raise the efficiency of the database and its credibility. Data redundancy occurs in database systems when the tables have data which are repeated two or more times. Data redundancy leads to many problems, such as wastage of storage space and data anomalies (Jorge & Laura, 2002). Data redundancy occurs when the data is inconsistent in the same file or in multiple files. Also, data redundancy leads to data anomalies, which means changes on redundant data, are not made successfully, for example, insertion anomalies, deletion and modification anomalies, so data redundancy is considered as one of the problems with databases, as it requires us to look for effective solutions (Carlos, 2009). In this case, the solution used techniques that reduce the probability of data redundancy in a database such as relational and object oriented techniques. The database design also is a problem in itself because the first thing that is needed to determine the quality of the database such that it allows high efficiency and high performance and speed in database. Query execution time is one of the standards that determine the quality of databases in relational database and object oriented database because the time of run the query is important for good performance in database (Rao & Chavan, 2012). Therefore, this project looked for ways to reduce data redundancy and also to define the query execution time between relational and object oriented database.

1.3 Project Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

- (i) To define data redundancy between relational and object oriented techniques using structure query language (SQL) in two case studies.
- (ii) To define query execution time between relational database and object oriented database using user friendly window in two case studies.
- (iii) To compare the result between relational and object oriented techniques.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of study in this project is database design. Database has many techniques and software's works on run and create databases. This project focuses on the design of database using two techniques, which are the relational technique based on entity relationship diagram and object oriented technique based on class diagram, and also by following these steps:

- (i) Create ERD by applying the normalization technique until 3NF to generate schema table normalization for two case studies.
- (ii) Create class diagram with generate schema table for the two case studies.
- (iii) Compare the schema table between ERD and class diagram based on data redundancy and redundancy attributes.
- (iv) Create relational database based on ERD and object oriented database based on class diagram.
- (v) Create a user friendly window using C# to compare between relational databases and object oriented database based on query execution time.

This research uses two case studies to get the results. The case studies used are Hospital Management System (Khan & Saber, 2010) and the Course Database (Elmasri, 2011).

1.5 Significant of Study

Databases have become more indispensable in our daily life. To deal with data every day and everywhere from cellular phone contacts, medical records, and logistical data to transaction records and many more, they are all stored in databases. It is hard to imagine what the world would be like without databases. Perhaps there would be no ATM, no credit card, no GIS and no airline reservation. The first objective in the project is defining the best database design based on data redundancy, between relational and object oriented techniques. The second objective in this project is to compare between relational databases and object oriented database to define query execution time between two different databases, which are SQL Server 2012 and db4o. The third objective is comparing the result between relational technique and object oriented technique. This enhances the knowledge of the capabilities of these types of databases and their efficiency for the system design.

1.6 Dissertation Outline



The dissertation consists of five chapters, Chapter 1 is an introduction to the project and it consists of the problem statement, the objectives to be achieved, and also the scope of study, which is database design. Chapter 2 contains the literature review about the research and previous studies on design databases and also the problems of data redundancy and types of databases like relational database and object oriented database. Chapter 3 discusses the methodology to obtain all of the objectives of this project and tools, which are the relational techniques ERD with normalization and object oriented techniques class diagram with the generation of the schema table, also with design relational database and object oriented database and compare query execution time by using user interface application. Chapter 4 explains the implementation of this work, which is to apply the two techniques on the two case studies and compare them with one another to generate the result. Chapter 5 includes the achievement of the objectives and feature work, which speaks on the recommendation of constantly working on the development of this research in the near future. The conclusion sets the interest that has been deduced from this research.

1.7 Chapter Summary

This chapter explained the introduction to the project. The introduction started with the background of the project title, which is comparative analysis of data redundancy and execution time between relational and objects oriented schema tables. The second part of the introduction is the problem statement. The problem of the study focused on data redundancy in database and the most important methods to reduce the occurrence of data redundancy inside a database. This chapter also showed the main objectives in this project, which is defining data redundancy between relational and object oriented techniques using SQL query statements, and defining the query execution time between relational database and object oriented database using a user friendly window to compare the result between relational and object oriented techniques. The scope of study of this project is database design because relational and objects oriented techniques are basic techniques for designing a database. The introduction chapter also showed the significance of the study, which is making comparative analysis between relational and object oriented based on data redundancy and query execution time. The last part is the dissertation outline, which shows the contents of the chapter. PERPUST



CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the previous studies and will talk about each part of the title study on schema table design based on entity relationship diagram and class diagram also will speak about the techniques.

There are two techniques using for database logical design relational and object oriented techniques. Relational technique is based on entity relationship diagram with normalization and object oriented technique is based on class diagram with generate schema table.

2.2 Entity Relationship Diagram

Entity Relationship Diagram (ERD) is a technique used to model the information prerequisites of an organization utilized by the requirements investigation period of the frameworks advancement project. These tasks are more than simply a diagramming technique or visual and they are additionally utilized as the premise for the outline of the social database fundamental the data framework being created. The proposal of utilizing the entity relationship diagram, a procedure from the field of data frameworks examination, in the grounded hypothesis methodology to subjective research and suggests that entity relationship diagram will be a helpful device for grounded hypothesis specialists. The deductive nature of substance relationship graphing may be especially useful to scientists amid the methodology of steady correlation of information (Brandy *et al.*, 2000).

Entity Relationship Diagrams is using for modelling of real world problems by order and organizing the data for a particular area of solicitude. This organized data is called a data model technique, and uses a visual language to draw objects with their interrelationships and other applicable properties call them entities, relationships and attributes respectively (Khabbazi et al., 2010).

An entity-relationship model is one of conceptual impersonation of structured data. ERD is the process of generating these models. The end product of the modeling process is an entity-relationship diagram or ER diagram, a kind of conceptual data model. An ER-diagram is a high-level graphic notation used when designing relational databases management system. Database design is mix interpreting these ER-diagrams to relational database schema. For a given ER diagram, there are many imaginable relational database schemas and the designer should choose the most proper one. In the ER model, elements are spoken to by squares, attributes by circles, relationship between substances by association, the , their primary keys underlining the attributes and the identities communicating their separate values (Urea & Luis, 2008).

2.3 **Class Diagram**



A class diagram is one of the static diagrams in UML specification. It is utilized to represent the classes of a system and the relations. A more point by point class diagram can incorporate the features of the entities and additionally their obligations. There are two sorts of features structural and dynamic. Structural features can be subdivided into attributes and associations. Attributes correspond to variables in programming languages. Because of the way that the relationship between classes represents to as variables in programming languages, these are additionally thought to be structural features. In a class diagram the dynamic part of the classes is the operations or functions, which are executed by methods in a programming language. There are five sorts of relations to unite the classes between one another, which are association, aggregation, composition, generalization and dependency (Fernando, 2012).

Class diagrams are used to explain and describe the structure of the system. Classes are reflection that specifies the regular structure and behavior of a set of objects. Objects are situation of classes that are made, modified and destroyed during

the enforcement of the system. An object has an express that incorporates the estimation of its attributes and its connections with different objects. Class diagram is built during the analysis of the system and in the object model. The above models represent to client level ideas, not genuine programming classes or components (Harizi, 2012).

A class diagram is describing the types of objects in the system and the different sorts of static relationships that exist among objects. A class icon of class diagram is delineated in Figure 2.1 below. A class is an accumulation of group of things that have similar attributes and common behaviour. Classes are made with three sections, including a class name, attributes and operations. An attribute is a property of a class. An operation is an errand that a class can do or an alternate class can do to a class. A class diagram represents the static behaviour of the system.



Figure 2.1: Class Icon in Class Diagram (Tedla & Emanuel, 2004).

Class Diagram gives a set of classes, interfaces and their relationship. Class diagram is the basic modelling technique in the object oriented system. This diagram shows the static perspective of the system. Classes in the class diagram are the essential building block of any object oriented system. Class is the accumulation of similar sorts of object. Attributes and operations are parts of class. Class diagram is additionally one highlight of the sorts of UML specifications. Class diagram describes the object and data structures when planning the application in the object oriented programming and design object oriented database. Class diagram was not a comprehension unpredictable during design the system (Bipsha & Nilanjan, 2013).

2.4 Comparing Between Object Oriented and Relational Models

The differences between an object oriented model class diagram and a relational model entity relationship diagram is the efficiency between these two sorts of data modeling that is represented by the encapsulation in the object of both state and behavior of the object oriented model, while with the relational model just the state prove. It is understand that a relational database is comprised of relations, which sets of tuples, while an object-oriented database is comprised of classes, which sets of classes. Table 2.1 shows the comparison between OODB and RDBM modeling (Gheorghe, 2007).

Object oriented model	Relational Model	Differences
Object	Entity	The object specifies behaviour too
Class of objects	Types of Entities	The class of objects contain the common behavior of objects in that class
Class hierarchy	The data base scheme	The class hierarchy includes inheritance, while the scheme includes external keys
Class instance	Entity tuple or record	The instance may have a more restrictive character
Attribute	Attribute	There are no differences
Relations	Relations	There are no differences. They have the meaning of descriptions, so with the OODBMS, the inheritance have both the state and the behavior
Messages/Interface	There are none	Class diagram have Message /Interface
Encapsulation	There is none	Class diagram can have Encapsulation
Object identifier (OID)	Primary key	In the relational model, if the primary key is not identify, the system generates an identify automatically
Inheritance	There is none	Object oriented have inheritance

Table 2.1: Comparing OODBMS and RDBMS Modelling.

An experimental study is to compare ER and OO in conceptual models. Databases are a way to display data structure. There is a very important question was asked as to why and how people are inclined towards a specific conceptual model when they act as database designers. The answer to this question is difficult and involves understanding of several related control. The work is trying, in the direction of discovering the answer of the question. The experimental study, it is concludes these steps (Jain et al., 2009).

- (i) The ER model is easily grasped by the beginners
- (ii) Learning of the ER model is much faster than that of the OO model.
- (iii) For the beginners implementation an ER schema using an RDBMS is much faster than that of the OO implementation.
- (iv) Experienced designers or implementers may prefer the OO model.

2.5 Data Redundancy

The important objective is to reduce data redundancy over databases. The different standard of similarity to the element in every domain are presented and contrasted and the design relational for the representation of fluffiness in the fuzzy object oriented database. An endeavour has been using the proportionality relational to reducing the data redundancy in fuzzy object oriented databases. This methodology is focused on considering allotments of the relational and identicalness class that get essential conditions from the relation. Part and comparability classes are additionally used to find out the redundancy easily and efficiently. In that way, a database without errors is depicted. This sort of office will unquestionably enhance agreeable nature of objected oriented databases and improve the ease of use of the database systems (Dutta et al., 2013).

The main objective of adopting a common database in an extensive data system of a particular domain of benefit is reducing the data redundancy, reducing the database maintenance time, rearranging the progress period of the front end interface, minimizing the size of the system and maximizing the database throughput and in implementing the software. The idea is reducing the number of object new association objects are made, will advance association object reuse, will revive the methodology of getting an association and will control the measure of assets used for keeping up associations. Minimize data redundancy improves database performance and a positive influence on storage space (Ali et al., 2011).



2.6 Normalization Technique

The qualifier of the normalization in relational database management system is the procedure of organizing data and reduces data redundancy inside tables. It's usually contained isolating a database into two or more schema tables and describes relationships between the tables. The objective is to separate data so that additions, deletions and modifications of a field can be made in one table only and after propagated through the rest of the database via the defined relationships. The designer of the ERD introduced the concept of normalization technique and normal forms (NF) and also presented algorithms for relational database normalization into1NF, 2NF and 3NF using their general definitions in a step by step feature (Demba, 2013).

Normalization Technique is a process of breaking down the given relational schemas focused around their functional dependencies and primary keys to accomplishing the desirable properties of decrease duplication. It goes for making a set of relational tables with least information redundancy that protection consistency and facilitates correct insertion, deletion, and modification. A normalized database is not show various insertions, deletion and modification anomalies due to future updates, which presents a comparative study of manual and automatic normalization using a sequential as well as a parallel algorithm. It is very much time- consuming to use an automated technique to do this data analysis, as opposed to doing it manually. At the same time, the process is test credible and suitable. It produces the dependency matrix and the directed graph matrix, first. It then proceeds by generating the 2NF, 3NF, and BCNF normal forms. All tables are also generated as the procedure proceeds (Verma, 2012).

Normalization is an important technique for the design of relational databases. In the course of the normalization, the functional and multivalued dependency in the tables is determined then the match to normal forms with breakdown of the tables is ensured. The method is a theory based on the strict planning method with many advantages (Czenky & Márta, 2014).

- (i) The planning process is adaptable.
- (ii) It eliminates data redundancy.
- (iii) It eliminates to insertion, modification and deletion anomalies.



REFERENCES

- Ali, M. G., & Murtuza, M. G (2011). A Common Database and a Transaction Pool to Reduce Data Redundancy and to Maximize Database Throughput in a Comprehensive Information System of a Particular Domain of Interests.
- Awang, Mohd Khalid, and Nur Lian Labadu(2012). Transforming Object oriented Data Model to Relational Data Model. International Journal of New Computer Architectures and their Applications (IJNCAA) 2.3: 402-409.
- Bipsha Mallick & Nilanjan Das (2013). An Approach to Extended Class Diagram Model of UML for Object Oriented Software Design. International Journal of Innovative Technology & Adaptive Management (IJITAM).
- Bordoloi, S., & Kalita, B. (2013). international journal of computer engineering & technology (IJCET). Journal Impact Factor, 4(6), 219-231.
- Butuner, H. (2012). Advantages of object-oriented over relational databases on reallife applications. Research Journal of Economics, Business and ICT, 5.
- Byrne, B. M., & Qureshi, Y. S. (2013). The Use of UML Class Diagrams to Teach Database Modelling and Database Design. Friday 5th July 2013 University of Sunderland, 11.
- Brandy, M. (2000). Use of entity/relationship diagramming as a technique in the grounded theory approach to social science research. In Irish Academy of Management Annual Conference.
- Batory, D., Latimer, E., & Azanza, M. (2013). Teaching model driven engineering from a relational database perspective. In Model-Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (pp. 121-137). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Bassil, Y. (2012). A comparative study on the performance of the Top DBMS systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:1205.2889.
- Pârv, B., & Rădulescu, M (2009). object-oriented database development using DB4O.



- Piyayodilokchai, H., Panjaburee, P., Laosinchai, P., Ketpichainarong, W., & Ruenwongsa, P. (2013). A 5E Learning Cycle Approach-Based, Multimedia-Supplemented Instructional Unit for Structured Query Language. Educational Technology & Society, 16(4), 146-159.
- Czenky, M. (2014). The Efficiency Examination of Teaching of Different Normalization Methods. arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.1912.
- Dutta, S., Sahoo, L., & Dwibedy, D(2013). An Equivalence Relation to Reduce Data Redundancy Based on Fuzzy Object Oriented Database System.
- Edlich, S., Hörning, H., & Hörning, R. (2006). The definitive guide to db4o. Apress.
- Elmasri, R. Fundamentals of Database Systems 3rd ed, 2011.
- Tobias Weltner (2013).objects and types. Sponsored by idera application & server management
- Fan, J., Li, G., & Zhou, L. (2011, April). Interactive SQL query suggestion: Making databases user-friendly. In Data Engineering (ICDE), 2011 IEEE 27th International Conference on (pp. 351-362). IEEE.
- Harizi, Mohammad (2012). The Role of Class Diagram in Estimating Software Size. International Journal of Computer Applications 44.5: 31-33
- Jain, S. K., Gore, M. M., & Singh (2009), G. An Experimental Study to Compare ER/EER and OO Models.
- Jorge H. Doorn; Laura C. Rivero (2011). Database integrity: challenges and solutions. Idea Group Inc (IGI). pp. 4–5. ISBN 978-1-930708-38-9. Retrieved 23 January.
- Joshua M. Horstman (2011). Dealing with Duplicates in Your Data. MWSUG 2011 Paper S111.
- Khoualdi, K., & Alghamdi, T. (2011). Developing Systems by Using Object Oriented DatabasePractical Study on ISO 9001: 2000 System. Journal of Software Engineering and Applications, 4, 666.
- Khabbazi, M. R., Ismail, M., Ismail, N., & Mousavi, S. A. (2010). Modeling of traceability information system for material flow control data. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4(2), 208-216.
- Khan, R. S., & Saber, M. (2010). Design of a Hospital-Based Database System (A Case Study of BIRDEM). International Journal on Computer Science & Engineering, 2(8), 2616-21.

Kalid Azad (2002). Mathematical Properties of the Average. October 31.

- Luthra, S. (2008). Architecture in Object Oriented Databases. In Proc. The National Conference on Challenges and Opportunities in Information Technology.
- Luthra, S. (2008). Architecture in Object Oriented Databases. In Proc. The National Conference on Challenges and Opportunities in Information Technology.
- Mohd Zainuri Bin Saringat (2014). Attributes sensitization in object-oriented design to improve database structure. A thesis of the doctor of Philosophy in Information Technology.
- Motaz K. Saad(2010). "Structured vs. Object Orient Analysis and Design SAD vs. OOSAD".
- Naeem, M. R., Zhu, W., & Memon, A (2014). A. New Approach for UML Based Modeling of Relational Databases.
- Peter Rob; Carlos Coronel (2009). Database systems: design, implementation, and management. Cengage Learning. p. 88. ISBN 978-1-4239-0201-0. Retrieved 22 January (2011).
- Stephens, R. K., & Plew, R. R. (2001). Database design book by Sams Publishing.
- SABĂU, G. (2007). Comparison of RDBMS, OODBMS and ORDBMS. Revista InformaticaEconomică,(44).
- Saringat, M. Z., Herawan, T., & Ibrahim, R. (2010). A Proposal for Constructing Relational Database from Class Diagram. Computer and Information Science,3(2), P38.
- Saxena, V., & Pratap, A. (2013). Performance comparison between relational and object-oriented databases. International Journal of Computer Applications,71(22), 6-9.
- Sunitha, G., and A. Jaya (2013). A knowledge based approach for automatic database normalization. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Engineering & Technology (IJARCET) 2.5: pp-1816.
- Suri, P., & Sharma, M. (2011). A comparative study between the performance of relational & object oriented database in Data Warehousing. International Journal of Database Management Systems, 3(2), 116.
- SHAH, R. (2004). supporting real-time PDA interaction with virtual environment (Doctoral dissertation, University of Central Florida Orlando, Florida).

Scott (2012). Visual Studio Express 2012 for Windows Desktop Retrieved on September 13, 2012, from

http://www.hanselman.com/blog/freevsualStudioExpress2012ForWindowsdesktop.aspx

- Tedla, K. M., & Grant, E. S. (2004). Application of object oriented Domain Analysis and Design in the Model Driven Architecture Framework (Doctoral dissertation, University of North Dakota).
- Urea, L. (2008). Storing and Searching Scientific Data with a Relational Database System.
- Valles-Barajas, Fernando(2012). Using lightweight formal methods to model class and object diagrams. Computer Science and Information .
- Verma, S. (2012). Comparing manual and automatic normalization techniques for relational database. IJREAS, February.
- Vimala, S (2013). design methodology for relational databases: issues related to ternary relationships in entity-relationship model and higher normal forms. International Journal of Database Management Systems 5.3.
- Voore Subba Rao and Vinay Chavan(2012). A User Friendly Window Based Application for Calculation of Query Execution Time for Relational Databases International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT) ISSN: 2249 – 8958, Volume-2, Issue-2.

Yamin, M., Al Bugami, M. A., & Aljomaih, K (2012). Semantic, Relational and Object Oriented Systems: A comparative study.