

**DEVELOPMENT OF PREDICTING MODEL FOR SAFETY BEHAVIOUR
BASED ON SAFETY PSYCHOLOGY AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT**

MOHAMMAD KHAIRUL SHALEH BIN MD ASARI

A thesis submitted in
fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Engineering Technology
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

MAY 2018

Alhamdulillah, thanks to ALLAH Almighty for HIS blessing and guidance. Thank you to my supervisors Assoc. Prof. Dr Mutalib bin Leman and Assoc. Prof. Dr Ishak bin Baba for their supervision. Also my foremost appreciation to parents – Ayahanda Mohd Asari and Bonda Saripah, my lovely wife Dr Norhaida, daughters- Nuhidayah, Nurhannah and Nurhadirah; and family on their support along the study.



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Abdul Mutalib bin Leman and my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr Ishak bin Baba for the supervision given throughout the duration for this research.

I also like to express my appreciation to the Safety Officers that assisting me in data collection, the Department of Safety and Health (DOSH), Johor and Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB), Johor for their assistance and support.



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ABSTRACT

The increasing trend of occupational accident due to unsafe act and unsafe condition especially in construction site suggests the need for more proactive safety assessment model. Therefore this research aimed to establish a prediction model of safety behaviour based on safety psychology and working environment factors in construction site. Theory of Planned Behaviour (TpB) was adapted to examine on the prediction model of safety behaviour among construction workers using safety psychology representing unsafe act and working environment factors representing unsafe condition. A modified perception questionnaire named Safety Psychometric Model (SPM) was proposed based on TpB questionnaire and safety attitude questionnaire (SQA). Previously, the approach has successfully applied in health care and manufacturing sector. The questionnaire has been validated by three industrial and academic experts. A total of 554 respondents among 92 construction site were selected as the subjects for analysis. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) and Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) was use for analysis purpose which involve correlation, regression and structural equation analysis. The results demonstrated that safety psychology and work environment factor was related positively with safety behaviour intention. The elements of workers' attitude, subjective norm and perceived control that form the safety psychology context found to be significantly has the ability to predict safety behaviour. The demographics variances of personal and education background, working experiences and training background also determine as the factors of safety behaviour of the construction workers. The research also successfully established a safety behaviour prediction model that named Safety Psychometric Model. The model can be benefited by safety practitioners, organizations and researchers to explore the safety behaviour prediction. It also enhanced the knowledge in the area of employee behaviour prediction and modelling.

ABSTRAK

Trend peningkatan kemalangan pekerjaan akibat tindakan dan keadaan tidak selamat terutamanya di tapak pembinaan menunjukkan keperluan untuk model penilaian keselamatan yang lebih proaktif. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini berhasrat membina model ramalan tingkah-laku keselamatan berdasarkan psikologi keselamatan dan faktor persekitaran kerja di tapak pembinaan. Teori Tingkahlaku Dirancang diadaptasi untuk mengkaji model ramalan tingkahlaku keselamatan di kalangan pekerja pembinaan menggunakan psikologi keselamatan mewakili tindakan tidak selamat dan faktor persekitaran kerja mewakili keadaan tidak selamat. Soal-selidik persepsi diubahsuai yang dinamakan Model Psikometrik Keselamatan dibina berdasarkan soal selidik TpB dan sikap keselamatan. Pendekatan ini telah berjaya diterapkan dalam sektor hospitaliti dan pembuatan. Soal selidik telah disahkan oleh tiga pakar industri dan akademik. 554 responden dari 92 tapak pembinaan dipilih untuk dianalisis. Pemodelan Persamaan Struktur dan Pakej Statistik untuk Sains Sosial digunakan untuk tujuan analisis yang melibatkan analisis korelasi, regresi dan analisis persamaan struktur. Hasil menunjukkan kaitan psikologi keselamatan dan faktor persekitaran kerja dengan niat tingkahlaku keselamatan adalah positif. Unsur sikap pekerja, norma subjektif dan kawalan pengamatan yang membentuk konteks psikologi keselamatan didapati signifikan mempunyai kebolehan untuk meramalkan tingkah-laku keselamatan pekerja. Faktor kepelbagaian demografi latarbelakang peribadi dan pendidikan, pengalaman kerja dan latarbelakang latihan juga menentukan tingkah laku keselamatan pekerja pembinaan. Penyelidikan juga berjaya mewujudkan model ramalan tingkahlaku keselamatan. Model ini dapat dimanfaatkan oleh pengamal, organisasi dan penyelidik keselamatan pekerjaan untuk meneroka ramalan perilaku keselamatan. Ia juga meningkatkan pengetahuan dalam kajian peramalan perilaku dan pemodelan perilaku pekerja.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF THESIS	ii
VICE VOCE EXAMINATION PANEL	iii
TITLE	iv
DECLARATION	v
DEDICATION	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
ABSTRAK	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xix
GLOSSARY	xxi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Questions	7
1.4 Research Objectives	7
1.5 Scope of Research	8
1.5.1 Focus of research	8
1.5.2 Sampling limits	8
1.5.3 Anonymity and confidentiality	9
1.5.4 Subject of research	10
1.6 Significance of Research	10
1.7 Thesis Arrangement	11
1.8 Summary	12

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Defining Safety Behaviour, Safety Psychology and Working Environment	13
2.3 Safety Behaviour in Construction	15
2.4 Theory and Models to Explain Factors Influencing Safety Behaviour	16
2.4.1 Theory of Planned Behaviour (TpB)	17
2.4.2 Health Belief Model	19
2.4.3 Theory of Reason Action (TRA)	20
2.4.4 Theory of Stages of Changes Model	21
2.5 Factors Influencing Safety Behaviour	22
2.5.1 Psychological Factors	23
2.5.2 Working Environment Factors	24
2.5.3 Personal Characteristic Factors	25
2.6 Application of TpB in previous research	27
2.7 Influence of safety psychology to safety behaviour	29
2.7.1 Safety Attitude	30
2.7.2 Subjective norm	33
2.7.3 Perceive control	34
2.8 Influence of working environment factors on safety behaviour	36
2.8.1 Management Commitment	38
2.8.2 Management System	40
2.9 Influence of personal characteristic to safety behaviour	42
2.9.1 Personality type	42
2.9.2 Personality background	44
2.10 Construction safety in Malaysia scenario	45
2.10.1 Safety Behaviour Study in Malaysian Construction	45
2.10.2 Construction Law and Regulation in Malaysia	46
2.10.3 National Safety Vision in Construction	48
2.11 Summary of literature	50
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	51
3.1 Introduction	51
3.2 Conceptual Framework	51
3.3 Research Design	53

3.4	Population, Samples and Sampling Procedure	58
3.4.1	Target population and sample	58
3.4.2	Sample Size Justification	60
3.4.3	Respondent Setting	60
3.5	Development of Survey Instrument	62
3.5.1	Demographics Information	64
3.5.2	Safety behaviour Intentions	65
3.5.3	Attitude towards the behaviour	66
3.5.4	Subjective Norms	67
3.5.5	Perceived behavioural control	68
3.5.6	Work environment factor	69
3.5.7	Elicitation research	69
3.5.8	Questionnaire Validation	71
3.6	Pilot Research	72
3.6.1	Pilot Research Reliability and Validity	73
3.6.2	Pilot Research Distribution	74
3.6.3	Pilot Survey Analysis	75
3.7	Operationalize of Questionnaire Survey	75
3.8	Data Collection Procedure	76
3.9	Statistical Data Analysis	77
3.9.1	Statistical Analysis	78
3.9.2	Analysis Using SEM	78
3.10	SEM Analysis Stages	79
3.10.1	Model specification	79
3.10.2	Model identification	81
3.10.3	Parameter estimation	81
3.10.4	Testing	81
3.10.5	Interpretation and reporting	82
3.11	Analysis for Research Question	84
3.12	Summary of methodology	85
	CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND ANALYSIS	86
4.1	Introduction	86
4.2	Preliminary Data Analysis	86
4.2.1	Examination of returned questionnaires	87
4.2.2	Respondent's Demographic Data Distribution	88

4.3	SEM data analysis	91
4.3.1	Confirmatory factor analysis	91
4.3.2	Measurement Model Analysis	93
4.4	Model Fit Analysis	100
4.5	Summary of Measurement Model	101
4.6	Analysis of Research Questions	102
4.6.1	Research Question 1	102
4.6.2	Research Question 2	103
4.6.3	Research Question 3	107
4.6.4	Research Question 4	109
4.7	Result and Analysis Summary	110
4.7.1	Influences of Company Sizes toward Safety Behaviour	111
4.7.2	Influences of Worker's Profile toward Safety Behaviour	112
4.7.3	Influences of Safety Psychology toward Safety Behaviour	113
4.8	Determine of the Final Model	115
4.8.1	Model 1 - Explicit Safety Psychometric Model	117
4.8.2	Model 2 - Implicit Safety Psychometric Model	118
4.8.3	The comprehensive model combined	120
4.8.4	The model modification through item removal	122
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		125
5.1	Introduction	125
5.2	Conclusion of the research objectives	125
5.3	The Safety Psychometric Model Summary	127
5.3.1	Core Assumptions and Statements	127
5.3.2	The Dimension of Safety Psychometric Model	128
5.3.3	The Model Construct	129
5.3.4	Recommended data collection method	129
5.3.5	Scope and Application	130
5.4	Consideration	130
5.5	Recommendation for Future Research	131
REFERENCES		132
APPENDICES		157

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Distribution of construction worker by position in Malaysia (CIDB, 2014)	59
3.2	No of project awarded based on location (CIDB, 2016a)	61
3.3	The project registered by category in 2016 (CIDB, 2016a)	62
3.4	The demographic items in the survey	64
3.5	The questions construct for elicitation research	70
3.6	The detail description about the expert experiences	72
3.7	Combination the component for SPM	81
3.8	Fit indices in SEM reporting	83
3.9	The summary of research question and analysis	84
4.1	The setting of the model involve in analysis	92
4.2	The fit indices comparisons for Model 1	96
4.3	Covariance: (Group number 1 - Default Model 1)	93
4.4	The fit indices comparisons for Model 2	99
4.5	Covariance: (Group number 1 - Default Model 2)	99
4.6	Comparison of Goodness-Of-Fit Statistics for each proposed model	100
4.7	The summary of Model 1 and 2 model fit analysis	102
4.8	Correlation results of Working Environment and Safety behaviour Intention	103
4.9	ANOVA result for company background and safety intention behaviour	104
4.10	ANOVA result for personal background and safety intention behaviour	105
4.11	ANOVA result for working background and safety intention behaviour	105
4.12	ANOVA result for safety training background and safety intention behaviour	106

4.13	The summary result of regression analysis for research question 2	107
4.14	Model Summary for research constructs	108
4.15	ANOVA between behaviour intention and safety psychology	108
4.16	Regression coefficients analysis result	108
4.17	Model Summary for research constructs	109
4.18	ANOVA between behaviour intention and implicit safety psychology	109
4.19	Regression coefficients analysis result	109
4.20	The summary of goodness of fit of Model 1 and Model 2	110
4.21	The comparison of proposed framework and final framework construct	116
5.1	The dimension's concept, definition and application	128



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	The Health Belief Model Framework	20
2.2	The Theory of Reason Action	21
2.3	The Stage of Changes Model framework	22
2.4	The theoretical construct of safety behaviour intention	23
2.5	The factor involve in working environment from SAQ	25
2.6	The six initiative of OSHMP2020 trough SMI2020	49
3.1	The conceptual framework of the research	52
3.2	Research design adapted from previous research	54
3.3	Survey components for Safety Psychology and Working Condition factors	57
3.1	Three main context of research for Safety Psychometric	63
3.5	The original components of Safety behaviour Intention	66
3.6	The construct of attitude towards safety behaviour	66
3.7	The construct of subjective norm	69
3.8	The construct of perceive behaviour control	68
3.9	Conceptual model of Model 1 and 2	80
4.1	The conceptual model of Model 1and 2	92
4.2	Structural model for Model 1	94
4.3	Measurement model for Model	94
4.4	Model 1 after Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	95
4.5	Structural model for Model 2	97
4.6	Measurement model for Model 2	98
4.7	Model 2 after Confirmatory Factor Analysis	98
4.8	The Explicit Model of Safety Psychometric	117
4.9	The Implicit Model of Safety Psychometric	119
4.1	The Safety Psychometric Model in construction site	121
5.1	The Safety Psychometric Model construct	129

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<i>AIC</i>	- Akaike information Criterion
<i>AMOS</i>	- Analysis of a moment structures
<i>ANOVA</i>	- Analysis of Variance
<i>ATID</i>	- Implicit safety attitude
<i>ATM</i>	- Explicit safety attitude
<i>BCC</i>	- Browne-Cudeck criterion
<i>BIC</i>	- Bayesian Information Criterion
<i>CAIC</i>	- Constant Akaike information Criterion
<i>CFI</i>	- Comparative fit index
<i>CFA</i>	- Confirmatory factor analysis
<i>CIDB</i>	- Construction Industry Development Board
<i>CMIN</i>	- chi-square goodness of fit
<i>DOSH</i>	- Department of Occupational Safety and Health
<i>ECVI</i>	- Constant multiple of AIC
<i>FMA</i>	- Factory and Machinery Act
<i>GC</i>	- Green Card
<i>GFI</i>	- Goodness fit index
<i>HBM</i>	- Health Belief Model
<i>IFI</i>	- Incremental fit index
<i>ISO</i>	- International Standard Organization
<i>JS</i>	- Job satisfaction
<i>MECVI</i>	- Constant multiple of BCC
<i>ML</i>	- Maximum Likelihood Estimation
<i>MoHR</i>	- Ministry of Human Resources
<i>MPC</i>	- Malaysia Productivity Corporation
<i>MPRC</i>	- Malaysia Petroleum Resources Corporation

<i>NFI</i>	- Normed fit index
<i>NIOSH</i>	- National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
<i>OSHA</i>	- Occupational Safety and Health Act
<i>OSHMP</i>	- Occupational Safety and Health Master Plan
<i>PCFI</i>	- parsimony adjustment to the CFI
<i>PCID</i>	- Implicit perceive control
<i>PCM</i>	- Explicit perceive control
<i>PM</i>	- Perception of management
<i>PNFI</i>	- Parsimony adjustment to the NFI
<i>PPE</i>	- Personal protective equipment
<i>QLASSIC</i>	- Quality Assessment System In Construction
<i>RFI</i>	- Relative fit index
<i>RMSEA</i>	- Root mean square error of approximation
<i>SAQ</i>	- Safety Attitude Questionnaire
<i>SC</i>	- Safety climate
<i>SCM</i>	- Safety Climate Model
<i>SEM</i>	- Structural equation modelling
<i>SHASSIC</i>	- Safety and Health Assessment System in Construction
<i>SICW</i>	- Safety Induction for Construction Workers
<i>SNID</i>	- Implicit Subjective norm
<i>SNM</i>	- Explicit Subjective Norm
<i>SPM</i>	- Safety psychometric model
<i>SPSS</i>	- Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
<i>SR</i>	- Stress recognition
<i>TpB</i>	- Theory of Planned Behaviour
<i>TLI</i>	- Tucker Lewis index
<i>TRA</i>	- Theory of Reason Action
<i>TW</i>	- Teamwork
<i>UTHM</i>	- Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
<i>WE</i>	- Work environment
α	- Cronbach's Alpha

GLOSSARY

- Safety - A model as a representative of safety behaviour prediction
- Psychometric Model - of construction workers designed to tackle the issue of unsafe act and unsafe behaviour in construction site.
- Safety Behaviour - The readiness of a person to performed a behaviour, and it is considered as the immediate antecedent of behaviour
- Intention
- Safety Psychology - Psychological components represent the unsafe act of the employees in construction site.
- Factor
- Working Environment: - The surrounding conditions in which an employee operates. It represent unsafe condition at the working area.
- Workers - The demographic element of the workers such as company background, employment background and training background.
- Personality



PTTA ALITHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNJUKKAN AMINAH

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Questionnaire survey form	157
B	The construct of Theory of Planned behaviour questionnaire	160
C	Basic elicitation questionnaire	167
D	Elicitation survey result	171
E	Pilot research questionnaire	174
F	Pilot research result	181
G	Expert validation request letter	185
H	Expert validation form for review	186
I	Cover letter for university approval form	194
J	Descriptive statistic result	195
K	List of site involves in the survey	201
L	Pilot reliability result	204
M	Missing value analysis	217
N	Analysis result for Working Environment and Safety behaviour Intention	220
O	ANOVA result for company background and safety intention behaviour	222
P	ANOVA between behaviour intention and implicit safety psychology	228
Q	Structural Equation Analysis for Models	232

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The construction sector remains to be the highest contributor in an occupational accident statistic in the developing country (Sorensen and Dennerlein, 2014). In the developing country, the fatality risk level in construction sector is five times more acceptable than in a manufacturing based industry, whereas three to six times higher in term of the major injury risk (Gürcanli and Müngen, 2013). In Malaysia for the period of 2011 until 2014, construction sector is the highest fatality in occupational accident involving 136 cases (Department of Occupational Safety and Health, 2015). The number of accidents signifies the safety and health level of the particular workplace and correspondingly the safety performance of the site. In spite of vast initiatives taken by the government and industry for the improvement of safety performance, the result is still far from achieving the target (Construction Industry Development Board, 2014). Therefore, these facts indicate that more initiatives need to be carried out in ensuring better result of safety performance in the future, particularly in construction sector.

Regulators, practitioners, and researchers have investigated many possibilities for designing the safety performance assessment tools, which can support complex organization such as construction sites (Matt Gillen, 2013). Various construction companies have also tried to improve their safety performance through behaviour improvement together with system improvement such as behaviour base system (BBS) and ISO18001 system (Dollard, et al., 2012).

Unfortunately, they still lack of comprehensive guidelines and framework that emphasis on working environment and psychological factor available, which has force them to perform the evaluation separately. Neither the industry nor the scientific literature have to provide cohesive framework and guidelines at the stage where the items could be conceptually understood (Yoon et al., 2013). They need to provide the ideas related to define the parameter, measurement method, or factors that are important and crucial for the evaluation, which will lead to the best possible information needed.

In Malaysian construction scenario, it is determine that the two major contributors of accident are, work environment and work practice (Asari and Leman, 2016). These facts are also supported by prior research, indicating safety culture and safety climate as significant in reducing the injuries, illnesses and fatalities on construction site (Matt Gillen, 2013). In another research, Cooper (2013) suggested that safety standards, goals, and safety management, which include employee commitment, communications, workplace hazards and physical work environment determines the safety performance of a construction site. Furthermore, Ibrahim (2012) asserted that safety culture of an organization is the main contributor of safety performance and it reflects through safety climate and safety attitude of the organizations. Moreover, it defines that one of the way to comprehensively measure the safety performance is by evaluating the two elements mentioned above that are safety attitude and safety climate of the organizations.

Construction safety has always been a complex matter because it requires the cooperation of many parties and their employers in complicated organizational structures such as client, main contractor, subcontractors and scenario that changes from day to day (Huay et. al, 2015). The situation is much more unpredictable and dynamic (Spears, 2013). They are facing issues and problem including workforce considerations, safety, time constraints and the changing nature of the work (Spillane and Oyedele, 2013). Despite that, construction companies nowadays operate in a situation with increasing the level of regulation ranging from health and safety to sustainability, labour law and completion schedule, beside facing aggressive global competition (Sousa et al., 2014). Malaysian construction firms are also facing the same situation or might be worse. The main issues facing by them are unsafe act and unsafe condition, lack of awareness of safety regulations,

worker turnover and false acts, lack of proper safety training, human and physical factor and poor safety awareness (Huay et al., 2015). It clearly indicates that working condition, workers behaviour, safety training and safety management system contribute directly on safety performance in construction site.

According to research (Cooper, 2013), 80% of work-related accidents are caused by workers unsafe behaviour. Due to this fact, organization and authority has shifted their focus from system safety to behavioural safety (Leitão and Greiner, 2017). As of recently, Ministry of Human Resources (MoHR) through their government body the Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH), has introduced Occupational Safety and Health Master Plan (2016-2020) which focuses on preventive culture embedded into each worker in the construction sector (MoHR, 2016). The master plan is adapting the principle of accountability and self-regulation towards strengthening the establishment of Safe Work Culture among employers and employees. This strategy confirms that there is a need of the organization to implement safety management throughout safety behaviour improvement as highlighted by the government. Thus, the organization needs to understand and be aware of the level of their current safety behaviour and how to evaluate it. However, traditionally there is a lack of guideline and framework that may be used by the organization for this implementation (Pinto et al., 2011). Therefore, the industries need a tool and instrument for evaluating their employee's safety behaviour to understand and to be aware of their safety behaviour level.

In the context of this research, past literature shows that the success of safety performance is based on workers involvement and employer commitment (J. Zhang, 2011). It was also known that unsafe behaviour estimated that 85% of workers accidents can be attributed to unsafe acts (Choudhry, 2014) such as using a wrong tools and neglecting the safety sign. Therefore, it is a great advantage for the safety practitioner to know the safety behaviour of the company's employees for substantial safety strategy and implementation. Moreover, it will be beneficial if they can predict it. From this research view point, safety performance needs to be measured using leading indicator rather than a normal traditional way by using accident rate, number of fatality and injuries cases.

The traditional ways of measure only promote reactive action rather than proactive action, is necessary for safety management. Therefore, the aim this

research is to establish a theoretical framework as tools, allowing understanding and predicting safety behaviour of construction workers in a systematic way. The establish framework will intend to assist the safety practitioners, scholars and safety professionals to improve their knowledge and practice on safety.

Previous research Guo et al. (2015) confirmed that the cause of the accident is due to unsafe act and unsafe condition. Both of these two element in safety prediction is vital since it work improve the level of the comprehensiveness and efficacy of the model (Nkem et al., 2015). Studies on the safety performance usually only focused on the either one of the elements (Shin et al., 2014). Base on the literature studies, The Theory of Planned Behaviour (TpB) has been widely used in other industry such as hospital safety by Lopez-Mosquera (2016) studied occupational safety and health conditions at work, and driving behaviour by Castanier et al. (2013) studied truck driving behaviour towards compliance with regulations. However, this in this study the researcher attempt to use psychological constructs from TpB to analyse factors that affect the safety behaviour with the addition of working environment components in construction sector. The result of the research will provide theoretical model that can be used to predict safety behaviour intention in construction site.

1.2 Problem Statement

In comparing to others sector such as manufacturing and service sector, construction job is among the dangerous job in the world (Franco-Duran and Mejia A, 2016). In most developing countries, it is identified as the highest contributor for occupational accident and fatal injuries. According to International Labour Organization (ILO), construction sites in developing countries are 10 times more dangerous than in industrialized countries (International Labour Organization, 2016). In Malaysia from 2011 until 2014, construction sector showed highest fatalities in occupational accident involving 35% of total fatality occupational accident cases (Asari and Leman, 2016). This statistic demonstrates the severity of the issue and result the focus on the level of workplace accidents in the construction sector is unacceptably high and requires attention. Therefore, this research choose constructions site as the most relevant place for adapting the study.

Occupational safety and health risk in construction industry has risen a growing awareness by various interested party for the last decades (Lu et al., 2016). In spite of the massive improvement and initiatives is being done, the rate of accident is still higher than the other industry (Choudhry, 2014). Alarcón et. al. (2016) asserted that the majority of the failure in safety implementation is due to the lack of preventive action implemented in a strategy, the lower the accident rate. Givehchi et. al. (2017) also suggests predictive and preventive role of leading indicators that can act as a monitoring tool for detection and identification of hidden failures. These situations suggest that by applying preventive action, it will help to improve safety performance in construction site. However, it is found that there is much less literature that provides the preventive model in construction industry (Shin et al., 2014). This strongly indicates that there is a need of tool that could provide measurement on leading indicator of safety performance that should be able to assist in accident prevention planning and implementation. Due to lack of safety performance leading indicator, this research plan to develop an alternative tools and model that can be used to performed the task.

Prior research conducted by Hecker et al. (2014) confirmed that the majority of accident in the workplace was due to two main factors; unsafe act such as using inappropriate tools while working and unsafe condition such as working at height. For the last decades safety practitioners and scholars have focused in eliminating unsafe conditions through development of safety administration such as policies, legislation and training (Mary, 2013). The initiatives had helped in the reduction of accident rate and fatalities. However, it only reflects on certain type of industries, such as manufacturing and service industry while it is not successfully and completely reflecting construction industry (Man et al., 2017). These results strongly suggest that aside from the removal of unsafe conditions such as high rise construction site, effort needs to be made in other areas as well as improving unsafe behaviour such as not following safety sign. Thus, it is crucial for organization to focus on understanding the unsafe behaviours of construction in order to reducing or eliminating accident is construction site. Therefore, this research aimed to provide a comprehensive safety prediction model that will cover unsafe act and unsafe condition that surely will benefit the industry.

Measuring safety behaviour prediction was very sensitive towards the emotional state of the respondents (Kays et al., 2012). Theoretically, behaviour

cannot be measure but only can be predicted (Wright et al., 2014). Greaves et al. (2013) suggest that intention is considered as precursor and best predictor of behaviour. There are many theories regarding behaviour prediction. TpB (Ajzen, 2010) has been selected as the underpinning theory of this research due to the ability to measure individual motivational factors as determinants of the likelihood of performing specific behaviour (D Kasprzyk et al., 2015). This theory is the most widely used in prediction individual behaviour intention towards curtained behavioural interest (Ruffing, 2013). Previously, this theory has been successfully apply in many disciplines, domains and settings, such as food intake psychology (Riebl et al., 2015), patient perception towards safety (Omura et al., 2015), organizational environment individual differences (Kilian et al., 2017) and driving behaviour among young driver (Leandro, 2012), there is only little evidence that shows the adoption and testing of this theory has been conducted into construction site. Even there were some evidence that this theory has been tested in construction site such as in safety climate (Fogarty and Shaw, 2010), proactive behaviour (Li et al, 2015), environmental management (Sánchez-Medina et al., 2014), community based (Marin et al, 2015) and technology adoption (H. Guo et al., 2017), these studies only focused on dedicated interest areas that did not provide holistic framework or guideline that can be generalized into other settings in construction site. Therefore, this study will attempt to provide a theoretical structure that can support and assist safety behaviour prediction assessment.

Malaysia as a developing county has initiate Economic Transformation Programme which involve the government agencies with the affiliation of PETRONAS and Dialog Group Berhad has initiated RM 60 billion Refinery and Petrochemical Integrated Development Project and RM 5 billion Pengerang Independent Deep water Petroleum Terminal in which both project are located in the state of Johor (Malaysia Petroleum Resources Corporation, 2016). This rapid development of infrastructure and facilities will make the state of Johor as one of the highest numbers of construction site and investment. This high demand of foreign manpower urgently will create competency and psycho social issues which may result in potentially increasing number of accident cases (Chen et al., 2017). Therefore, it clearly shows that Johor is the most fitted place to conduct the research in term of this research context. The project that involve the construction

of high rise building, road traffic and housing development has made Johor is the most suitable population for the study and directly will help the industry itself.

1.3 Research Questions

In this research, the emphasis is on how this research could be utilized to support the development of safety behaviour prediction model. Therefore, the questions dwell on how to verify the validity of each component and dimension on the studied relationship towards the issues. Specifically, the research sought to answer these questions:

- (i) What is the relationship between measures of working environment factors, and behavioural intention in terms of performing safe work practice.
- (ii) What is the relationship between worker's demographic variables, and the constructs of safety behaviour intention in construction site.
- (iii) What is the influence of working environment towards each construct in the safety psychology factor (attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioural control).
- (iv) Can the three constructs in the safety psychology factors (attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioural control) be used to predict safety behavioural intentions in construction site.

1.4 Research Objectives

The purpose of this research is to develop and establish a behaviour safety performance evaluation model that can be used to predict safety behaviour intention based on the psychological factors. The model is name as Safety Psychometric Model (SPM). Previous research finding shows that working environment has also high contribution to accident, so therefore intervention of safety culture need to be involved in this research.

In order to answer the research question, the research has identified three main objectives for the research as stated below:

- (i) To determine the relationship between working environment factors, and safety psychology factors in terms of performing safe work practice.
- (ii) To rank the relationship among construction worker's characteristics dimensions with the safety behaviour intention of performing safe work practice.
- (iii) To examine the efficacy of working environment and safety psychology factors to determine safety behaviour intention in construction site.
- (iv) To develop a worker's safety behaviour prediction theoretical framework in construction site.

1.5 Scope of Research

This research has its own strength since it is a theory-based research supported by sufficient sample population size to detect substantial variance with acceptable power. It also adapted cross-cultural instruments which showed good reliability in its relationship. Nevertheless, several limitations have been identified in this research as follows.

1.5.1 Focus of research

This research examines factors that relate to behavioural intention in which no evaluation of actual behaviour is attempted. This is due to the objective of the research that is just to understand the intention level of the employees. The use of a cross-sectional correlation design limits the testing for the causal direction between intention and actual behaviour which the TpB initially suggested. Moreover, it is not appropriate to perform assessment on the actual behaviour since it involves simulating the dangerous act and sensitive issues of etiquette. No evaluation of the intensity of the personal factor (i.e. safety culture, training and competency, work relationship and language barrier, safety committee and safety organization, safety and health promotion) is attempted. Its intensity is the actual safety related program which relates to their effectiveness will not be measured since it involves confidential data and massive documentation compilation.

REFERENCES

- AAMT. (2015). Promoting positive attitudes towards mathematics. Adelaide SA. Retrieved from www.aamt.edu.au
- Acharya, A. S., Prakash, A., Saxena, P., & Nigam, A. (2013). Sampling: why and how of it? *Indian Journal of Medical Specialities*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.7713/ijms.2013.0032>
- Adjekum, D. K. (2014). Safety Culture Perceptions in a Collegiate Aviation Program : A Systematic Assessment. *Journal of Aviation Technology and Engineering*, 2(3), 44–56. Retrieved from <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/jate> Journal
- Agnew, C., Flin, R., & Mearns, K. (2013). Patient safety climate and worker safety behaviours in acute hospitals in Scotland. *Journal of Safety Research*, 45, 95–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2013.01.008>
- Ajslev, J., Dastjerdi, E. L., Dyreborg, J., Kines, P., Jeschke, K. C., Sundstrup, E., Andersen, L. L. (2017). Safety climate and accidents at work: Cross-sectional study among 15,000 workers of the general working population. *Safety Science*, 91, 320–325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.08.029>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Ajzen, I. (2010). Constructing a theory of planned behavior questionnaire. *Biofeedback and Selfregulation*, 17, 1–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Ajzen, I. (2013). Teory of Planned Behaviour Questionnaire. *Measurement Instrument Database for the Social Science*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.13072/midss.649>

- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (2010). Predicting Changing Behavior: The Reason Action Approach. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (Vol. 53). Hove, East Sussex: Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Akamangwa, N. (2016). Working for the environment and against safety: How compliance affects health and safety on board ships. *Safety Science*, 87, 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.03.027>
- Alarcón, L. F., Acuña, D., Diethelm, S., & Pellicer, E. (2016). Strategies for improving safety performance in construction firms. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 94, 107–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.05.021>
- Alberdi, A., Aztiria, A., & Basarab, A. (2016). Towards an automatic early stress recognition system for office environments based on multimodal measurements: A review. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 59, 49–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2015.11.007>
- Ali, H., Azimah Chew Abdullah, N., & Subramaniam, C. (2009). Management practice in safety culture and its influence on workplace injury. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 18(5), 470–477. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09653560911003660>
- Angelakis, I., & Austin, J. L. (2015). Maintenance of Safety Behaviors via Response-Produced Stimuli. *Behavior Modification*, 39(6), 932–954. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445515610314>
- Arbuckle, J. L. (2013). IBM SPSS Amos 22 user's guide, 673. Retrieved from ftp://public.dhe.ibm.com/software/analytics/spss/documentation/amos/22.0/en/Manuals/IBM_SPSS_Amos_User_Guide.pdf
- Asari, K. S., & Leman, A. M. (2016). Occupational Accident in Malaysia from 2010 to 2014: An Analysis Using Accident Causation Model (ACM). In *2nd International Conference on Science, Technology and Social Sciences* (Vol. 1). Johor Bharu.
- Aziri, B. (2011). Job Satisfaction: a Literature Review. *Management Research and Practice*, 3(4), 77–86. <https://doi.org/DOI:> ,
- Bakar, E. A., Isa, N. S., & Osman, S. (2017). Application of Theory of Planned Behavior in the motor vehicle repair and service industry. *Safety Science*, 98, 70–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.06.001>

- Bakotić, D., & Tomislav, B. (2013). Relationship between Working Conditions and Job Satisfaction: The Case of Croatian Shipbuilding Company. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(2), 206–213.
- Baumann, S., Gaertner, B., Schnuerer, I., Haberecht, K., John, U., & Freyer-Adam, J. (2015). Belief incongruence and the intention-behavior gap in persons with at-risk alcohol use. *Addictive Behaviors*, 48, 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2015.04.007>
- Bhattacharya, Y. (2015). Measuring Safety Culture on Ships Using Safety Climate: A Study among Indian Officers. *International Journal of E-Navigation and Maritime Economy*, 3, 51–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enavi.2015.12.006>
- Boone, H. N. J., & Boone, D. A. (2012). Analyzing Likert data. *Journal of Extension*, 50(2), 30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2004.02012.x>
- Bosak, J., Coetsee, W. J., & Cullinane, S.-J. (2013). Safety climate dimensions as predictors for risk behavior. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 55, 256–264. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.02.022>
- Boster, F. J., Shaw, A. Z., Carpenter, C. J., & Massi Lindsey, L. L. (2014). Simulation of a Dynamic Theory of Reasoned Action. *Simulation & Gaming*, 45(6), 699–731. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046878114562930>
- Breaugh, J. A. (2014). Predicting Voluntary Turnover from Job Applicant Biodata and Other Applicant Information. *Int J Select Assess*, 22(3), 1468–2389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijsa.12080>
- Brinia, V., & Efstathiou, M. (2012). Evaluation of factors affecting training transfer on safety in the workplace: a case study in a big factory in Greece. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 44(4), 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00197851211231496>
- Brondino, M., Pasini, M., & da Silva, S. C. A. (2013). Development and validation of an Integrated Organizational Safety Climate Questionnaire with multilevel confirmatory factor analysis. *Quality and Quantity*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-011-9651-6>
- Bunting, J., Branche, C., & Trahan, C. (2017). A national safety stand-down to reduce construction worker falls. *Journal of Safety Research*, 60, 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2016.12.005>
- Cangur, S., & Ercan, I. (2015). *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods* Comparison of Model Fit Indices Used in Structural Equation Modeling

Under Multivariate Normality. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 14(1), 152–167. <https://doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1430453580>

- Carpenter, M. (2010). *Personality, Attitudes, and Work Behaviors. The Principles of Management* (1st ed.). Minnesota, USA: University of Minnesota Libraries.
- Castanier, C., Deroche, T., & Woodman, T. (2013). Theory of planned behaviour and road violations: The moderating influence of perceived behavioural control. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 18, 148–158. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2012.12.014>
- Celik, M. (2011). A theoretical approach to the job satisfaction. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 4, 7–15.
- Chataway, E. S., Kaplan, S., Nielsen, T. A. S., & Prato, C. G. (2014). Safety perceptions and reported behavior related to cycling in mixed traffic: A comparison between Brisbane and Copenhagen. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 23, 32–43. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2013.12.021>
- Chen, C.-F., & Chen, S.-C. (2014). Measuring the effects of Safety Management System practices, morality leadership and self-efficacy on pilots' safety behaviors: Safety motivation as a mediator. *Safety Science*, 62, 376–385. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.09.013>
- Chen, Y., & McCabe, B. (2017). Impact of individual resilience and safety climate on safety performance and psychological stress of construction workers: A case study of the Ontario construction industry. *Journal of Safety Research*, 61, 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.02.014>
- Cheng, E. W. L., Ryan, N., & Kelly, S. (2012). Exploring the perceived influence of safety management practices on project performance in the construction industry. *Safety Science*, 50(2), 363–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.09.016>
- Choi, B., Ahn, S., & Lee, S. (2015). Construction Workers' Group Norms and Personal Standards Regarding Safety Behavior: Social Identity Theory Perspective, 33(4), 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000511](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000511).
- Choi, B., Ahn, S., & Lee, S. H. (2017). Role of Social Norms and Social Identifications in Safety Behavior of Construction Workers. I: Theoretical

Model of Safety Behavior under Social Influence. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 143(5), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862.0001271](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001271)

- Choudhry, R. M. (2014). Behavior-based safety on construction sites : A case study. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 70, 14–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2014.03.007>
- CIDB. (2014). CIDB Annual Report, 2014. CIDB Website. Putrajaya. Retrieved from <http://www.cidb.gov.my>
- CIDB. (2015). CIDB Annual Report 2015. Putrajaya. Retrieved from <http://www.cidb.gov.my>
- CIDB. (2016a). Construction Project Awarded to Contractor in Malaysia (2016). Putrajaya. Retrieved from https://www.cidb.gov.my/cidbv4/images/pdf/buletin/2014/bahagian_2_q4.pdf
- CIDB. (2016b). Contractor and Procedures Handbook. Putrajaya. Retrieved from <http://www.cidb.gov.my>
- Cole, K. S., Stevens-adams, S. M., & Wenner, C. a. (2013). A Literature Review of Safety Culture. New Mexico. Retrieved from <http://prod.sandia.gov/techlib/access-control.cgi/2013/132754.pdf>
- Colley, S. K., Lincolne, J., & Neal, A. (2013). An examination of the relationship amongst profiles of perceived organizational values, safety climate and safety outcomes. *Safety Science*, 51(1), 69–76. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2012.06.001>
- Cooper, D. (2013). Behavioural Safety - Reducing workplace accidents. Retrieved from <http://www.behavioural-safety.com/articles/BSMS - BBS ebook.pdf>
- Cornelissen, P. A., Van Hoof, J. J., & De Jong, M. D. T. (2017). Determinants of safety outcomes and performance: A systematic literature review of research in four high-risk industries. *Journal of Safety Research*, 62, 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.06.009>
- D. Smith, T., & M. DeJoy, D. (2014). Safety climate, safety behaviors and line-of-duty injuries in the fire service. *International Journal of Emergency Services*, 3(1), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJES-04-2013-0010>
- D Kasprzyk, DE Montano. (2015). Theory of reasoned action, theory of planned behavior, and the integrated behavioral model. In *Health Behavior Theory, Research And Practice* (pp. 96–100).

- Dawson, L., Mullan, B., & Sainsbury, K. (2015). Using the theory of planned behaviour to measure motivation for recovery in anorexia nervosa. *Appetite*, 84, 309–315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.10.028>
- De Boeck, E., Mortier, A. V., Jacxsens, L., Dequidt, L., & Vlerick, P. (2017). Towards an extended food safety culture model: Studying the moderating role of burnout and jobstress, the mediating role of food safety knowledge and motivation in the relation between food safety climate and food safety behavior. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 62, 202–214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.01.004>
- Debra A. Murphy, Diane M. Herbeck, William D. Marelich, and M. A. S. (2010). Predictors of Sexual Behavior Among Early and Middle Adolescents Affected by Maternal HIV. *Int J Sex Health*, 22(3), 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19317611003800614>
- Demirkesen, S., & Arditi, D. (2015). Construction safety personnel's perceptions of safety training practices. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(5), 1160–1169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.01.007>
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health. (2015). Occupational Accidents By Sector Until December 2014 (Investigated) (Vol. 2014). Retrieved from <http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php?lang=en>
- Devriendt, E., Van den Heede, K., Coussement, J., Dejaeger, E., Surmont, K., Heylen, D., ... Milisen, K. (2012). Content validity and internal consistency of the Dutch translation of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: An observational study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 49(3), 327–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2011.10.002>
- Dollard, M. F., Bailey, T., McLinton, S., Richards, P., McTerman, W., Taylor, A., & Bond, S. (2012). The Australian Workplace Barometer : Report on psychosocial safety climate and worker health in Australia, (December), 95.
- Dollard, M. F., Opie, T., Lenthall, S., Wakerman, J., Knight, S., Dunn, S., ... MacLeod, M. (2012). Psychosocial safety climate as an antecedent of work characteristics and psychological strain: A multilevel model. *Work & Stress*, 26(March 2015), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2012.734154>
- Donal O'Brien, P. S. S. (2012). "Correlation and Regression", in Approaches to Quantitative Research – A Guide for Dissertation Students. In Management

Sciences and Quantitative Methods Commons (1st ed., pp. 0–16). Dublin: Ed, Chen, H, Oak Tree Press. Retrieved from <https://explorable.com/correlation-and-regression>

- Durkin, S., Brennan, E., & Wakefield, M. (2012). Mass media campaigns to promote smoking cessation among adults: an integrative review. *Tobacco Control*, 21(2), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050345>
- Eri, Y., Islam, M., & Daud, K. (2011). Factors that Influence Customers' Buying Intention on Shopping Online. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 3(1), 128–139. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijms.v3n1p128>
- Fang, D., & Wu, H. (2013). Development of a Safety Culture Interaction (SCI) model for construction projects. *Safety Science*, 57, 138–149. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.02.003>
- Feng, Y. (2013). Effect of safety investments on safety performance of building projects. *Safety Science*, 59, 28–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.04.004>
- Figl, K., & Saunders, C. (2011). Team Climate and Media Choice in Virtual Teams. *AIS Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(4), 189–213.
- Fleming, M. (2012). Assessing Employee Safety Motivation, (c), 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201200111>
- Fogarty, G. J., & Shaw, A. (2010). Safety climate and the Theory of Planned Behavior: Towards the prediction of unsafe behavior. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 42(5), 1455–1459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.08.008>
- Fox, N., & Hunn, A. (2009). Sampling and Sample Size Calculation. Nottingham, UK. Retrieved from https://www.rds-yh.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/12_Surveys_and_Questionnaires_Revision_2009.pdf
- Franco-Duran, D. M., & Mejia A, G. (2016). How Social Norms Influence Construction Workers' Safety Behavior: A Social Identity Perspective. In J. L. Perdomo-Rivera (Ed.), *Construction Research Congress 2016* (pp. 2039–2049). San Juan, Puerto Rico: American Society of Civil Engineers. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784479827.203>

- Gabrani, A., Hoxha, A., & Simaku, A. (2015). Application of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire (SAQ) in Albanian hospitals: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 5(4), e006528. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006528>
- Gao, L., Wang, S., Li, J., & Li, H. (2017). Resources , Conservation & Recycling Application of the extended theory of planned behavior to understand individual's energy saving behavior in workplaces. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 127(April), 107–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.08.030>
- Garson, G. D. (2012). Testing Statistical Assumptions. Blue Book Series, 1–52. Retrieved from <http://www.statisticalassociates.com/assumptions.pdf>
- Givehchi, S., Hemmativaghef, E., & Hoveidi, H. (2017). Association between safety leading indicators and safety climate levels. *Journal of Safety Research*, 62, 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.05.003>
- Goh, Y. M., & Binte Sa'adon, N. F. (2015). Cognitive Factors Influencing Safety Behavior at Height: A Multimethod Exploratory Study. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 141(6), 4015003. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862.0000972](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000972)
- Goldenhar, L. (2016). Understanding Safety Culture and Safety Climate in Construction. *Professional Safety*, (January), 25. Retrieved from www.asse.org
- Greaves, M., Zibarras, L. D., & Stride, C. (2013). Using the theory of planned behavior to explore environmental behavioral intentions in the workplace. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 34, 109–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.02.003>
- Guo, B. H. W., Yiu, T. W., & González, V. a. (2016). Predicting safety behavior in the construction industry: Development and test of an integrative model. *Safety Science*, 84, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.11.020>
- Guo, B. H. W., Yiu, T. W., & González, V. A. (2015). Identifying behaviour patterns of construction safety using system archetypes. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 80, 125–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.04.008>
- Guo, H., Yu, Y., Xiang, T., Li, H., & Zhang, D. (2017). Automation in Construction The availability of wearable-device-based physical data for the measurement of construction workers's psychological status on site :

From the perspective of safety management. *Automation in Construction*, (June), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017.06.001>

- Gürçanlı, G. E., & Müngen, U. (2013). Analysis of construction accidents in Turkey and responsible parties. *Industrial Health*, 51(6), 581–595. <https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2012-0139>
- Haghighi, M., Rahrovy, E., & Vaezi, H. (2012). An Application of the Theory of Planned behavior (TBP) in describing Customers' Use of Cash Cards in Points of Sale (POS), 2(6), 222–233. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijld.v2i6.2985>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). (2017). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS/SEM)*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Hale, a. R., Guldenmund, F. W., van Loenhout, P. L. C. H., & Oh, J. I. H. (2010). Evaluating safety management and culture interventions to improve safety: Effective intervention strategies. *Safety Science*, 48(8), 1026–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2009.05.006>
- Hamid, A. R. A., Singh, B., Yusof, A., & Abdullah, N. A. M. (2011). The Employment of Foreign Workers at Construction Sites. 2nd International Conference on Construction and Project Management, 15, 126–130. Retrieved from <http://www.ipedr.com/vol15/25-ICCPM2011A00047.pdf>
- Hamid, H. A., Mohd Asmoni, M. N. A., Lokman, M. A. A., & Shaari, N. (2015). An overview of the management commitment to safety elements for mitigating accidents in the construction industry. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 74(2), 1–8.
- Han, S., Lee, S., & Pena-Mora, F. (2012). Vision-based motion detection for safety behavior analysis in construction. *2012 Construction Research*, 1032–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784412329.104>
- Hanson, J. D., Nothwehr, F., Yang, J. G., & Romitti, P. (2015). Indirect and Direct Perceived Behavioral Control and the Role of Intention in the Context of Birth Control Behavior. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 19(7), 1535–1542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-014-1658-x>
- Hazzi, O., & Maldaon, I. (2015). A Pilot Study: Vital Methodological. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 16(1), 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2015.437>
- He, Q., Dong, S., Rose, T., Li, H., Yin, Q., & Cao, D. (2016). Systematic impact of institutional pressures on safety climate in the construction industry.

Accident Analysis and Prevention, 93, 230–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.11.034>

- Hecker, S., & Goldenhar, L. (2014). Understanding Safety Culture and Safety Climate in Construction: Existing Evidence and a Path Forward. *Safety Culture/Climate Workshop*. Silver Spring. Retrieved from <https://www.cpwr.com>
- Hedlund, A., Gummesson, K., Rydell, A., & Andersson, I. M. (2016). Safety motivation at work: Evaluation of changes from six interventions. *Safety Science*, 82, 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.09.006>
- Holte, K. A., Kjestveit, K., & Lipscomb, H. J. (2015). Company size and differences in injury prevalence among apprentices in building and construction in Norway. *Safety Science*, 71(PC), 205–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2014.01.007>
- Huay, S., Wan, S., Nawi, M., Nasrun, M., & Nadzri, W. (2015). Current issues construction project in Malaysia: Safety in construction building. In *Simposium Pengurusan Teknologi, Operasi & Logistik (SIPTIK IV)* (p. 11666).
- Hurley, D. T., & Lebbon, A. R. (2012). A Comparison of Nonfatal Occupational Injuries and Illnesses Among Hispanic Versus Non-Hispanic Workers in the United States. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 34(3), 474–490. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739986312448316>
- Hystad, S. W., & Bye, H. H. (2013). Safety behaviours at sea: The role of personal values and personality hardiness. *Safety Science*, 57, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.01.018>
- Ibrahim, M. E., Hallaq, K. A. M. Al, & Enshassi, A. A. (2012). Safety climate in construction industry the case of gaza strip. *The 4th International Engineering Conference –Towards Engineering of 21st Century*, 1–14.
- Idrees, M. D., Hafeez, M., & Kim, J. (2017). Workers' Age and the Impact of Psychological Factors on the Perception of Safety at Construction Sites. *Sustainability*, 9(5), 745. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9050745>
- Idris, M. A., & Dollard, M. F. (2011). Psychosocial safety climate, work conditions, and emotions in the workplace: A Malaysian population-based work stress study. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 18(4), 324–347. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024849>

- Ifinedo, P. (2014). Information systems security policy compliance: An empirical study of the effects of socialisation, influence, and cognition. *Information & Management*, 51(1), 69–79. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2013.10.001>
- Ikau, R., Joseph, C., & Tawie, R. (2016). Factors Influencing Waste Generation in the Construction Industry in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 234, 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.10.213>
- International Labour Organization. (2016). Workplace Stress. World Day for Safety and Health at Work. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Ismail, Z., Doostdar, S., & Harun, Z. (2012). Factors influencing the implementation of a safety management system for construction sites. *Safety Science*, 50(3), 418–423. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.10.001>
- Jain, V. (2014). 3D Model of Attitude. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 1–12.
- Javadi, M., Kadkhodae, M., Yaghoubi, M., Maroufi, M., & Shams, A. (2013). Applying Theory of Planned Behavior in Predicting of Patient Safety Behaviors of Nurses. *Applying Theory of Planned Behavior in Predicting of Patient Safety Behaviors of Nurses*, 25(2/2013), 52–55. <https://doi.org/10.5455/msm.2013.25.52-55>
- Jones, C. L., Jensen, J. D., Scherr, C. L., Brown, N. R., Christy, K., Weaver, J., ... Weaver, J. (2015). The Health Belief Model as an Explanatory Framework in Communication Research : Exploring Parallel , Serial , and Moderated Mediation The Health Belief Model as an Explanatory Framework in Communication Research : Exploring Parallel , Serial , and Moderat. *Health Communication ISSN:*, 236(March 2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2013.873363>
- Ju, D., Qin, X., Xu, M., & DiRenzo, M. S. (2016). Boundary conditions of the emotional exhaustion-unsafe behavior link: The dark side of group norms and personal control. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 33(1), 113–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-015-9455-7>



- Karib, S., Shaffii, N., Che Soh, C. S., & Abidin, N. I. Z. (2014). Meeting Construction Industry Resources Requirements. *AsiaConstruct Conference Hong Kong*, (45).
- Kays, K., Gathercoal, K., & Buhrow, W. (2012). Does survey format influence self-disclosure on sensitive question items? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(1), 251–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.09.007>
- Kelton, M. L., LeardMann, C. A., Smith, B., Boyko, E. J., Hooper, T. I., Gackstetter, G. D., Smith, T. C. (2010). Exploratory factor analysis of self-reported symptoms in a large, population-based military cohort. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 10(1), 94. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-10-94>
- Khan, F., Rathnayaka, S., & Ahmed, S. (2015). Methods and models in process safety and risk management: Past, present and future. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 98, 116–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2015.07.005>
- Kilian, K., Wiener, K., Ann, M., Kilian, K., & Wiener, K. (2017). Making workplaces safer : The influence of organisational climate and individual differences on safety behaviour. *Heliyon*, (June), e00334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00334>
- Kim, S. S., Dutra, L. M., & Okechukwu, C. A. (2014). Contractor-, steward-, and coworker-safety practice: Associations with musculoskeletal pain and injury-related absence among construction apprentices. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 87(5), 493–500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-013-0889-2>
- Kiriakidis, S. (2015). Theory of Planned Behaviour: the Intention-Behaviour Relationship and the Perceived Behavioural Control (PBC) Relationship with Intention and Behaviour. *International Journal of Strategic Innovative Marketing*, 3, 40–51. <https://doi.org/10.15556/IJSIM.02.03.004>
- Knabe, A. P. (2012). Applying Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior To a Study of Online Course Adoption in Public Relations Education. Marquette University.
- Knofczynski, G. T., & Mundfrom, D. (2008). Sample Sizes When Using Multiple Linear Regression for Prediction. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 68(3), 431–442. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164407310131>

- Knoll, R. W., Valentiner, D. P., & Holzman, J. B. (2017). Development and Initial Test of the Safety Behaviors in Test Anxiety Questionnaire : Superstitious Behavior , Reassurance Seeking , Test Anxiety , and Test Performance. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191116686685>
- Kouabenan, D. R., Ngueutsa, R., & Mbaye, S. (2015). Safety climate, perceived risk, and involvement in safety management. *Safety Science*, 77, 72–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.03.009>
- Kuang, L. C., Davidson, E., & Yao, L. (2012). A Planned Behavior-Based Investigation of Knowledge Sharing in Construction Industry. Proceedings of International Management and Engineering Conference (EMC 2012), 2010(Emc).
- Kuy, S. R., & Romero, R. A. L. (2017). Improving staff perception of a safety climate with crew resource management training. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 213, 177–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.04.013>
- Kvalheim, S. A., Antonsen, S., & Haugen, S. (2016). Safety climate as an indicator for major accident risk: Can we use safety climate as an indicator on the plant level? *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 18, 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2016.05.011>
- Laberge, M., MacEachen, E., & Calvet, B. (2014). Why are occupational health and safety training approaches not effective? Understanding young worker learning processes using an ergonomic lens. *Safety Science*, 68, 250–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2014.04.012>
- Lauren M. Hamel, Steven Kilroy, Janine Bosak, Patrick Christopher Flood, Aoife M McDermott, L. M. (2015). The Impact of Teamwork Climate and Communication on Intention to Report Hospital Critical Incidents. *Academy of Management*, 2009–2009.
- Leandro, M. (2012). Young drivers and speed selection: A model guided by the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 15(3), 219–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2011.12.011>
- Lee, J., Cerreto, F. A., & Lee, J. (2010). Theory of Planned Behavior and Teachers' Decisions Regarding Use of Educational Technology. *Educational Technology & Society*, 13(1), 152–164.

- Leitão, S., & Greiner, B. A. (2017). Psychosocial , Health Promotion and Safety Culture management – Are Health and Safety Practitioners involved ?, 91, 84–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.07.002>
- Leon, A. C., Davis, L. L., & Kraemer, H. C. (2012). Role and Interpretation of Pilot Studies in Clinical Research. *J Psychiatr Res*, 45(5), 626–629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.10.008>.The
- Li, A. T. (2013). Teamwork climate and patient safety attitudes: associations among nurses and comparison with physicians in Taiwan. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 28(1), 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCQ.0b013e318262ac45>
- Li, H., Li, X., Luo, X., & Siebert, J. (2017). Investigation of the causality patterns of non-helmet use behavior of construction workers. *Automation in Construction*, 80, 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017.02.006>
- Li, H., Lu, M., Hsu, S.-C., Gray, M., & Huang, T. (2015). Proactive behavior-based safety management for construction safety improvement. *Safety Science*, 75, 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.01.013>
- Li, Q., Ji, C., Yuan, J., & Han, R. (2017). Developing dimensions and key indicators for the safety climate within China ' s construction teams : A questionnaire survey on construction sites in Nanjing. *Safety Science*, 93, 266–276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.11.006>
- Li, R. Y. M., & Poon, S. W. (2009). Future motivation in construction safety knowledge sharing by means of information technology in Hong Kong. *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*, 4(3), 457–472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35046-7>
- Liu, X., Huang, G., Huang, H., Wang, S., Xiao, Y., & Chen, W. (2015). Safety climate, safety behavior, and worker injuries in the Chinese manufacturing industry. *Safety Science*, 78, 173–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.04.023>
- Lopez-Mosquera, N. (2016). Gender differences, theory of planned behavior and willingness to pay. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 45, 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2016.01.006>
- Lu, C.-S., Hsu, C.-N., & Lee, C.-H. (2016). The Impact of Seafarers' Perceptions of National Culture and Leadership on Safety Attitude and Safety Behavior

in Dry Bulk Shipping. *International Journal of E-Navigation and Maritime Economy*, 4, 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enavi.2016.06.007>

- Lu, M., Cheung, C. M., Li, H., & Hsu, S.-C. (2016). Understanding the relationship between safety investment and safety performance of construction projects through agent-based modeling. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 94, 8–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2016.05.014>
- Lümker, L. H. (2012). The impact of communication on safety behavior of employees. Master thesis, Communication Studies, University of Twente Faculty of behavioural science. University of Twente.
- Macheka, L., Manditsera, F. A., Ngadze, R. T., Mubaiwa, J., & Nyanga, L. K. (2013). Barriers, benefits and motivation factors for the implementation of food safety management system in the food sector in Harare Province, Zimbabwe. *Food Control*, 34(1), 126–131. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.04.019>
- Maio, G. R., & Haddock, G. (2011). The psychology of attitudes and attitude change. Psychology Press, (1st ed.). New York: Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446214299>
- Man, S. S., Chan, A. H. S., & Wong, H. M. (2017). Risk-taking behaviors of Hong Kong construction workers – A thematic study. *Safety Science*, 98, 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.05.004>
- Marin, L. S., Cifuentes, M., & Roelofs, C. (2015). Results of a community-based survey of construction safety climate for Hispanic workers. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 21(3), 223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1179/2049396714Y.00000000086>
- Mary, D. (2013). Behaviour Based Safety Guide. Dublin. Retrieved from www.hsa.ie
- Matt Gillen, L. M. G. (2013). *Safety Culture and Climate in Construction: Bridging the Gap Between Research and Practice*. Washington, DC, US.
- Mazlina, M., & Hadikusumo, B. H. W. (2017). Structural equation model of integrated safety intervention practices affecting the safety behaviour of workers in the construction industry. *Safety Science*, 98, 124–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.06.007>
- Mearns, K., Hope, L., Ford, M. T., & Tetrick, L. E. (2010). Investment in workforce health: Exploring the implications for workforce safety climate

and commitment. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 42(5), 1445–1454.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.08.009>

Meekel, S., & Hrymak, V. (2012). Has Construction Site Safety Changed in Ireland ; and is Company Size the Key to Safety Performance Success ? Has Construction Site Safety changed in Ireland ; And is Company Size the key to Safety Performance Success ? In *Proceedings of the ASC 48th International Conference*. Birmingham: School of Surveying and Construction Management.

Miang, Y., Jawad, M., & Ali, A. (2016). A hybrid simulation approach for integrating safety behavior into construction planning : An earthmoving case study. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 93, 310–318.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.09.015>

Ministry of Home Affairs. (2015). Number of Foreign Workers in Malaysia by Country of Origin, 2000-2015. Putrajaya. Retrieved from www.epu.gov.my/sites/default/files/1.4.1.pdf

Ministry of Human Resources. (2016). *Pelan Induk Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan 2016-2020*. Putrajaya. Retrieved from <http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php/en/pelan-induk-kkp-2016-2020/file>

Mohammadfam, I., Ghasemi, F., Kalatpour, O., & Moghimbeigi, A. (2017). Constructing a Bayesian network model for improving safety behavior of employees at workplaces. *Applied Ergonomics*, 58, 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2016.05.006>

Morello, R. T., Lowthian, J. A., Barker, A. L., McGinnes, R., Dunt, D., & Brand, C. (2012). Strategies for improving patient safety culture in hospitals: a systematic review. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 22(1), 11 LP-18. Retrieved from <http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/22/1/11.abstract>

Morgan, C. T., King, R. A., Weisz, J. R., & Schopler, J. (2012). *Introduction to Psychology* (7th ed.). McGraw Hill Education.

MPC (Malaysia Productivity Corporation). (2014). *Reducing unnecessary regulatory burdens on business" Construction (Part 1)*. Putrajaya, Malaysia. Retrieved from http://www.mpc.gov.my/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/Recommendations-Report-RURB-Construction-v2_11.pdf

- MPRC. (2016). Malaysia Petroleum Resources Corporation. MPRC E-Newsletter, 21, 1–14. Retrieved from mprc.gov.my/sites/default/files/FA_MPRC_NewsletterVol21_231216.pdf
- Mydin, M. A. O., Buyung, N. R., Sani, N., & Abas, N. F. (2014). Trends and Reliance on Foreign Labourers in Malaysia: Conventional Construction versus Industrialized Building System Construction. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 4, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20141101004>
- Myers, D., & Twenge, J. (2013). *Social Psychology* (11th editi, Vol. 1). New York: McGraw-Hill Co. (U.K.) Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.13185/JM2013.01102>
- Naj Ghosheh. (2012). *Working Conditions Laws Report 2010: A global review. Conditions of Work and Employment Programme*. Geneva, Switzerland. Retrieved from www.ilo.org/travail
- Newby, K. V, Brown, K. E., French, D. P., & Wallace, L. M. (2013). Which outcome expectancies are important in determining young adults' intentions to use condoms with casual sexual partners?: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 13(1), 133. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-133>
- Nguyen, H. T. T., Anderson, D. J., Dunne, M. P., & Nguyen, H. T. (2015). Development and Validation of a Questionnaire to Measure Health Professionals' Attitudes toward Identification of Female Victims of Domestic Violence. *Scientific Research Publishing*, 7(5), 596–605. <https://doi.org/10.4236/health.2015.75071>
- Nkem, A. N., Hassim, M. H., & Kidam, K. (2015). Relationship between unsafe acts/condition and accidents in construction company in Nigeria. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 6, 73–77.
- Norcross, J. C., Krebs, P. M., & Prochaska, J. O. (2011). Stages of change. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(2), 143–154. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20758>
- Omura, M., Levett-Jones, T., Stone, T. E., Maguire, J., & Lapkin, S. (2015). Measuring the impact of an interprofessional multimedia learning resource on Japanese nurses and nursing students using the Theory of Planned Behavior Medication Safety Questionnaire. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 17(4), 500–506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12224>
- Özer, G., & Yilmaz, E. (2011). Comparison of the theory of reasoned action and the theory of planned behavior: An application on accountants'

information technology usage. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(1), 50–58. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM10.389>

- Ozmeç, M. N., Karlsen, I. L., Kines, P., Andersen, L. P. S., & Nielsen, K. J. (2015). Negotiating safety practice in small construction companies. *Safety Science*, 71(PC), 275–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2014.03.016>
- Patel, D. A., & Jha, K. N. (2016). Evaluation of construction projects based on the safe work behavior of co-employees through a neural network model. *Safety Science*, 89, 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.06.020>
- Paul, J., Modi, A., & Patel, J. (2016). Predicting green product consumption using theory of planned behavior and reasoned action. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 29, 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2015.11.006>
- Pearce, J., Barnett, R., & Moon, G. (2011). Sociospatial inequalities in health-related behaviours. *Progress in Human Geography*, 36(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132511402710>
- Pedersen, L. M., Nielsen, K. J., & Kines, P. (2012). Realistic evaluation as a new way to design and evaluate occupational safety interventions. *Safety Science*, 50(1), 48–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.06.010>
- Pinion, C., Brewer, S., Douphrate, D., Whitehead, L., Dellifraire, J., Taylor, W. C., & Klyza, J. (2017). The impact of job control on employee perception of management commitment to safety. *Safety Science*, 93, 70–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.11.015>
- Pinto, A., Nunes, I. L., & Ribeiro, R. A. (2011). Occupational risk assessment in construction industry – Overview and reflection. *Safety Science*, 49(5), 616–624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2011.01.003>
- Poulter, D. R., & McKenna, F. P. (2010). Evaluating the effectiveness of a road safety education intervention for pre-drivers: An application of the theory of planned behaviour. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 80(2), 163–181. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466509X468421>
- Prochaska, J. O., Norcross, J. C., & DiClemente, C. C. (2013). Applying the Stages of Change. *Psychologists' Desk Reference*, 19(2), 177–181. <https://doi.org/10.1093/med:psych/9780199845491.003.0034>

- Pryor, P., Capra, M. (2012). *The Human: Basic Psychological Principles* (OHS Body of Knowledge). Victoria: Safety Institute of Australia Ltd, Tullamarine, Victoria, Australia. Retrieved from www.ohsbok.org.au/
- Raftopoulos, V., & Pavlakis, A. (2013). Safety climate in 5 intensive care units: A nationwide hospital survey using the Greek-Cypriot version of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire. *Journal of Critical Care*, 28(1), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2012.04.013>
- Raheem, A. A., & Issa, R. R. A. (2016). Safety implementation framework for Pakistani construction industry. *Safety Science*, 82, 301–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.09.019>
- Rahim, A., Hamid, A., Singh, B. S. B. J., & Mazlan, M. S. (2013). The Construction Labour Shortage in Johor Bahru , Malaysia. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology* eISSN:, 2(10), 508–512.
- Rea, L. M., & Parker, R. A. (2014). *Designing and conducting survey research: A comprehensive guide* (3rd ed.). San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons.
- Riebl, S. K., Estabrooks, P. a, Dunsmore, J. C., Savla, J., Frisard, M. I., Dietrich, A. M., ... Davy, B. M. (2015). A systematic literature review and meta-analysis: The Theory of Planned Behavior's application to understand and predict nutrition-related behaviors in youth. *Eating Behaviors*, 18, 160–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.05.016>
- Rodrigues, R., Melo, S. De, Bastos, D., Sampaio, J., & Irizarry, J. (2017). Applicability of unmanned aerial system (UAS) for safety inspection on construction sites. *Safety Science*, 98, 174–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.06.008>
- Roussin, C. J., MacLean, T. L., & Rudolph, J. W. (2014). The Safety in Unsafe Teams: A Multilevel Approach to Team Psychological Safety. *Journal of Management* (Vol. 42). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314525204>
- Ruffing, A. A. C. (2013). *Personal Proective Equipment and Laboratory Safety Training: The Roles of Attitude, Subjective Norm, and Perceived Control*. Southen Illinois University Carbondale.
- Russo, D. A., Stochl, J., Painter, M., Shelley, G. F., Jones, P. B., & Perez, J. (2015). Use of the Theory of Planned Behaviour to assess factors influencing the identification of students at clinical high-risk for psychosis

in 16+ Education. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15, 411. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-1074-y>

- Sacks, G. D., Shannon, E. M., Dawes, A. J., Rollo, J. C., Nguyen, D. K., Russell, M. M., Maggard-Gibbons, M. A. (2015). Teamwork, Communication and Safety Climate: A systematic review of interventions to improve surgical culture. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 24(7), 458 LP-467. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2014-003764>
- Saifullah, N. M., & Ismail, F. (2012). Integration of Occupational Safety and Health during Pre-construction Stage in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35(December 2011), 603–610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.02.127>
- Saks, A. M., & Burke, L. A. (2012). An investigation into the relationship between training evaluation and the transfer of training. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 16(2), 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2419.2011.00397.x>
- Sánchez-Medina, A. J., Romero-Quintero, L., & Sosa-Cabrera, S. (2014). Environmental management in small and medium-sized companies: An analysis from the perspective of the theory of planned behavior. *Plos One*, 9(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088504>
- Sansoni, J. E. (2011). Questionnaire design and systematic literature reviews. University of Canberra, (March). Retrieved from <http://ro.uow.edu.au/ahsri/120>
- Saraiva, D. M. R. F., & Almeida, A. A. de. (2015). Validation of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire - Short Form 2006 to Portugal. *International Journal of Nursing*, 2(1), 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.15640/ijn.v2n1a11>
- Saucier, G., & Srivastava, S. (2015). What makes a good structural model of personality? Evaluating the big five and alternatives. In M. Mikulincer, P. R. Shaver, M. L. Cooper, & R. J. Larsen (Eds.), *APA handbook of personality and social psychology, Volume 4: Personality processes and individual differences* (pp. 283–305). Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14343-013>
- Schielke, S., & Altobelli, C. F. (2012). Consumer greenwashing : Using the Theory of Planned Behaviour to explain unethical consumer behaviour. *Helmut Schmidt Universität*, (5).

- Seo, D.-C., Torabi, M. R., Blair, E. H., & Ellis, N. T. (2004). A cross-validation of safety climate scale using confirmatory factor analytic approach. *Journal of Safety Research*, 35(4), 427–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2004.04.006>
- Seo, H.-C., Lee, Y.-S., Kim, J.-J., & Jee, N.-Y. (2015). Analyzing safety behaviors of temporary construction workers using structural equation modeling. *Safety Science*, 77, 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.03.010>
- Shen, Y., Tuuli, M. M., Xia, B., Yong, T., & Rowlinson, S. (2015). Toward a model for forming psychological safety climate in construction project management. *JPMA*, 33(1), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.04.009>
- Shiau, W. L., & Luo, M. M. (2012). Factors affecting online group buying intention and satisfaction: A social exchange theory perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(6), 2431–2444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.07.030>
- Shin, M., Lee, H.-S., Park, M., Moon, M., & Han, S. (2014). A system dynamics approach for modeling construction workers' safety attitudes and behaviors. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 68, 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.09.019>
- Singh, M. P., Chakraborty, A., & Roy, M. (2017). Resources , Conservation & Recycling Developing an extended theory of planned behavior model to explore circular economy readiness in manufacturing MSMEs , India. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, (January), 0–1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.07.015>
- Singhapakdi, A., Lee, D. J., Sirgy, M. J., & Senasu, K. (2015). The impact of incongruity between an organization's CSR orientation and its employees' CSR orientation on employees' quality of work life. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(1), 60–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.05.007>
- Sorensen, G., & Dennerlein, J. (2014). Construction Workers Struggle with a High Prevalence of Mental Distress and this is Associated with Their Pain and Injuries. *Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine*, 55(10), 1197–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e31829c76b3>
- Sousa, V., Almeida, N. M., & Dias, L. a. (2014). Risk-based management of occupational safety and health in the construction industry - Part 1:

Background knowledge. *Safety Science*, 66, 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2014.02.008>

- Spear, J. E. (2013). Improving Contractor Safety performance. In *Safety Ergonomic* (Vol. 4, pp. 1–5). Retrieved from <http://www.group.skanska.com/en/Sustainability/Social-responsibility/Safety/Safety-performance/>
- Spillane, J., & Oyedele, L. O. (2013). Strategies for effective management of health and safety in confined site construction. *Construction Economics and Building*, 13(4), 50–64. <https://doi.org/10.5130/CEB.v13i4.3619>
- Stangor, C. (2014). *Introduction to Psychology*. Flat World Knowledge.
- Surmann, M., Gruchalla, L. von, Falke, S., Maisch, B., Uhlmann, C., Bock, E., ... Lencer, R. (2017). The importance of strengthening competence and control beliefs in patients with psychosis to reduce treatment hindering self-stigmatization. *Psychiatry Research*, 255, 314–320. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.05.053>
- Takim, R., Zulkifli, M. H., & Nawawi, A. H. (2016). Integration of Automated Safety Rule Checking (ASRC) System for Safety Planning BIM-Based Projects in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 222, 103–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.05.195>
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2, 53–55. <https://doi.org/10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd>
- Taylor, E. L. (2015). Safety benefits of mandatory OSHA 10h training. *Safety Science*, 77, 66–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.03.003>
- Taylor, J. A., Dominici, F., Agnew, J., Gerwin, D., Morlock, L., & Miller, M. R. (2011). Do nurse and patient injuries share common antecedents? An analysis of associations with safety climate and working conditions. *BMJ Quality and Safety*. Retrieved from <http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/early/2011/10/19/bmjqs-2011-000082.abstract>
- Taylor, J. a, & Pandian, R. (2013). A dissonant scale: stress recognition in the SAQ. *BMC Research Notes*, 6(1), 302. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-302>
- Thayer-Hart, N., Dykema, J., Elver, K., Schaeffer, N. C., & Stevenson, J. (2010). *Survey Fundamentals*. Office of Quality Improvement, 1–20. Retrieved

from http://oqi.wisc.edu/resourcelibrary/uploads/resources/Survey_Guide.pdf

- Trafimow, D. (2009). The Theory of Reasoned Action: A Case Study of Falsification in Psychology. *Theory & Psychology*, 19(4), 501–518. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959354309336319>
- Ulang, N., Salim, N. S., Baharum, F., & Salim, N. A. A. (2014). Construction Site Workers' Awareness on Using Safety Equipment: Case Study. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 15, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/20141501023>
- Umeokafor Nnedinma. (2017). Barriers to Construction Health and Safety Self-regulation: A Scoping Case of Nigeria. *Civil Engineering Dimension*, 19(1), 2017.
- van Teijlingen, E., & Hundley, V. (1998). The importance of pilot studies. *Nursing Standard: Official Newspaper of the Royal College of Nursing*, 16(40), 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.7748/ns2002.06.16.40.33.c3214>
- Velazquez, D. (2012). *Employees' Trust in Safety Management System and Attitudes and Perceptions Toward Safety*. Walden University.
- Verma, V. K., & Chandra, B. (2018). An application of theory of planned behavior to predict young Indian consumers' green hotel visit intention. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172(3), 1152–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.047>
- Vu, T., & Cieri, H. De. (2014). *Safety culture and safety climate definitions suitable for a regulator: A systematic literature review*. Caulfield East.
- Walston, S. L., Al-Omar, B. a, & Al-Mutari, F. a. (2010). Factors affecting the climate of hospital patient safety: a study of hospitals in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 23(1), 35–50. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09526861011010668>
- Wilkins, J. R. (2011). Construction workers' perceptions of health and safety training programmes. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(10), 1017–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2011.633538>
- Wombacher, J. C., & Felfe, J. (2017). Dual commitment in the organization: Effects of the interplay of team and organizational commitment on employee citizenship behavior, efficacy beliefs, and turnover intentions.

Journal of Vocational Behavior, 102, 1–14. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2017.05.004>

- Wright, J. R., & Leyton-Brown, K. (2014). Level-0 meta-models for predicting human behavior in games. Proceedings of the Fifteenth ACM Conference on Economics and Computation - EC '14, 857–874. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2600057.2602907>
- Wu, C., Fang, D., & Li, N. (2015). Roles of owners' leadership in construction safety : The case of high-speed railway construction projects in China. JPMA, 33(8), 1665–1679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.07.005>
- Wu, X., Liu, Q., Zhang, L., Skibniewski, M. J., & Wang, Y. (2015). Prospective safety performance evaluation on construction sites. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 78, 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.02.003>
- Xiong, A., Li, H., Westlund, H., & Pu, Y. (2017). Social networks, job satisfaction and job searching behavior in the Chinese labor market. China Economic Review, 43, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2017.01.001>
- Yildirim Uryan. (2010). Organizational Safety Culture and Individual Safety Behavior : a Case Study of the Turkish National Police.
- Yoon, S. J., Lin, H. K., Chen, G., Yi, S., Choi, J., & Rui, Z. (2013). Effect of occupational health and safety management system on work-related accident rate and differences of occupational health and safety management system awareness between managers in South Korea's construction industry. Safety and Health at Work, 4(4), 201–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2013.10.002>
- Zainudin, A. (2012). Structural equation modeling using AMOS graphic. Shah Alam: Universiti Teknologi MARA Publication Centre (UPENA).
- Zhang, J., & Chan, W. T. (2011). Developing a Construction Safety Management System. Modeling Risk Management in Sustainable Construction, 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15243-6_16
- Zhang, Y., Angelidaki, I., Bandyopadhyay, P. R., Thivierge, D. P., McNeilly, F. M., Fredette, A., ... Ieropoulos, I. (2016). Demographic variables in coal miners' safety attitude. Journal of Power Sources, 78(1), 427–436. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/5>

- Zhou, F., & Jiang, C. (2015). Leader-member Exchange and Employees' Safety Behavior: The Moderating Effect of Safety Climate. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 3(Ahfe), 5014–5021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.671>
- Zhou, H., Ballon, S., & Qin, X. (2016). An extension of the theory of planned behavior to predict pedestrians' violating crossing behavior using structural equation modeling. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 95, 417–424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2015.09.009>
- Zin, S. M., & Ismail, F. (2012). Employers' Behavioural Safety Compliance Factors toward Occupational, Safety and Health Improvement in the Construction Industry. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 36(June 2011), 742–751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.081>
- Zohar, D. (2010). Thirty years of safety climate research: Reflections and future directions. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 42(5), 1517–1522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.12.019>

