

**MODELLING AND SIMULATION OF SURFACE ROUGHNESS OBTAIN
FROM MICRO MILLING BY USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK**

YAZID ABDULSAMEEA MOHAMMED SAIF

**A project report submitted in partial
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the
Degree of Master of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering**

**Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia**

JUNE 2014

For my beloved mother, father, brothers and sisters



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful and would like to convey my earnest gratitude to my supervisor DR. MOHD RASIDI BIN IBRAHIM for his invaluable guidance, continuous encouragement and constant support in getting this research possible. I truly value his guidance from the initial to the final stage that enabled me to get an understanding of this research thoroughly. Without his advice and assistance it would be a lot tougher to completion. I also sincerely thank for the time spent proofing and correcting my mistakes.

My sincere thanks go to all lecturers and members of the staff of the Mechanical and manufacturing Engineering Department, UTHM, who assisted me in many ways and pulled in my educational journey at UTHM pleasant and unforgettable.

I acknowledge my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents for their passion, dream and sacrifice throughout my lifetime. I am very grateful for their sacrifice, patience, and intellect that were inevitable to realize this study possible. Their sacrifice had inspired me from the day I found out how to understand and write until what I have become today. I cannot recover the appropriate language that could properly describe my admiration for their devotion, support and trust in my ability to reach my aspirations.

Lastly, I would like to thanks any person which leads to my final year thesis directly on indirectly. I would wish to acknowledge their comments and hints, which was essential for the successful culmination of this work.

ABSTRACT

Surface roughness is one of the most important properties in any machining process and in micro milling it is really critical as the product needs to be of a very high surface quality. Therefore the present research is aimed at finding the optimal process parameters for end milling process and optimum surface roughness. In this study by using regression model and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) which are widely used for both modeling and optimizing the performance of the manufacturing technologies. Optimum machining parameters are of great concern in manufacturing environments, where economy of machining operation plays a key role in competitiveness in the market. The End milling process is a widely used machining process in aerospace industries and many other industries ranging from large manufacturers to a small tool and die shops, because of its versatility and efficiency. The present work involves the estimation of optimal values of the process variables like, speed, feed and depth of cut, whereas the surface roughness was taken as the output. The obtained results proved the ability of ANN method for End milling process modeling and optimization. The final measurement experiment and predicting the error of surface roughness in neural network have been performed to verify the surface roughness optimum error percentage $1.71\mu\text{m}$. For this study, the accuracy of artificial neural network and regression model 98.2% and 96.3 respectively.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| TITLE | i |
| DECLARATION | ii |
| DEDICATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| ABSTRACT | v |
| CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xvi |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem statement | 3 |
| 1.3 Project objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 Project Scopes | 5 |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 6 |
| 2.2 Previous Study | 6 |

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| 2.3 | The Interface of Artificial Neuro-intelligence | 9 |
| 2.4 | The Typical ANN | 10 |
| 2.4.1 | ANN Training | 11 |
| 2.4.2 | Back propagation | 11 |
| 2.4.3 | Modeling using ANN | 11 |
| 2.5 | Development of Ann Model | 12 |
| 2.5.1 | Predict Using Neural Networks | 13 |
| 2.6 | Applications of Neural Network | 13 |
| 2.6.1 | Prediction of surface roughness | 14 |
| 2.6.2 | Prediction of tool wear | 14 |
| 2.6.3 | Prediction of cutting forces | 15 |
| 2.7 | Milling Process | 15 |
| 2.7.1 | Optimization of machining processes | 17 |
| 2.7.2 | Speed and feeds for machining tools | 18 |
| 2.7.2.1 | Cutting speed | 18 |
| 2.7.2.2 | Feed rate | 19 |
| 2.7.2.3 | Depth of cut | 19 |
| 2.7.2.4 | Error performance calculation | 20 |
| 2.8 | Surface roughness | 20 |
| 2.8.1 | Ideal surface roughness | 20 |
| 2.8.2 | Natural surface roughness | 21 |
| 2.8.3 | Surface Roughness texture | 21 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|----|
| 2.8.4 | Surface Roughness Production Methods | 22 |
| 2.8.4.1 | Artificial intelligence approach | 24 |
| 2.8.4.2 | Designed experiments approach | 24 |
| 2.8.4.3 | Experimental investigation approach | 24 |
| 2.9 | Aluminum alloy | 25 |
| 2.9.1 | Aluminum alloy 6061 work material | 25 |
| 2.10 | Thermal properties | 27 |
| 2.11 | Electrical properties | 27 |
| 2.12 | Metrology for performance characterization | 28 |
| 2.12.1 | 3D surface profiles | 28 |
| 2.12.2 | Microscopes | 29 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 30 |
| 3.2 | General Overview | 31 |
| 3.3 | Experiment Setup | 32 |
| 3.4 | Design of experiment | 32 |
| 3.5 | Parameters study | 33 |
| 3.5.1 | Working material | 34 |
| 3.5.2 | Milling machine | 35 |
| 3.5.2.1 | Using the vertical milling machine | 35 |
| 3.5.1.2 | Cutting tool for vertical milling machine | 36 |
| 3.5.3 | Coding in CNC machine | 37 |
| 3.5 | Planning parameters in CNC machine | 38 |

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 3.6 | Surface Roughness Tester | 39 |
| 3.7 | NARAX program in mat-lab | 39 |
| 3.8 | Neural Network Methods | 40 |
| 3.9 | The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm | 41 |
| 3.10 | Surface Roughness Optimization | 43 |
| 3.10.1 | Optimization Problem Formulation | 43 |
| 3.10.2 | Regression analysis of Surface Roughness | 43 |

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 44 |
| 4.2 | Data analysis | 44 |
| 4.3 | Neural Network Training | 45 |
| 4.3.1 | Training Data Performance | 46 |
| 4.3.2 | Errors between surface roughness Experiment and surface roughness ANN Training data set | 47 |
| 4.3.3 | Comparison Errors of surface roughness Experiment and of surface roughness ANN predicted values | 48 |
| 4.4 | 3D Plot Surface | 53 |
| 4.5 | Regression Analysis for surface roughness | 54 |
| 4.5.1 | The regression equation for cutting side | 55 |
| 4.6 | Optimization of Surface Roughness | 56 |
| 4.6.1 | Summary of the model | 57 |
| 4.7 | Comparison Present Work With Others | 60 |

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|-----|----------------|----|
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 61 |
| 5.2 | Recommendation | 63 |

| | |
|-------------------|-------|
| REFERENCES | 64-68 |
|-------------------|-------|

| | |
|-------------------|-------|
| APPENDICES | 69-80 |
|-------------------|-------|



PTTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Chemical composition of aluminum alloy 6061 | 26 |
| 2.2 | Physical properties of aluminum alloy 6061 | 26 |
| 2.3 | Mechanical properties of aluminum alloy 6061 | 26 |
| 2.4 | Applications of aluminum alloy 6061 | 27 |
| 2.5 | key properties of aluminum alloy 6061 | 27 |
| 3.1 | Data of cutting parameter under vertical milling machine | 34 |
| 3.2 | Physical, thermal, electrical and mechanical properties of AL- 6061 | 34 |
| 3.3 | Specification of the cutting tool | 36 |
| 3.4 | planning parameters inside CNC milling machine | 38 |
| 4.1 | Comparison of Ra in Ribbing Side and Ra in Cutting Side Both with ANN | 49 |
| 4.2 | Analysis of Variance | 56 |
| 4.3 | the difference between the regression analysis of the response | 57 |
| 4.7 | Comparison Present Work With Others | 60 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 2.1 | A simple model of an ANN | 10 |
| 2.2 | Biological nervous system | 11 |
| 2.3 | Neural network for predicting surface roughness | 12 |
| 2.4 | End Milling process | 16 |
| 2.5 | ball End Mill | 18 |
| 2.6 | Surface texture | 22 |
| 2.7 | Fishbone diagram with the parameters that affect surface roughness | 23 |
| 2.8 | Commercial 3D surface profilers | 29 |
| 2.9 | Examples of scanning electron microscope | 29 |
| 3.1 | General flow chart of predicting surface roughness | 31 |
| 3.2 | Experiment setup | 32 |
| 3.3 | The ball milling cutter | 33 |
| 3.4 | Aluminum alloy 6061 work piece model in CATIA | 35 |
| 3.5 | CNC vertical milling machine | 36 |
| 3.6 | Ball Mill cutting tool two flute | 37 |
| 3.7 | Type of codes used in CNC machine | 37 |
| 3.8 | Surface Roughness testers | 38 |
| 3.9 | NARAX Neural Network | 39 |
| 3.10 | Flow chart of ANN process in Mat-lab | 40 |
| 4.1 | Predicting Surface Roughness in Neural Networks | 45 |
| 4.2 | Neural network training (nn traintool) | 46 |
| 4.3 | Best Training Data Performance | 47 |
| 4.4 | Error Between surface roughness Experiment and surface roughness ANN in Training Data Set | 47 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.5 | Comparison Errors of surface roughness Experiment and surface roughness ANN Predicted Values | 48 |
| 4.6 | Comparison between surface roughness Experiment and surface roughness for Cutting Side | 50 |
| 4.7 | Comparison between surface roughness Experiment and surface roughness for Ribbing Side | 50 |
| 4.8 | Surface Roughness Vs Cutting Speed at a Constant Depth of Cut =0.2mm | 51 |
| 4.9 | Surface Roughness Vs Depth of Cut at a Constant Cutting Speed = 500m/min | 51 |
| 4.10 | Surface Roughness Vs Cutting Speed at a Constant Depth of Cut=0. 3mm | 51 |
| 4.11 | Surface Roughness Vs Depth of Cut at a Constant Cutting Speed =750m/min | 52 |
| 4.12 | Surface Roughness Vs Cutting Speed at a Constant Depth of Cut =0. 4mm | 52 |
| 4.13 | Surface Roughness Vs Depth of Cut at a Constant Cutting Speed =1000m/min | 52 |
| 4.14 | Surface Plot of Interaction Effects of Milling Parameters on the Surface Roughness for AL-6061with 3.18 %. | 53 |
| 4.15 | Surface Plot of Interaction Effects of Milling Parameters on the Surface Roughness for AL-6061with 3.18%. | 54 |
| 4.16 | Residual plots for surface roughness for cutting side | 55 |
| 4.17 | Comparison between surface roughness experiment and surface roughness regression model in ribbing side 5.68%. | 58 |
| 4.18 | Comparison between surface roughness experiment and surface roughness regression model in cutting side 3.7%. | 58 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|------------------------|---|---|
| <i>ANN</i> | - | Artificial neural network |
| <i>BP</i> | - | Back propagation |
| <i>DOE</i> | - | Design of experiments |
| <i>GA</i> | - | Genetic algorithm |
| <i>MAPE</i> | - | Mean absolute percentage error |
| <i>MSE</i> | - | Mean squared error |
| <i>AI</i> | - | Artificial Intelligence |
| <i>Adj</i> | - | Adjusted |
| <i>CNC</i> | - | Computer Numerical Control |
| <i>V_c</i> | - | Cutting Speed |
| <i>DOC</i> | - | Depth of Cut |
| <i>R_a</i> | - | Average Surface Roughness |
| <i>Exp</i> | - | Exponential |
| <i>RPM</i> | - | Revolution per Minute |
| <i>RSM</i> | - | Response Surface Methodology |
| <i>g_{air}</i> | - | Thermal Conductivity |
| <i>SS</i> | - | Sum of Square |
| <i>TiAlN</i> | - | Titanium Aluminum Nitrate |
| <i>V_s</i> | - | Versus |
| <i>B₁</i> | - | Biases of the hidden neurons |
| <i>B₂</i> | - | Bias of the output neuron |
| <i>N</i> | - | Number of data |
| <i>oi</i> | - | <i>i</i> -th ANN predicted value of average surface roughness, $\mu\text{ m}$ |

| | | |
|----------|---|--|
| R | - | Correlation coefficient |
| μm | - | Micro meter |
| t_i | - | i th target (experimental) value of average surface roughness, μm |
| X | | Input vector to ANN |
| W_1 | - | Weights between input and hidden layer |
| W_2 | - | Weights between hidden layer and output layer |
| IPM | - | feed rate, in inches per minute |
| F | - | feed per tooth |
| N | - | number of teeth in the cutter being used |
| % | - | e_{ij} is the percentage error |
| M_{ij} | - | measured value |
| P_{ij} | - | predicted values |
| i | - | represents the i th validation Experiments |
| j | - | represents the j th output |



LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| A | Code of the neural network | 69 |
| B | The pictures machine and tables | 72 |
| C | Project Gantt Chart | 80 |



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background

In recent years the modern machines have the challenges in the industries which is mainly focused on the achievement of high quality, in term of work piece dimensional accuracy, high production rate, surface finish, less wear on the cutting tools, economy of machining in terms of cost saving and increase in the performance of the product with reduced environmental impact. End milling is a very commonly used machining process in industry. The ability to control the process for better quality of the final product is paramount importance.

The key change drivers in the case of cutting technology include diminishing component size, enhanced surface quality, closer tolerances and manufacturing accuracies, reduced prices, diminished component weight, and reduced batch sizes (Byrne., 2003). The surface character of the machined parts is one of the most significant product quality characteristics and one of the most frequent customer requirements. Surface quality is often expressed by the measurement of surface roughness.

According to (Parveen et al., 2013), CNC (Computer Numerical Control) milling machine is one of the common machine tools in machine industry. The face milling is an operation for producing plane or flat surfaces using a face milling cutter. It is applied for finishing of machine components. In face milling, the cutter is mounted on a spindle

having an axis of rotation perpendicular to the work pieces surface and removes material in the manner. Face milling process is gaining popularity in industries in recent years due to the capability in improving machining performance, reducing cost while achieving reduced lead times, and higher productivity. However, the demand for high quality focuses attention on the surface condition and the quality of the product, especially the roughness of the machined surface because of its effect on product appearance, function, and reliability.

In addition, a good quality machined surface significantly improves fatigue strength, corrosion resistance, and creep life. Surface roughness are defined as a group of irregular waves in the surface, measured in micrometers (μm). With the more precise demand of modern engineering products, the control of surface texture has become more important. The surface roughness data obtained by measurement can be manipulated to determine the roughness parameter (Parveen et al., 2013).

(Thanongsak et al., 2012), Micro-end milling is the most flexible process among all mechanical micromachining processes. Its capabilities provide many advantages for manufacturing of complex features, especially those in medical devices and implants. However, scaling the conventional milling process down to a micro scale result in encountering several problems.

Aluminum alloys are extensively used as a main engineering material in various industries such as automotive industries, the mold and die components manufacture and the industry in which weight is the most important factor. Surface roughness is an important measure of product quality since it greatly influences the performance of mechanical parts as well as production cost. These materials help machining and possess superior machine ability index. Milling is one of the most commonly used machining processes in aluminum alloys shaping. It has considerable economic importance because it is usually among the finishing steps in the fabrication of industrial mechanical parts. Their effect on products is important because they may cause some critical problems such as the deterioration of surface quality, thus reducing the product durability and precision.

Surface roughness is one of the most important properties in any machining process and in micro milling it is really critical as the product needs to be of a very high surface quality. Many researchers have focused on the surface roughness obtained in the micro milling process, (Rawangwong et al., 2012).

As mentioned above, surface roughness is an important measure of product quality. Surface roughness have an impact on the mechanical properties like fatigue behavior, corrosion resistance, creep life, etc. Sometimes, various catastrophic failures causing high costs have been attributed to the surface finish of the components in question. As a result, there have been many great research developments in modeling surface roughness and optimization of the controlling parameters to obtain a surface finish of desired level since the only proper selection of cutting parameters can produce a better surface finish.

Nevertheless, such studies are far from completion since it is very difficult to consider all the parameters that control the surface roughness for a particular manufacturing process. The parameters that affect surface roughness include machining parameters, cutting tool properties and work pieces properties etc. In the manufacturing industries, various machining processes are adopted to remove the material from a work piece for the better product. Likewise, end milling process is one of the most critical and common metal cutting operations used for machining parts because of its ability to get rid of materials faster with a reasonably good surface quality. In recent times, numerical controlled machine tools have been implemented to realize full automation in milling since they provide greater improvements in productivity increase the quality of the machined parts and require fewer operators input recognized by (Rawangwong et al., 2012).

Although micro milling emerges as a newly developing method, it is in nature originally and directly scaled down from the conventional milling. The two cutting processes have the similar kinematics, and the cutting process can be characterized by mechanical interaction of a sharp tool with the work material, causing breakage inside, the material along defined paths, and eventually leading to removal of the useless part of the work piece in the form of chips (Alting et al., 2003).

Micro milling plays an increasingly significant part in bridging the crack between the traditional precision macro and the emerging micro machining for making functional parts. However, a number of vital issues, arise on transition of mature macro-domain knowledge into the micro stage, which influence the underlying mechanisms of the process, resulting in alterations in the chip-formation process, reducing forces, vibrations and process stability, and the genesis and subsequent character of the resulting machined surface (Liu et al., 2004a).

These constraints, for example, unpredictable tool life and premature tool failures, significant downsized tool-work interactions, are mainly resulting from the miniaturization of machined components, cutting tools and processes, making the manufacturing technique considerably challenging in achieving favorable cutting performance. In the work, tooling performance is referred as the cutting operation of micro tools, and it is universally weighted by a combination of characterization methods, such as the cutting forces, chip formation, tool wear and life, dimensional accuracy and surface polish. Research on this aspect has the potential to improve the tool design and optimize the cutting process. At present, scientific knowledge on the genes governing the tooling performance has not been systematically examined yet and the present capability of the manufacturing technique needs to be continually prepared to fill current and future production needs. It would consequently be of outstanding significance to address a comprehensive insight so as for further drawing out its industrial applications.

1.2 Problem statement

In manufacturing industries, manufacturers focused on the quality and productivity of the product. To increase the productivity of the product, computer numerically machine tools have been implemented during the past decades. Surface roughness is one of the most important parameters to determine the quality of product. The mechanism behind the formation of surface roughness is very dynamic, complicated, and process dependent.

Although surface roughness have an important property in any machining process and in micro milling it is really critical as the product needs to be of a very high surface quality. As presented the events that occurred in manufacturing industries by looking along the surface roughness for the quality of the products that can effect to the market income. In this research can predict the events that appeared by using the intelligent neural network.

Furthermore, minimizing the error of surface roughness in the micro milling machine which consider the effectiveness of increasing and decreasing the feed rate and tool edge radius. Although, by using artificial neural network prediction is depending on other parameters which are depth of cut, cutting speed and feed rate. Besides that surface roughness value were optimized in milling using statically regression methods.

1.3 Objectives

1. To develop predictive model of surface roughness in milling process.
2. To apply Artificial Neural Network in the machining.
3. Optimization of machining processes by regression method and used to assist in the controller training.

1.4 Scope of study

To obtain a better understanding regarding machining parameter and surface roughness which focusing on neural net organization. Traditionally, the selection of cutting conditions for metal cutting is left to the machine operator. In such events, the experience of the operator plays a major function, but even for a skilled operator it is very difficult to achieve the optimum values each time. Machining parameters in metal milling are cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut. The setting of these parameters determines the quality characteristics of milling parts.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

In this current research for obtaining the optimum of surface roughness by using micro milling process. Therefore, three milling parameters have been selected, spindle speed, feed rate and depth of cut. Adequate settings of cutting parameters are most important to obtain better surface roughness. By using intelligence method of neural network system that will predict the accurate surface roughness with playing rules of hidden layers and weighted of input parameters. Beside that study the effect of feed rate, cutting speed and depth of cut on surface roughness by developing artificial neural networks (ANN) models.

2.2 Previous Study

(Abbasi et al., 2012), focused on the Response surface methodology (RSM) prospects with Gradient method and discussed the problem of getting insignificant results, the possibility of getting trapped in local minima or maxima for a given objective function. In this context, the application of ANN is suggested for improving the estimation with lesser calculations.

(Ramesh et al., 2012), studied the effect of depth of cut, cutting speed and feed rate on the surface roughness of Ti-6Al-4V (Titanium alloy) in the turning operation. The base of selection for experimentation was L27 orthogonal array (Taguchi's principle) under dry condition. The development of surface plots and the response surface model were suggested the feed rate as the most influential parameter followed by depth of cut and cutting speed.

(Nataraja et al., 2011), the surface roughness, an indicator of surface quality is one of the most specified customer requirements in a machining process. In this review paper the importance to find a better optimization method, which can suggest to induce an optimum value of editing parameters for minimizing the surface roughness.

(Khorasan et al., 2011), Indicated that the importance of parameter in the milling operation in the manufacturing process is tool life. There are three main parameters, i.e. cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut were suggested by using artificial neural network and Taguchi design of experiment for tool life prediction in the milling operation.

(Sehgal et al., 2013) focused in Two methods, artificial neural network (ANN) and response surface methodology (RSM) is used for optimized prediction of surface roughness. Therefore investigated that the artificial neural network (ANN) model predicts with higher accuracy compared with response surface methodology (RSM).

(Pontes et al., 2009), reported that the construction of good ANN models is a complex and demanding task when compared to other modeling techniques. This is the trade-off for the superior computing capability of an artificial neural network. Although this analysis was suggested that great improvement could be made on works produced on the subject, if basic requirements in Neuro-computing were observed, and possibilities offered by the technique were better explored. It shows that in many works, inadequate treatment is given to model validation. Consequently, confidence in the use of ANN models could be substantially improved where data and information required to reproduce results and networks are supplied.

(Sivasakthive et al., 2010) reported that the effects of helix angle, spindle speed, feed rate, axial depth of cut and radial depth of cut were experimentally investigated. The investigation presented a central composite rotatable second order response surface

methodology to develop a mathematical model to predict tool wear in terms of helix angle, spindle speed, feed rate, axial and radial depth of cut.

(Singh et al., 2012), neural network and the fuzzy inference system called Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) for prediction modeling of surface roughness during machining of GFRP composites. The data had been obtained in experiments by taking machining parameters like spindle speed, feed rate and depth of cut as input; and surface roughness of the machined composite product has been treated as output. Experimental data have been utilized for prediction modeling of the surface roughness with an accuracy of 91%.

(Vivek et al., 2013), reported that the high speed micro-milling is gaining popularity due to its high material removal rate and good surface finish. The author focused on the characterization of the burr formation in high speed micro-milling. Also the Influence of various process parameters, that are spindle speed, feed rate, depth of cut, tool diameter and number of flutes of the micro-milling tool has been analyzed on the burr size and on the quality of the machined surface via measuring the surface roughness.

(Kuttolamadam et al., 2010), that examined the achievability of surface roughness specifications within efforts to reduce automotive component manufacture cycle time, particularly by changing cutting feeds. Therefore, controlled milling experiments show the relationship between feed rate and surface quality for 6061 aluminum, and the results are used to recommend machining practices for cycle time reduction while maintaining quality requirements.

(Aburashid et al., 2009), reported that predicting surface roughness by using multiple regression prediction models was investigated. Therefore Three milling parameters have been selected, spindle speed, feed rate and depth of cut. This showed that the statistical model could predict the surface roughness with about 90.2% accuracy of the testing data set and 90.3% accuracy of the training data set.

(Routara et al., 2009) surface roughness models as well as the significance of the machining parameters have been validated with analysis of variance. Thus it was found that the response surface models for different roughness parameters are specific to work piece materials. An effort has also been created to obtain optimum cutting conditions

with respect to each of the five roughness parameters considered in the present study with the help of response optimization technique.

(Mounayr et al., 2008), has studied an innovative Artificial Neural Network (ANN) model that predicts both cutting force and surface roughness in end milling were developed and validated. Moreover A set of five input variables were selected to represent the machining conditions while twelve quantities representing two key process parameters, namely, cutting force and surface roughness, form the variables of the network output.

(El-rahman et al., 2013) in this paper the Multi-Layer back propagation (BP) network is a supervised, continuous valued, multi-input and single-output feed forward multi-layer network that follows a gradient descent method interfaced with the virtual environment to predict surface roughness in the end milling process. Therefore ANN based model is produced by using the optimized network for this exceptional case (100 networks are tested) that the most accurate model will be suggested for in process part surface roughness prediction.

2.3 The Interface of Artificial Neuro-intelligence

Generally, the interface of Neuro-Intelligence is optimized to solve forecasting, classification and function approximation problems. Neuro-Intelligence is neural network software designed to assist experts in solving real world problems. Aimed at solution of technology problems, Neuro-Intelligence features only proven algorithms and techniques, is fast and easy-to-use. Neuro-Intelligence supports all stages of neural network application. It is used in this work to:

- Analyze and preprocess the pre measured test results,
- Find the best neural network architecture that represents the end milling process trend accurately.
- Test and optimize the selected network.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, B. M. Hashem. (2012). Improving response surface methodology by artificial neural network and simulated annealing, *Journal of Expert Systems with Applications*, 39, pp. 3461-3468.
- Abd El-rahman .H. M, El-Zahry .R. M, and Mahdy, Y. B. (2012). Implementation of neural network for monitoring and prediction of surface roughness in a virtual end milling process of a CNC vertical milling machine.
- Abdul, L. M. R. (2009). Modeling of milling process to predict surface roughness using artificial intelligent method, November 2009.
- Abburi, N.R. and U.S. Dixit. (2006). A knowledge based system for the prediction of surface roughness in turning process. *Rob. Comput. Integr. Manuf*, 22, pp. 363-372.
- Abu-R. M.F.F., Muhammad N.Y. (2009). Mathematical Modeling to Predict Surface Roughness in CNC Milling, *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 53 (1), 2009.
- Alting, L., Kimura, F., Hansen, H. and Bissacco, G., 2003. 'Micro engineering'. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 52(2), pp. 635-657.
- Bajpai, V , Singh R. K, and Kushwaha A. K. (2013). Burr formation and surface quality in high speed micro milling of titanium alloy (ti6ai4v) by ASME *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering* 2013.
- Benardos, P. G and Vosniakos, G.C. (2003). Predicting surface roughness in machining: a review, *International Journal of machine tools and Manufacture*, 43 (8), pp. 833-844.
- Boothroyd.G. (1981). *Fundamentals of metal machining and machine tools*, hand book ,Washington, D.C. international edition, 5, pp. 134-140.

- Byrne .G, Dornfeld. D, and Denkena. B.(2003), “Advancing cutting technology,” *CIRP Annals*,vol.52,no.2,pp.483–507,2003.
- Henri P. G ,(2013) The Levenberg-Marquardt method for nonlinear least squares curve-fitting problems Duke University, October 9, 2013.
- Huynh, V. M. and Fan, Y. (1992). Surface-texture measurement and characterization with applications to machine-tool monitoring, the international journal of advanced manufacturing technology, 7, pp. 2-10.
- Kaladhar, M., Subbaiah, K.V., Rao, C.S. and Rao, K.N. (2010), “Optimization process parameters in turning of AISI202 austenitic stainless steel”, *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 5, No. 9, pp. 79-87.
- Khorasani, A. M, Yazdi, M. R. S. A and Safizadeh, M. (2011). Tool Life Prediction in Face Milling Machining of 7075 Al by Using Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) and Taguchi Design of Experiment (DOE), *IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 3 (1), pp. 30-35.
- Kishawy, H. A, Dumitrescu. M, Ng, E.G, Elbestawi, M.A. (2005). Effect of coolant strategy on the tool performance, chip morphology and surface quality during high speed machining of A356aluminum alloy. *International journal of machine Tools and Manufacturing*, 45, pp. 219-227.
- Kohli, A. and U.S. Dixit. (2005). A neural network based methodology for the surface roughness in a turning process. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol*, 25, pp. 118-129.
- Kuttolamadom M. A, Hamzehlouia . S, Mears L. M. (2010). Effect of machining feed on surface roughness in cutting 6061 aluminum by *ASME journal of manufacturing science and engineering* 2010.
- Lee, H. M, Huang, T.C., and Chen, C.M. (1999). Learning Efficiency Improvement of Back Propagation Algorithm by Error Saturation Prevention Method. *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN '99)*. 3, pp. 10-16 July 1999, pp. 1737 – 1742.
- Liu, X., DeVor, R.E., Kapoor, S.G. and Ehmann, K.F., 2004a. ‘The mechanics of machining at the microscale: assessment of the current state of the science’. *Journal of Manufacturing Science & Engineering*, 126(4), pp. 666-678.

- Lourakis.M.I.A,2005. A brief description of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm implementation by levmar, Technical Report, Institute of Computer Science, Foundation for Research and Technology- Hellas,2005.
- Lumley, R. (2011). Fundamentals of aluminum metallurgy, hand book,wood head publishing limited,2011, pp. 7-50.
- Madsen.K. Nielsen. N.B., and Tingleff O, 2004. Method for nonlinear least squares problems. Technical Report. Informatics and Mathematical Modeling, Technical University of Denmark,2004.
- Marquardt D.W. 1963. An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameter journal of the society for industrial and applied mathematics,11(2) pp431-441.
- Mounayri.E.H, Badar A.M, Rengifo G. (2008), multi-parameter and model for flat-end milling, 32, pp. 3-4.
- Natarajan C., Muthu, S. and Karuppuswamy, P. (2011). Investigation of cutting parameters of surface roughness for a non-ferrous material using artificial neural network in CNC turning, Journal of Mechanical Engineering Research, 3 (1), pp. 1-14.
- Neely, J.E. (2000). Basic machine tool operation, hand book, Prentice-Hall international (UK), Limited London, 2000, pp. 290-299.
- Parveen .S, and Choudhary, S. (2013). Analysis of machining parameters for the optimization of surface roughness of stainless steel AISI 202 in CNC face milling process, 2 (3), pp. 27-34. July 2013.
- Pontes.F. J. Ferreira J. R. Paiva A. P. Balestrassi P. P and Silva M. B. (2009). Artificial neural networks for machining processes surface roughness modeling, 49, pp. 879–902 15 December 2009.
- Ramesh S., Karunamoorthy, L. and Planikumar, K. (2012). Measurement and Analysis of Surface Roughness in Turning of Aerospace Titanium Alloy (gr5), Measurement, Elsevier, 45 (5), pp. 1266–127.
- Rawangwong .S, Chatthong .J, Burapa .R, and Boonchouytan .W. (2012). An investigation of optimum cutting conditions in face milling aluminum 7075-t6 using design of experiment. 4, pp. 125–135.

- Risbood, K.A., Dixit, U.S. and Sahasrabudhe, A.D. (2003). Prediction of surface roughness and dimensional deviation by measuring cutting forces and vibrations in turning process. *J. Mater. Process. Technol*, 132, pp. 203-214.
- Routara, B. C. and Bandyopadhyay. A. and Sahoo. P. (2009). Roughness modeling and optimization in CNC end milling using response surface method: effect of work piece material variation, 40, pp. 1166–1180.
- Sehgal. A.K, Meenu. (2013). Application of Artificial Neural Network and Response Surface Methodology for Achieving Desired Surface Roughness in End Milling Process of Ductile Iron Grade 80 - 55 - 06, 16 (3), May 2013.
- Seyyed R. K, Masdari .M and Hojjatkah .S. (2013). Application of artificial neural networks in estimating participation in elections, 1(3), August 2013.
- Sivasakthivel .P.S, Vel M.V and Sudhakaran .R. (2010). Prediction of Tool Wear from Machining Parameters by response surface methodology in end milling, 2 (6), pp. 1780-1789.
- Singh.A, Abhishek. K, Datta. S and Mahapatra S. S.(2012), ANFIS based modeling for prediction of surface roughness during machining of glass fiber reinforced epoxy composites, 1 (1) pp. 1-15 2012.
- Soleimanian .H, Nategh. M. J and Amini S. (2009). Modeling of surface roughness in vibration cutting by artificial neural network, *world academy of science, engineering and technology*, 52. 2009.
- Sonar, D.K., Dixit, U.S. and Ojha, D.K. (2006). The application of radial basis function for predicting the surface roughness in a turning process. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol*, 27, pp. 661-666.
- Sreejith. P.S. (2008). Machining of 6061 aluminum alloy with MQL, dry and flooded lubricant condition – turning. *Materials letters*, 62, pp. 276-278.
- Thanongsak. T, and Özel. T. (2012). Multi-objective process optimization for micro-end milling of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy, Accepted: 6 February 2012.

Theja. D. K, Dr Gowd. H. G, Kareemulla S. (2013). Prediction and optimization of end milling process parameters using artificial neural networks, 3 (9), September 2013.

Tsai Y H, Chen J C, and Lou S J, Int J Mach Tools Manuf, 39, pp. 583- 605.

Taylor Hobson, 2010. Available at: <http://www.taylor-hobson.com> (Accessed: 8/27/2010).

Kern, 2010. Available at: <http://www.kern-microtechnic.com/> (Accessed: 7/13/2010).

Veeco, 2010. Available at: <http://www.veeco.com/> (Accessed: 8/27/2010).

Zygo, 2010. Available at: <http://www.zygo.com/> (Accessed: 8/27/2010).

Zeiss, 2010. Available at: <http://www.zeiss.com/> (Accessed: 8/27/2010).



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH