UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

MULTI-FACTS DEVICES INSTALLATION FOR LOSS MINIMIZATION AND TECHNO-ECONOMIC **IMPACT ASSESSMENT BASED ON COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNIQUE**

AAN TUNKU TUN AMINAK SITI AMELY BINTI JUMAAT

PhD

January 2015

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA

MULTI-FACTS DEVICES INSTALLATION FOR LOSS MINIMIZATION AND TECHNO-ECONOMIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT USING EPSO APPROACH

SITI AMELY BINTI JUMAAT

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

January 2015

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents a new meta-heuristic approach technique for optimal location and sizing of multi-unit Flexible Alternating Currents System (FACTS) device installation using single- and multi-objective problems. It also considers techno-economic impact in the system. In this research, the first objective is to develop heuristic technique Single-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (SOPSO) for optimal location and sizing of single-unit FACTS device installation with loss minimization, voltage monitoring and taking into account the cost of installation in the system. The verification was conducted through comparative studies with Single-Objective Evolutionary Programming (SOEP) and Single-Objective Artificial Immune System (SOAIS) techniques. The effect of weight coefficient, c_1 and c_2 and the effect of population size of loss minimization are also investigated. The second objective is to determine the location and sizing of multi-unit and multi-type FACTS device installation using SOPSO and SOEP. Consequently, the third objective of this research is to develop a new meta-heuristic technique termed as Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO) for optimal placement and sizing of multi-unit FACTS device with single-objective problem. Comparative studies with respect to traditional PSO and classical EP techniques indicated that EPSO has its merit in terms of loss minimization. In addition, the cluster formation of FACTS device installation is also derived from the obtained results. The cluster formation of FACTS device installation was derived by looking at how many times (frequency) the load buses are selected for FACTS device installation identified by EPSO, PSO and EP techniques. The fourth objective in this research is to develop a new optimization technique termed as sigma-Multi-Objective EPSO (σ -MOEPSO) technique for optimal location and sizing of FACTS devices installation for multi-objective problem to minimize the transmission loss and cost of installation in power system. Finally, the fifth objective is to assess the techno-economic impact of FACTS device installation in power system. This assessment is performed by using a hybrid Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization - Net Present Value (EPSO-NPV) for assessing the impact of FACTS devices installation in duration up to 20 years. Comparative study has been done with Evolutionary Programming - Net Present Value (EP-NPV) technique. It was found that the proposed technique has been able to produce better performance as compared to other techniques and could be beneficial to power system planner in order to perform FACTS devices installation scheme for the minimization of loss and cost in their systems.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
AUT	HOR'S DECLARATION	ii
ABS	TRACT	iii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST	TOF TABLES	X
LIST	TOF FIGURES	xvi
LIST	TOF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
LIST	T OF SYMBOLS	XX
CHA	PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	BACKGROUND	TUNA
1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3	OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH	3
1.4	SCOPE OF WORK	4
1.5	ORGANIZATION OF THESIS	7
СНА	PTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	INTRODUCTION	9
2.2	DEFINITIONS OF FACTS DEVICES	9
2.3	FLEXIBLE ALTERNATING CURRENTS TRANSMISSIONS (FACTS	5)
	DEVICES	10
2.4	OPTIMAZATION TECHNIQUE APPLICATIONS IN POWER	
	SYSTEM	12
2.5	MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION IN POWER SYSTEM	
	PROBLEM	22
2.6	TECHNO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	24
2.7	SUMMARY	26

CHAPTER THREE: MODERN OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE FOR SINGLE FACTS DEVICES LOCATION AND SIZING

3.1	INTRODUCTION	27
3.2	FACTS INSTALLATION SCHEME IN POWER SYSTEM NETWORK	28
	3.2.1 Modeling of Static VAR Compensator	29
	3.2.2 Modeling of Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator	30
3.3	MODERN OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES	31
	3.3.1 Particle Swarm Optimization Technique	32
	3.3.2 Evolutionary Programming Technique	35
	3.3.3 Artificial Immune System Technique	39

3.4 OPTIMAL LOCATION AND SIZING FOR FACTS DEVICES INSTALLATION

10	
43	
15	

	3.4.1 Transmission Loss	44
	3.4.2 Cost of Installation	45
	3.4.3 Test Systems	46
3.5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	47
	3.5.1 Transmission Loss Minimization and Voltage Profile Improvement	Using
	Single-FACTS Devices Installation for IEEE 30-Bus RTS48	
	3.5.1.1 Effects of Weight Coefficients, c_1 and c_2	52
	3.5.1.2 Effects of Population Size	55
	3.5.2 Comparative Study with Other Techniques	58
3.6	SUMMARY	67

CHAPTER FOUR: COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE BASED TECHNIQUE WITH MULTI-UNIT AND MULTI-TYPE FACTS DEVICES INSTALLATION FOR POWER SYSTEM SECURITY

4.1	INTRODUCTION	69
4.2	POWER SYSTEM SECURITY	70
4.3	MULTI- UNIT FACTS DEVICES (MF) MODELING	71
	4.3.1 Static Var Compensator (SVC)	72

	4.3.2 Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator (TCSC)	73
4.4	MULTI-TYPE FACTS DEVICES (MTF) MODELING	74
4.5	OPTIMAL LOCATION AND SIZING FOR FACTS DEVICE	
	INSTALLATION	74
	4.5.1 Algorithm for Multi-Unit FACTS Devices (MF) Installation	74
	4.5.1.1 Multi-unit of FACTS Device Installation using PSO	
	Technique (MF-PSO)	75
	4.5.1.2 Multi-unit of FACTS Devices Installation using EP	
	Technique (MF-EP)	77
	4.5.2 Algorithm for Multi-type FACTS Devices Installation using	
	PSO Technique (MTF-PSO)	80
4.6	TEST SYSTEM	81
4.7	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	83
	4.7.1 Transmission Loss Minimization using MF Installation	83
	4.7.1.1 Multi-unit of SVC Installation for IEEE 30-Bus RTS	83
	4.7.1.2 Multi-unit of TCSC Installation for IEEE 30-Bus RTS	85
	4.7.1.3 Multi-unit of SVC Installation for IEEE 118-Bus RTS	<i>93</i>
	4.7.2 Transmission Loss Minimization Using Multi-Type FACTS Dev	ice (MTF)
	Installation 97	
	4.7.2.1 MTF Installation Using PSO for IEEE 30-Bus RTS	97
4.8	SUMMARY	99

CHAPTER FIVE: EVOLUTIONARY PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE FOR OPTIMAL LOCATION AND SIZING O F MULTI-UNIT FACTS DEVICES AND CLUSTER IDENTIFICATION

5.1	INTRODUCTION	100
5.2	EVOLUTIONARY PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (EPSO))
	TECHNIQUE	100
5.3	ALGORITHM FOR MULTI-UNIT FACTS DEVICES INSTALLA	TION USING
	EPSO TECHNIQUE (MF-EPSO)	104

5.4	CLUSTER FOR MF INSTALLATION	107 5.5
	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	107
	5.5.1 Multi-unit of FACTS Devices Installation Using EPSO (MF-EPSO)	of IEEE
	30- Bus RTS 108	
	5.5.2 Multi-unit of FACTS Devices Installation Using EPSO (MF-EPSO)	of IEEE
	118- Bus RTS 111	
	5.5.3 Comparative Studies with Other Techniques	115
	5.5.4 Formed Cluster for SVC Installation in Power System	126
	5.5.4.1 Resultant Cluster of SVC Installation of IEEE 30-Bus RTS	127
	5.5.4.2 Resultant Cluster of SVC Installation of IEEE 118-Bus RTS	131
5.6	SUMMARY	135

	5.5.4.2 Resultant Cluster of SVC Installation of IEEE 118-Bus RTS	131			
5.6	SUMMARY	135			
СНАР	CHAPTER SIX: OPTIMAL ALLOCATION AND SIZING OF FACTS DEVICE				
USING	JSING PROPOSED SIGMA-MULTI-OBJECTIVE EVOLUTIONARY				
PART	ICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (σ-MOEPSO)				

6.1	INTRODUCTION	137
6.2	CONCEPT OF MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM	
	(MOO)	138
	6.2.1 General Concept of Multi-Objective Optimization (MOO)	139
	6.2.2 Sigma Method with Multi-Objective Optimization Problem	141
	6.2.2.1 Finding the Best Local Guides Using the Sigma Method	143
6.3	SIGMA-MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION EVOLUTIONARY PA	RTICLE
	SWARM OPTIMIZATION (σ-MOEPSO) 143	
	6.3.1 Algorithm of Proposed Sigma-Multi-Objective Optimization Evolut	ionary
	Particle Swarm Optimization (σ-MOEPSO) Technique 144	
6.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	147
	6.4.1 Single-unit of FACTS Device Installation Using Sigma-Multi-Object	tive
	Evolutionary Particle Swarm (σ-MOEPSO)	147
	6.4.1.1 SVC Installation for IEEE 30 Bus RTS	147
	6.4.2 Multi-unit of FACTS Devices (MF) Installation Using Sigma-Multi	
	Objective Evolutionary Particle Swarm (o-MOEPSO)	149

		6.4.2.1 SVC Installation for IEEE 30 Bus RTS	149
		6.4.2.2 SVC Installation for IEEE 118 Bus RTS	153
	6.4.3 0	Comparative Studies with Other Techniques	155
		6.4.3.1 SVC Installation for IEEE 30-Bus RTS	155
		6.4.3.2 SVC Installation for IEEE 118- Bus RTS	158
	6.4.4	Formed Cluster for MOO Process	159
		6.6.4.1 Formed Cluster for SVC Installation of IEEE 30 Bus RTS	159
		6.6.4.2 Formed Cluster for SVC Installation of IEEE 118 Bus RTS	166
6.5	SUMN	ARY	170

CHAPTER SEVEN: TECHNO-ECONOMIC IMPACT FOR FACTS DEVICE INSTALLATION IN POWER SYSTEM 172

7.1	INTRODUCTION	172
7.2	INVESTMENT ANALYSIS IN POWER SYSTEM PROBLEM	172
	7.2.1 Net Present Value (NPV) Evaluation	173
	7.2.2 Cost Function of Generator	175
	7.2.3 Cost Function of FACTS Device	176
	7.2.4 Load Duration Curve	176
7.3	HYBRID EVOLUTIONARY PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION -	NET
	PRESENT VALUE (EPSO-NPV) BASED APPROACH FOR OPTIMAL	
	LOCATION AND SIZING OF MULTI-UNIT SVC INSTALLATION	177
7.4	TEST SYSTEM	178
7.5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	178
	7.5.1 Results for EPSO-NPV in IEEE 30-Bus RTS	179
	7.5.2 Results for EPSO-NPV in IEEE 118-Bus RTS	192
	7.5.3 Comparative Study	201
7.6	SUMMARY	204

CHAPTER EIGHT: OVERALL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

8.1	CONCLUSION	205
8.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	208

8.3	SINGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION	209
REFH	ERENCES	210
APPH	ENDICES	231

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Title	Pages
TABLE 3.1	Parameters of Optimization Techniques	46
TABLE 3.2	Transmission Loss Minimization when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 26 Using PSO	48
TABLE 3.3	Voltage Profile Improvement when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 26 Using PSO	49
TABLE 3.4	Transmission Loss Minimization when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 29 Using PSO Technique	49
TABLE 3.5	Voltage Profile Improvement when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 29 Using PSO Technique	50
TABLE 3.6	Transmission Loss Minimization when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 30 Using PSO Technique	51
TABLE 3.7	Voltage Profile Improvement when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 30 Using PSO Technique	51
TABLE 3.8	Results for the Effect of Weight Coefficient when Loading variation is Subjected to Bus 26	53
TABLE 3.9	Results for the Effect of Weight Coefficient when Loading variation is Subjected to Bus 29	54
TABLE 3.10	Results for the Effect of Weight Coefficient when Loading variation is Subjected to Bus 30	55
TABLE 3.11	Results for the Effect of Population Size when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 26	56
TABLE 3.12	Results for the Effect of Population Size when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 29	57
TABLE 3.13	Results for the Effect of Population Size when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 30	58
TABLE 4.1	Parameters for PSO Optimization Technique	83
TABLE 4.2	Results for Location and Sizing of SVC when $Q_{d26} = 20$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	84

TABLE 4.3	Results for Location and Sizing of SVC when $Q_{d26} = 30$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	85
TABLE 4.4	Results of Location and Sizing of SVC When $Q_{d29} = 20$ MVar Using PSO Technique	85
TABLE 4.5	Results of Location and Sizing of SVC When Q_{d29} =30MVar Using PSO Technique	86
TABLE 4.6	Results of Location and Sizing of TCSC when $Q_{d26} = 20$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	86
TABLE 4.7	Results of Location and Sizing of TCSC when $Q_{d26} = 30$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	88
TABLE 4.8	Results of Location and Sizing of TCSC when $Q_{d29} = 20$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	90
TABLE 4.9	Results of Location and Sizing of TCSC when $Q_{d29} = 30$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	92
TABLE 4.10	Results of Location of SVC when $Q_{d20} = 100$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	94
TABLE 4.11	Results of Location of SVC when $Q_{d20} = 150$ MVar Using PSO Technique	94
TABLE 4.12	Results of Location of SVC when $Q_{d53} = 100$ MVar Using PSO Technique	95
TABLE 4.13	Results of Location of SVC when $Q_{d53} = 150$ MVar Using PSO Technique.	96
TABLE 4.14	Results of Location and Sizing of one unit MTF when load variation is subjected at Bus 26	97
TABLE 4.15	Results of Location and Sizing of two units MTF when load variation at Bus 26	98
TABLE 4.16	Results of Location and Sizing of one unit MTF when load variation is subjected at Bus 29	98
TABLE 4.17	Results of Locations and Sizing of two units MTF when load variation is subjected at Bus 29	99
TABLE 5.1	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 Using EPSO	109
TABLE 5.2	Results of Location and Sizing of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 Using EPSO	109

TABLE 5.3	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 Using EPSO	110
TABLE 5.4	Results of Location and Sizing of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 Using EPSO	111
TABLE 5.5	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 Using EPSO	112
TABLE 5.6	Results of Location and Sizing of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 Using EPSO	113
TABLE 5.7	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 Using EPSO	114
TABLE 5.8	Results of Location and Sizing of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 Using EPSO	115
TABLE 5.9	Results of Transmission Loss Minimization when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 using EPSO, PSO and EP	116
TABLE 5.10	Results of Cost of Installation and Voltage Profile Improvement when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 using EPSO, PSO and EP	117 A H
TABLE 5.11	Results of Transmission Loss Minimization when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using EPSO, PSO and EP	119
TABLE 5.12	Results of Cost of Installation and Voltage Profile Improvement when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using EPSO, PSO and EP	120
TABLE 5.13	Results of Transmission Loss when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using EPSO, PSO and EP	122
TABLE 5.14	Results of Cost of Installation and Voltage Profile Improvement when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using EPSO, PSO and EP Techniques	123
TABLE 5.15	Results of Transmission Loss when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 using EPSO, PSO and EP Techniques	125
TABLE 5.16	Results of Cost of Installation and Voltage Profile Improvement when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 using EPSO, PSO and EP Techniques	126
TABLE 5.17	Optimal Location of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 using EPSO Technique	127
TABLE 5.18	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 using EPSO, PSO and EP Techniques	128
TABLE 5.19	Optimal Locations of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to	130



Bus 29 using EPSO Technique

TABLE 5.20	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using EPSO, PSO and EP Techniques	125
TABLE 5.21	Optimal Locations of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using EPSO Technique	132
TABLE 5.22	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using EPSO Technique	127
TABLE 5.23	Optimal Locations of SVC when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 using EPSO Technique	134
TABLE 5.24	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 using EPSO, PSO and EP Techniques	134
TABLE 6.1	Results for Loss and Cost Minimization when Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 26 Using σ -MOEPSO	148
TABLE 6.2	Results of Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation for Load Variation is Subjected at Bus 26 Using σ -MOEPSO	148
TABLE 6.3	Results of Loss and Cost Minimization when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using σ -MOEPSO	149
TABLE 6.4	Results of Optimal Location and Sizing when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	149
TABLE 6.5	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	150
TABLE 6.6	Results of Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation for Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 26 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	151
TABLE 6.7	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	152
TABLE 6.8	Results of Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation for Load Variation is Subjected to Bus 29 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	152
TABLE 6.9	Results of Loss, Cost and Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	153
TABLE 6.10	Results of Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected at Bus 20 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	154
TABLE 6.11	Results of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected at Bus 53 using σ -MOEPSO Technique	154



TABLE 6.12	Results of Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation for Load Variation is Subjected at Bus 53	155
TABLE 6.13	Comparing Solution with σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP when $Q_{d26} = 30$ MVar	156
TABLE 6.14	Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation when Q_{d26} = 30MVar Using σ-MOEPSO, σ-MOPSO and σ-MOEP	156
TABLE 6.15	Comparing Solution with σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP when Q_{d29} = 10MVar	157
TABLE 6.16	Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Installation using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques when Q_{d29} = 10MVar	157
TABLE 6.17	Comparing Solution with σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques when Q_{d20} =100MVar	158
TABLE 6.18	Optimal Sizing of SVC Installation using MOEPSO, MOPSO and MOEP Techniques when Q_{d20} =100MVar	159
TABLE 6.19	Optimal Location of SVC when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 26 Using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	161
TABLE 6.20	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 26 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	162
TABLE 6.21	Optimal Location of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	164
TABLE 6.22	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 29 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	165
TABLE 6.23	Optimal Location of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	166
TABLE 6.24	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 20 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	167
TABLE 6.25	Optimal Location of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	169
TABLE 6.26	Ranking of SVC Installation when Loading Variation is subjected to Bus 53 using σ -MOEPSO, σ -MOPSO and σ -MOEP Techniques	163
TABLE 7.1	Cost and Emission Coefficient of IEEE 30-Bus RTS	175
TABLE 7.2	Cost and Emission Coefficient of IEEE 118-Bus RTS	176



TABLE 7.3	Operating Condition and Their Cumulative Percentages	177
TABLE 7.4	Results of Generator Cost with and without FACTS Device of IEEE 30-Bus RTS	179
TABLE 7.5	Saving Value for Every Year when Q_{d26} =30MVar	181
TABLE 7.6	Annual Saving per Year when Q_{d26} =30MVar	183
TABLE 7.7	Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC when Q_{d26} =30MVar with NPV Value	185
TABLE 7.8	Saving Value for Every Year when Q_{d29} =30MVar	187
TABLE 7.9	Annual Saving per Year when Q_{d29} =30MVar	188
TABLE 7.10	Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC when Q_{d29} =30MVar with NPV Value	190
TABLE 7.11	Results of Generator Cost with and without FACTS Device of IEEE 118-Bus RTS	193
TABLE 7.12	Saving Value for Every Year when Q_{d53} =180MVar	194
TABLE 7.13	Annual Saving per Year when Q_{d53} =180MVar	195
TABLE 7.14	Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC when Q_{d53} =180MVar with NPV Value	197
TABLE 7.15	Saving Value for Every Year when Q_{d20} =150MVar	199
TABLE 7.16	Annual Saving per Year when Q_{d20} =150MVar	199
TABLE 7.17	The Optimal Location and Sizing when Q_{d20} =150MVar with NPV Value	200
TABLE 7.18	Results of Generator Cost with and without FACTS Device when Q_{d26} =30MVar Using EPSO and EP Techniques	202
TABLE 7.19	Saving Value for Every Year when Q_{d26} =30MVar Using EP	202
TABLE 7.20	Annual Saving per Year when Q_{d26} =30MVar Using EPSO and EP Techniques	203
TABLE 7.21	Optimal Location and Sizing when Q_{d26} =30MVar Using EPSO and EP Techniques	204



LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Title	Page
FIGURE 1.1	Overall Research Framework on the Proposed Technique for Optimal Location and Sizing of FACTS Device Installation	6
FIGURE 3.1	SVC Installation on a Transmission Line	29
FIGURE 3.2	Current Flow Direction with SVC Installation	30
FIGURE 3.3	Model of TCSC	30
FIGURE 3.4	Model of TCSC with Series Impedance	31
FIGURE 3.5	Flowchart of Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) Algorithm for FACTS Devices Parameters	35
FIGURE 3.6	Flowchart of Evolutionary Programming (EP) Algorithm for FACTS Devices Parameters	39
FIGURE 3.7	Flowchart of Artificial Immune System (AIS) Algorithm for FACTS Devices Parameters	43
FIGURE 3.8	Single Line Diagram for IEEE 30-Bus RTS	47
FIGURE 3.9	Results of Transmission Loss when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 26	59
FIGURE 3.10	Results of Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 26	60
FIGURE 3.11	Results of Cost of Installation when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 26	61
FIGURE 3.12	Results of Transmission Loss when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 29	62
FIGURE 3.13	Results of Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 29	63
FIGURE 3.14	Results of Cost of Installation when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 29	64
FIGURE 3.15	Results of Transmission Loss when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 30	65

FIGURE 3.16	Results of Voltage Profile when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 30	66
FIGURE 3.17	Results of Cost of Installation when Loading Variation is Subjected to Bus 30	67
FIGURE 4.1	Power System Security Regions	71
FIGURE 4.2	Model of SVC	72
FIGURE 4.3	A Model of TCSC	73
FIGURE 4.4	Block Diagram of TCSC in Inserted in The Line	74
FIGURE 4.5	Single Line Diagram For IEEE 118-Bus RTS	82
FIGURE 4.6	Results of Cost Of Installation FACTS Device and Voltage Profile Improvement At $Q_{d26} = 20$ MVar	87
FIGURE 4.7	Results of Cost of Installation FACTS Device and Voltage Profile Improvement At $Q_{d26} = 30$ MVar	89
FIGURE 4.8	Results of Cost of Installation FACTS Device and Voltage Profile Improvement At $Q_{d29} = 20$ MVar	91
FIGURE 4.9	Results of Cost of Installation FACTS Device and Voltage Profile Improvement At $Q_{d29} = 30$ MVar	93
FIGURE 4.10	Results of Cost of Installation FACTS Device and Voltage Profile Improvement When Q_{d20} =100MVar and Q_{d20} =150MVar	95
FIGURE 4.11	Results of Cost of Installation FACTS Device and Voltage Profile Improvement When Q_{d53} =100MVar and Q_{d53} =150MVar	96
FIGURE 5.1	Concept of Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO)	101
FIGURE 5.2	Flowchart of General Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO) Process	103
FIGURE 5.3	Flowchart of EPSO Optimization Algorithm for FACTS Device Parameters	106
FIGURE 5.4	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation When Load Variation is Subjected To Bus 26 Using EPSO, PSO and EP	129
FIGURE 5.5	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation When Load Variation is Subjected To Bus 29 Using EPSO, PSO and EP	131
FIGURE 5.6	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation When Load Variation is Subjected To Bus 20 Using EPSO, PSO and EP	133



FIGURE 5.7	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation When Load Variation is Subjected To Bus 53 Using EPSO, PSO and EP	135
FIGURE 6.1	Finding Best Local Guide for Each Particle Using Sigma Method	142
FIGURE 6.2	Flowchart of Sigma-Multi-Objective Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (σ-MOEPSO)	146
FIGURE 6.3	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation when load variation is subjected at bus 26 using MOEPSO, MOPSO and MOEP	162
FIGURE 6.4	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation when load variation is subjected at bus 29 using MOEPSO, MOPSO and MOEP	165
FIGURE 6.5	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation when load variation is subjected at bus 20 using MOEPSO, MOPSO and MOEP	168
FIGURE 6.6	Cluster Formation of SVC Installation when load variation is subjected at bus 53 using MOEPSO, MOPSO and MOEP	170
FIGURE 7.1	Annual Load Duration Curve with Indicated Operating Points	177
FIGURE 7.2	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation	178
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar	178 185
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVar	178 185 186
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4 FIGURE 7.5	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVar	178 185 186 189
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4 FIGURE 7.5 FIGURE 7.6	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d29} =30MVar	178 185 186 189 192
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4 FIGURE 7.5 FIGURE 7.6 FIGURE 7.7	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device InstallationThe NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVarThe Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVarThe NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVarThe Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d29} =30MVarThe NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVarThe NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVar	178 185 186 189 192 196
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4 FIGURE 7.5 FIGURE 7.6 FIGURE 7.7 FIGURE 7.8	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d29} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d53} =180MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d53} =180MVar	178 185 186 189 192 196 198
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4 FIGURE 7.5 FIGURE 7.6 FIGURE 7.7 FIGURE 7.8 FIGURE 7.9	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d29} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d53} =180MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d53} =180MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d53} =180MVar	178 185 186 189 192 196 198 200
FIGURE 7.2 FIGURE 7.3 FIGURE 7.4 FIGURE 7.5 FIGURE 7.6 FIGURE 7.7 FIGURE 7.8 FIGURE 7.9 FIGURE 7.10	The Block Diagram of EPSO-NPV Approach for FACTS Device Installation The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d26} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d26} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d29} =30MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d29} =30MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d53} =180MVar The Effect of Discount Rate on NPV when Q_{d53} =180MVar The NPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d20} =150MVar The SPV of Investment in FACTS Devices when Q_{d20} =150MVar	 178 185 186 189 192 196 198 200 201



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations	
AC	Alternating Current
ACO	Ant Colony Optimization
AIS	Artificial Immune System
EP	Evolutionary Programming
EP-NPV	Evolutionary Programming –Net Present Value
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
EPSO	Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization
EPSO –NPV	Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization - Net Present
	Value
ES	Evolutionary Strategy
FACTS	Flexible Alternating Currents Transmission System
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GP	Genetic Programming
GTO	Gate Turn-Off
HVDC	High Voltage DC
LDC	Load Duration Curve
LP	Linear Programming
MF	Multi-Unit FACTS Device
MOEP	Multi-Objective Evolutionary Programming
МОО	Multi-Objective Optimization
MOPSO	Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization
MTF	Multi-Type FACTS Device
NL	Non-linear Programming
NPV	Net Present Value
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization
QP	Quadratic Programming
QP	Quadratic Programming
RTS	Reliability Test System
SA	Simulated Annealing
SOPSO	Single-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization
SSSC	Series Static Synchronous Compensator
STATCOM	Shunt Static Synchronous Compensator
SVC	Static Var Compensator
TCPS	Thyristor Controlled Phase Shifting Transformers
TCR	Thyristors Controller Reactor
TCSC	Thyristors Controlled Series Compensator
	Tabu Search
TS/SA	Hybrid Tabu Search and Simulated Annealing
TSR	Thyristors Switched Reactor
TTC	Total Transfer Capability

UPFC	Unified Power Flow Controller
σ -MOEP	Sigma-Multi-Objective Evolutionary Programming
σ -MOEPSO	Sigma-Multi-Objective Evolutionary Particle Swarm
	Optimization
σ -MOPSO	Sigma-Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbols	
A	operating range of the FACTS device
$a_{01}a_{010}$	the locations of multi-unit SVC
$b_{01}b_{010}$	the sizing of SVC
c_1 and c_2	weight coefficient
CF_0	an initial investment
C_{FACTS}	the investment cost
CF_t	the net cash flow at time t
C_i	the cost of active and reactive power before FACTS device
	installation
C_{inv}	the initial investment cost
f	the objective function
f_1 and f_2	the fitness values for objective 1 and objective 2
g(x)	inequality constraints
G _{best}	best overall position found by the particles up to the current
	iteration.
h(x)	equality constraints
IC	cost of installation of FACTS device in [US\$]
iter	current iteration number
<i>iter</i> _{max}	maximum iteration number
j	0, 1, 2
k	Constant
m	the number of objective constraints
M_T	the maintenance cost required each year during in lifetime T of
	the installed FACTS device
n ppu	the population sizing
N (0,1)	a random variable with Gaussian distribution
N_B	the total number of buses
OC	operating condition
p_a	the archive member
Pbest	best position of particle <i>i</i> th up to the current iteration
P_{Db}	the real power generation at bus b
P_{Ga}	the real power generation at bus a
p_p	the best local guide for any particle
$P_{Ga}^{min}, P_{Ga}^{max}$	upper and lower limits of power generator by generator a
0, <i>u</i> 0, <i>u</i>	
Q_1	reactive power flow through the branch before SVC installation
Q_2	reactive power flow through the branch after SVC installation
Q_{Db}	the reactive power generation at bus b
Q_{Ga}	the reactive power generation at bus a

$Q_{comp,a}^{min}, Q_{comp,a}^{max}$	upper and lower limits of reactive power source a
r	the discount rate
$rand_1$ and $rand_2$	random number between 0 and 1
S_i^{k}	current position of particle <i>i</i> at iteration k.
S_i^{k-1}	spot of agent <i>i</i> in k-th iteration
S_{v}	the saving incurred over the year
t	population size
Т	the total time period of the project (in years)
t_i .	the hours for operation during the year
V_a^{min}, V_a^{max}	upper and lower limits of voltage magnitude at bus a
v_i^{k+1}	velocity of particle <i>i</i> at iterations.
v_i^{k-1}	velocity of agent <i>i</i> in k-th iteration
W	weight function.
W max	maximum weight equal to 0.9
w_{\min}	minimum weight equal to 0.4
<i>W</i> _{<i>i</i>3}	the fourth strategic parameter (weight) associated with particle <i>i</i>
w_{ij}^*	weight updated by the evolutionary programming
X	a decision vector
X	a control variables of multi-unit FACTS device
x_1x_8	the location of multi-unit FACTS device
$x_{11}x_{1n}$	the location of MTF
$x_{21}x_{2m}$	the sizing of MTF
x_9x_{16}	the sizing of multi-unit FACTS device
X _{TCSC}	the controllable reactance
Y_{ab}	magnitude of bus admittance element a, b
θ_{ab}	the of bus angle of bus admittance element a, b
σ	the firing angle of thyristor
Т	the learning parameter

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The continuous increase in electric energy demand has led to an augmented stress of the transmission lines and higher risks for faulted lines. The power flow over the transmission lines is mainly limited by some characteristics such as the thermal limits, the stability limits and the voltage limits. When the power flows on transmission lines, it causes loss of electrical power which introduces heats on power lines causing the copper to expand and the line to sag. At a higher temperature, the sag becomes permanent and eventually the copper melts and the line breaks [1]. During this process, the small increase in the line resistance does not protect it from damage. This can lead to transmissions line failures which in turn initiate voltage instability conditions. These limitations have led to emergency for additional transmission line installation in order to increase the generation capacity. However, to develop a new system it is difficult for economical, environmental, and political issues [2, 3]. One of options that can be employed is to install the flexible alternating current transmission system (FACTS) devices.

FACTS device represents a modern technological development in the electrical power system [4]. FACTS devices are revolutionizing the power transmission network, increasing the efficiency and stability of the power system [5]. In comparison with other corrective control strategies i.e. load shedding and generation rescheduling; the utilization of FACTS device is a more economic solution, since it has lower operational cost and no extra cost will involve for the charge in generation and load [6, 7].

This chapter presents a new meta-heuristic approach to optimal location and sizing of FACTS devices using computational intelligence technique: namely Evolutionary Particle Swam Optimization (EPSO) with single- and multi-objective



problem considerations. The optimization algorithm is developed based on the hybridization between Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Evolutionary Programming (EP) for better performance. Also, the formed cluster has been developed based on the frequency of particular buses or lines are chosen for FACTS devices installation. Later, the techno-economic impacts are assessed using hybrid EPSO-NPV to view the long-term effects of the installation of FACTS devices.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The reactive power planning has gained more attention in the past two decades [8]. The reactive power control is usually carried out by means of adjusting electromechanical devices such as the switched inductors, the capacitor bank, and phaseshifting transformers. Therefore, these devices act in relatively slow manner, cannot be switched frequently and cannot be used efficiently in some situations [7]. However, the FACTS devices can control the phase angle, the voltage magnitude at chosen buses or lines impedances of a transmission system through some adjustments in parameters [9]. Therefore, it is attractive to installing the FACTS devices in a power transmission system to control the power flow for achieving a more efficient use of transmission line [10]. Due to the high cost of FACTS devices it is important to place them optimally in a power network. Nevertheless, the optimal FACTS devices allocation problem is to identify the optimal location and sizing of new installed FACTS devices in order to optimize a set of objectives functions with a range of operating constraints. Some of the objectives are the loss reduction, ATC enhancement, congestion management, and economic approach to minimize the overall system cost function. It is noted that each of the objectives improves the power system operation. However, the improvement in single-objective does not guarantee improvement to other objectives. Generally, the single-objective is noncommensurable, and often represents conflicting objectives.

Allocation of the FACTS devices through single- or multi-objectives without considering the economic objectives is not the practical one. Therefore, both technical and economic objectives should be considered in formulating the FACTS devices installation problem.



Traditionally, voltage stability can be improved by the installation of FACTS devices at buses or lines of the network. The increase of reactive power loading subjected to load bus can cause the voltage decay in power system. This led to the possibility of voltage instability condition. However, it is become non economical because the cost for FACTS devices is expensive. Utilities company need to design and implement the correct way to minimize the cost applied in their system, efficiently. Thus, the problem statements can be summarized into the following points:

- i. The FACTS device very expensive it is important to allocate them optimally in power system network.
- ii. The single-objective optimization problem is non-commensurable and often represents conflicting objectives.
- iii. The placement of FACTS device through single or multi-objective without considering the economic objective is not a practical.

1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- i. To develop a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Evolutionary Programming (EP) and Artificial Immune System (AIS) techniques for optimal location and sizing of single FACTS device with single objective to minimize the transmission loss, or minimize the cost of installation which also considers voltage profile improvement for the system under loading condition.
- ii. To develop a Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Evolutionary Programming (EP) and Artificial Immune System (AIS) optimization engines for optimal location and sizing of multi-unit and multi-type FACTS device with single objective to minimize the transmission loss, or minimize the cost of installation considering the voltage profile improvement for the system under loading condition.
- iii. To develop Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO) technique of single-objective to identify the placement and sizing of multi-unit FACTS device in power system network.

- iv. To develop a sigma-Multi-Objective Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (σ -MOEPSO), sigma-Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (σ -MOPSO), and sigma-Multi-Objective Evolutionary Programming (σ -MOEP) techniques for optimal location and sizing of multi-unit FACTS device to minimize the transmission loss and cost of installation with monitoring the voltage profile for the system under loading condition.
- v. To develop hybrid EPSO-Net Present Value (NPV) for economic impact based on the investment in FACTS devices.

1.4 SCOPE OF WORK

First of all, this study involves the development of a new heuristic approach for optimal location and sizing of FACTS devices using computational intelligence technique: namely Particle Swam Optimization (PSO) technique for single objective function to minimize the transmission loss with considering the cost of installation and the voltage profile. For the purpose of validation, the proposed technique are tested on two test systems namely IEEE 30-Bus Reliability Test Systems (RTS). For this study, static var compensator (SVC) and thyristors controlled series compensator (TCSC) are chosen as the compensation device. The experimental results are compared with those obtained from Evolutionary Programming (EP) and Artificial Immune System (AIS) technique in the attempt to highlight its merit. On the other hand, the effect of weight coefficient and the effect of population size on loss minimization was also are investigated.

Consequently, the development of a new approach for optimization is Particle Swarms Optimization (PSO) technique for optimal location and sizing of multi-unit and multi-type of FACTS device installation. With the same previous objective function to minimize the transmission loss, which considers improvement of voltage profile and cost of installation in power system, tests were performed on the IEEE 30-Bus and IEEE 118-Bus RTS to realize the effectiveness of the proposed technique. Verification was conducted through comparative studies with Evolutionary Programming (EP).



The development of meta-heuristics approach for optimal location and sizing of FACTS devices using computational intelligence technique: namely Evolutionary Particle Swam Optimization (EPSO) techniques are consequently conducted. They are meant for single objective problem to minimize the transmission loss considering the cost of installation and the voltage profile. The proposed technique is developed based on the combination between Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Evolutionary Programming (EP) to improve the weakness experienced in the conventional PSO [11, 12]. For the purpose of validation, the implementation of the proposed technique are tested on IEEE 30-Bus, and 118-Bus RTS. For this research, only SVC is chosen as the compensation device. Comparative studies with respect to traditional PSO and EP techniques indicated that EPSO has its merit in terms of loss minimization. In addition, cluster of FACTS device installation are derived from the obtained results.

The development of a new meta-heuristics optimization technique termed as sigma-Multi-Objective EPSO (σ -MOEPSO) technique for optimal location and sizing of FACTS devices in multi-objective problem to minimize the transmission loss and cost of installation in power system.



As a final task, the assessment of techno-economic impact of FACTS device installation in power system has been performed. This assessment is conducted by using a hybrid form between Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO) and Net Present Value (NPV) tools namely: EPSO-NPV for assessing the impact of the installation of FACTS devices for the duration of up to 20 years. This assessment involves the cost of FACTS devices, the cost of installation, the generation costs and the annual maintenance cost.

FIGURE 1.1 illustrates the overall research framework on the proposed technique for optimal location and sizing of FACTS device installation.

FIGURE 1.1 Overall Research Framework on the Proposed Technique for Optimal Location and Sizing of FACTS Device Installation



1.5 ORGANIZATION OF THESIS

This thesis has been written in eight chapters.

Chapter One introduces the background of the topic and motivation of this study. Subsequently, the problems related to the topic are explained briefly. Based on the problem statement, the objectives of the study are outlined. Lastly, the organization of the thesis is presented for giving and overview of the whole chapters.

Chapter Two presents the literature review on the related studies of this research. Initially, the optimal location and sizing of FACTS device using artificial intelligence (AI) technique are reported and criticized before focusing on the application of Particle Swarms Optimization (PSO), and Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO), Evolutionary Programming (EP) and Artificial Immune System (AIS) in optimization problem. Lastly, the previous related work the techno-economic impact issues affecting the technical and economic using Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization –Net Present Value (EPSO–NPV) are also previewed.



Chapter Three presents the optimization techniques for optimal location and sizing of FACTS device using Single-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (SOPSO) algorithm for minimization of transmission loss and the voltage profile improvement. In this study, Static Var Compensator (SVC) and Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator (TCSC) are chosen as the compensation devices. Subsequently, to know whether the proposed technique is reliable and efficient, the results are compared with Evolutionary Programming (EP) and Artificial Immune System (AIS). The effect of weight coefficient and population size on loss minimization is also investigated.

Next, in Chapter Four, the developed optimization algorithm using Single-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization (SOPSO) for optimal location and sizing of multi-unit and multi-FACTS device installation are described. This objective function for this section is to minimize the transmission loss, improve the voltage profile and monitoring the cost of installation.

31

Chapter Five discusses a new approach of meta-heuristic to determine the optimal location and sizing of Multi-unit FACTS device termed as Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO). Experiments were performed on the IEEE 30-Bus RTS and IEEE 118-Bus RTS to realize the efficiency of the proposed technique. In addition, comparative studies are conducted by comparing the results with Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Evolutionary Programming (EP) techniques. Finally, the clusters of SVC installation when loading variation at weak buses are developed.

Chapter Six focuses on the multi-objective optimization (MOO) problems to minimize the transmission loss and minimize the cost of installation. To carry out these multi-criteria optimization process Sigma-Multi-objective Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (σ -MOEPSO) technique has been developed. Comparative studies are conducted by comparing the results with sigma-Multi-objective Particle Swarm Optimization (σ -MOPSO) and sigma-Multi-objective Evolutionary Programming (σ -MOEP) techniques. The clusters are formed based on the frequency of buses being selected for SVC installation in power system.

Chapter Seven implements the techno-economic impact using Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization – Net Present Value (EPSO-NPV) technique. This is aimed to review the FACTS device installation in power system from in view of technical and economic aspects in the long terms.

Chapter Eight presents the overall conclusion of the study. This is followed by several potential future works and recommendations.



CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents literature review on the related past research work with the study. It begins with the review of Flexible Alternating Currents Transmission System (FACTS) devices for solving the problems in the power system. Consequently it further discusses the work on optimal location and sizing FACTS devices using Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques. Based on the latest research, the utilization of AI in optimal location and sizing of FACTS devices using PSO is a technique that has advantages over other techniques. Therefore the subsequent studies will focus on the use of PSO and EPSO techniques in this research. Furthermore, EP and AIS techniques are also studied for comparison with the above techniques. This chapter also discusses the recent studies of single-objective and multi-objective optimization in power system problem. Lastly, based on the objective of this research the previous related work the techno-economic issues affecting the technical and economic well studied briefly. This is to get a rough idea that the used of FACTS devices have a positive effect over the long term. Finally, the gap is discovered in the previous work are described which significantly motivates this research.

2.2 DEFINITIONS OF FACTS DEVICE

IEEE PES Task Force of the FACTS Working Group defined terms and definitions for FACTS and FACTS Controllers [5, 13]. Further explanation of these definitions is given in [14].For others terms and definitions, reader can refer to the IEEE dictionary [15].

- *Flexibility of Electric Power Transmission*: The ability to accommodate changes in the electric transmission system or operating conditions while maintaining sufficient steady-state and transient margins.
- *Flexible Alternating Current Transmission Systems (FACTS)*: Alternating current transmission systems incorporating power electronic-based and other static controllers to enhance controllability and increase power transfer capability.
- *FACTS Controller*: A power-electronic based system and other static equipment that provide control of one or more AC transmission system parameters.
- *Static Var Compensator (SVC)*: A shunt-connected static var generator or absorber whose output is adjusted to exchange capacitive or inductive current so as to maintain or control specific parameters of the electrical power system (typically bus voltage). Additionally, the CIGRE and IEEE defines a Static Var Compensator (SVC) is a shunt connected static generator and/or absorber of reactive power whose output is varied so as to maintain or control specific parameters of an electrical power system.
- *Thyristor Controller Reactor (TCR)*: A shunt-connected, a thyristor-controlled inductor whose effective reactance is varied in a continuous manner by partial-conduction control of the thyristors valve.
- *Thyristor Switched Reactor (TSR):* A shunt-connected, thyristor-controlled inductor whose effective reactance is varied in a stepwise manner by full- or zero-conduction operation of the thyristors valve.
- *Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator (TCSC)*: A capacitor of the thyristor reactance compensator which consists of a series capacitor bank shunted by a thyristors–controlled reactor in order to provide a smoothly variable capacitive reactance.

2.3 FLEXIBLE ALTERNATING CURRENTS TRANSMISSIONS (FACTS) DEVICES

FACTS devices is a concept proposed by N.G. Hingorani [16]; a well-known term for higher controllability in power system by means of power electronics devices. The





Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) was supporting the development of high power electronics for such applications as High Voltage DC (HVDC) Transmission and reactive compensation of ac line, and in the late 1980s formalized the concept of FACTS [17]. The FACTS initiative was originally launched, with two main objectives: (1) to increase the power transfer capability of transmission system and (2) to keep power flow over designed routes. The benefits of FACTS devices are; to increase the system transmission capacity, power flow, control flexibility and rapidity [18], to improve the transmission system management, increased dynamic, transient grid stability and enabling environment [19]. FACTS devices provide strategic benefits for improving transmission system management through better utilization of existing transmission assets, increased transmission system reliability and availability, increased dynamic and transient grid and enabling environmental benefits [20]. On the other hand, from [21] it was reported that FACTS devices can provide control of one or more AC transmission system parameter such as voltage magnitudes, phase angle of bus voltages, line impedance to enhance controllability and increase power transfer capability. The basic applications of FACTS devices are increased of transmission capacity, voltage control, power flow control, reactive power compensation and stability improvement. A. Deihimi et. al [22] reported that the ability of FACTS devices to control those parameters likes series impedance, shunt admittance, bus voltage, voltage drop, and phase angle that govern the operation of transmission system, provides the possibility of improving system performance such as system security, system loadability and total generation fuel. The first generation of FACTS controller employed thyristors as the power electronic switching elements and combination with the reactive components. Static Var Compensators are widely employed for shunt compensation of the transmission system, the large industrial loads and remotely-located loads of moderate size. The series compensation by means of thyristors-controlled series capacitors (TSCS) and thyristors-controlled phase shifting transformers (TCPS) can provide power flow control to minimize the system congestion problems [23]. The second generation of FACTS controllers uses the Gate Turn-Off (GTO) or similar power semiconductor in voltage-source inverted configurations. The shunt compensation is provided by the static synchronous compensator (STATCOM), the series compensation by static synchronous compensator (SSSC) and the combined seriesshunt compensation by the unified power flow controller (UPFC) [24]. The main types of FACTS devices include the following [5]:

- Static Var Compensator (SVC)
- Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSSC)
- Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM)
- Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator (TCSC)
- Thyristor-Switched Series Capacitor (TSSC)
- Thyristor-Controlled Series Reactor (TCSR)
- Thyristor-Switcher Series Reactor (TSSR)
- Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)
- Thyristor-Controlled Phase Shifting Transformer (TCPST)

2.4 OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE APPLICATION IN POWER SYSTEM

One of the problems experienced in power system is the large amount of data set and system complexity. Even if an exact algorithm may be developed and applied to find an exact optimal solution of the problem, its resolution time or space complexity may not be acceptable in a simulation scenario. However, many problems can be solved using an approximate solution if the dimension and the complexity of the problem do not encourage the use of exact resolution techniques. Heuristic algorithms work with approximated solutions and the objective is to find the optimum among all possible solutions. This solution presented a compromise between quality and speed, being the solution admissible within a reasonable simulation time. Heuristic algorithms can be categorized into two categories namely: Greedy algorithms and Search algorithms [25]. Greedy algorithms build the solution in a progressive way, obtaining a sequence of locally optimal choice. They have a good computing efficiency but they do not guarantee the global minimum. On the other hand, the search algorithm is an exhaustive search which tries all possible solutions from a predetermined set and picks the best one. Heuristics methods are useful with non-convex problems, non-smooth and nondifferentiable. With other devices installed in power system it may increase the difficulty to treat this optimization problem with conventional optimizations methods. The problem



to be solved is multi-objective optimization which requires the simultaneous maximization of the stability margin, improving the voltage profile and the minimization of the installation cost of additional reactive power sources. The conventional optimization methods are based on successive linearization and the use of first and second derivations of the objective function and its constraint equations as the search directions [26]. These methods are good enough for the optimization problems of deterministic quadratics objective function with only one minimum point. However, the equations of the problems in power system are complex and nonlinear which have caused the solution being trapped at local minimum and possibility of causing divergence of results. Therefore, the new evolutionary optimization techniques are very appealing since they do not need any kind of differentiation or linearization.

There have been a number of methods available in recent years for optimization in transmission and distributions system, namely the conventional or artificial intelligence (AI) based technique. The conventional optimization methods that have been developed are such as Tabu search (TS), Gradient, and Linear programming (LP), Non-linear programming (NL), Quadratic programming (QP), and Interior point methods. However, the conventional methods have experienced a difficulty due to insecure convergence, sensitive to initial search point, and algorithm complexity [27]. Hence, the artificial intelligence methods such as Hybrid Tabu Search and Simulated Annealing (TS/SA), Genetic Algorithm (GA), Evolutionary Programming (EP), Evolutionary Strategy (ES), Genetic Programming (GP), Bee Algorithms, Fuzzy Decision Making, Artificial Immune System (AIS) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) are developed to overcome the problems confronted by these conventional methods[20, 27-33].

In the practical system, optimal locations of FACTS devices depend on comprehensive analysis of steady-state stability, small signal stability, voltage stability, and other factors considered such as cost and installation conditions. From the literature, the optimal location and setting of FACTS devices have retained the interest of worldwide researchers in power system, with different methods and criteria are used in this field. G. I. Rashed *et al* [25] used the GA and PSO techniques for finding out the optimal number, the optimal locations, and the optimal parameter settings of multiple TCSC to achieve maximum system loadability in the system with minimum installation



cost of these device without any violations in the thermal or voltage limits. From the results, it is shown that TCSC device has improved the line flows even to their thermal limits and most of the time, TCSC are capacitive to reduce the reactance of the lines where they are located. The PSO technique has been reported to be is faster than the GA technique in the beginning of optimization. However, the increase of generation study, shown that the performance of GA is better than the PSO. Also, from the perspective of time, it found that the PSO technique is much faster that the GA.

P. Bhasaputra *et. al* [26] used a hybrid tabu search and simulated annealing (TS/SA) approach to minimize the generator fuel cost in OPF control with FACTS devices. With multi-type FACTS devices installed, the reduction in total generator of fuel cost is more than the individual installed FACTS devices. The hybrid TS/SA approach is effectively and successfully implemented the total generator fuel cost saving and fast computing time. R. Mohamad Idris *et. al* [27] used Bee Algorithm (BA) to determine the optimal locations of FACTS devices to maximize the available transfer capability (ATC) of power system. The three types of FACTS that have been used in this research are SVC, TCSC and TCPST. From the outp uts it is shown that BA could effectively locate the devices and reach the optimum solutions faster than GA with a higher value of ATC. BA does not require external parameters such as cross over rate and mutation rate. BA gives better result in terms of speed of optimizations and accuracy of the results. BA needs the large number of trials.



P.K.Towari *et. al* [19] proposed GA technique for finding the optimal choice and location of FACTs controllers and also in minimizing the overall system cost, which comprises of generation cost and investment cost of FACTS devices. W.Ongsakul *et. al* [28] presented EP to identify the location of four FACTS devices for maximizing the total transfer capability (TTC) of power system. From the results it is shown that optimally placed OPF with FACTS devices by EP could enhance the TTC value far more that without FACTS devices. M. M. E. Metwally *et. al* [29] proposed EP technique to determine the optimal location of FACTS devices in power system with objective to minimize the overall cost function includes the investment cost of SVC and the generation costs of power plants. The results shown that this method can be used to minimize the total cost function, including generations cost of power plants and

investments costs. S. Chansareewittaya *et. al* [32] used GA and PSO to determine the locations of multi-type FACTS devices to enhance power transfer capability of power system. However, PSO have more advantages than that GA. PSO gives higher benefits to cost ratio and faster convergence than EP. According to characteristics of FACTS device, various criteria have been considered in placement problem. Most of reported objective functions in literature are: network loadability [34, 35], the ability to control the power flows [36], loss reduction [37], voltage profile improvement [31], static voltage stability enhancement [38-40], power plants fuel cost reduction [26] and minimization the overall system cost function [41], and total generation fuel cost [42] or maximized the return of investment as in [43]. Therefore, due to the various benefits of FACTS device is explained previously, these device was applied in this study to solve the power system problems.

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm is developed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [44, 45] based on the social behaviors of animal swarms (e.g. bird blocks and fish schools) [11] and its deals with problems in which a best solution can be represented as a point or surface in the n-dimensional space. PSO represents a system that is initialized with a population of random solutions [46]. The PSO provides a populationsbased search procedure in which individuals called particle and changes their positions. The main advantage of swarm intelligence techniques is that they are impressively resistant to the local optimal problem. Also, PSO is employed mostly because it is simple in concept, it is easy to implement, it is efficient and it is a flexible mechanism to enhance global and local exploration abilities. The PSO is more effective than traditional algorithms in most cases [47]. Application of this technique can be found in [45, 48-55]. From [56], the main merits of PSO are simplicity in concept implementation, computationally efficient, and robustness to control parameters. Abido et. al [33] introduced PSO to solve the OPF problem because this is a highly non-linear and multimodal optimization problem. In this study the applications of PSO were formulated for multi-objective functions which are to minimize fuel cost, to improve the voltage profile and to improve stability of voltage in power system. The result shows that improvement of optimal point of 11.25% for minimizations of fuel cost. The most important problems faced by power systems are voltage stability, due to increased loading



on the system and the difficulty to increase the transmission capacity to cope with this increased demand. A. A. El-Did *et. al* [57] proposed solution algorithms for preventive control problem through finding the optimum location, type and size of static shunt compensation devices by using PSO to increase the stability margin in the system. The comparison with GA shows that PSO is capable of dealing with integer variables as well as continuous variables. The main advantage of this algorithm is the easy formulation of the problem while adding any type of constraints required. The PSO has got the capability of dealing with this type of optimization problems which are highly complicated. Therefore, this solution can be used in other type of optimizations problems existing in the field of power systems planning and operations.

M. Saravanan *et. al* [18, 58] proposed PSO technique for finding the optimal locations of single and multi-type FACTS devices with minimum cost of installation and to improve system loadability. In IEEE test system, UPFC gives the maximum system loadability but the cost of installations is higher when compared with all other cases. On the other hand, TSCS has minimum cost installation but with better improvement in system loadability. SVC gives lowest cost of installation but with minimum improvement in system loadability. R. Benabid *et. al* [59] proposed PSO for optimal locating and setting of multi-type FACTS devices in order to maximize static voltage stability margin, to reduce real power losses, and load voltage deviation. S. Mollazei *et. al* [60] used a multi-objective PSO algorithm to finding the optimal location of TCSC and its parameters in order to increase the total transfer capability, to reduce the total transmission losses and to minimize voltage deviation.





installation cost and energy loss cost). Results of the study show that SVC and TCSC are good choice to serve as Var sources for reactive power compensation because it can reduce system real power loss and improve the bus voltages. However, SVC and TCSC may not be appropriate as they are not cost-effective at least in the short term. Later, H. Yoshida et al [61] employed PSO for reactive power and Voltage/VAR Control (VVC) with consideration voltage security assessment. The work is meant to find out an on-line VVC strategy for example AVR operating values of generators, the number of reactive power compensation equipment and the tap positions of transformer. Jong-Bae Park et. al [21] proposed a Modified Particle Swarm Optimization (MPSO) to economic dispatch with non-smooth cost function. The equality constraint is resolved by minimizing the degree of freedom by one at random. The results from the proposed technique are compared with GA, TS, EP, MHNN, AHNN and NM methods. Cui-Ru Wang et al. [62] proposed an MPSO technique to solve economic dispatch problem. In this technique, part icle not only studies from itself and the best one but also from other individuals. By this technique, the opportunity to determine the global minimum is increased and the influence of the initial position of the particles is decreased. The particle adjusts its velocity according to two extremes: the best position of its own and the other is not always the best one of the group, but selected randomly from the group. PSO has been successfully applied to various power system optimization problems such as reactive power and voltage control [61], unit commitment [46], optimal power flow [63], reactive power dispatch [64] and economic dispatch [21].

Several methods have been applied to solve power system problem in recent years, such as Evolutionary PSO (EPSO) [65-67], improved PSO [31, 68], and adaptive PSO [28] Z.A Vale *et al* [28] present a method to placement reactive power compensation using EPSO technique to find the best operation point for minimizing power losses. EPSO [11, 12, 65-72] is an improved version of traditional PSO with additional Mutation to the strategic parameters and Selection, by Stochastic Tournament, of particles passing to the next movement iteration. In terms of particle swarms, EPSO relies on involving weights in the movement equation, instead of an explicit random factor. Therefore, EPSO is less dependent on parameter externally defined by the user. EPSO has proven to be efficient, accurate and robust, with successful applications to power system problem [11,

41

69, 71, 72]. G.Baskar et. al [68] proposed improved PSO, PSO, EP, fast-EP, and Mean Fast-EP technique to alleviate line overloading for contingency constrained economic load dispatch (CCELD) with win objectives function are minimization of fuel cost and minimization of severity index. IPSO method has stable convergence characteristics and non-oscillatory which gives minimum fuel cost. Jong-Bae Park et. al [73] present an efficient approach for solving economic dispatch problems with non-convex cost functions using IPSO. The proposed IPSO is applied to three different non-convex economic dispatch problems with valve -point effects, prohibited operating zones with ramp rate limits as well as transmission network losses, and multi-fuels with valve-points effects. B.K.Panigrahi *e.al* [74] approach adaptive –variable population-PSO (APSO) technique for economic load dispatch (ELD). APSO can be effectively used to solve smooth as well as non-smooth constrained ELD problems. H. Mori et. al [75] proposed a new hybrid meta-heuristics method that makes use of TS-EPSO techniques to solve the unit commitment (UC) problem in power system with objective to minimize operationcost even as satisfying on the power balance, unit output and minimum up/down time of unit. Santiago P. Torres et. al [76] developed Unified Particle Swarm Optimization (UPSO) and EPSO to applied for static transmission expansion planning in electrical networks. The results shows that the UPSO (u=0; u is unification factor [77]) outperformed EPSO in terms of robustness and computing efficiency. On the other hand, in [78, 79] [80] used UPSO and EPSO in order to improve the overall PSO performance.

The Evolutionary Programming (EP) is one of the artificial intelligent method is introduction by David B. Fogel in 1960 [81] was inspired from natural selection process to find the global optimum of complex problem [67]. Evolutionary algorithms are based on computational models of fundamental evolutionary processes which involved initialization, mutation, selection and reproduction. It has been successfully applied to various scopes in power systems to solve the optimization problem related to unit commitment [82], optimal reactive power dispatch [52] and reactive power planning (RPP) [43, 83]. Musirin *et. al.* in [84] proposed EP to define the optimal placement of FACTS device for maximization the total transfer capability (TTC) of power system. EP also searches for FACTS parameters, FACTS locations, and the real power generations except the slack bus in power system, the real power loads in sink area and generation bus



voltages. Fogel in [81] proposed a loss sensitivity approach for placement of Phase Shifter Series Capacitors (PSSC) and Static VAR Compensators. In this research, EP technique was used to optimize the sizing of UPFCs with objective to minimize loss in the system. Somansundaram et. al [85] proposed EP technique for solving security constraints optimal power flow problem. The controllable system quantities in the base case state are optimized to minimize the some defined objective function subject to the base-case operating constraints in addition to the contingency-case security constraint. Fitness function converges smoothly without any oscillations. P. Attaviriyanupap et. al [86] used EP technique for a new bidding strategy within a day-ahead energy and reserve markets. The optimal bidding parameters for both markets are fine by solving an optimization problem that takes unit commitment constraints such as generating limits and unit minimum up/down time constraints into account. The proposed technique is developed from the view points of a generation. Jayabarathi et. al [86] proposed the Classical Evolutionary Programming (EP), Fast EP and Improved FEP methods to solve the economic dispatch problems : ED of generators with prohibited operating zones (POZ), ED of generators with piecewise quadratics cost function (PQCF), combined economic-environmental dispatch (CEED) and multi-area economic dispatch (MAED). The constraints considered are the power balance, generating capacity, prohibited operating zones, area power balance, generation limits and tie-line limits constraints. Nor Rul Hasma Abdullah et. al [87] proposed EP for solving constrained reactive power control (CRPC) problem with considering multi-contingency (N-m); generators outages and line outages. This technique determines the amount of reactive power to be compensated to the system in enhancing the voltage stability or minimizing the real power transmission loss in the system when the system is subjected to stress and contingencies. In [88], EP technique has been proposed for solving the reactive power planning under normal state without contingencies compared to conventional optimization technique. The technique obtained the good result for global optimization especially in non-continuous and non-smooth situation. On the other hand, in [63] and [89], EP has been employed for other power system problems and shows stability, flexible and a better potential of applications of the method to power system economical operations. In [85], it is proposed that EP technique is used to solve the OPF problem



under different contingency cases with objective function to minimize the quadratic fuel cost function by properly adjusting both discrete and continuous control variables. Also, similar in [90] using OPF formulation to validate EP technique in deregulated electric market. On the other hand, Improved EP technique are discussed in [91] to optimize the non-convex generator fuel cost curve. To validate the proposed technique, comparative study with different method were developed to solve the same problem. Results reveal that in favor of the Improved EP in terms of solution accuracy and execution time. Next, Lai and Ma [92] developed EP to minimize the real power losses in power networks by regulating the power flow with optimal setting of UPFC. The proposed technique was tested under various contingencies scenarios. Venkatesh et. al [93] used EP to solve the OPF problem while accounting for UPFC formulation with minimum of the real power losses and the best voltage profile. In [94], W. Ongsakul developed EP to maximize the total transfer capability between generation and load center areas. The technique optimally adjusts the real power outputs and voltage magnitudes at generation buses such that the total loads in the sink are maximized. References [95] proposed the hybrid EP and Sequential quadratic programming (SQP) for multi-objective formulation of security constrained OPF problem with the quadratic fuel cost and the active power losses. The hybridization reduced the computation time and outperformed the performance of each individual method. In [96] hybrid method which combined EP and classical gradient search method with the objective to minimize the quadratic fuel cost function was proposed. Also, similar objective function in [97] and [98] using parallel EP technique. Padhy in [99] applied EP technique for solving the OPF problem by calculating the wheeling rates of active power at various part of the transmission network. In [100] EP was employed to find the short run marginal cost for bilateral transactions. The cost is computed based on the OPF solution with the non-smooth fuel cost function as the objective function. Conversely, Sood et. al [101] used a hybrid method i.e.: EP was combined with the steepest decent method to calculate the wheeling rates of both real and reactive power based on the solution of the OPF. A similar hybrid method was presented in [43] with objective to optimize the voltage profile with three types of fuel cost functions. In [102] a hybrid technique of EP and Newton-Raphson method was proposed

to optimally select the best wheeling option when a privately owned generator is introduced in an existing network.

Artificial Immune System (AIS) is a new method of Artificial Intelligence (AI) used for computational models and problem solving methods [103]. It is a biological immune system which is highly parallel, distributed and adaptive system [104]. The basic immune models and algorithms are Bone Marrow models, negative selection algorithm, cloned selection algorithm and immune network models. Some of applications of AIS are fault and anomaly detection, data mining, agent based system, autonomous control, optimization, robotics and security of information systems. The original AIS technique is based on three major immunological principles: hyper-mutation, receptor edition and cellular memory. These characteristics enable the assessment of multiple optimal using local and global [105, 106]. It works on the principles of pattern recognition and clone selection principle, implemented to accomplish learning and memory acquisition tasks. In [107], AIS are adaptive systems inspired by theoretical immunology and observed immune functions and applied to complex problem domains. The natural immune system is a very complex system with several mechanisms for defense against pathogenic organisms. In [108], AIS can be defined as metaphorical systems inspired from the human immune system. It is very complex system with several mechanisms to protect our bodies against the attack from foreign bodies called antigens. The purpose of the immune system is to recognize all cells within the body and categories those cells as either self or non-self. Some of computational models have been developed based on several principles of the immune system for instance immune network model, negative selection algorithm, positive selection algorithm and clone selection algorithm [103, 109]. Also, in [110] it appraises the application of AIS to solve all kinds of application such as pattern recognition, feature extraction, learning and memory. S.A. Jumaat et. al [111] mentions that AIS is Artificial Intelligence (AI) method used for computational models and problem solving techniques, biological immune system which is highly parallel, distributed and adaptive system [81]. K. Lashshmi et. al [106], used clone and selection based AIS to maximize the profit of generation company, GENCO's based on forecasted information for example power demand and prices. Profit based unit commitment problem is one of the optimization problems in restructured electricity markets. This





2.5 MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION IN POWER SYSTEM PROBLEM

Multi-objective optimization (MOO) domain covers a lot of real optimization problems [75]: production practice, engineering design, social production, and economic



development [47]. Some intelligence calculations based approach; evolutionary computation, swarm intelligence and artificial immune system have been used for solving MOO problems. In most cases, the objective function may conflict with each other. This may cause some multi-objective optimization problems not to have the unique best global solution [47]. The solution can make all objective function to be optimum at the same time. Ali Deihimi et. al [22] proposed fuzzy multi-objective decision making and genetic algorithm techniques for optimal allocation and type of FACTS devices. Transmission power loss, apparent power security index, voltage security index and the absorbed reactive power by transmission system are considered as the objectives. D. Radu et. al [116] approach a multi-objective genetic algorithm (MOGA) to optimize three parameters i.e. the location, the types and sizes of FACTS device with maximizing the security system and minimizing the investment cost of FACTS device. In [117], NSGA II (Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm) was used for determining the optimal location and sizes of TCSC with consideration of power loss reduction, investment cost minimization, security margin improvement and available transmission capacity enhancement. On the other hand, M. Belazzoug et. al [118] proposed Ellitist Non Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm for optimal location and ratings of SVC and TCSC to minimize the transmission loss in electrical network. In [119], it proposed two-stage of solution in environmental economic dispatch (EED) problem : a multi-objective differential evolution algorithm and multi-attribute-decision-making (MADM). The fuel cost, emission of atmospheric pollutants, and the real power loss are considered as objective functions. From the results it was discovered that this technique enables a fast convergence towards the true Pareto front and promotes the uniform spread of solution. Moreover, a self-adaptive mechanism in the control parameters is developed to improve the robustness of the algorithm. Ya-Chin Chang et. al [120] used the modal analysis [MA] technique to determine the location of SVC installation with maximum loading margin (LM) and minimum cost of installation. In [121], multi-objective particle swarm optimization technique is proposed to solve a cost-efficient congestion management method for smooth and non-smooth cost function. A realistic frequency and voltage dependent load flow model of load and generator regulation characteristics were investigated. The successful application of PSO in many single objective optimization

REFERENCES

- S. Soft, *Power System Economics Designing Markets for Electricity* IEEE Press
 Wiley-Interscience. A John Wiley & Sons, Inc Publication, pp. 374-381, 2002.
- [2] G. Glanzmann and G.Andersson, "Using FACTS Devices to Resolve Congestions in Transmission Grids" *CIGRE/IEEE PES International Symposium 2005* pp. 347 - 354 Oct 2005.
- [3] N. R. H. Abdullah, et al., "Thyristor Controlled Series Compensator planning using Evolutionary Programming for transmission loss minimization for system under contingencies," in *Power and Energy (PECon)*, 2010 IEEE International Conference on, 2010, pp. 18-23.
- [4] E. Acha, et al., FACTS: Modelling and Simulation in Power Networks. . West Sussex, U.K. : Wiley, 2005m pp.10-18.
- [5] N. G. Hingorani and L. Gyugyi, "Understanding FACTS: Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems," *IEEE Press* 2000. pp.17-23.
- [6] W. Shao and V. Vittal, "LP-based OPF for corrective FACTS control to relieve overloads and voltage violations " *IEE Transactions on Power System*, vol. 21 no. 4, pp. 1832 1839, Dec 2006.
- [7] Z. Lu, *et al.*, "Optimal Location of FACTS Devices by A Bacterial Swarming LAgorithm for Reactive Power Planning " in 2007 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC 2007) 2007, pp. 2344 - 2349.
- [8] Q. H. Wu and J. T. Ma, "Power System Optimal reactive power dispatch using evolutionary programming " *IEEE Trans, Power Systems*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1243 1249 Aug 1995.
- [9] D. J. Gotham and G. T. Heydt, "Power flow control and power flow studies for systems with FACTS devices " *IEEE Trans, Power Systems*, vol. 13 no. 1, pp. 60 -65 Feb 1998.
- [10] F.D.Galiana, et al., "Assessment and Control of the Impact of FACTS Devices on Power System Performance," *IEEE Trans. Power Systems*, vol. 11, pp. 1931 -1936, Nov 1996 1996

- [11] V. Miranda and N. Fonseca, "EPSO Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization, a new algorithm with applications in power systems," presented at the IEEE PES Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exhibition 2002, Asia Pacific, 2002. pp.1080-1085.
- [12] H.Hashemzadeh and S.H.Hosseini, "Locating Series FACTS devices using line outage sensitivity factors and particle swarm optimization for congestion management," presented at the IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, 2009. PES '09. pp. 1-6, 2009.
- [13] F. A. Albasri, "Impact of Shunt-Flexible Ac Transmission System (FACTS) on Distance Based Transmission Line Protection," Phd Thesis, Uni. of Western Ontario, London, Canada 2007. pp. 249-256.
- [14] F. A. Albasri, *et al.*, "Performance Comparison of Distance Protection Schemes for Shunt- FACTS Compensated Transmission Lines," *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 2116-2125, Oct 2007.
- [15] T. S. Sidhu, et al., "Performance of Distance Relays on Shunt—FACTS Compensated Transmission Lines," *IEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol. 20, pp. 1837 - 1845, July 2005.
- [16] K. Y. Lee and F. F. Yang, "Optimal Reactive Power Planning using Evolutionary Algorithms: A Comparative Study for Evolutionary Programming, Evolutionary Strategy, Genetic Algorithm, and Linear Programming," *IEEE Transactions on Power System*, vol. 13, pp. 101-108, 1998.
- [17] Y.H.Song and A.T.John, "Flexible Transmission System (FACTS)", *IEE Power* and Energy Series 30, 1999. pp.146-151.
- [18] Saravanan. M, et al., "Applications of PSO Technique for Optimal Location of FACTS Devices Considering System Loadability and Cost of Installation," presented at the 7th International Power Engineering Conference (IPEC). pp. 276-283, 2005.
- [19] Phashant Kumar Towari and T. R. Sood, "Optimal Location of FACTS Devices in Power System Using Genetic Algorithm," *IEEE World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing (NaBIC 2009)*, pp. 1034-1040, 2009.

- [20] P. Pezzini, et al., "Optimization Techniques to improve energy efficiency in power system," International Journal Renewable and Sustainable Energy 2011, pp. 2028 - 2041, 2011.
- [21] J. B. Park, et al., "A Particle Swarm Optimization for Economic Dispatch with Non-smooth Cost Functions,," *IEEE Trans. on Power Systems*, 2005, pp. 34 - 42, 2005.
- [22] A.Deihimi and H.Javaheri, "A Multi-Objective Multi-Case Optimization Approach for Allocation of FACTS Devices to Enhance Static Security, Efficiency and Line Voltage Profiles," presented at the 2010 International Conference on Mechanical and Electrical Technology (ICMET 2010), pp. 578-583, 2010.
- [23] Leung Ho Chung, "Genetic Algorithm for Optimal Capacitor Selection and Optimal Power Flow with FACTs Devices," Phd Thesis, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, 2004, pp. 11-16.
- [24] Keri A. J. F., *et al.*, "Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC): Modelling and Analysis," *IEEE Transaction Power Delivery*, vol. 14, pp. 648-654, April 1999 1999.
- [25] G.I.Rashed, et al., "Optimal Locations and Parameters Settings of Multiple TCSCs for Increasing Power System Loadability Based on GA and PSO techniques," presented at the Third IEEE International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC 2007), pp. 335-344. 2007.
- [26] P.Bhasaputra and W.Ongsakul, "Optimal Power Flow with Multi-type of FACTS Devices by Hybrid TS/SA Approach," presented at the *IEEE ICIT*' 02 Bangkok Thailand, pp. 285-290. 2002.
- [27] Idris. R.M., et al., "Optimal Choice of FACTS devices for ATC Enhancement Using Bees Algorithm," presented at the Power Engineering Conference, 2009. (AUPEC 2009). pp. 147-156, 2009.
- [28] W. Ongsakul and P. Jirapong, "Optimal allocations of FACTS devices to enhance total transfer capability using evolutionary programming," presented at the International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Japan, 2005, pp 4175- 4178.

- [29] M.M.E. Metwally, *et al.*, "Optimal allocation of FACTS devices in power system using genetic algorithms," presented at the 12th International Middle -East Power System Conference, 2008, MEPCON 2008, pp. 1-4.
- [30] G.Hingorani, "Power electronics in electrical utilities: role of power electronics in future power systems," presented at the 1988 IEEE Vol. 76, No. 4, pp. 481-482 April 1988.
- [31] Z. A. Vale, *et al.*, "Reactive Power Compensation by EPSO Technique," presented at the IEEE International Conference on Systems Man and Cybernetics (SMC), pp. 1512-1518, 2010.
- [32] S. Chansareewittaya and P. Jirapong, "Power Transfer Cabability Enhancement with Multitype FACTS Controller Using Particle Swarm Optimization," presented at the IEEE TENCON 2010, pp. 42-47. 2010.
- [33] A. M. A., "Optimal power flow using particle swarm optimization," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems 2002*, pp. 563 571 24 October 2002 2002.
- [34] S. Gerbex, et al., "Optimal location of multi-type FACTS devices in a power system by means of genetic algorithms," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 16, pp. 537-544, 2001.
- [35] F. Jurado and J. A. Rodriguez, "Optimal location of SVC based on system loadability and contingency analysis," in *Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation, 1999. Proceedings. ETFA '99. 1999 7th IEEE International Conference on*, 1999, pp. 1193-1199 vol.2.
- [36] L. Yunqiang and A. Abur, "Static security enhancement via optimal utilization of thyristor-controlled series capacitors," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 17, pp. 324-329, 2002.
- [37] S. N. Singh and A. K. David, "Congestion management by optimising FACTS device location," in *Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies, 2000. Proceedings. DRPT 2000. International Conference on*, 2000, pp. 23-28.
- [38] N. K. Sharma, et al., "A novel placement strategy for FACTS controllers," Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 18, pp. 982-987, 2003.

- [39] N. Yorino, et al., "A new formulation for FACTS allocation for security enhancement against voltage collapse," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 18, pp. 3-10, 2003.
- [40] S.-H. Song, *et al.*, "Installation and operation of FACTS devices for enhancing steady-state security," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 70, pp. 7-15, 2004.
- [41] L.J.Cai and I.Erlich, "Optimal Choice and Allocation of FACTS devices in Deregulated Electricity Market using Genetic Algorithms," *IEEE PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition*, 2004, , vol. 1, pp. 201 - 207 10-13 Oct 2004
- [42] T.S.Chung and Y.Z.Li, "A Hybrid GA Approach for OPF with Consideration of FACTS devices," *IEEE Power Engineering Review*, pp. 47 50 Feb. 2001 2001.
- [43] J. Yuryevich and K. P. Wang, "Evolutionary Programming based Optimal Power Flow Algorithms," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 14, pp. 1245– 1250, 1999.
- [44] R. Eberhant and J. Kennedy, "A new optimizer using particle swarm theory " presented at the Sixth Int. Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Secience 1995. pp. 39-43.
- [45] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle Swarm Optimization," presented at the IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Perth, Australia, 1995, pp. 1942-1948.
- [46] T. O. Ting, et al., "A Novel Approach for Unit Commitment Problem via an Effective Hybird Particle Swarm Optimization," *IEEE Trans. on Power Systems*, vol. 1, pp. 204 -209, 2006.
- [47] L. B. Zhang, et al., "Solving multi objective optimization problems using particle swarm optimization," in Evolutionary Computation, 2003. CEC '03. The 2003 Congress on, 2003, pp. 2400-2405 Vol.4.
- [48] C. A. Coello Coello and M. S. Lechuga, "MOPSO : A proposal for Multiple Objective Particle Swarm Optimization," presented at the IEEE Proceedings World Congress on Computational Intelligence (CEC2002), 2002.
- [49] C. A. C. Coello, *et al.*, "Handling multiple objectives with particle swarm optimization," *Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 8, pp. 256-279, 2004.

- [50] J. E. Fieldsend and S.Singh, "A Multi-objective Algorithm Based Upon Particle Swarm Optimization and Efficient Data Structure and Turbulence," presented at the the 2002 UK Workshops on Computational Intelligence, UK 2002. pp. 1-8.
- [51] H. Xiaohui and R. Eberhart, "Multiobjective optimization using dynamic neighborhood particle swarm optimization," in *Evolutionary Computation*, 2002. *CEC '02. Proceedings of the 2002 Congress on*, 2002, pp. 1677-1681.
- [52] J. T. S. Mostaghim, "Strategies for Finding Good Local Guides in Multi-objective Particle Swarm Optimization (MOPSO)," *IEEE Symposium Swarm Intelligence*, pp. 26-33, 2003.
- [53] X. Li, "A Non-dominated Sorting Particle Swarm Optimizer for Multiobjective Optimization," in *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation GECCO 2003*. vol. 2723, E. Cantú-Paz, *et al.*, Eds., ed: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 37-48.
- [54] K. E. Parsopoulus and M. N. C. Vrahatis, "Particle Swarm Optimization Method in Multi-objective Problems," presented at the The 2002 ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC), 2002.
- [55] Z. Yongde and H. Shabai, "A novel multiobjective particle swarm optimization for buoys-arrangement design," in *Intelligent Agent Technology*, 2004. (IAT 2004). Proceedings. IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on, 2004, pp. 24-30.
- [56] Auchariyamet and S.Sirismrannukul, "Optimal Reactive Power Planning with FACTS Devices by Particle Swarm Technique," presented at the 8th International conference on Advances in Power System Control, Operation and Management (APSCOM 2009), pp.1-6, Nov 2009.
- [57] A.A. El-Did, *et al.*, "Optimum VAR sizing and allocation using particle swarm optimization," *International Journal Electric Power Systems Research* 77, pp. 962 972 2007.
- [58] M. Saravanan, et al., "Application of Particle Swarm Optimization Technique for Optimal Location of FACTS Devices Considering Cost of Installation and System Loadability " Electric Power System Research, vol. 77, pp. 276 - 283, 2007 2007.

- [59] R. Benabid, *et al.*, "Optimal Placement of FACTS devices for Multi-objective Voltage Stability Problem," presented at the Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2009 (PSCE '09), pp. 1-11, 2009.
- [60] S. Mollazei, Malihe M. Farsangi, Hossein Nezamabadi-pour, and Kwang Y. Lee, "Multi-objective Optimization of Power System Performance with TCSC using the MOPSO algorithm"," presented at the IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting, , Tampa, Florida. USA. pp. 1-8, 2007.
- [61] H. Yoshida, K. Kawata, Y. Fukuyama, S. Takayama, and Y. Nakanishi "Particle Swarm Optimization for Reactive Power and Voltage Control Considering Voltage Security Assessment," *IEEE Trans. on Power Systems* vol. 15, pp. 1232-1239, Nov 2000 2000.
- [62] C.-R. Wang, H.-J. Yuan, Z. -Q. Huang, J.-W. Z H Ang, C.-J. Sun "A modified particle swarm optimization algorithm and its application in optimal power flow problem," presented at the 4th International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernatics Guangzhou, pp.2885-2889, 18-21 August 2005.
- [63] G. Wei, "Comparison Study of Genetic Algorithm and Evolutionary Programming," presented at the Third International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Vol. 1, pp. 204-209, Aug. 2004.
- [64] J. J. J. Nunftez and J. R. C. Maldonado, "A Particle Swarm Optimization Approach for Reactive Power Dispatch," *37th Annual North American Power Symposium*, pp. 198-205, 2005.
- [65] Miranda. V and F. N, "EPSO-Best-Of-Two-Worlds Meta-Heuristics Applied to power system problems," presented at the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, 2002 (CEC '02). 2002, pp. 1080-1085, Jun 2002.
- [66] N. W. Oo, "A Comparison Study on Particle Swarm and Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization Using Capacitor Placement Problem," presented at the 2nd IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy (PECon 2008), Johor Bahru, Malaysia, pp. 1208-1211, 1-3 Dec. 2008.
- [67] K. Y. Lee and M. A. EI-Sharkawi, *Modem heuristic optimization techniques:* theory and applications to power systems: N.J.: Wiley Interscience, 2008. pp. 72-82.

- [68] G.Baskar and M.R.Mohan, "Contingency constrained economic load dispatch using improved particle swarm optimization for security enhancement," *Journal Electric Power System Research 79* (2009) pp. 615-621, 2009.
- [69] R. Mendes, "Population Topologies and Their Influence in Particle Swarm Performance," Phd Thesis, Minho University, 2004. pp.31-58.
- [70] K. T. Chaturvedi, "Self -organizing hierarchical particle swarm optimization for nonconvex economic dispatch," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 23, pp. 1079 - 1087, Aug 2008 2008.
- [71] V. Miranda, "Stochastic Star Communication Topology in Evolutionary Particle Swarms (EPSO)," *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research*, vol. 4, pp. 105 - 116 2008.
- [72] L. Grant, G. K. Venayagamoorthy, G. Krost, and G. A. Bakare, "Swarm Intelligence and Evolutionary Approaches for Reactive Power and Voltage Control," in 2008 IEEE Swarm Intelligence Symposium, 2008, (SIS 2008), pp. 1-8, 21-23 Sept. 2008
- [73] Jong-Bae Park, Yun-Won Jeong, Joong-Rin Shin, Kwang Y. Lee, "An Improved Particle Swarm Optimization for Non-convex Economic Dispatch Problems," *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, vol. 25, February 2010, pp. 156-166. 2010.
- [74] B.K.Panigrahi, V. R. Pandi, and S. Das, "Adaptive particle swarm optimization approach for static and dynamic economic load dispatch," *Journal Energy Conversion and Management 49* (2008) pp. 1407-1415, 2008.
- [75] H. Mori and L. Ohkawa, "Application of Hybrid Meta-Heuristics Method to Unit Commitment in Power Systems," presented at the 2008 IEEE Electrical Power & Energy Conference, pp. 1-5, 6-7 Oct. 2008.
- [76] S. P. Torres, Castro, C.A., Pringles, R.M., and Guaman, W., "Comparison of particle swarm based meta-heuristics for the electric transmission network expansion planning problem," in *IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 2011, pp. 1-7. 24-27 July 2011
- [77] M. Clerc, "Particle Swarm Optimization" *Great Britain, Willey Feb. 2006, pp.37-*42. 2006

- [78] V. Miranda, "Evolutionary Algorithm with Particle Swarm Movements " in 13th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Application to Power Systems 2005, pp. 6 -21
- [79] V. Miranda, et al., "EPSO: Evolutionary Praticle Swarms " en Advances in Evolutionary Computing for System Design, Serie : Studies in Computational Intelligence vol. 66 L. Jain, V. Palade, D. Srivivasan Eds. Springer pp. 139 - 168 2007.
- [80] K. Parsopoulus and M. Vrahatis, "Particle Swarm Optimization and Intelligence: Advance and Applications " IGI Global Dissemination at Knowledges, USA, pp. 25-37. Jan 31, 2010.
- [81] G. B. Fogel and D. B. Fogel, "Continuous Evolutionary Programming: Analysis and Experiments," *An International Journal of Cybernetics and System*, vol. 26, pp. 1407-1415, 1995.
- [82] A.S. Uyar and B. Turkay, "Evolutionary Algorithm for the Unit Commitment Problem," *Turk J Elec. Engine*, vol. 16, pp. 239-255, 2008.
- [83] L.L. Lai and J. T. Ma, "Evolutionary Programming Approach to Reactive Power Planning," *IEE Proc. Gener. Trans. and Distrib*, vol. 143, pp. 365-370, 1996.
- [84] I. Musirin and T. K. A. Rahman, "Evolutionary Programming Optimization Technique for Solving Reactive Power Planning in Power System," WSEAS int. Conf. on Evolutionary Computing, pp. 239 -244, 2005.
- [85] P. Somasundaram, et al., "Evolutionary Programming Based Security Constrained Optimal Power Flow," *Electric Power System Research*, vol. 72, pp. 137 - 145 2004
- [86] P. Attaviriyanupap, *et al.*, "New bidding strategy formulation for day-ahead energy and reserve markets based on evolutionary programming "*Electric Power and Energy System* vol. 27, pp. 157 167, 2005.
- [87] Nor Rul Hasma Abdullah, et al., "Constrained Reactive Power Control Using Evolutionary Computation Technique for Static Security Enhancement" presented at the 2009 Second International Conference on Computer and Electrical Engineering 2009, pp. 612-616.

- [88] J. T. M. L.L. Lai, "Evolutionary Programming Approach to Reactive Power Planning," *IEE Proc. Gener. Trans. and Distrib*, vol. 143, pp. 365-370, 1996.
- [89] Q. H. Wu and J. T. Ma, "Power System Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch using Evolutionary Programming " *IEEE Trans, Power Systems*, vol. 10, pp. 1243 -1248, 1995 2004.
- [90] Y. R. Sood, "Evolutionary programming based optimal power flow and its validation for deregulated power system analysis " *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy System 29* vol. 1, pp. 65 -75 January 2007.
- [91] W. Ongsakul and T. Tantimaporn, "Optimal Power Flow by Improved Evolutionary Programming " *Electric Power Components & Systems 34*, vol. 1, pp. 75 - 95 January 2006.
- [92] L. L. Lai and J. T. Ma, "Power flow control in FACTS using evolutionary programming " in *IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation* 1995, pp. 625 - 629.
- [93] B. Venkatesh, et al., "Fuzzy OPF incorpating UPFC" in *IEE Proceedings-Generation, transmission and distribution* 2004, pp. 492 495
- [94] W. Ongsakul and P. Jirapong, "Calculation of total transfer capability by evolutionary programming " presented at the IEEE Conference Region 10 (TENCON 2004), pp.492-495, 21-24 Nov. 2004
- [95] K. H. Kim, Jae-Kyu Lee, Sang-Bong Rhee, Seok-Ku You., "Security constrained OPF by hybrid algorithms in interconnected power systems," *IEEE Power Engineering Seciety Summer Meeting* pp. 1591 - 1595, 2001.
- [96] L.Shi, Guoyu Xu, Zhiming Hua, "Part II Application of heuristics evolutionary programming in solution of the optimal power flow" presented at the International Conference on Power System Technology (POWERCON 98), pp. 767-770, 18-21 Aug 1998.
- [97] C. H. Lo, Chung, C.Y., Nguyen, D.H.M., Wong, K.P., "Parallel evolutionary programming fot optimal power flow," presented at the IEEE International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation, Restructuring and Power Technologies 2004, PP.190-195, 5-8 April 2004.

- [98] C. H. Lo, Chung, C.Y., Nguyen, D.H.M., Wong, K.P., "A parallel evolutionary programming based optimal flow algorithm and its implementation " presented at the International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernatics 2004. pp.2543-2548, 26-29 Aug 2004.
- [99] N. P. Padhy, "Wheeling using evolutionary programming based optimal power algorithm " presented at the IET International Conference on Advances in Power System Control, Operation and Management 2000.pp. 144-148, 30 Oct - 1 Nov 2000
- [100] R. Gnanadass, *et al.*, "Determination of SRMC with non-smooth fuel cost function using evolutionary programming " *Electric Power Components & Systems 33*, vol. 1, pp. 85 102 January 2005
- [101] Y. R. Sood, et al., "A new hybrid model for wheeling cost analysis under deregulated environment," IEEE PES Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exposition pp. 97-102, 2003.
- [102] Y. R. Sood, Verma, S., Padhy, N.P., Gupta, H.O., "Evolutionary programming based algorithm for selection of wheeling options," presented at the IEEE Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting, pp.545-552, Vol. 2, 28 Jan-1 Feb 2001.
- [103] L.N.D. Castro and F.J.V.Zuben, "Articial Immune System: Part 1-Basic Theory and Applications", Technical Report RT-DCA 01/99, pp. 1-95, Dec 1999.
- [104] Ismail Musirin, et al., "Voltage Profile Improvement Using Unified Power Flow Controller via Artificial Immune System," WSEAS TRANSACTION on POWER SYSTEMS, vol. 3, April 2008. pp. 194-204, 2008.
- [105] B. K. Panigraphi, *et al.*, "A Clonal Algorithm to Solve Economic Load Discpatch "*Electric Power System REsearch 77*, pp. 1381 - 1389 2007.
- [106] K. Lakshmi, et al., "Clonal Selection based Artificial Immune System to solve the Unit Commitment Problem in restructured electricity sectors," in *Power and* Energy (PECon), 2010 IEEE International Conference on, 2010, pp. 259-263.
- [107] L. N. d. Castro and J. Timmis, "Artificial Immune Systems: A New Computational Intelligence Approach" *Springer*; *UK*, *pp. 1-7*, 2002.

- [108] S.-J. Huang, "Application of Immune-Based Optimization Method For Fault-Section Estimation in a Distribution System " *Iee Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 7, pp. 779 - 784, July 2002.
- [109] J. A. White and S. M. Garrett, "Improved Paterrn Recognition with Artificial Clonal Selection" presented at the 2nd International Conference on Artificial Immune System Lecturer Notes in Computer Science, Vol 2787, pp.181-193, 2003.
- [110] W. Huang, Xu Chunli, Zhang Jianhua, Hu Shan'ang, "Study of reactive power optimization based on immune genetic algorithm," presented at the IEEE PES Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exposition, pp. 186-190, 7-12 Sept. 2013, Vol. 1, 2003.
- [111] S. A. Jumaat, et al., "Comparison of FACTS Device Installation in Power Transmission System " International Review on Modelling and Simulations (IREMOS) vol. 5, pp. 1679 - 1689, August 2012
- [112] B. Vanaja, *et al.*, "Artificial Immune based Economic Load Dispatch with valve-point effect," in *TENCON 2008 2008 IEEE Region 10 Conference*, 2008, pp. 1-5.
- [113] S. I. Suliman and T. K. A. Rahman, "Artificial immune system based machine learning for voltage stability prediction in power system," presented at the 4th International Power Engineering and Optimization Conference (PEOCO), pp. 53-58, 2010.
- [114] S.Ishak, et al., "Static Var Compensator Planning Using Artificial Immune System For Loss Minimisation and Voltage Improvement," presented at the Power & Energy Conference (PECon) 2004, pp. 41-45, 2004
- [115] Titik Khawa Abdul Rahman, et al., "Artificial-Immune-Based For Solving Economic Dispatch in Power System," presented at the National Power & Energy Conference (PECon) 2004, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 2004. pp.31-35.
- [116] D. Radu and Y. Besanger, "A multi-objective genetic algorithm approach to optimal allocation of multi-type FACTS devices for power systems security," in *Power Engineering Society General Meeting*, 2006. IEEE, 2006.

- [117] T. T. Nguyen and A. Yousefi, "Multi-objective approach for optimal location of TCSC using NSGA II," in *Power System Technology (POWERCON)*, 2010 International Conference on, 2010, pp. 1-7.
- [118] M. Belazzoug and M. Boudour, "FACTS placement multiobjective optimization for reactive power system compensation," in *Systems Signals and Devices (SSD)*, 2010 7th International Multi-Conference on, 2010, pp. 1-6.
- [119] Wei Qiu, et al., "Using Multi-objective Differential Evolution and TOPSIS Technique for Environmental/economic Dispatch with Security Constraints," presented at the 4th International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies (DRPT), pp. 847-852, 6-9 July 2011.
- [120] Y.-C. Chang, "Multi-objective Optimal SVC Installation for Power System Loading Margin Improvement," *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 984 – 992, May 2012 2012.
- [121] J. Hazra and A.K.Sinha, "Congestion Management Using Multiobjective Particle Swarm Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, pp. 26-33, November 2007 2007.
- [122] G. Vlachogiannis and K.Y.Lee, "Determining generator contributions to transmission system using parallel vector evaluated particle swarm optimization," *IEEE Trans, Power Systems*, vol. 20, pp. 1765 - 1774, Nov 2005.
- [123] F. Yanjun and Y. Jing, "Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm for Economic Load Distribution of Power System," in *Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC), 2012 Asia-Pacific*, 2012, pp. 1-4.
- [124] Alabduljabbar A.A. and Milanovic, J.V., "Generation costs reduction through optimal allocation of FACTS devices using low discrepancy sequences," presented at the IEEE Power System Conferences and Exposition (PSCE'06), Atlanta, GA, USA, pp. 946-951, 29 Oct - 1 Nov 2006.
- [125] F. B. Baggini. Investment analysis for PQ solutions, Power Quality Application Guide [Online].
- [126] Alabduljabbar and J. V. Milanovi´c, "Placement and tuning of SVCs for the improvement of techno-economic performance of the network based on sequential

number theoretic optimization algorithm, ," presented at the IEEE Power System Conferences and Exposition, PSCE'06, Atlanta, GA, USA, 2006. pp.890-895.

- [127] N. Othman, Musirin, I., Rahim. M.A., Othman, Z., "Bees Algorithm Technique for Loss Minimization in Power Transmission Network Using Static Var Compensator," presented at the 4th International Power Engineering and Optimization Conference (PEOCO 2010), pp. 164-169, 23 - 24 June 2010, 2010.
- [128] Y.Zhang, "Techno-economic Assessment of Voltage Sag Performance and Mitigation," Phd The University of Manchester, 2008, pp. 90-124.
- [129] K. Fang and Y. Wang, *Number Theoretic Method in Statistics*: Chapman & Hall 1994, pp. 104-149.
- [130] R.E. Caflisch, "Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods Acta Numerica" vol. 7: Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [131] P. Glasserman, Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering New York Springer 2004.
- [132] H. Niederreiter, *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*: Philadelphia, Pa. : Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1992.
- [133] I. Sobol, "Points Uniformly FIlling a Multi-dimensional Cube : Mathematics and Cybernatics ", 1985, p. 32 (in Russain).
- [134] J. V. Milanovic and Z. Yan, "Global Minimization of Financial Losses Due to Voltage Sags With FACTS Based Devices," *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions* on, vol. 25, pp. 298-306, 2010.
- [135] A. A. Alabduljabbar and J. V. Milanovic, "Placement and Tuning of SVCs for the Improvement of Techno-economic Performance of the Network Based on Sequential Number Theoretic Optimization Algorithm," in *IEEE PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2006. PSCE '06*, pp. 890-895, 2006.
- [136] Y.-H. Song, Modern Optimisation Techniques in Power Systems: Springer, 1999.
- [137] Faisal. B. Alhasawi and J. V. Milanović, "Techno-economic Contribution of FACTS Devices to the Operation of Power Systems with High Level of Wind Power Integration," *IEEE Transactions on power Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 1414 -1421, August 2012 2012.



- [138] Alabduljabbar and J. V. M. c, "Assessment of techno-economic contribution of FACTS devices to power system operation," *Journal Electric Power System Research 80(2010)*, pp. 1247-1255, 2010.
- [139] A. K. Goswami, et al., "Minimization of Voltage Sag Induced Financial Losses in Distribution Systems Using FACTS Device "Electric Power System Research 81 (2011), pp. 767 - 774, 2011.
- [140] F.B.Alhasawi, "Economic Viability of Application of FACTS devices for reducing generation costs," *IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 2010 pp. 1-6, 2010.
- [141] G.I.Rashed, et al., "Evolutionary Optimization Technique for Optimal Location and Parameter Setting of TCSC under Single Line Contingency," Applied Mathematics and Computation 2005 (2008), pp. 133 - 147 2008.
- [142] Narain G. Hingori and L. Gyugy, Understanding FACTS Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems: IEEE Press, 2000.
- [143] M.R. Al Rashid and M. E. El-Hawary, "Applications of computational intelligence techniques for solving the revived optimal power flow problem," *Electric Power Systems Research* 79(2009) pp. 694 - 702 2009.
- [144] H. B. Sundareswaran. K, Parasseri. F.P, Antony. D.S, and Subair. B, "Optimal Placement of Static VAr Compensators (SVC's) Using Particle Swarm Optimization," presented at the International Conference on Power, Control and Embedded Systems (ICPCES), 2010 2010.
- [145] I. Musirin, "Novel Techniques for Voltage Stability Assessment and Improvement in Power System," PhD. Thesis, Univ. Teknology MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia, 2004.
- [146] N. H. Abdullah, "Computational Intelligence based Power System Security Assessment and Improvement Under Multi-Contingencies Conditions," Phd Thesis, Univ. Teknology MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia, 2012.
- [147] S.Biansoongnern, *et al.*, "Optimal SVC and TCSC Placement for Minimization of Transmission Losses," presented at the International Conference on Power System Technology 2006 (PowerCon 2006), pp. 1-5, 22-26 Oct 2006, 2006.

- [148] U. Leeton, et al., "Power Loss Minimization Using Optimal Power Flow Based on Particle Swarm Optimization," in International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics Computer Telecommunications and Information Technology (ECTI-CON), 2010 2010, pp. 440 - 444.
- [149] Nor Rul Hasma Abdullah, et al., "Static VAR Compensator for Minimizing Transmission Loss and Installation Cost Calculation," Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4(4):, pp. 646-657, 2011.
- [150] Y.Y. Hong and C. H. Gau, "Voltage stability indicator for identification of the weakest bus/area in power systems," *IEE Proceedings Generation, Transmission* and Distribution, , vol. 141, pp. 305 – 309, Jul 1994 1994.
- [151] S.N.Singh, "Location of FACTS Devices for Enhancing Power Systems Security," presented at the 2001 Large Engineering Systems, Conference on Power Engineering, 2001. LESCOPE '01. pp. 162-166, 2001.
- [152] M.Noroozian, Angquist, L., Ghandhari, M., Andersson, G., "Improving Power System Dynamics by Series-connected FACTS Devices," *IEEE. Trans. on Power Delivery*,, Vol. 12, Issue: 4, pp. 1635 - 1641, October 1997.
- [153] M.Noroozian, Angquist, L., Ghandhari, M., Andersson, G., "Use of UPFC for Optimal Power Flow Control," *IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery*, Vol. 1.12 No. 4, pp. 1629-1634, October 1997.
- [154] Billinton, R., Fotuhi-Firuzabad, M., Faried, S.O., Aboreshaid, S., "Impact of Unified Power Flow Controllers on Power System Reliability," *IEEE Trans. on Power Systems*, Vol. 15, Issue 1, pp. 410-415, February 2000.
- [155] Momoh, J.A., Zhu, J.Z., Boswell, G.D., Hoffman, S., "Power System Security Enhancement by OPF with Phase Shifter," *IEEE Trans. on Power Systems*, Vol. 16, Issue 2, pp. 287-293, May 2001.
- [156] S.-H. Song, Jung-Uk Lim, Seung-Il Moon., "FACTS operation scheme for enhancement of power system security," presented at the IEEE Power Tech Conference Proceedings, Bologna, pp. 36-41, Vol. 3, 23-26 June 2003.
- [157] J. G. Singh, Singh, S.N., Srivastava, S.C., "Placement of FACTS controllers for enhancing power system loadability," presented at the IEEE Power India Conference, 2006.

- [158] D. Thukaram, et al., "Improvement of system security with unified-power-flow controller at suitable locations under network contingencies of interconnected systems, ," *IEE Proceeding Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, vol. 152 pp. 682 - 690 9 Sept. 2005 2005
- [159] H. R. Baghaee, *et al.*, "Power System Security Improvement by Using Differential Evolution Algorithm Based FACTS Allocation " presented at Joint International Conference on the International Conference on Power System Technology and IEEE Power India Conference, 2008. (POWERCON 2008), pp. 1-6, 12-15 Oct 2008.
- [160] K.S. Verma, et al., "Location of Unified Power Flow Controller for Congestion Management," *Electric Power System Research*, vol. 58, pp. 89 - 96 2001.
- [161] S.Gerbex, Cherkaoui. R., Germond. A.J., "Optimal Location of Multi-type FACTS Devices in a Power System be Means of Genetic Algorithm," *IEEE Trans, Power Systems*, Vol. 16, Issue 3, pp. 537-544, August 2001.
- [162] P. Kundur, Paserba. J., Vitet. S., "Overview on Definition and Classification of Power System Stability," presented at the International Symposium Quality and Security of Electric Power Delivery Systems (CIGRE/PES), pp. 1-4, 8-10 Oct. 2003.
- [163] Kwang Y. Lee and M. A. El-Sharkawi, *Modern Heuristic Optimization Techniques*: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 2008
- [164] Y. Shi and R. Eberhart, "A modified Particle Swarm Optimizer" presented at the IEEE ICEC Conference, Anchorage Alaska, pp. 69-73, 4-9 May 1998.
- [165] H. Mori and Y. Go, "A Parallel Tabu Search Based Method for Determining Optimal Allocation of FACTS in Power Systems," presented at the IEEE Powercon 2000, Perth, Australia, Vol. 2, pp. 1077-1082, 2000.
- [166] Zita A., Vale, Ramos. C., Silva. M.R., Soares. J.P., Canizes. B., Sousa. T. Khodr.H.M. "Reactive Power Compensation by EPSO Technique," *IEEE* 2010.
- [167] C. M. Huang and F. L. Wang, "An RBF network with OLS and EPSO algorithms for real-time power dispatch," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, pp. 96-104, Feb 2007 2007.

- [168] T.Y.Lee, "Optimal spinning reserve for a wind-thermal power system using EIPSO,," *IEEE Transactions on Power System*, vol. 22, pp. 1612-1621, Nov 2007. 2007.
- [169] V. Miranda and N. W. Oo, "Evolutionary Algorithms and Evolutionary Particle Swarms (EPSO) in Modeling Evolving Energy Retailers," presented at the 15th Power System Computation Conference, Liege, Belgium, pp. 1-7, 22-26 Aug 2005.
- [170] Santiago P.Terror, Castro, C.A., Pringles. R.M., Guaman. W., "Comparison of Particle Swarm based Meta-heuristics for the Electric Transmission network Expansion Planning Problem." IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, pp. 1-7, 24-29 July 2011
- [171] H. Mori and Y. Maeda, "A Hybrid Meta-heuristic Method for Optimal Allocation of UPFC", IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS 2009), 2009.
- [172] Siti Amely Jumaat and I. Musirin, "Static VAR Compensator for Minimizing Transmission Loss and Installation Cost Calculation via Particle Swarm Optimization," in 4th Engineering Conference (ENCON 2011), Kuching Sarawak, Malaysia, 2011.
- [173] S.A. Jumaat, Musirin. I., Othman. M.M., Mokhlis. H. "Optimal Location and Sizing of SVC Using Particle Swarm Optimization Technique," presented at the The 1st International Conference Informatics and Computational Intelligence (ICI 2011), pp. 312-317, 12-14 Dec 2011.
- [174] F. Azevedo, "A decision-support system based on particle swarm optimization for multi-period hedging in electricity markets," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, pp. 995-1003, Aug 2007 2007.
- [175] T. Y. Lee, "Optimal spinning reserve for a wind-thermal power system using EIPSO," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, pp. 1612 - 1621, Nov 2007 2007
- [176] S. N. Qasem and S. M. H. Shamsudin, "Improving Generalization of Radial Basis Function Network with Adaptive multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization,"

presented at the IEEE Inter. Conference on System, Man, and Cybernetics, San Amonio, TX, USA, pp. 534-540, 11-14 Oct 2009.

- [177] Kwang Y. Lee and M. A.El-Sharkawi, Modern Heuristics Optimization Techniques: Theory and Applications to Power System: USA, A John-Wileys & Sons., 2008. pp.72-82.
- [178] R. E. X. Hu, "Multi-objective Optimization Using Dynamic Neighborhood Particle Swarm Optimization," in *IEEE Proceedings World Congress on Computational Intelligence (CEC2002)*, 2002, pp. 1677 -1681
- [179] S. Janson, et al., "Molecular Docking With Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization," Applied Soft Computing 8 (1) pp. 666–675, 2008.
- [180] D. S. Liu, et al., "On Solving Multi-Objective Bin Packing Problems Using Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization," European Journal of Operational Research 190 (2), pp. 357–382, 2008.
- [181] A. Rahimi-Vahed, et al., "A Problem, New Particle Swarm Algorithm for A Multi-Objective Mixed-Model Assembly Line Sequencing Problem," Soft Computing 11 (10) (2007), pp. 997-1012, 2007.
- [182] S. Z. Zhao and P. N. Suganthan, "Two-Ibests Based Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimizer," *Engineering Optimization 43 (1) (2011)*, pp. 997-1012, 2011.
- [183] V. Pareto, "Manuale di Economica Politica," Societa Editrice Libraria. Milan, 1906.
- [184] A. S. Schwier, Manual of Political Economy: A.M. Kelley, 1971.
- [185] S. R.E, Multiple Criteria Optimization: Theory, Computation, and Application". Malabar, Robert E. Krieger Publishing 1989.
- [186] Steuer. R.E, "An Interactive Weighted Tchebycheff Procedure For Multiple Objective Programming.," *Math. Program 26*, pp. 326–344, 1983.
- [187] S. R. Koski. J, "Norm Methods and Partial Weighting In Multicriterion Optimization Of Structures," Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng. 24, pp. 1101–1121, 1987.
- [188] I. Kaliszewski, "A Characterization Of Properly Efficient Solutions By An Augmented Tchebycheff Norm," *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci., Tech. Sci. 33*, pp. 415–420, 1985.

- [189] I. Kaliszewski, "A modified Tchebycheff metric for multiple objective programming.," *Comput. Oper. Res. 14*, pp. 315–323, 1987.
- [190] P. W. Bridgman, *Dimensional Analysis*: New Haven: Yale University Press, 1922.
- [191] C. W. W. Charnes. A, Management Models and Industrial Applications of Linear Programming": New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1961.
- [192] I. Y, "Management Goals and Accounting for Control," *Amsterdam: North-Holland*,, 1965.
- [193] A. Charnes and W. W. Cooper, "Goal programming and multiple objective optimization; part 1," *Eur. J. Oper. Res. 1*, , pp. 39–54, 1977.
- [194] Y. Y. Haimes, et al., "On a bicriterion formulation of the problems of integrated system identification and system optimization," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* SMC-1, pp. 296–297, 1971.
- [195] S. A. Jumaat, et al., "Evolutionary Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO) Based Technique for Multiple SVCs Optimization," in 2012 IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy (PECon), Kota Kinabalu Sabah, Malaysia, 2012, pp. 183-188.
- [196] S. A. Jumaat, et al., "MOPSO Approach for FACTS Device Installation in Power System," in 2013 IEEE International Power Engineering and Optimization Conference (PEOCO) Langkawi, Malaysia 2013, pp. 564 - 569.
- [197] V.Miranda and N. Fonseca, "EPSO-Best-of-Two-Worlds Meta-Heuristics Applied to Power System Problem," presented at the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pp. 6-21, 6-10 Nov. 2002
- [198] K.T. Chaturvedi, "Self-organizing hierarchical particle swarm optimization for nonconvex economic dispatch," *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*, vol. 23, pp. 1079-1087, Aug 2008 2008.
- [199] C. M. Huang and F. L. Wang, "An RBF network with OLS and EPSO algorithms for real-time power dispatch," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, pp. 96 - 104 Feb 2007 2007.
- [200] K.Vaisakh and L. R. Srinivas, "Differential Evolution Approach for Optimal Power Flow Solution," *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, pp. 261 – 268, 2005-2008.

- [201] A. Bhuiya, "A study of bilateral contracts in deregulated power system network," Ph.D. Dissertation Univ. of Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan Canada, 2004. pp. 34-50.
- [202] P.Venkatesh, et al., "Comparison and Application of Evolutionary Programming Techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 18, pp. 688-698, May 2003 2003.