

INFLUENCE OF POST-CYCLIC LOADING ON HEMIC PEAT

HABIB MUSA BIN MOHAMAD

A thesis submitted in
fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Doctor of Philosophy.

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

JANUARY 2018

This thesis is dedicated to my loving parents and to my whole family members for their unconditional love and support.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my special appreciation and thanks to my supervisor Assoc. Professor Dr. Adnan Bin Zainorabidin, you have been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank you for encouraging my research and for allowing me to grow as a research scientist. Your advice on both research as well as on my career have been priceless.

A special thanks to my family. Words cannot express how grateful I am to my parents for all of the sacrifices that you've made on my behalf. Your prayer for me was what sustained me thus far. I would also like to thank all of my friends who supported me in writing, and incited me to strive towards my goal.



PTTA UTM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ABSTRACT

Construction on peat soils has proven to be a challenging task to civil engineers since this soil type has a significant issue that arises from common problems construction of roads, housing and embankment construction with regard to peat are stability, settlements and major problems were encountered especially on deep peat. For many years, in road design as an example, static loading method was applied in road designed by considering soil shear strength through static load and do not take into account the vehicular dynamic loading and shear strength thereafter. This fact is related to the shear strength of peat soil after dynamically loaded. The aim of this research is to establish the post-cyclic behaviour of peat soil after cyclically loaded and to assess the effect of parameters changes on static and post-cyclic behaviour of peat soil. 200 specimens are tested, and prepared under consolidated undrained triaxial with effective stresses at 25kPa, 50 kPa, and 100 kPa with different location from Parit Nipah, Johor, Parit Sulong, Batu Pahat, Johor and Beaufort, Sabah. These specimens tested using GDS Enterprise Level Dynamic Triaxial Testing System (ELDYN) apparatus. Whereas, dynamic load tests are carried out in different frequencies to simulate the loading type such as vibration of machineries, wind, traffic load and earthquake in field from 1.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz and 3.0 Hz with 100 numbers of loading cycles. Post-cyclic monotonic shear strength results and then compared to the static monotonic results. Significantly, showed some vital changes that leads to the changes of stress-strain behaviour. Apparently, the result shows that post-cyclic shear strength decreases with the increase of frequencies. Prior to critical yield strain level, the peat specimen experience a significant deformation. The deformation of peats triggers changes in soil structures that causes reduction in stress-strain behaviour. Thus, it can be concluded that the stress-strain behaviour of peat soil decreased after 100 numbers of cyclic loading in post-cyclic test as compared to the static tests, and it decreased substantially when frequencies were applied. The post-cyclic specimen had a lower undrained parameters than did the static. Reduction of cohesion value in post-cyclic compared to static almost 70% and reduction of friction angle is about 46.34%.

ABSTRAK

Pembinaan di tanah gambut telah terbukti menjadi tugas yang mencabar kepada jurutera awam kerana jenis tanah ini mempunyai masalah penting yang timbul daripada masalah umum pembinaan jalan, perumahan dan pembinaan tambak yang berkaitan dengan tanah gambut adalah kestabilan dan masalah utama yang dihadapi terutamanya gambut dalam adalah mendapan. Sebagai contoh, kaedah beban statik digunakan di jalan yang direka dengan mempertimbangkan kekuatan ricih tanah melalui beban statik dan tidak mengambil kira beban dinamik kenderaan dan kekuatan ricih selepas itu. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mewujudkan tingkah laku pasca-kitaran tanah gambut selepas dibebankan secara kitaran dan untuk menilai kesan perubahan parameter terhadap tingkah laku statik dan pasca-kitaran tanah gambut. 200 spesimen diuji, dan disediakan di bawah ujian tiga paksi yang tidak terkawal dengan tekanan berkesan pada 25kPa, 50 kPa dan 100 kPa dengan lokasi yang berbeza dari Parit Nipah, Johor, Parit Sulong, Batu Pahat, Johor dan Beaufort, Sabah. Spesimen-spesimen ini diuji dengan menggunakan sistem Pengujian *Triaxial Dynamic Triaxial Level Enterprise* (ELDYN). Sedangkan ujian beban dinamik dijalankan dalam frekuensi yang berbeza untuk mensimulasikan jenis pemuatan seperti getaran mesin, angin, beban lalu lintas dan gempa bumi di lapangan dari 1.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz dan 3.0 Hz dengan 100 bilangan kitaran beban. Hasil kekuatan ricih monotonik pasca-kitaran kemudian dibandingkan dengan keputusan monotonik statik. Secara ketara, menunjukkan beberapa perubahan penting yang membawa kepada perubahan tingkah laku. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kekuatan ricih pasca kitaran menurun dengan peningkatan frekuensi. Sebelum tahap ketegangan hasil kritikal, spesimen gambut mengalami ubah bentuk yang ketara. Oleh itu, dapat disimpulkan bahawa tingkah laku tekanan tegangan tanah gambut berkurangan selepas 100 bilangan beban kitaran dalam ujian pasca-kitaran dibandingkan dengan ujian statik, dan ia berkurangan dengan ketara apabila frekuensi digunakan. Spesimen pasca-kitaran mempunyai parameter yang lebih rendah daripada yang statik. Pengurangan kejelikan dalam pasca selepas kitaran berbanding dengan statik hampir 70% dan pengurangan sudut geseran adalah kira-kira 46.34%.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ABSTRAK	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLE	xi
LIST OF FIGURE	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATION	xxi
LIST OF PUBLICATION	xxii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Preamble	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective of Study	4
1.4 Scope of Study	4
1.5 Significant of Study	5
1.6 Thesis Outline	6
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Peat Soil Definition	9
2.3 Peat Content and Formation	10
2.4 Peat Location in Malaysia	11
2.5 Classification of Peat Soil	12
2.6 Physical and Engineering Properties of Peat	15

	vii
2.7 Image Analysis for Peat Soil	21
2.8 Undrained Shear Strength of Peat Soil	26
2.9 Static Monotonic Test	30
2.9.1 Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	31
2.9.2 Static Triaxial Testing Program	36
2.10 Dynamic Loading Test	41
2.11 Types and Characteristic of Dynamic Loading	48
2.12 Dynamic Loading Characteristics based on Cyclic triaxial Test	50
2.13 Review of Past Research on Cyclic Triaxial Test	51
2.14 Post-cyclic Shear Strength of Peat Soil	58
2.15 Review of Post Cyclic Response on Peat Soil	60
2.16 Post-Cyclic Effective Stress Path	70
2.17 Post-Cyclic Shear Strength at Large Deformation	73
2.18 Variation of Normalized Shear Stress of Post-Cyclic	77
2.19 Research Drives	81
2.20 Chapter Summary	82

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	84
3.2 Research Methodology	86
3.3 Site Location	87
3.4 Site Conditions during Sampling	89
3.4.1 Parit Nipah, Batu Pahat, Johor	89
3.4.2 Parit Sulong, Batu Pahat, Johor	91
3.4.3 Beaufort, Sabah	92
3.5 Sampling Method	93
3.5.1 Disturbed Sampling Method	93
3.5.2 Undisturbed Sampling Method	94
3.6 Index Properties	97
3.6.1 Particle Size Distribution	99
3.6.2 Degree of Humification	100
3.6.3 Specific Gravity	102
3.6.4 Moisture Content	103
3.6.5 Organic Content	105

	viii
3.6.6 Liquid Limit	106
3.6.7 Fibre Content	108
3.6.8 pH test	109
3.7 Standard Procedure for Triaxial Checking Transducers	110
Calibration	
3.8 Static Test	112
3.8.1 Monotonic Triaxial Test	112
3.8.2 Specimen Preparation	113
3.8.3 Saturation Stage	117
3.8.4 Consolidation Stage	117
3.9 Shearing Stage	118
3.10 Dynamic Stage	119
3.11 Post-Cyclic Shear Test	122
3.12 ELDYN Software Test Procedure	123
3.12.1 Saturation Stage	123
3.12.2 Consolidation Stage	125
3.12.3 Shearing Stage	126
3.12.4 Cyclic Stage	127
3.12.5 Post-Cyclic Shear Stage	130
3.13 Data Encapsulation and Analysis	131
3.14 Imaging Analysis on Peat Soil	133
3.15 Methods for the Photography and Digital Microscopy	135
3.16 Chapter Summary	137

CHAPTER 4: FIBROSITY CHARACTERIZATION, STATIC AND DYNAMIC LOADING ANALYSIS

4.1 Introduction	139
4.2 Index Properties Test	140
4.2.1 Degree of Humification Test	140
4.2.2 Particle Size Distribution Test	142
4.2.3 Specific Gravity Test	143
4.2.4 Liquid Limit Test	145
4.2.5 Moisture Content Test	146
4.2.6 Organic Content Test	147

	ix
4.2.7 Fibre Content Test	148
4.2.8 pH Test	149
4.3 Summary of Index Properties	151
4.4 Monotonic Triaxial Test	154
4.4.1 Repeatability of Test	154
4.4.2 Static Undrained Monotonic Test	156
4.4.2.1 Stress-strain Behaviour	156
4.4.2.2 Excess Pore Water Pressure	164
4.4.2.3 Peat Physical Changes	168
4.4.2.4 Restructuring and Diameter Change	170
4.5 Cyclic Loading Behaviour	174
4.5.1 Undrained Cyclic Strain-controlled Behaviour	176
4.5.2 Stress-strain Relationship during Cyclic Loading	182
4.5.3 Young Modulus Relationships	191
4.5.4 Dynamic Shear Modulus Relationship	193
4.5.5. Axial Strain Behaviour	195
4.6 Chapter Summary	199

CHAPTER 5: POST-CYCLIC BEHAVIOUR AND DEGRADATION OF SHEAR STRENGTH

5.1 Introduction	200
5.2 Imaging Analytics	200
5.2.1 Photographic of Hemic Peat	201
5.2.2 Microscopic Images	205
5.2.3 Computed Tomography (CT-scan) Analysis	207
5.3 Post-cyclic Shear Strength Behaviour	209
5.4 Excess Pore Water Pressure	217
5.5 Shear Strength and Secant Modulus at Large Deformation	225
5.5.1 Undrained Shear Strength	226
5.5.2 Relationship to the Undrained Shear Strength	228
5.5.3 Relationship to the Frequencies Applied	230
5.5.4 Relationship to the Maximum Strain	230
5.6 Secant Modulus at Large Deformation	233
5.7 Relationship Effects to the Shear Stress	

Cyclic Shear Strain History	x 242
5.8 Effects to the Effective Friction Angle	249
5.9 Stress-path Behaviour	253
5.10 Shear Plane	254
5.11 Chapter Summary	255

CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusion	258
6.1.1 Physical Properties and Peat Soil Classification	259
6.1.2 Stress-strain Behaviour of Peat Soil	259
6.1.3 Static and Post-Cyclic Shear Strength Behaviour	260
6.1.4 Post-Cyclic Parameters Changes	261
6.2 Key Contribution from the Research	262
6.3 Recommendation for Further Work	263

REFERENCE	264
------------------	-----

APPENDICES	265
-------------------	-----

VITA	
-------------	--



PT TA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Status of peat soil areas in Malaysia.	2
2.1	The estimated extent of peat cover in Malaysia	12
2.2	Characteristic of peat swamps in Malaysia	13
2.3	The Von Post scale for assessing peat decomposition	14
2.4	Tabulated of peat soil properties in Malaysia	18
2.5	Summary of disturbed and undisturbed samples	19
2.6	Summary of definition and significant index properties tests	20
2.7	Principal characteristics of the examined peat	35
2.8	Amplitude values in monotonic triaxial test	38
2.9	Dynamic parameter from past researcher	47
2.10	Typical test frequency ranges for cyclic triaxial testing	48
2.11	Categories of dynamic loading characteristics	49
2.12	Parameters obtained from dynamic loading behaviour	51
2.13	Dynamic behaviour of strain controlled cyclic triaxial test	54
2.14	The definition of post-cyclic study from various researcher	59
2.15	Tabulated of post-cyclic past researcher	66
2.16	Chronology of the research done on post-cyclic shear strength of various soils on its stress-strain properties behaviour.	67
2.17	Typical range values for stress-strain secant modulus	74
3.1	Specimen labelled in symbol of peat based on location	87
3.2	Schedule of calibration triaxial apparatus	98
3.3	Schedule of Triaxial Apparatus	110
3.4	Calibration of cell pressure	111
3.5	Technical specifications of the DYNTTS	115

3.6	Cyclic properties and number of sample	121
3.7	Components function of ELDYN system	122
3.8	Target required for amplitude and datum values	129
3.9	Observation between good and poor condition	132
3.10	Instrumentation characteristics and methods of visualization and quantification of 2D CT images in the analyses of peat soil structure.	134
4.1	Von Post degree of humification	141
4.2	Result of particle size distribution test	143
4.3	Specific gravity test results	144
4.4	Percentage of moisture content	146
4.5	Percentage of organic content	148
4.6	Percentage of fibre content	149
4.7	pH value of tested peat	149
4.8	Tabulated of peat soil properties in Malaysia	153
4.9	Datum and amplitude values for cyclic loading	161
4.10	Deviator stress response from past researcher	163
4.11	Pore water pressure parameters response for peat	166
4.12	Pore water pressure-strain response from past researcher.	168
4.13	Physical changes of soil sample	172
4.14	Test conditions for triaxial test	176
4.15	Relationship Young's modulus, E (MPa) for PNpt, PSpt and BSpt	190
4.16	Relationship dynamic shear modulus, G (MPa) for PNpt, PSpt and BSpt.	190
4.17	Increment values of ϵ_a for PNpt, PSpt and BSpt from 0 cycle at different effective stress, σ' applied and frequencies	196
4.18	Relationship equation for PNpt, PSpt and BSpt.	198
5.1	Effect of drainage for static and post-cyclic undrained shear strength.	223
5.2	Undrained shear strength (S_u) properties changes in post-cyclic for PNpt.	226

5.3	Undrained shear strength (S_u) properties changes in post-cyclic for PSpt.	227
5.4	Undrained shear strength (S_u) properties changes in post-cyclic for BSpt.	227
5.5	Relationship of secant modulus, E_{sec} to the effective stress and frequencies applied.	235
5.6	Parameters effect of post-cyclic shear stress.	244
5.7	Shear stress ratio	244



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Peat subsidence at the eastern edge of South East Pahang Peat Swamp Forest at the transition from undisturbed forest to degraded peatland.	11
2.2	Profile morphology of drained organic soils	15
2.3	3D Image of sphagnum peat sample	23
2.4	3D isosurface image visualization of the air-filled pores.	23
2.5	Schematic diagram of peat arrangement	24
2.6	Undisturbed wet sample for HF1	25
2.7	Relationship between shear strength, moisture content and degree of humification	27
2.8	Results of undrained triaxial shear strength test on undisturbed peat sample.	
2.9	Static test of penor peat, Pahang.	33
2.10	Pore pressure vs. axial strain for the undrained test.	37
2.11	Triaxial test mode of failure	39
2.12	Cyclic loading conditions in the laboratory to simulate level ground and sloping ground conditions	43
2.13	Stress-versus-strain relationship for Vicksburg silty clay under sustained and axial pulsating stress	45
2.14	Cyclic triaxial test at 100th number of cycles	45
2.15	Cyclic triaxial test results at 10 seconds	46
2.16	Young's modulus versus effective stress	54
2.17	Shear modulus versus effective stress at different frequency	54

2.18	Relationship between axial strain and number of cycles for POpt.	56
2.19	Relationship between axial strain and frequency POpt	57
2.20	Datum setup for cyclic triaxial test	62
2.21	The influenced of cyclic loading to the shear strength for PRpt.	62
2.22	Effect of cyclic loading on post-cyclic behaviour of specimen	64
2.23	Post-cyclic stress-path behaviour	71
2.24	Stress-path for consolidated undrained triaxial test	72
2.25	Illustration of determination of undrained shear strength and secant modulus.	73
2.26	Relationship between maximum strain due to cyclic loading and post-cyclic loading and post-cyclic undrained strength.	75
2.27	Effect of cyclic loading on undrained monotonic shear strength and shear modulus.	76
2.28	Post-cyclic normalized undrained shear strength versus sand content.	77
2.29	Normalized excess pore pressure	78
2.30	Pre- and post-cyclic stress ratio versus axial strain	80
2.31	Reduction of undrained monotonic shear stress due to cyclic loading.	80
3.1	Methodology flowchart	85
3.2	Field location of peat sampling in Peninsular Malaysia.	88
3.3	Research on Peat Soil Station (REPEATS) Parit Nipah	89
3.4	Parit Nipah site location encircled with plantations	90
3.5	Road deterioration in Parit Nipah	90
3.6	Access road to Parit Sulong, Johor.	91
3.7	Parit Sulong, sampling site in bush areas	91
3.8	Lumadan, Beaufort, Sabah sampling site	92

3.9	Lumadan, Beaufort, Sabah sampling site beside Klias peat swamp forest.	92
3.10	Tube sampler planted	94
3.11	Illustration of the tube sampler setup condition	95
3.12	Tube sampler in vertical position and penetrate to the soil	95
3.13	(a) Sample waxed both ends and sealed (b) Sample storage	96
3.14	Sizes of sieve apertures	100
3.15	Representative sample of peat squeezed	101
3.16	Specific gravity equipment	102
3.17	Kerosene and peat specimens mixed in Pyconometre	103
3.18	Peat specimens for moisture content test	104
3.19	Loss of ignition peat specimens	106
3.20	Cone penetrometer equipment	107
3.21	Cone penetration test supported equipment	107
3.22	Dispersing agent and reagent for fibre content test	108
3.23	Electrometric pH meter set	109
3.24	GDS Enterprise Level Dynamic Triaxial Testing System (ELDYN)	115
3.25	Monotonic triaxial test tools	116
3.26	Monotonic triaxial test tools	116
3.27	Schematic of triaxial top pedestal set up	120
3.28	Screen-shot for saturation ramp setup – Stage 1	124
3.29	Screen-shot for saturation ramp setup – Stage 2	124
3.30	Screen-shot for B-check setup – Stage 3	125
3.31	Screen-shot for consolidation setup – Stage 4	125
3.32	Screen-shot for shearing consolidated undrained setup – Stage 5	127
3.33	Screen-shot for shearing – axial strain setup – Stage 5	127
3.34	Screen-shot for cyclic loading setup – Stage 6	128
3.35	Screen-shot for cyclic loading setup – Stage 6	128

3.36	Screen-shot for post-cyclic shear setup – Stage 7	130
3.37	Screen-shot for post-cyclic shear axial strain setup – Stage 7	131
3.38	Instrumentation characteristics and methods of visualization and quantification of 2D CT images in the analysis of peat soil structure.	134
3.39	X-ray CT-scanner machine and sample Geometry from detector. (Courtesy by – Malaysia Nuclear Agency)	135
3.40	Digital microscope equipment assembled	137
4.1	Particle size distribution graph	142
4.2	Penetration graph for PNpt and PRpt	145
4.3	Repeatability of static testing	155
4.4	Typical deviator stresses versus axial strain response for PSpt	159
4.5	Stress-strain results comparison with various researches	163
4.6	Typical pore pressure versus axial strain response for PSpt	165
4.7	Pore water pressure comparison with various researchers	167
4.8	Failure condition of peat soil	170
4.9	Typical axial displacement (mm) and deviator stress (kPa) versus axial strain (%) for peat sample PNpt – 100 kPa	173
4.10	Typical current area change, Δ_A (mm ²) and diameter change, versus axial strain for PNpt – 100 kPa	173
4.11	Typical axial displacement (mm) versus Time (s), sample PNpt-100kPa-1Hz	178
4.12	Typical cyclic behaviour of peat soil (PNpt) 50 kPa Effective stress with various frequencies.	181
4.13	Typical stress-strain plots for PNpt of (a) stress-strain cycle, (b) Stress-strain cycle 1st and (c) stress-strain cycle 100th at an effective stress 25 kPa.	187

4.14	Typical Stress-strain plots for PNpt of (a) Stress-strain cycle, (b) Stress-strain cycle 1st and (c) stress-strain cycle 100th at an effective stress 50 kPa.	188
4.15	Typical Stress-strain plots for PNpt of (a) Stress-strain cycle, (b) Stress-strain cycle 1st and (c) stress-strain cycle 100th at an effective stress 100 kPa.	189
4.16	Relationship between Young's modulus, E (MPa) and Effective stress, σ' at various frequencies for (a) PNpt (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	193
4.17	Relationship between shear modulus, G (MPa) and effective stress, σ' at various frequencies for (a) PNpt (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	195
4.18	Relationship between axial strain, ϵ_a (%) and frequencies applied, (a) PNpt, (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	197
5.1	Sample PNpt	202
5.2	Sample PSpt	203
5.3	Sample BSpt	204
5.4	Microscopic images of peat soil	206
5.5	CT-scan images diagnostic	208
5.6	Stress-strain response of monotonic and post-cyclic for PNpt at various level of frequencies and effective stress, σ' (kPa), (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	214
5.7	Stress-strain response of monotonic and post-cyclic for PSpt at various level of frequencies and effective stress, σ' (kPa), (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	215
5.8	Stress-strain response of monotonic and post-cyclic for BSpt at various level of frequencies and effective stress, σ' (kPa), (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	216

5.9	Excess pore water pressure response for PNpt at various level of frequencies and effective stress, σ' (kPa), (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	220
5.10	Excess pore water pressure response for PSpt at various level of frequencies and effective stress, σ' (kPa), (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	221
5.11	Excess pore water pressure response for BSpt at various level of frequencies and effective stress, σ' (kPa), (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	222
5.12	Relationship between pore pressure (kPa) and frequencies, Hz applied.	224
5.13	Typical determination of undrained shear strength, S_u and secant modulus, E_{sec} for PNpt with effective stress, $\sigma' = 50$ kPa.	225
5.14	Relationship between undrained shear strength, S_u (kPa) and frequencies, Hz Applied at various effective stress, σ' level for (a) PNpt, (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	229
5.15	Relationship between shear strength reduction, ΔS_u (%) and frequencies applied, Hz for (a) PNpt, (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	231
5.16	Relationship between maximum strain due to cyclic loading and post-cyclic undrained shear strength.	232
5.17	Variation in secant modulus with various effective stress, σ' (kPa).	236
5.18	Variation in secant modulus with frequencies applied, Hz for (a) PNpt (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	238
5.19	Variation of secant modulus, E_{sec} with moisture content, m for PNpt, PSpt and BSpt.	240

5.20	Variation of normalized post-cyclic (a) undrained shear strength and (b) secant deformation modulus versus fibre content.	241
5.21	Variation of normalized post-cyclic undrained shear strength (kPa) versus frequencies, Hz applied.	241
5.22	Typical relationship between post-cyclic Shear Stress, τ_{pc} (kPa) and Shear Strain, ϵ_{pc} (%) for PNpt (a) 25 kPa (b) 50 kPa and (c) 100 kPa.	243
5.23	Reduction of undrained monotonic shear stress due to cyclic loading for PNpt, PSpt and BSpt.	248
5.24	Typical principal stress ratio versus axial strain of PNpt.	248
5.25	Effective friction angles of static monotonic for (a) PNpt (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt using failure criterion of 20% axial strain.	250
5.26	Post-cyclic effective friction angle for consolidated undrained condition of (a) PNpt (b) PSpt and (c) BSpt.	252
5.27	Typical effective stress paths behaviour of PNpt.	253
5.28	Peat specimen (a) undisturbed peat specimen without loading and (b) Shear planes observed after post-cyclic monotonic test (Cyclic loading condition: $\sigma = 100$ kPa, $N = 100, 2$ Hz).	254

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BSpt	-	Beaufort, Sabah peat
PRpt	-	Penor peat
PNpt	-	Parit Nipah peat
POpt	-	Pontian peat
$\Delta\sigma'$	-	Effective pressure
CTX	-	Cyclic triaxial
CT	-	Computed Tomography
Cu	-	undrained shear strength
N	-	Number of cyclic
CIU	-	Consolidated isotropic undrained
CU	-	Consolidated Undrained
q	-	Deviator stress
u	-	Pore pressure
ε_a	-	Axial strain
G _s	-	Specific Gravity
LL	-	Liquid Limit
PL	-	Plastic Limit
r_{ur}	-	Pore Pressure Ratio
S_u	-	Normalized Undrained Shear Strength
Δu_{max}	-	Maximum excess pore water pressures
p'	-	Mean effective stress
UTHM	-	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
RECESS	-	Research Center for Soft Soil Malaysia

LIST OF PUBLICATION

1. Determination of the Post-cyclic Yield Strength and initial Stiffness of Two Peat soils. 271
2. Preliminary Peat Surveys in Ecoregion Delineation of North Borneo: Engineering Perspective. 272
3. A Geotechnical Exploration of Sabah Peat Soil: Engineering Classifications and Field Surveys. 273
4. An Overview of the engineering properties of integrated tropical peat soil in Malaysia. 274
5. The Small Strain Effects to the Shear Strength and Maximum Stiffness of Post-cyclic Degradation of Hemic Peat Soil. 275
6. A Constitutional Review of the Post-cyclic Shear Strength Behaviour of Peat Soil. 276
7. Strength Changes in Peat – Polymer Stabilization Process; An introduction of New Material for Peat Condition. 277
8. Influence of Damping Ratio and Dynamic Shear Modulus for Different Locations of Peat. 278



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble

Malaysia, comprising the regions of Peninsular, Sabah and Sarawak, supports some of the most extensive tropical peatlands in the world. Tropical peat forest is found mainly in Southeast Asia (Phillips, 1998) it was estimated at about 20 million hectares, two-third of the total area of the world's tropical peat swamps (Kyuma, 1992). In Peninsular Malaysia, they are found in the coastal areas of the east and west coast, especially in the coastal areas of west Johore, Kuantan and Pekan district, the Rompin-Endau area, northwest Selangor and the Trans-Perak areas in the Perak Tengah and Hilir Perak district.

In Sarawak, peat occurs mainly between the lower stretches of the main river course (basin peat) and in poorly drained interior valleys (valley peats). They are found in the administrative division of Kuching, Samarahan, Sri Aman, Sibu, Sarikei, Bintulu, Miri, and Limbang. In Sabah, the organic soils are found on the coastal areas of the Klias peninsula, Krah swamps in Kota Belud, Sugut and Labuk centuries and Kinabatangan floodplains. The peat swamp forest is well represented in Borneo (Phillips, 1998). The Klias Peninsular contained the largest pieces of peat swamp forest at the northern end of Borneo. However, peat is in the category of problematic soil because it has low shear strength and high compressibility, which are not suitable for construction (Said and Taib, 2009). Peat soil is not suitable for the construction of embankment, highway, building or any other load bearing engineering structures due to the fact that it is having extreme low shear strength and bearing capacity. In natural state, peat consists of water and decomposed plant fragment with virtually no measurable strength (Munro, 2004).

Therefore, it is essential to find an alternative to improve the strength since nowadays lands are very expensive and very limited. Peat soil is not only soft, it is compressible to where this characteristic will lead to excessive settlement which is a very serious problem. Generally, peat soil is considered as a soft soil as it has high settlement value even under moderate loading condition.

Peat swamps cover about 2.7 million hectares in Malaysia. Nearly 63% of this area or about 1.7 million hectares are in the East Malaysian state of Sarawak according to Shenbaga and Huong (2009). Construction of road networks and other infrastructural facilities on the peat land fairly difficult and the maintenance issue after construction often rise up as major topic. Table 1.0 shows the proportionate distribution status of peat soil areas in Malaysia. Values in parentheses are the percentage proportion of total peat soil area and the data for peat swamp forest from the year 2000 (Wong, 2003). The total for moderately and severely disturbed peat land comprise Wong's classes of medium and low density peat swamp forest.

Table 1.1: Status of peat soil areas in Malaysia.
(Wetlands International – Malaysia. March 2010)

Regions	Total peat soil area (ha)	Undisturbed/ relatively undisturbed (ha)	Moderately Disturbed (ha)	Peat soils under infrastructure (ha)
Peninsular Malaysia	642, 857	226, 026 (35)	66, 353	15, 512
Sabah	116, 965	21, 000 (18)	27, 757	17, 767
Sarawak	1, 697, 847	223, 277 (13)	488, 357	nd
Total	2, 457, 730	470, 303 (19)	582, 528	33, 633 (1)

*nd - not defined

Construction on peat soil will experience significant short-term and long-term settlement with regard to stability and long-term settlements. In road design as an example, conventional method used where the vehicular traffic on the roadway, treated as static loads and the vehicular dynamic loading and shear strength thereafter that related to the earthquake, rail transit or vibrating machinery that called post-cyclic are not considered. Thus, Erken and Ulker (2008) has stated that, in laboratory investigation, the post-cyclic monotonic shear strengths were evaluated using various numbers of cycles of dynamic loading. This method was applied in this research which is the generation of shear strength referred closely.

REFERENCE

- Abbas, Soroush, A. and Soltani-Jigheh H. (2009). Pre- and post-cyclic behavior of mixed clayey soils. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 2009, 46(2): 115-128, 10.1139/T08-109.
- Adderley, W. P., Simpson, I. A. and Macleod, G. W. (2001). Testing high-resolution X-ray computed tomography for the micromorphological analyses of archaeological soils and sediments. *Archeological Prospection* 8: 107-112.
- Adnan ZA and Habib MM (2015). Pre- and post-cyclic behavior on monotonic shear strength of Penor peat. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*.
- Ajlouni MA (2000). Geotechnical properties of peat and related engineering problems. PhD Dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. 15-189.
- Akin S. and Kovscek, A. R. 2003. Computed tomography in petroleum engineering research. Applications of X-ray computed tomography in the geosciences. Geological Society, London, UK. Special Publications, No. 215. pp. 2338.
- Alshibli, K. A. (2000). "Quantifying Void Ratio Variation in Sand Using Computed Tomography." *Geotechnical Measurements - Lab and Field: Proceedings of GeoDenver 2000 Specialty Conference*, August 5-8, 2000, Denver 2000: 30-43.
- Al-Raoush, R. I. and Willson, C. S. (2005). Extraction of physically realistic pore network properties from three-dimensional synchrotron X-ray microtomography images of unconsolidated porous media systems. *J. Hydrol.* 300: 44-64.

- Alex G. G. (2014). Shear resistance degradation of lime –cement stabilized soil during cyclic loading. Division of Soil- and Rock Mechanics Department of Civil, Architectural and the Built Environment Stockholm 2014. *Independent thesis Advanced level (degree of Master)*.
- Aminur M. and Kolay P. (2011). Physical and geotechnical characteristics of stabilized and unstabilized tropical peat soil. *World Journal of Engineering* 09/2011; DOI: 10.1260/1708-5284.8.3.223. 8(3) (2011) 223-230.
- Andriesse J.P. (1988). Nature and Management of Tropical Peat Soils. 1sted. Rome: FAO Soil Bulletin 59. Food and Agriculture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.
- Anderson, S. H., Peyton, R. L. and Gantzer, C. J. 1990. Evaluation of constructed and natural soil macropores using X-ray computed tomography. *Geoderma* 46: 13-29.
- Arman A (1969). A definition of organic soils (an engineering identification). Engineering Research Bulletin No. 101, Louisiana State University, Division of Engineering Research, for Louisiana Department of Highways.
- ASTM D2974 – (2013) *Standard Test Methods for Moisture, Ash, and Organic Matter of Peat and Other Organic Soils*.
- ASTM D1997 – (2013) *Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of the Fiber Content of Peat Samples by Dry Mass*.
- ASTM D4427 - (2013) *Standard Classification of Peat Samples by Laboratory Testing*.
- ASTM D4767 – (2011) *Standard Test Method for Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Compression Test for Cohesive Soils*.
- ASARI H. (2015). Malaysia Soil Resource Management. *Asian Soil Partnership Consultation Workshop on Sustainable Management and Protection of Soil Resources 12 – 14th May 2015; Bangkok ,Thailand*.

- Baird A., Lisa R. and Paul J. (2004). Ecohydrological feedbacks in peatland development: A theoretical modelling study. April 2011 *Journal of Ecology* 99(5):1190 – 1201 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01842.x.
- Baird, A.J., Waldron, S., 2003. Shallow horizontal groundwater flow in peatlands is reduced by bacteriogenic gas production. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30, 2043.
- Bell F.G. (2000). *Engineering Properties of Soils and Rocks*. Malden, MA, *Blackwell Sci.*, pp. 202-221.
- Baveye, P., Rogasik, H., Wendroth, O., Onasch, I. and Crawford, J. W. (2002). Effect of sampling volume on the measurement of soil physical properties: simulation with x-ray tomography data. *Measurement Sci. Technol.* 13: 775-784.
- Boulanger R. W. and Idriss, I. M. (2007). Evaluation of cyclic softening in silts and clays. *J. Geotech. & Geoenviron. Eng.*, 133(6): 641-652.
- Boulanger, R.W., R. Arulnathan, L.F. Harder, R.A. Torres, and M.W. Driller, (1998). Dynamic properties of Sherman Island peat, *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.*, 124(1): 12-20.
- Bujang B. K H. (2004). Behaviour of Soft Clay Foundation beneath an Embankment. *Pertanika J. Sci. & Techno.* 2(2): 215-235 (1994) ISSN: 0128-7680. Universiti Pertanian Malaysia Press.
- Bujang B. K. H. (2006). Deformation and Shear Strength Characteristics of Some Tropical Peat and Organic Soils. *Pertanika J. Sci. & Techno.* 14(1 & 2): 61 - 74 (2006) ISSN: 0128-7680. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Burak G. and Nazile U. Determination of Dynamic Parameters of Clay. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. ISSN(Online): 2319-8753, ISSN (Print): 2347-6710, Vol. 7, Issue 3, March 2018.
- Boylan N., Jennings P. and Long M. (2008). Peat slope failure in Ireland. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology* 2008; v. 41; p. 93-108 doi:10.1144/1470-9236/06-028.

- Boylan N. and Long M. (2012). Evaluation of Peat Strength for Stability Assessments. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Geotechnical Engineering. University College Dublin, Ireland.* 166 : 1-10
- BS 1377-1:1990. *Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. General requirements and sample preparation*
- BS 1377-2:1990. *Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. Classification tests*
- BS 1377-3:1990. *Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. Chemical and electro-chemical tests*
- BS 1377-8:1990. *Methods of test for soils for civil engineering purposes. Shear strength tests (effective stress)*
- Cabalar A.F. and Cevik A. (2008). Modelling damping ratio and shear modulus of sand mica mixtures using neural networks', *Engineering Geology*, doi: 10.1016/j.enggeo. 2008. 08.005.
- Castro G. (1975). Liquefaction and cyclic mobility of saturated sands. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE*, Vol.101, No.GT6, June, pp.551-569.
- Carter J. P., Booker J. R. and Wroth C.P. (1982). A Critical State Soil Model for Cyclic Loading. *Soil Mechanics – Transient and Cyclic Loads, John Wiley and Sons Ltd*, 219-252.
- Carlson, W. D., Denison, C. and Ketcham, R. A. (2000). Highresolution X-ray computed tomography as a tool for visualization and quantitative analysis of igneous textures in three dimensions. *Electronic Geosciences* 4:1 -16.
- Caron, J., Létourneau, G., Fortin, J., (2015). Electrical conductivity breakthrough experiment and immobile water estimation in organic substrates: is $R = 1$ a realistic assumption? *Vadose Zone J.* 14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/vzj2015.01.0014>.
- Ci'slerova', M. and Votrubova', J. (2002). CT derived porosity distribution and flow domains. *J. Hydrol.* 267: 186-200.

- Cheng C., Zhengming. Z., Lingwei K., Xianwei Z., and Song Y. Undrained dynamic behaviour of peaty organic soil under long-term cyclic loading, Part I: Experimental investigation. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 107 (2018) 279–291.
- Cho, G.C., Dodds, J. and Santamarina J.C. (2006). Particle Shape Effects on Packing Density, Stiffness and Strength: Natural and Crushed Sands. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 132, No. 5, 591-602.
- Cnudde, V., Masschaele, B., Dierick, M., Vlassenbroeck, J., Van Hoorebeke, L. and Jacobs, P. (2006). Recent progress in Xray CT as a geosciences tool. *Appl. Geochem.* 21: 826832.
- Choudhary S.S., Maheshwari B.K. and Amir K. M. (2010). Liquefaction Resistance of Solani Sand under Cyclic Loads. *Indian Geotechnical Conference at IIT, Bombay*. 115-118.
- Clausnitzer, V. and Hopmans, J. W. 2000. Pore-scale measurements of solute breakthrough using microfocus X-ray computed tomography. *Water Resour. Res.* 36: 2067-2079.
- Cola S. and Cortezolla G. (2005). The shear strength behaviour of two peaty soils. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 23, 679–695.
- Craig R. F. (1992). *Soil Mechanics*. 5th Edition. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Clinton H., David J. and Joseph G. (2005) Application of X-Ray CT Scanning to Characterize Geomaterials Used in Transportation Construction. *Proceedings of the 2005 Mid-Continent Transportation Research Symposium*, Ames, Iowa, August 2005. © 2005 by Iowa State University.
- Das B. M. (2011). *Principles of geotechnical engineering*. Adapted international student edition.
- Das B. M. (2007). *Principles of foundation engineering*. 7th edition.
- Das B. M. (1992). *Principles of Soil Dynamics*, PWS Kent.
- Day R. W. (2001). *Soil Testing Manual: Procedures, Classification Data, and Sampling Practices*, New York, McGraw-Hill.

- Deboucha S. Hashim R. and Alwi A. (2008). Engineering properties of stabilized tropical peat soils. *Electron. J. Geotechn. Eng.*, 13E.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage Sarawak (2009). *Guidelines for the Peatland Development in Sarawak*, http://www.did.sarawak.gov.my/peat/peat_main.html, Viewed 11.
- Dhowian A. W. and Edil T. B. (1980). Consolidation Behavior of Peats. *Geotech. Testing J.*, 3(3): 105-114.
- Diaz-Rodriguez J. A., Moreno P. and Guadalupe S. (2000). Undrained Shear Behaviour of Mexico City Sediments during and After Cyclic Loading. 12 *WCEE*. 2000. 1653.
- Duraisamy Y. and Bujang B. K. H. (2008). Method of Utilizing Cheap Land for Infrastructure Development, Methods of utilizing cheap land for infrastructure development ICCBT.
- Erken A. and M.B.C. Ülker. (2008). The Post-Cyclic Shear Strength of Fine Grained Soils. *The 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering* October 12-17, 2008, Beijing, China.
- Edil T.B. (2003). Recent Advances in Geotechnical Characterization and Construction over Peats and Organic Soils. *Proceeding 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology*. Putrajaya, Kuala Lumpur, Universiti Putra Malaysia. (Eds). Huat et.al. Malaysia, pp. 3-25.
- Edil TB, Mochtar NE (1984). Prediction of peat settlement. Proc. Sediment. Consolidation Models Symp. Prediction Validation, ASCE, San Fransisco, California, pp. 411-424.
- Farrell E.R. (2012). Organics/peat soils. In ICE Manual of Geotechnical Engineering. Burland J, Chapman T, Skinner H and Brown M (eds). *ICE Publishing*, London, vol. 1, pp. 463–479.
- Faisal H.A. (2008). Engineering behavior of Stabilized Peat Soil. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 21 (4). pp. 581-591. ISSN 1450216X

- Farrell E.R. and Hebib S. (1998). The determination of geotechnical parameters of organic soils. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Problematic Soils*, IS-TOHOKU 98, Sendai, Japan, vol. 1, pp. 33–36.
- Fereidoun R., Jonathan S.P., William L. Q., Bernd L., Latjana M. and Philippe V.C. (2016). Structure of peat soils and implications for water storage, flow and solute transport: A review update for geochemists. *Journal of Chemical Geology*. 0009-2541/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND.
- Fohrer, N., Berkenhagen, J., Hecker, J.-M. and Rudolph, A. (1999). Changing soil and surface conditions during rainfall. Single rainstorm/subsequent rainstorm. *Catena* 37: 355-375.
- GDS software manual instrument part 1. <http://www.gdsinstruments.com>. Data sheet.pdf *Part Three: Dynamic Triaxial Testing*.
- Gantzer, C. J. and Anderson, S. H. (2002). Computed tomographic measurement of macroporosity in chisel-disk and notillage seedbeds. *Soil Tillage Res.* 64: 101-111.
- Gosling D. and Keeton P. (2006). Problems with Testing Peat for Stability Analysis. *Peat Seminar*. Edinburgh, 11 March 2008.
- Goktepe, A.B. and Sezer, A. (2010). Effect of Particle Shape on Density and Permeability of Sands. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, Geotechnical Engineering*, Issue GE6, 307-320.
- Grevers, M. C. J., De Jong, E. and St. Arnaud, R. J. (1989). The characterization of soil macroporosity with CT scanning. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 69: 629-637.
- Hashim R. and Islam H. M. S. (2008a). A Model Study to Determine Engineering Properties of Peat Soil and Effect on Strength after Stabilisation. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, ISSN 1450-216X Vol.22 No.2 (2008), pp.205-215.
- Hashim R. and Islam H. M. S. (2008b). Properties of Stabilized Peat by Soil-Cement Column Method. *EJGE*, Vol. 13, Bund. J.

- Hayward R. J. and Clymo R.S. (1982). Profiles of Water Content and Pore Size in Sphagnum and Peat, and their Relation to Peat Bog Ecology June 1982 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing papers of a Biological character. Royal Society (Great Britain) 215(1200):299-325 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.1982.0044.
- Hobbs NB (1986). Mire morphology and the properties and behavior of some British and foreign peats. *Q. I. Eng. Geol.*, 19(1): 7-80.
- Helenlund K.V. (1980). Geotechnical properties and behaviour of Finnish peats. Valtion Teknillinen Tutkimuskeskus, *VTT Symposium*, 8, reprint, 85–107.
- Heck R. (2005a). Digital imaging and image analysis: the new direction in Micropedology. Presentation at the Brazilian Society of Soil Science.
- Heck R. (2005b). High resolution CT imaging of soil. Presentation at Desert Research Institute, Reno, NV.
- Heeraman, D. A., Hopmans, J. W. and Clausnitzer, V. (1997). Three dimensional imaging of plant roots in situ with X-ray computed tomography. *Plant Soil* 189: 167-179.
- Ho J., Goh S.H. and Lee F.H. (2013). Post Cyclic Behaviour of Singapore Marine Clay. Le comportement post-cyclique de l'argile marine de Singapour. National University of Singapore.
- Ho J., Goh S.H. and Lee F.H. (2013). National University of Singapore. Post Cyclic Behaviour of Singapore Marine Clay. *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, Paris 2013.
- Ho J.H., Kho Y., Goh S.H. and Lee F.H. (2012). Cyclic Triaxial Testing of Soft Clays. *Proc. 25th KKCNN Symposium on Civil Engineering*, 347-350.
- Hobbs N. B. (1986). Mire morphology and the properties and behavior of some British and foreign peats. *Q. I. Eng. Geol.*, 19(1): 7-80.
- Ishihara K. (1996). *Soil Behaviour in Earthquake Geotechnics*, Oxford, Clarendon Press

- Jamil M.A., Chow W.T., Chan Y.K. and Yew S.K., (1989). Land use of peat in peninsular Malaysia. *Proceeding of the National Workshop Peat Research Development*, MARDI, pp: 27-39.
- Kak A. C. and Slaney M. (1988). Principles of computerized tomographic imaging. IEEE Press Online. Available: [http:// www.slaney.org/pct/](http://www.slaney.org/pct/) [1999].
- Kazemian S. Huat B. B. K. and Prasad A. (2011a). Study of Peat Media on Stabilization of Peat by Traditional Binders, *Int. J. Phys. Sci.*, 6(3): 476-481.
- Kazemian S., Huat B. B. K. and Barghchi M. (2011b). Effect of calcium chloride and kaolinite on shear strength and shrinkage of cement grout, *Int. J. Phys. Sci.*, 6(4): 707-713.
- Kishida T., Boulanger R.W., Abrahamson N.A., Wehling T.A., and Driller M.W. (2009). Regression models for dynamic properties of highly organic soils, *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.*, 133(7), 851-866.
- Ketcham R. A. and Carlson, W. D. (2001). Acquisition, optimization and interpretation of X-ray computed tomographic imagery: applications to the geosciences. *Computers Geosciences* 27: 381-400.
- Kettridge N. and Binley A. (2011). Characterization of peat structure using X-ray computed tomography and its control on the ebullition of biogenic gas bubbles. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 116 G01024, doi:10.1029/2010JG001478, 2011.
- Kettridge N., and A. Binley (2008), X-ray computed tomography of peat soils: Measuring gas content and peat structure, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 4827–4837, doi:10.1002/hyp.7097.
- Kolay P.K, Sii H. Y. and Taib S.N.L. (2011). Tropical Peat Soil Stabilization using Class F Pond Ash from Coal Fired Power Plant. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Civil, Structural, Construction and Architectural Engineering* Vol:5, No:2, 2011.
- Konstantinou m., Zwanenburg C. and Meijers P. Dynamic behaviour of Groningen peat Analysis and parameter assessment. 1209862-011-GEO-0005, Version 1, 2 November 2017, final.

- Kramer S. L. (2000). Dynamic response of Mercer Slough peat. *J. Geotech. & Geoenv. Eng.*, 135(4), 533–543.
- Kyuma, K. 1992. Climate, geology and geomorphology of insular Southeast Asia in relation to formation and distribution of tropical peat, In: Kyuma et al. (eds.), Coastal Lowland Ecosystem in Southern Thailand and Malaysia, Kyoto University, pp.31-40.
- Landva O.A. and Pheeney P.E. (1980). Peat fabric and structure. *Canadian Geotechnical Journals* 17: 416-435.
- Landva AO, LaRochelle P (1983). Compressibility and shear characteristics of radforth peats. *Testing Peat Organic Soils, ASTV STP*, 820: 157-191.
- Landva A. O., Pheeny P. E., LaRochelle P. and Briaud J. L. (1986). Structures on Peatland – Geotechnical investigations. *Proceedings of the conference on Advances in Peatlands engineering*, Ottawa, ON, Canada, Montreal, Canada, pp. 31-52.
- Lipiec, J. and Hatano, R. (2003). Quantification of compaction effects on soil physical properties and crop growth. *Geoderma* 116: 107-136.
- Lin, C. L. and Miller, J. D. (2005). 3D characterization and analysis of particle shape using X-ray microtomography (XMT). *Powder Technol.* 154: 61-69.
- Long M. (2005). Department of Civil Engineering, University College Dublin June 2005. Review of peat strength, peat characterisation and constitutive modelling of peat with reference to landslides. *Studia Geotechnica et Mechanica*, 27 (3-4): 67-90.
- Macedo, A., Crestana, S. and Vaz, C. M. P. (1998). X-ray microtomography to investigate thin layers of soil clod. *Soil Tillage Res.* 49: 249-253.
- McCarter, C.P.R., Price, J., 2014. Ecohydrology of Sphagnum moss hummocks: mechanisms of capitula water supply and simulated effects of evaporation. *Ecohydrology* 7, 33–44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eco.13>.

- Mees F., Swennen, R., Van Geet, M. and Jacobs, P. 2003. Applications of X-ray computed tomography in the geosciences. Geological Society, London, UK. Special Publications, No. 215. pp. 16.
- Mesri G. and Ajlouni M. (2007). Engineering properties of fibrous peats. J. Geotech. Geoenv. Eng., 133(7): 851-866.
- Mohamad H. M., Zainorabidin A. and Zolkefle S.N.A (2014). Proceedings of Soft soils 2014, October, 21-23rd 2014 Vol. 2 – ISBN 978-979-1431-08-8.
Southeast Asia Conference on Soft Soils engineering And Ground Improvement. Advancement of Research and Practice for Geotechnical Solutions – Bandung, Indonesia.
- Munro N. (2005). Dealing With Bearing Capacity Problems on Low Volume Roads Constructed On Peat. Roadex II Lead Partner: The Highland Council, Transport, Environmental & Community Service, HQ, Glenurquhart Road, Inverness IV3 5NX Scotland, Project co-ordinator: Mr. Richard Evans.
- Munro R. and MacCulloch F. (2006). Managing Peat Related Problems on Low Volume Roads. *ROADEX III Project.*
- Munro R. (2004). Dealing with bearing capacity problems on low volume roads constructed on peat. The Highland Council, Environmental and Community Service, HQ, Glenurquhart Road, Inverness IV3 5NX Scotland
- Mutalib A., Lim J. S., Wong M. H. and Koonvai L. (1991). Characterization, Distribution and Utilization of Peat in Malaysia. Symposium on Tropical Peat Land. 8-10 March 1991, Kuching, Sarawak
- Mutalib, A. A., Lim J. S., Wong M.H and Koonvai L. (1992). Characterization, distribution and utilization of peat in Malaysia. In: B.Y. Aminuddin (Editor), Proceedings of the International Symposium on Tropical Peatland, Kuching, Sarawak, MARDI, Kuala Lumpur, pp. 7-16.
- Murty, V. R. K. and Devan, K. R. S. (1997). Atomic photoeffect below 100 keV: an overview and future scope. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 48: 1501-1506.

- Moses G. G. and Rao N. S. (2003). Degradation in Cemented Marine Clay Subjected to Cyclic Compressive Loading. *Marine Georesources and Geotechnology*. 21(1), 37-62.
- Nicholas K. and Andrew B. (2010). Evaluating the effect of pore dilation on the quality of laboratory measurements of peat hydraulic conductivity August 2010 *Hydrological Processes* 24(18):2629 – 2640 DOI: 10.1002/hyp.7693
- New Zealand Geotechnical Society (2005). Field Description of soil and rock. December 2005.
- Nielsen B. D. (2004). Non-Destructive Soil Testing using X-ray Computed Tomography. A Master Thesis. Master in Science in Civil Engineering Montana State University Bozeman, Montana November 2004.
- O'Kelly B.C. and Zhang L. (2013). Consolidated-drained triaxial compression testing of peat. *ASTM Geotechnical Testing Journal*, Vol. 36, No. 3. doi:10.1520/GTJ20120053.
- Otani J., Watanabe Y., Lenoir N., and Nakai, T. (2010). Soils and Foundations. Introduction of X-ray CT application in geotechnical engineering - theory and practice. X-Earth Center, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan.
- Øygarden, L., Kværner, J. and Jenssen, P. D. (1997). Soil erosion via preferential flow to drainage systems in clay soils. *Geoderma* 76: 65-86.
- Pahang Forestry Department. (2005). Pekan Peat Swamp Forest, Pahang, Malaysia. The role of water in conserving peat swamp forests. Pahang Forestry Department/UNDP/GEF: Kuantan, Malaysia.
- Paikowsky S.A., Elsayed S., Kurup P.U. (2003). Engineering properties of Cranberry bog peat. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology*, Putrajaya, Malaysia, pp. 157-171.
- Peck B.P., Hanson E.W. and Thornburn H.T. (1974). *Foundation Engineering*. 2nd. Edition. New York: John Willy & Sons. 7–8 & 83–84.
- Phillips V.D. (1998). Peat swamp ecology and sustainable development in Borneo. – *Biodiversity Conservation* 7: 651-671.

- Phillips, D. H., and J. J. Lannutti. (1997). "Measuring Physical Density with X-Ray Computed Tomography." *NDT&E International* 30, No. 6 (December 1997): 339-350.
- Pierret, A., Capowiez, Y., Moran, C. J. and Kretzschmar, A. (1999). X-ray computed tomography to quantify tree rooting spatial distributions. *Geoderma* 90: 307-326.
- Prisco C. D. and Imposimato S. (2003). Flow Slides in Dry Loose Sand Deposits, Proc. Int. conf. On fast slope movements – *prediction and prevention for risk mitigation*, Naples may 11-13 2003, Editor L. Picarelli Patron Ed., 157-162, 2003.
- Price, J.S., Whittington, P.N., Elrick, D.E., Strack, M., Brunet, N., Faux, E., (2008). A method to determine unsaturated hydraulic conductivity in living and undecomposed sphagnum moss. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 15, 487–491
- Quinton W. L., Elliot T., Price J. S., Rezanezhad F. and Heck R. (2009). Measuring physical and hydraulic properties of peat from X-ray tomography. *Geoderma – ELSEVIER*. Cold Regions Research Centre, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Canada N2L 3C5 b Department of Land Resource Science, University of Guelph, Guelph, Canada N1G 2W1 c Department of Geography, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada N2L 3G1.
- Rakesh J. P., Nazeem K. M., Robinson R. G. (2013). Post-Cyclic Behaviour of Clayey Soil. *Indian Geotechnical Journal*. March 2014, Volume 44, Issue 1, pp 39-48.
- Ramsar Convention (2002) Resolution VIII.17: Guidelines for Global Action on Peatlands
- Rachman, A., Anderson, S. H. and Gantzer, C. J. (2005). Computed-tomographic measurement of soil macroporosity parameters as affected by stiff-stemmed grass hedges. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 69: 1609-1616.
- Remeysen, K. and Swennen, R. (2006). Beam hardening artifact reduction in microfocus computed tomography for improved quantitative coal characterization. *Coal Geol.* 67: 101-111.

- Rezanezhad F., Quinton W. L., Price R.J., Elliot T. R., Elrick D. and Shook R. K. (2010). Influence of pore size and geometry on peat unsaturated hydraulic conductivity computed from 3D computed tomography image analysis. *Hydrol. Process.* 24, 2983–2994 (2010)
- Rogasik, H., Onasch, I., Brunotte, J., Jegou, D. and Wendroth, O. (2003). Assessment of soil structure using X-ray computed tomography. *Applications of X-ray computed tomography in the geosciences*. Geological Society, London, UK. Special Publications, No. 215. pp. 151-167.
- Russ J. C. (2000). *The Image Processing Handbook*, 4th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2002
- Published online 29 May 2010 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com)
DOI: 10.1002/hyp.7709.
- Ruiz de Argandoña, V. G., Rodriguez-Rey, A., Celorio, C., Calleja, L. and Suares del Rio, L. M. (2003). Characterization by X-ray computed tomography of water absorption in a limestone used as building stone in the Oviedo Cathedral (Spain). *Applications of X-ray computed tomography in the geosciences*. Geological Society, London, UK. Special Publications, No. 215. pp. 127-134.
- Saran S. (1999). *Soil dynamics and foundation*. Department of civil engineering. University of Roorkee 2nd Edition.
- Sarawak Forestry Department Report. Kucing, Sarawak (1990)
- Seed H. B. (1969). *Soil Strength during Earthquake*. University of California, Berkeley, California.
- Seed, H.B., and Chan, C.K. (1966). Clay Strength under Earthquake Loading Conditions. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, ASCE, Vol. 92, No. SM2, 53-78.
- Said J. M. and Taib S. N. L. (2009). Peat stabilization with carbide lime. *UNIMAS E-Journal of civil engineering*. Vol. 1: issue 1/August 2009
- Shenbaga R. K. and Huong L. (2009) *Electro-osmotic Consolidation Studies on Peat of North Sarawak*. Huong Curtin University of Technology, Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia. IGC 2009, Guntur, INDIA. PP 455 – 458.

- Shafiee A., Scott J. B. and Jonathan P. S. (2013). Laboratory Evaluation of Seismic Failure Mechanisms of Levees on Peat. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of California, Los Angeles. *Report UCLA-SGEL 2013/04 Structural and Geotechnical Engineering Laboratory* Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering University of California, Los Angeles September 2013. Ph.D Thesis.
- Siang ALMS (2014). Development of A New Sand Particle Clustering Method with Respect to its Static and Dyanmic Morphological and Structural Characteristics. A PhD Thesis, University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, (UTHM).
- Sina K., Bujang B. K. H., Arun P. and Maassoumeh B. (2011). A state of art review of peat: Geotechnical engineering perspective. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences* Vol. 6(8), pp. 1974-1981, 18 April, 2011 Available online at <http://www.academicjournals.org/IJPS> DOI: 10.5897/IJPS11.396 ISSN 1992 – 1950. 2011 Academic Journals.
- Sitharam T. G., Govinda R. and Murthy B. R. S. (2004) Cyclic and monotonic undrained shear response of silty sand from Bhuj region in India. *ISCT Journal of Earthquake Technology, Paper No. 450, Vol. 41, No. 2-4, June-December 2004, pp. 249-260*
- Simons, F. J., Verhelst, F. and Swennen, R. (1997). Quantitative characterization of coal by means of microfocal X-ray computed microtomography (CMT) and color image analysis (CIA). *Int. J. Coal Geol.* 34: 69-88
- Soltani-Jigheh H. and Soroush A. (2006). Post-cyclic Behaviour of Compacted Clay-sand Mixtures. *International Journal of Civil Engineering.* Vol. 4, No. 3. September 2006. PP 226 – 243.
- Steve J. P. (1971). *The Stress-Strain Curves of Soils.* Geotechnical Engineer. Winchester. Massachusetts.
- Stoops, G. (2003). Guidelines for analysis and description of soil and regolith thin sections. SSSA, Inc., Madison, WI. 184 pp.
- Suriyavut P. and Marc B. Post-Cyclic Behaviour of Granular Soil-Structure Interface Direct Shear Tests. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, Aug., 2016,

Vol. 11, Issue 24, pp. 2328-2334 Geotec., Const. Mat. & Env., ISSN: 2186-2982(Print), 2186-2990(Online), Japan.

Taina A., Heck R. J. and Elliot T. R. (2007). Application of X-ray computed tomography to soil science: A literature review. Department of Land Resource Science, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1. Canadian Journal of Soil Science.

Taud H., Martinez-Angeles, R., Parrot, J. F. and HernandezEscobedo, L. (2005). Porosity estimation method by X-ray computed tomography. J. Petroleum Sci. Eng. 47: 209-217.

Tie Y.L. and Kueh H.S. (1979). A review of Lowland Organic Soils of Sarawak, Tech. Paper No 4, Research Branch, Dept of Agriculture Sarawak.

Tsuhima M. and Oikawa H. (2014). Shear Characteristics of Undisturbed and Remolded Peat Consolidated under Cyclic Loading. Proceedings of the Twenty-fourth (2014) International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference Busan, Korea, June 15-20, 2014.

Tsomokos, A., and Georgiannou, V.N. (2009). Effect of Grain Shape and Angularity on the Undrained Response of Fine Sands. Canadian Geotechnical Journal. Vol. 47, No.5.

UNDP. (2006). Malaysia's peat swamp forests: conservation and sustainable use. UNDP/NRE/DANIDA/GEF: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Venkatramaiah C. (2006). Foundations - Geotechnical Engineering. Shearing strength of soil.

Vucetic M. (1994). Cyclic threshold shear strains in soils, J. Geotechnical Engineering, 120 (12), 2208-2228.

Von Post Scale for Assessing Peat Decomposition (2014). science.ulst.ac.uk/vft/vonPost.

Van Geet M., Swennen, R. and David, P. 2001. Quantitative coal characterization by means of microfocus X-ray computer tomography, colour image analysis and back scatter scanning electron microscopy. Int. J. Coal Geol. 46: 11-25.

- Van Geet M., Swennen, R. and Wevers, M. 2000. Quantitative analysis of reservoir rocks by microfocus X-ray computerised tomography. *Sedimentary Geol.* 132: 25-36.
- Vashish T., Cassandra J. R., Scott M. O. Post-Cyclic Behavior of a Gulf of Mexico Clay. *Civil and Environmental Engineering Geology. Journal Geotechnical Special Publication. Volume 2018-June Issue number GSP 293*, 2018.
- Wang, S. Postcyclic behavior of low-plasticity silt (2011). PhD Thesis. 2009. Missouri University of Science and Technology.
- Warburton J., Holden J. and Mills A.J. (2004). Hydrological controls of surficial mass movements in peat. *Earth Science Reviews*, 67, 139–156.
- Wetlands International-Malaysia. 2010. A Quick Scan of Peatlands in Malaysia. Project funded by the Kleine Natuur Initiatief Projecten, *Royal Netherlands Embassy*.
- Whitlow R. (2001). *Basic Soil Mechanics*. 4th Edition. England: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Wiermann, C., Werner, D., Horn, R., Rostek, J. and Werner, B. (2000). Stress/strain processes in a structured unsaturated silty loam Luvisol under different tillage treatments in Germany. *Soil Tillage Res.* 53: 117128.
- Wong L. M., (2003). Status of Peat Swamp Forest of Sarawak. Sarawak Forestry Corporation/Alterra Wageningen UR: Kuching, Sarawak / Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Whitlow R. (2004). *Basic Soil Mechanics*. Fourth Edition. Singapore: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Wong L.S, Hashim R. and Ali F.H (2008). Strength and Permeability of Stabilized Peat Soil.
- Wong L.S., Hashim, R. and Ali F. H. (2009). A Review on Hydraulic Conductivity and Compressibility of Peat. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 9 (18): 3207-3218. ISSN 1812-5654

- Yang, J. and Sze, H.Y. (2011). Cyclic behaviour and resistance of saturated sand under non-symmetrical loading conditions. *Geotechnique*, 61(1):59-73.
- Yasuhara K., Hirao K. and Hyde A.F.L. (1992). Effects of cyclic loading on undrained strength and compressibility of clay. *Soils and Foundations* 32 (1), 100-116.
- Yamaguchi H., Ohira Y., Kogure K. and Mori S. (1985). Deformation and strength properties of peat. *Proc. 11th. ICSMFE*, San Francisco, Vol. 4, pp 2461 – 2464.
- Yamaguchi H., Yoshimoto H. and Hitoshi I. (1992). Change in pore size distribution of peat in shear processes December 1992 DOI: 10.3208/sandf1972.32.4_1.
- Youventharan D., Bujang B. B. H. and Azlan A. (2007). Compressibility behavior of tropical peat reinforced with cement columns. *American Journal of applied Sciences Publisher. Science Publications Audience. Academic.* 10/2007; DOI: 10.3844/ajassp.2007.786.791.
- Zainorabidin, A. and Bakar I. (2003). Engineering Properties of in-situ and Modified Hemic Peat Soil in Western Johore. In *Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology* (pp. 252 - 261). Putra Jaya, Malaysia.
- Zainorabidin, A. (2011). Static and Dynamic Characteristics of Peat with Macro and Micro Structure Perspective. University of East Lodnon. Ph.D. Thesis.
- Zhang and O'Kelly (2013). The principle of effective stress and triaxial compression testing of peat. *Geotechnical Engineering Volume 167 Issue GE1. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Geotechnical Engineering* 167 February 2014 Issue GE1 Pages 40–50 Paper 1200038.
- Zhang Y. W., Weber W. J. and Whitlow H. J (2004). Electronic stopping powers for heavy ions in silicon *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 215, (1-2), 48 - 56: Elsevier Science B.V.
- Zolkefle S. N. A. (2014). The Dynamic Characteristic of Southwest Johor Peat under Different frequencies. Degree of Master in Civil Engineering Thesis.