

THE DEVELOPMENT OF ENTIRE BODY RISK ASSESSMENT (ENBORA)
METHOD FOR WORK-RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS
(WMSDs)

SYAHRUL AZIANA BINTI ABDUL RAHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Degree of Master of Mechanical Engineering



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

JUNE 2018

This thesis is dedicated to my lovingly parents.

my father ; Abdul Rahman Bin Idris

my mother : Kamariah Binti Arsad

my brothers ; my sister

“Your inspiration will always with me”

Not forgotten to all my friends,

“Hope we will success in our life”

to my supervisor ;

Dr. Mohd Nasrull bin Abdol Rahman

“Thanks for the advices and cooperation” and

For their endless love support and encouragement in this project



PTT AUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Assalamualaikum w.b.t

In the name of Allah, The Most Generous and The Most Merciful

First and foremost, I would like to thanks my supervisor Dr. Mohd Nasrull Bin Abdol Rahman for all I have learned from him and his continuous help and support in all stages of this thesis. I would also like to thank him for being an open person to ideas, and for encouraging and helping me to shape my interest and ideas.

I have gained a lot of experience during the period time and facing difficulties during finishing this project. Thanks to my supervisor, who was very helpful and never ending support giving the information and ideas to develop regarding this project.

I also would like to express my gratitude and full appreciation to all members and family that provide me the full support and encouragement throughout the project.

Thank you for giving me gets memorable moments. Your contribution and sacrifices will always remember.

ABSTRACT

The observational method remain to be the most widely used method for assessing Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSDs) because it is inexpensive and practical in field data collection. However, several observational methods that are currently available only focus on assessing physical risk factors especially in terms of the diversity of body postures which do not encompass other factors of WMSDs including psychosocial risk factors, work organisational risk factors and individual risk factors. In addition, some of the existing methods mainly not focused on reliability and validity test during the development process. In order to improve these limitations, this study aims to develop a new observational method called the Entire Body Risk Assessment (ENBORA) method and to determine the reliability and validity of the ENBORA method. The study was conducted in three stages which include the development of ENBORA system components, the development of the ENBORA checklist (prototype), and psychometrics evaluation. In reliability testing, very good agreement was obtained for inter-observer reliability. During the training session, the majority of the participants agreed that it was easy to understand and use the ENBORA at work. In validity testing, since the ENBORA method was developed specifically for workers who work using their entire body parts, the highest risk scores were obtained by cleaners and supermarket workers in contrast to office workers according to the ENBORA assessment. The final version of ENBORA was useful for assessing the tasks in many industries as it covers the assessment of the entire body and assesses the physical, psychosocial, work organizational and individual risk factors that lead to WMSDs.

ABSTRAK

Kaedah pemerhatian tetap menjadi kaedah yang paling meluas digunakan untuk menilai kerja yang berkaitan dengan gangguan otot berangka (WMSDs) kerana ia adalah murah dan praktikal dalam pengumpulan data lapangan. Walaubagaimanapun, beberapa kaedah pemerhatian hanya memberikan tumpuan untuk menilai faktor risiko fizikal terutamanya dalam kepelbagaian postur badan yang tidak merangkumi faktor WMSDs lain antaranya faktor risiko psikososial, faktor risiko organisasi kerja dan faktor risiko individu. Di samping itu, beberapa kaedah yang sedia ada tidak tertumpu pada ujian kebolehpercayaan dan kesahan semasa proses pembangunan kaedah tersebut. Untuk meningkatkan perbatasan ini, kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan kaedah pemerhatian baru yang dikenali sebagai kaedah 'Entire Body Risk Assessment' (ENBORA) dan untuk menentukan kebolehpercayaan dan kesahan kaedah ENBORA. Kajian ini telah dijalankan dalam tiga peringkat merangkumi pembangunan komponen sistem ENBORA, pembangunan senarai semak ENBORA (prototaip), dan penilaian sifat psikometrik. Dalam ujian kebolehpercayaan, hasil kebolehpercayaan antara pemerhati menunjukkan bahawa nilai persetujuan yang sangat baik. Semasa sesi latihan, majoriti daripada peserta bersetuju bahawa kaedah ENBORA lebih mudah difahami dan ia juga berguna ditempat kerja. Dalam ujian kesahan, ENBORA telah dibangunkan khusus untuk pekerja yang bekerja menggunakan seluruh anggota badan semasa melakukan kerja, skor risiko tertinggi diperolehi oleh pekerja pembersihan dan pekerja pasaraya berbeza dengan pekerja pejabat mengikut penilaian ENBORA. Versi akhir ENBORA adalah berguna untuk menilai tugas-tugas di pelbagai industri kerana ia meliputi penilaian seluruh badan dan menilai faktor risiko fizikal, psikososial, organisasi dan individu yang membawa kepada WMSD.

CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Objectives of the study	5
1.4 Scope of the study	5
1.5 Significance of the study	6
1.6 Organisation of the thesis	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Observational methods for assessing exposure to risk factors for WMSDs	9
2.2.1 Ovako Working Posture Analysing system (OWAS)	10



2.2.2	Posture targeting	11
2.2.3	Keyserling's cumulative trauma checklist	12
2.2.4	A method assigned for the identification of ergonomics hazards (PLIBEL)	12
2.2.5	Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling (PATH)	14
2.2.6	Quick Exposure Check (QEC)	15
2.2.7	Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA)	18
2.2.8	Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment (WERA)	19
2.2.9	Individual Risk Assessment Tool (ERIN)	22
2.2.10	Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment (PERA)	23
2.3	Risk factors for Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders	23
2.3.1	Physical risk factors of WMSDs	24
2.3.2	Psychosocial risk factors of WMSDs	32
2.3.3	Work organizational risk factor of WMSDs	38
2.3.4	Individual risk factor of WMSDs	40
2.4	Summary of Chapter 2	42
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Research design	47
3.3	Review of current observational methods for assessing Work- related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSDs)	47
3.3.1	Search and selection of reference literature	48
3.3.2	Developing the framework for assessment	48
3.3.3	Evaluation process	49
3.4	Stage 1: Development of the ENBORA system components	49
3.4.1	Identification of the domains and items	49
3.4.2	Selection of the items	52
3.4.3	Specification and assigning rating scores for each items	52
3.4.4	Generation final score and action level	72



3.5	Stage 2: Development of the ENBORA checklist (prototype)	73
3.5.1	Establish the format form and instruction	73
3.5.2	Prototype	75
3.6	Stage 3: Psychometric properties evaluation	76
3.6.1	Reliability testing of ENBORA method	76
3.6.2	Validity testing of ENBORA method	86
3.7	The final version of the Entire Body Risk Assessment (ENBORA) method	90
3.8	Summary of Chapter 3	90
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		94
4.1	Introduction	94
4.2	Reliability test of ENBORA method	95
4.2.1	Demographic item	95
4.2.2	Inter-observer reliability test	96
4.3	Usability test of ENBORA method	101
4.3.1	Feedback survey for usability test of ENBORA method	101
4.3.2	General comments and suggestions	103
4.3.3	Specific comments and suggestions	105
4.4	Validity test of ENBORA method	107
4.4.1	Description of the sample	107
4.4.2	Exposure level and final score for the ENBORA method	108
4.4.3	Comparison between the ENBORA scores from workers in cleaner and office sectors	120
4.4.4	Comparison between the ENBORA scores from workers in supermarket and office sectors	123
4.5	The final version of the Entire Body Risk Assessment (ENBORA) method (Enhance version)	125
4.6	Summary of Chapter 4	136



CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	137
5.1 Introduction	137
5.2 Conclusion	137
5.3 Future Works	138
REFERENCES	140
APPENDIX A	161
APPENDIX B	163
APPENDIX C	165
APPENDIX D	170
APPENDIX E	175
APPENDIX F	179
APPENDIX G	180



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Risk factors assessed by different assessment methods	3
1.2	Reliability and validity analysis of the current observational method	4
2.1	The level of evidence and the strength of association for repetitive movement factor	26
2.2	The level of evidence and the strength of association for awkward posture factor	28
2.3	The level of evidence and the strength of association for forceful exertion factor	30
2.4	The level of evidence and the strength of association for vibration factor	31
2.5	The level of evidence and the strength of association for work stress factor	34
2.6	The level of evidence and strength of association for workload factor	35
2.7	The level of evidence and the strength of association for social environment factor	37
2.8	The level of strength of association for monotony task factor	38
2.9	The level of evidence and strength of association for work schedule factor	40
2.10	The level of evidence and the strength of association for smoking factor	41
2.11	Description of current techniques of observational methods	42
3.1	Framework for assessment process of current observational method	48
3.2	The summary of the sources of each item	50

3.3	Guideline of pushing and pulling weight	62
3.4	Risk level, final score and action level of ENBORA method	73
3.5	Instruction using the ENBORA method (prototype)	75
3.6	The specification of range of score	90
4.1	Demographics data of the observers in ENBORA training session	95
4.2	Inter-observer reliability for Task A	97
4.3	Inter-observer reliability for Task B	98
4.4	Inter-observer reliability for Task C	99
4.5	Observers' ratings on the feedback survey of ENBORA method	102
4.6	General comments and suggestions for ENBORA method	104
4.7	Specific comments and suggestions for ENBORA method	106
4.8	Descriptive analysis and comparison of socio-demographic data of the two groups, cleaner and office sector	108
4.9	Descriptive analysis and comparison of socio-demographic data of the two groups, supermarket and office sector	108
4.10	Exposure level of ENBORA items for cleaner workers for two category risk level (N=53)	109
4.11	Exposure level of ENBORA items for cleaner workers for three category risk level (N=53)	110
4.12	Exposure level of ENBORA items for cleaner workers for four category risk level (N=53)	111
4.13	Exposure level of ENBORA items for cleaner workers for five category risk level (N=53)	111
4.14	ENBORA final score and action level for cleaner workers	112
4.15	Exposure level of ENBORA items for supermarket workers for two category risk level (N=57)	113
4.16	Exposure level of ENBORA items for supermarket workers for three category risk level (N=57)	114
4.17	Exposure level of ENBORA items for supermarket workers for four category risk level (N=57)	115
4.18	Exposure level of ENBORA items for supermarket workers for five category risk level (N=57)	115
4.19	ENBORA final score and action level for supermarket workers	116



4.20	Exposure level of ENBORA items for office workers for two category risk level (N=46)	116
4.21	Exposure level of ENBORA items for office workers for three category risk level (N=46)	118
4.22	Exposure level of ENBORA items for office workers for four category risk level (N=46)	119
4.23	Exposure level of ENBORA items for office workers for five category risk level (N=46)	119
4.24	ENBORA final score and action level for office workers	120
4.25	Comparison between the ENBORA scores from workers in cleaner (N=53) and office (N= 46) sectors	122
4.26	Comparison between the ENBORA scores from workers in supermarket (N=57) and office (N= 46) sectors	124
4.27	Risk level, final score and action level of ENBORA method (final version)	133
4.28	Instruction using the ENBORA method (final version)	134
4.29	Items changes for ENBORA final version	134



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Observational methods for assessing the WMSDs from 1977 to 2017	9
2.2	Ovako Working Posture Assessment System (OWAS) item	11
2.3	A method assigned for the identification of ergonomics hazards (PLIBEL) form	13
2.4	Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling (PATH) data coding sheet	15
2.5	Quick Exposure Checklist (QEC) assessment form	17
2.6	Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) employee assessment worksheet	18
2.7	Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment (WERA) checklist	20
2.8	Individual Risk Assessment (ERIN) worksheet	22
2.9	Example of repetitive movement	25
2.10	Example of awkward posture	27
2.11	Example of forceful exertion	29
2.12	Example of contact stress	31
3.1	The Entire Body Risk Assessment (ENBORA) method's development process	46
3.2	The items of each domain	50
3.3	Rating score for neck posture (prototype)	54
3.4	Rating score for shoulders posture (prototype)	56
3.5	Rating score of shoulders repetition (prototype)	56
3.6	Rating score for elbows posture (prototype)	57

3.7	Rating score for item on elbows repetition (prototype)	58
3.8	Rating score for hands or wrists posture (prototype)	59
3.9	Rating score for hands or wrists item on repetition (prototype)	59
3.10	Rating score for back posture (prototype)	60
3.11	Rating score for back repetition (prototype)	61
3.12	Rating score for legs posture (prototype)	61
3.13	Guideline of lifting and lowering weight	62
3.14	Forceful exertion scale (prototype)	63
3.15	Repetition of forceful exertion (prototype)	64
3.16	Rating score for contact stress (prototype)	65
3.17	Rating score for vibration items (prototype)	65
3.18	Rating score for item on work stress (prototype)	66
3.19	Rating score for workload item (prototype)	67
3.20	Rating score for work pace item (prototype)	67
3.21	Rating score for technology changes item (prototype)	68
3.22	Rating score for social environment items (prototype)	68
3.23	Rating score for monotony task items (prototype)	69
3.24	Rating score for task duration items (prototype)	70
3.25	Rating score for work schedule (prototype)	70
3.26	Rating score for body mass index item (prototype)	71
3.27	Rating score for smoking item (prototype)	71
3.28	Scoring system of ENBORA method	72
3.29	Format form of ENBORA method (prototype)	74
3.30	The ENBORA training session	78
3.31	Reliability analysis of Task A	79
3.32	Reliability analysis of Task B	80
3.33	Reliability analysis of Task C	81
3.34	Flowchart reliability and usability research design and data collection	83
3.35	Data analysis for reliability and usability testing of ENBORA method	85
3.36	Data collection for validity testing of ENBORA method	88
3.37	Data analysis for known-group techniques analysis	89



4.1	The percentage agreement of feedback survey on usability of ENBORA method	103
4.2	Rating score for neck posture and the item on repetition (final version)	125
4.3	Rating score for shoulder medial rotation and shoulder lateral rotation and the item on repetition (final version)	126
4.4	Rating score for elbow pronation and the item on repetition (final version)	126
4.5	Rating score for elbow supination and the item on repetition (final version)	127
4.6	Rating score for legs posture and items on repetition (final version)	127
4.7	Rating score for lifting, lowering and carrying tasks (final version)	128
4.8	Rating score for pushing and pulling tasks (final version)	129
4.9	Rating score for contact stress item (final version)	129
4.10	Rating score for vibration item (final version)	130
4.11	Rating score for work pace item (final version)	130
4.12	Rating score for task duration item (final version)	130
4.13	Rating score for work schedule item (final version)	131
4.14	Rating score for BMI item (final version)	131
4.15	Rating score for smoking item (final version)	132
4.16	Scoring system of ENBORA method (final version)	132



LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

K	-	Cohen's Kappa
p_o	-	Observed agreement,
p_e	-	Expected agreement
AET	-	<i>Arbeitswissenschaftliche Erhebungsverfahren zur Tatigkeitsanalyse</i>
ART	-	Assessment of Repetitive Tasks of the Upper Limbs tool
BMI	-	Body Mass Index
CI	-	Confidence Interval (CI)
CS	-	Contact Stress
CTS	-	Carpal Tunnel Syndrome
DOSH	-	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
EAWS	-	European Assembly Worksheet
ENBORA	-	Entire Body Risk Assessment
ERIN	-	Individual Risk Assessment
F	-	Force
HAV	-	Hand-Arm Vibration
HSE	-	Health, Safety and Environment
LUBA	-	Loading on the Upper Body Assessment
MSDs	-	Musculoskeletal Disorders
NIOSH	-	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
OR	-	Odd Ratio
OSH	-	Occupational Safety and Health
OWAS	-	Ovako Working Posture Assessment System

P	-	Posture
PATH	-	Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling
PERA	-	Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment
PLIBEL	-	A Method Assigned For Identification of Ergonomics Hazard
QEC	-	Quick Exposure Check
R	-	Repetition
REBA	-	Rapid Entire Body Assessment
RR	-	Relative Ratio
S	-	Stress
SD	-	Standard Deviation
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TD	-	Task Duration
V	-	Vibration
WBV	-	Whole Body Vibration
WERA	-	Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment
WMSDs	-	Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders
WP	-	Work Pace
WRUQMSDs	-	Work-related Upper Quadrant Musculoskeletal Disorders



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	The prototype of the ENBORA method	161
B	Feedback survey for usability of ENBORA method	163
C	Cleaner workers (N=53)	165
D	Supermarket workers (N=57)	170
E	Office workers (N=46)	175
F	Table Krejcie and Morgan	179
G	The final version of the Entire Body Risk Assessment (ENBORA) method	180



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) may refer to muscles, tendons, nerves, blood systems or joints which are stressed, and traumatised on a repeated basis for days, months or years (Bernal *et al.*, 2014; Macdonald & King, 2014). WMSDs occur when the physical capabilities of the workers do not match the physical requirements of the job. Prolonged exposure to ergonomic risk factors can cause damage to a worker's body and lead to musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs). Common WMSDs include tendon disorders such as bursitis, tendonitis, ganglion and trigger-finger or nerve disorders such as carpal tunnel syndrome and neurovascular disorders such as Raynaud's phenomenon or white finger syndrome (Inyang *et al.*, 2012). Risk factors of WMSDs are physical factors (Gallagher & Heberger, 2013; Nunes & Bush, 2012; Widanarko *et al.*, 2014), psychosocial factors (Giorgi *et al.*, 2014; Srinivasan *et al.*, 2014), work organisation factors (Long *et al.*, 2012; Wu *et al.*, 2012) and individual factors (Bodin *et al.*, 2012; Jensen *et al.*, 2012; Nunes & Bush, 2012). For the purpose of analysing the exposure to risk factors that can cause WMSDs, Burdorf and van der Beek (1999) classified three existing methods namely;

subjective judgment (e.g., questionnaire and measurement scale), systematic observation, and direct measurement.

Self-reports from workers are useful to gather information pertaining to workplace risks whereas physical and psychosocial factors can be examined using methods that involve workers' diaries, interviews, and questionnaires (David, 2005). However, the biggest concern among these approaches is the workers' disagreement since they might consider the information to be vague and doubtful. The reports may also be varied according to the workers' level of literacy, comprehension, or interpretation of the questions provided (David, 2005; Spielholz *et al.*, 2001). Additionally, direct measurement systems are able to offer data that are highly accurate on different exposures (Juul-Kristensen *et al.*, 2001). However, they require a high initial investment for the purpose of purchasing equipment as well as the necessary sources to cover the maintenance cost (Juul-Kristensen *et al.*, 2001; Li & Buckle, 1999). Besides, highly trained and skilled technical staff are needed to ensure the successful operation of the equipment. Hence, observational methods seem to be offer an advantage because of their affordability and practicality in many types of workplaces (Chiasson *et al.*, 2012; David, 2005; Takala *et al.*, 2010).

According to David (2005), an observational tool is a systematic method to assess the exposure of risk factors at workplace and can be measured through various aspects such as work posture, frequency of movement and duration of the work involved. An observational method is an evaluation process that is lower in cost with it offers flexibilities and is practical in the field data collection (Chiasson *et al.* 2012; Rahman *et al.*, 2011; Takala *et al.*, 2010). Spielholz *et al.* (2001) stated that a simple observational method is used to identify and manage ergonomic issues at the work place. The evaluation method is normally used by experts to assess the risk factors associated with WMSDs to identify and redesign tasks or a safe working environment (Wang *et al.*, 2015). There are many observational method for assessing the exposure of risk factors associated with WMSDs which are; Ovako Working Posture Assessment System (OWAS) (Karhu *et al.*, 1977), Posture Targeting (Corlett *et al.*, 1979), Keyserling's Cumulative Trauma Checklist (Keyserling *et al.*, 1993), A Method Assigned For Identification of Ergonomics Hazard (PLIBEL) (Kemmlert, 1995), Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling (PATH) (Buchholz *et al.*, 1996), Quick Exposure Check (QEC) (David *et al.*, 2008), Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) (Hignett & McAtamney, 2000), Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment (WERA)

(Rahman *et al.*, 2011), Individual Risk Assessment (ERIN) (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2013) and Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment (PERA) (Chander & Cavatorta, 2017) method.

1.2 Problem statement

Observational methods have been generally used to assess the exposure to risk factors of WMSDs. Examples of observational methods include OWAS (Karhu *et al.*, 1977), Posture Targeting (Corlett *et al.*, 1979), Keyserling's Cumulative Trauma Checklist (Keyserling *et al.*, 1993), A Method Assigned For Identification of Ergonomics Hazard (PLIBEL) (Kemmlert, 1995), Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling (PATH) (Buchholz *et al.*, 1996), Quick Exposure Check (QEC) (David *et al.*, 2008), Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) (Hignett & McAtamney, 2000), Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment (WERA) (Rahman *et al.*, 2011) and Individual Risk Assessment (ERIN) (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2013) and Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment (PERA) (Chander & Cavatorta, 2017) method. The prevalence in the use of the observational methods is due to the availability of practical and cost effective methods in field data collection. Nevertheless, most of the observational tools only focus on assessing physical risk factors to evaluate WMSDs, especially in the diversity of body postures which do not encompass other factors of WMSDs. Other risk factors of WMSDs include psychosocial risk factors, work organization risk factors, and individual risk factors. Table 1.1 shows the risk factors assessed using different assessment method.

Table 1.1: Risk factors assessed by different assessment methods

Method (Author, year)	Risk factors			
	Physical	Psychosocial	Work organization	Individual
Ovako Working Posture Assessment System (OWAS) (Karhu <i>et al.</i> , 1977)	x	-	-	-
Posture Targeting (Corlett <i>et al.</i> , 1979)	x	-	-	-
Keyserling's Cumulative Trauma Checklist (Keyserling <i>et al.</i> , 1993)	x	-	-	-
A Method Assigned For Identification of Ergonomics Hazard, (PLIBEL) (Kemmlert, 1995)	x	-	-	-
Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling (PATH) (Buchholz <i>et al.</i> , 1996)	x	-	-	-
Quick Exposure Check (QEC) (Li & Buckle, 1998)	x	x	-	-

Table 1.1 (continued)

Method (Author, year)	Risk factors			
	Physical	Psychosocial	Work organization	Individual
Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) (Hignett & McAtamney, 2000)	x	-	-	-
Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment (WERA) (Rahman <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	x	-	-	-
Individual Risk Assessment (ERIN) (Rodríguez <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	x	x	-	-
Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment (PERA) (Chander & Cavatorta, 2017)	x	-	-	-

Notes.

^x Risk factors covered by current observational methods

⁻ Risk factors not covered by current observational methods

Furthermore, most existing observational methods have not gone through reliability and validity test during the development process. Table 1.2 shows the summary of reliability and validity test of current observational methods. Additionally, there is no existing observational method to evaluate the left and right body posture separately in the assessment tool.

Table 1.2: Reliability and validity analysis of the current observational method

Method (Author, year)	Psychometrics Properties	
	Reliability testing	Validity testing
Ovako Working Posture Assessment System (OWAS) (Karhu <i>et al.</i> , 1977)	x	-
Posture Targeting (Corlett <i>et al.</i> , 1979)	-	-
Keyserling's Cumulative Trauma Checklist (Keyserling <i>et al.</i> , 1993)	-	x
A Method Assigned For Identification of Ergonomics Hazard, (PLIBEL) (Kemmlert, 1995)	x	x
Posture, Activity, Tools and Handling (PATH) (Buchholz <i>et al.</i> , 1996)	x	x
Quick Exposure Check (QEC) (Li & Buckle, 1998)	x	-
Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) (Hignett & McAtamney, 2000)	x	-
Workplace Ergonomic Risk Assessment (WERA) (Rahman <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	x	x
Individual Risk Assessment (ERIN) (Rodríguez <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	-	-
Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment (PERA) (Chander & Cavatorta, 2017)	-	x

Notes.

^x Reliability testing and validity testing covered by current observational methods, respectively.

⁻ Reliability testing and validity testing not covered by current observational methods, respectively.

1.3 Objectives of the study

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To develop a new observational method for assessing risk factors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders known as Entire Body Risk Assessment (ENBORA) method.
- ii. To evaluate the inter-observer reliability analysis of the ENBORA method.
- iii. To establish the construct validity analysis of ENBORA method using known group techniques.

1.4 Scope of the study

The scopes of this study are:

- i. The pen-and-paper-based observational method has been used to develop the ENBORA method.
- ii. The ENBORA method was developed to assess exposure risk factors of WMSDs and covered factors such as physical factors, psychosocial factors, work organization factors and individual risk factors.
- iii. The physical factors included work posture (neck, shoulders, elbows, hands, back and legs), repetition motion, forceful exertion, contact stress, and vibration.
- iv. The psychosocial factors covered the level of work stress, level of work load, work pace, social environment and the level of monotonous task involved.
- v. Work schedule and task duration are the factors that are covered under work organisational factors.
- vi. The individual risk factors covered the body mass index and smoking factors that affect WMSDs.
- vii. The inter-observer reliability has been used to assess the reliability of the ENBORA method. Inter-observer reliability is defined as the results' level of agreement derived by numbers in monitoring similar work conditions (Park *et al.*, 2005).
- viii. 16 participants participated in the inter-observer reliability and usability test including executive level (10 participants) and non-executive level (6 participants)

- ix. Three tasks were assessed during the reliability and usability test comprised of Task A (lifting from pallet to conveyor), Task B (lifting creels of wire onto spindles) and Task C (bottling line inspection).
- x. The Cohen's Kappa, mean, standard deviation and percentage of agreement were used for the inter-observer reliability analysis.
- xi. The mean, standard deviation and percentage of agreement were used for usability analysis.
- xii. The construct validity was assessed using known-group techniques to validate the ENBORA method.
- xiii. The mean, standard deviation and Mann-Whitney test were used for known-group technique analysis.
- xiv. The total sample size for the validity analysis was 156 participants, including cleaners (N=53), supermarket workers (N=57) and office workers (N=46).

1.5 Significance of the study

Many observational assessment tools are used to assess the exposure to risk factors, but no single tool has been developed to cover the four main risk factors that contribute to WMSDs such as physical risk factors, psychosocial risk factors, work organisational risk factors and individual risk factors (Bodin *et al.*, 2012; Gallagher & Heberger, 2013; Nunes & Bush, 2012). Most of the tools focused on postural assessment of body parts. The ENBORA method covered the four main risk factors that affect WMSDs such as physical risk factors, psychosocial risk factors, work organisational risk factors and individual risk factors. It also addresses the reliability and validity studies during the development process of the tool. In addition, none of the existing observational methods can be used as an all-purpose method. Hence, a different approach needs to be applied since different methods, and the variety of users' necessities will cause the selection of compatible tools to be more challenging. Therefore, the ENBORA method is an all-purpose method which can be applied in different tasks or industries as it covers the assessment of the entire body and assesses the four main risk factors that lead to WMSDs. Through this assessment tool, risk factors that lead to WMSDs can be reduced or prevented by redesigning tasks or creating a safe working environment.

REFERENCES

- Adam, T. C., & Epel, E. S. (2007). Stress, eating and the reward system. *Physiology and Behavior*, 91(4), 449–458.
- Alexopoulos, E. C., Burdorf, A., & Kalokerinou, A. (2003). Risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders among nursing personnel in Greek hospitals. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 76(4), 289–294.
- Altman, D. G. (1991). *Practical Statistics for Medical Research*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Andersen, J. H., Haahr, J. P., & Frost, P. (2007). Risk factors for more severe regional musculoskeletal symptoms. A two-year prospective study of a general working population. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*, 56(4), 1355–1364.
- Andersen, J. H., Kaergaard, A., Mikkelsen, S., Jensen, U. F., Frost, P., Bonde, J. P., Fallentin, N., & Thomsen, J. F. (2003). Risk factors in the onset of neck/shoulder pain in a prospective study of workers in industrial and service companies. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 60(9), 649–654.
- Anton, D., & Weeks, D. L. (2016). Prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal symptoms among grocery workers. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 54, 139–145.
- Ariens, G. A., Bongers, P. M., Hoogendoorn, W. E., Van Der Wal, G., & Van Mechelen, W. (2002). High physical and psychosocial load at work and sickness absence due to neck pain. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 28(4), 222–231.
- Ariens, G. A. M., Bongers, P. M., Douwes, M., Miedema, M. C., Hoogendoorn, W. E., Bouter, L. M., & van Mechelen, W. (2001). Are neck flexion, neck rotation, and sitting at work risk factors for neck pain? Results of a prospective cohort study. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 58(3), 200–207.

- Arndt, R. (1987). Work pace, stress, and cumulative trauma disorders. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 12(5), 866-869.
- Arvidsson, I., Akesson, I., & Hansson, G. A. (2003). Wrist movements among females in a repetitive, non-forceful work. *Applied Ergonomics*, 34(4), 309-316.
- Barr, A. E., & Barbe, M. F. (2002). Pathophysiological tissue changes associated with repetitive movement : A review of the evidence. *Physical Therapy*, 82(2), 173-187.
- Bernal, D., Campos-Serna, J., Tobias, A., Vargas-Prada, S., Benavides, F. G., & Serra, C (2014). Work-related psychosocial risk factors and musculoskeletal disorders in hospital nurses and nursing aides: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 52(2), 635-648.
- Bernard, B. P. (1997). *Musculoskeletal Disorders and Workplace Factors: A Critical Review of Epidemiologic Evidence for Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders of the Neck, Upper Extremity, and Low Back*. Cincinnati (OH): National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), US Department of Health and Human Services.
- Bhandari, D., Choudhary, S. K., Parmar, L., & Doshi, V. (2007). Influence of psychosocial workplace factors on occurrence of musculoskeletal discomfort in computer operators. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*, 32(3), 225.
- Block, J. P., He, Y., Zaslavsky, A. M., Ding, L., & Ayanian, J. Z. (2009). Psychosocial stress and change in weight among US adults. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 170(2), 181-192.
- Bodin, J., Ha, C., Serazin, C., Descatha, A., Leclerc, A., Goldberg, M., & Roquelaure, Y. (2012). Effects of individual and work-related factors on incidence of shoulder pain in a large working population. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 54, 278-288.
- Bonde, J. P., Mikkelsen, S., Andersen, J. H., Fallentin, N., Bælum, J., Svendsen, S. W., Thomsen, J. F., Frost, P., & Kærgaard, A. (2005). Understanding work related musculoskeletal pain: does repetitive work cause stress symptoms?. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 62(1), 41-48.
- Bongers, P. M., Kremer, A. M., & Laak, J. T. (2002). Are psychosocial factors, risk factors for symptoms and signs of the shoulder, elbow, or hand/wrist?: A review of the epidemiological literature. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 41(5), 315-342.



- Bridger, R. (2008). *Introduction to Ergonomics*. 3rd ed. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Brown, T. (2010). Construct validity: A unitary concept for occupational therapy assessment and measurement. *Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 20(1), 30–42.
- Bruijn, I. De, Engels, J. A., & Van Der Gulden, J. W. J. (1998). A simple method to evaluate the reliability of OWAS observations, *Applied Ergonomics*, 29(4), 281–283.
- Buchholz, B., Paquet, V., Punnett, L., Lee, D., & Moir, S. (1996). PATH: A work sampling-based approach to ergonomic job analysis for construction and other non-repetitive work. *Applied Ergonomics*, 27(3), 177–187.
- Buckle, P., & Buckle, J. (2011). Obesity, ergonomics and public health. *Perspectives in Public Health*, 131(4), 170-176.
- Burdorf, A., Derksen, J., Naaktgeboren, B., & Van Riel, M. (1992). Measurement of trunk bending during work by direct observation and continuous measurement. *Applied Ergonomics*, 23(4), 263–267.
- Burdorf, A., & Jansen, J. P. (2006). Predicting the long term course of low back pain and its consequences for sickness absence and associated work disability. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 63(8), 522–529.
- Burdorf, A., & van der Beek, A. (1999). Exposure assessment strategies for work-related risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 25(4), 25-30.
- Burt, S., & Punnett, L. (1999). Evaluation of interrater reliability for posture observations in a field study. *Applied Ergonomics*, 30(2), 121-135.
- Cabecas, J. M. (2007). The risk of distal upper limb disorder in cleaners: A modified application of the Strain Index method. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 37(6), 563–571.
- Cagnie, B., Danneels, L., Van Tiggelen, D., De Loose, V., & Cambier, D. (2007). Individual and work related risk factors for neck pain among office workers: a cross sectional study. *European Spine Journal*, 16(5), 679–686.
- Carayon, P., & Lim, S. Y. (1999). *Psychosocial Work Factors. The Occupational Ergonomics Handbook*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 275–283
- Cassou, B., Derriennic, F., Monfort, C., Norton, J., & Touranchet, A. (2002). Chronic neck and shoulder pain, age, and working conditions: longitudinal results from a large random sample in France. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*,



59(8), 537-544.

- Chan, M. F. (2009). Factors associated with perceived sleep quality of nurses working on rotating shifts. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 18(2), 285–293.
- Chang, J. H., Wu, J. D., Liu, C. Y., & Hsu, D. J. (2012). Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders and ergonomic assessments of cleaners. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 55(7), 593–604.
- Chander, D. S., & Cavatorta, M. P. (2017). An observational method for Postural Ergonomic Risk Assessment (PERA). *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 57, 32-41.
- Chen, J. D., Lin, Y. C., & Hsiao, S. T. (2010). Obesity and high blood pressure of 12-hour night shift female clean-room workers. *Chronobiology International*, 27(2), 334-344.
- Chiasson, M., Imbeau, D., Aubry, K., & Delisle, A. (2012). Comparing the results of eight methods used to evaluate risk factors associated with musculoskeletal disorders. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 42(5), 478–488.
- Choi, K., Park, J., & Cheong, H. (2013). Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms related with activities of daily living and contributing factors in Korean adults. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health*, 46(1), 39-49.
- Choobineh, A., Motamedzade, M., Kazemi, M., Moghimbeigi, A., & Pahlavian, A. H. (2011). The impact of ergonomics intervention on psychosocial factors and musculoskeletal symptoms among office workers. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 41(6), 671–676.
- Choobineh, A., Rajaeefard, A., & Neghab, M. (2006). Association between perceived demands and musculoskeletal disorders among hospital nurses of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences: A questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, 12(4), 409-416.
- Coenen, P., Kingma, I., Boot, C. R. L., Bongers, P. M., & van Dieen, J. H. (2013). Inter-rater reliability of a video-analysis method measuring low-back load in a field situation. *Applied Ergonomics*, 44(5), 828-834.
- Cole, D. C., & Rivilis, I. (2006). *Individual Factors and Musculoskeletal Disorders. Fundamentals and Assessment Tools for Occupational Ergonomics. The Occupational Ergonomics Handbook*. 2nd Ed. New York: CRC Press Taylor & Francis, 11–19.
- Coluci, M. Z. O., Alexandre, N. M. C., & Rosecrance, J. (2009). Reliability and



- validity of an ergonomics-related Job Factors Questionnaire. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 39(6), 995–1001.
- Corlett, E. N., Madeley, S. J., & Manenica, I. (1979). Posture targeting : A technique for recording working postures. *Ergonomics*, 22(3), 357-366.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. 4th ed. Boylston Street, Boston: Pearson
- Dallman, M. F., Pecoraro, N. C., & La Fleur, S. E. (2005). Chronic stress and comfort foods: Self-medication and abdominal obesity. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 19(4), 275–280.
- David, G. C. (2005). Ergonomic methods for assessing exposure to risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders. *Occupational Medicine*, 55(3), 190–199.
- David, G., Buckle, P., & Woods, V. (2005). Further development of the usability and validity of the Quick Exposure Check (QEC). University of Surrey, Guildford: Health & Safety Executive.
- David, G., Woods, V., Li, G., & Buckle, P. (2008). The development of the Quick Exposure Check (QEC) for assessing exposure to risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders. *Applied Ergonomics*, 39(1), 57–69.
- Davis, K. G., Marras, W. S., Heaney, C. A, Waters, T. R., & Gupta, P. (2002). The impact of mental processing and pacing on spine loading: 2002 Volvo award in biomechanics. *Spine*, 27(23), 2645–2653.
- Delleman, N. J., Haslegrave, C. M., & Chaffin, D.B. (2004). *Working Postures and Movements: Tools for Evaluation and Engineering*. Boca Raton, Florida (US): CRC Press.
- Dembe, A. E., Erickson, J. B., Delbos, R. G., & Banks, S. M. (2005). The impact of overtime and long work hours on occupational injuries and illnesses: new evidence from the United States. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 62(9), 588–597.
- Deros, B. M., Darius, D. D. I., Ismail, A. R., Sawal, N. A., & Ghani, J. A. (2010). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among workers' performing manual material handling work in an automotive manufacturing company. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 7(8), 1087-1092.
- Devereux, J. J., Vlachonikolis, I. G., & Buckle, P. W. (2002). Epidemiological study to investigate potential interaction between physical and psychosocial factors at work that may increase the risk of symptoms of musculoskeletal disorder of the



- neck and upper limb. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 59(4), 269-277.
- Dorrian, J., Tolley, C., Lamond, N., van den Heuvel, C., Pincombe, J., Rogers, A. E., & Drew, D. (2008). Sleep and errors in a group of Australian hospital nurses at work and during the commute. *Applied Ergonomics*, 39(5), 605-613.
- Drost, E. A. (2011). Validity and reliability in social science research. *Education Research and Perspectives*, 38(1), 105-123.
- Dul, J., & Weerdmeester, B. (2008). *Ergonomics for Beginners: A Quick Reference Guide*. CRC press.
- Eltayeb, S., Staal, J. B., Hassan, A., & De Bie, R. A. (2009). Work related risk factors for neck, shoulder and arms complaints: a cohort study among Dutch computer office workers. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 19(4), 315-322.
- Eriksen, W. (2006). Work factors as predictors of smoking relapse in nurses' aides. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 79(3), 244-250.
- Esquirol, Y., Bongard, V., Mabile, L., Jonnier, B., Soulat, J., & Perret, B. (2009). Shift work and metabolic syndrome: respective impacts of job strain, physical activity, and dietary rhythms. *Chronobiology International*, 26(3), 544-559.
- Fallentin, N., Juul-Kristensen, B., Mikkelsen, S., Andersen, J. H., Bonde, J. P., Frost, P., & Endahl, L. (2001). Physical exposure assessment in monotonous repetitive work-the PRIM study. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 27(1), 21-29.
- Ferreira, J., Gray, M., Hunter, L., Birtles, M., & Riley, D. (2009). Development of an assessment tool for repetitive tasks of the upper limbs (ART). Derbyshire: Health and Safety Executive.
- Feuerstein, M., Shaw, W. S., Nicholas, R. A., & Huang, G. D. (2004). From confounders to suspected risk factors: psychosocial factors and work-related upper extremity disorders. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 14(1), 171-178.
- Feveile, H., Jensen, C., & Burr, H. (2002). Risk factors for neck-shoulder and wrist-hand symptoms in a 5-year follow-up study of 3,990 employees in Denmark. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 75(4), 243-251.
- Fong, B. F., Savelsbergh, G. J. P., Leijsen, M. R., & de Vries, J. I. P. (2009). The influence of prenatal breech presentation on neonatal leg posture. *Early Human*



Development, 85(3), 201–206.

- Forcier, L., Lapointe, C., Lortie, M., Buckle, P., Kuorinka, I., Lemaire, J., & Beaugrand, S. (2008). Supermarket workers: Their work and their health, particularly their self-reported musculoskeletal problems and compensable injuries. *Work*, 30(4), 493–510.
- Forde, M. S., Punnett, L., & Wegman, D. H. (2002). Pathomechanisms of work-related musculoskeletal disorders: conceptual issues. *Ergonomics*, 45(9), 619–630.
- Fornalski, S., Gupta, R., & Lee, T. Q. (2003). Anatomy and biomechanics of the elbow joint. *Techniques in Hand and Upper Extremity Surgery*, 7(4), 168–178.
- Fransson-Hall, C., Gloria, R., Kilbom, A., Winkel, J., Karlqvist, L., & Wiktorin, C. (1995). A portable ergonomic observation method (PEO) for computerized on-line recording of postures and manual handling. *Applied Ergonomics*, 26(2), 93–100.
- Gallagher, S., & Heberger, J. R. (2013). Examining the interaction of force and repetition on musculoskeletal disorder risk: A systematic literature review. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 55(1), 108–124.
- Gangopadhyay, S., Ghosh, T., Das, T., Ghoshal, G., & Das, B. (2010). Effect of working posture on occurrence of musculoskeletal disorders among the sand core making workers of West Bengal. *Central European Journal of Public Health*, 18(1), 38–42.
- Gauthier, F., Gelinas, D., & Marcotte, P. (2012). Vibration of portable orbital sanders and its impact on the development of work-related musculoskeletal disorders in the furniture industry. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 62(3), 762–769.
- Geiger-Brown, J., Rogers, V. E., Trinkoff, A. M., Kane, R. L., Bausell, R. B., & Scharf, S. M. (2012). Sleep, sleepiness, fatigue, and performance of 12-hour-shift nurses. *Chronobiology International*, 29(2), 211–219.
- Geiger-Brown, J., Trinkoff, A., & Rogers, V. E. (2011). The impact of work schedules, home, and work demands on self-reported sleep in registered nurses. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 53(3), 303–307.
- Gillen, M., Yen, I. H., Trupin, L., Swig, L., Rugulies, R., Mullen, K., Font, A., Burian, D., Ryan, G., Janowitz, I., Quinlan, P. A., Frank, J., & Blanc, P. (2007). The association of socioeconomic status and psychosocial and physical workplace factors with musculoskeletal injury in hospital workers. *American Journal of*



Industrial Medicine, 50(4), 245–260.

- Giorgi, G., Leon-Perez, J. M., Cupelli, V., Mucci, N., & Arcangeli, G. (2014). Do I just look stressed or am I stressed? Work-related stress in a sample of Italian employees. *Industrial Health*, 52(1), 43–53.
- Golob, R. & Sykes, M. (2002). Workplace Guidelines for the Prevention of Musculoskeletal Injuries, A Joint Initiative. National Library of Canada Cataloguing.
- Gorelick, M., Brown, J. M. M., & Groeller, H. (2003). Short-duration fatigue alters neuromuscular coordination of trunk musculature: Implications for injury. *Applied Ergonomics*, 34(4), 317–325.
- Grooten, W. J. A., Mulder, M., Josephson, M., Alfredsson, L., & Wiktorin, C. (2007). The influence of work-related exposures on the prognosis of neck/shoulder pain. *European Spine Journal*, 16(12), 2083–2091.
- Guastello, S. J. 2014. *Human Factors Engineering and Ergonomics: A Systems Approach*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Gunnarsdottir, H. K., Rafnsdottir, G. L., Helgadottir, B., & Tomasson, K. (2003). Psychosocial risk factors for musculoskeletal symptoms among women working in geriatric care. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 44(6), 679–684.
- Hannan, L. M., Monteilh, C. P., Gerr, F., Kleinbaum, D. G., & Marcus, M. (2005). Job strain and risk of musculoskeletal symptoms among a prospective cohort of occupational computer users. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 375–386.
- Harkness, E. F., Macfarlane, G. J., Nahit, E. S., Silman, A. J., & McBeth, J. (2003). Mechanical and psychosocial factors predict new onset shoulder pain: a prospective cohort study of newly employed workers. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 60(11), 850–857.
- Hartvigsen, J., Lings, S., Leboeuf-Yde, C., & Bakketeig, L. (2004). Psychosocial factors at work in relation to low back pain and consequences of low back pain; a systematic, critical review of prospective cohort studies. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 61(1).
- Hashim, N., Kamat, S. R., Halim, I., & Othman, M. S. (2014). A study on push-pull analysis associated with awkward posture among workers in aerospace industry. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 3(1), 233–239.
- Hattie, J., & Cooksey, R. W. (1984). Procedures for assessing the validities of tests



- using the “Known-Groups” method. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 8(3), 295–305.
- Hauke, A., Flintrop, J., Brun, E., & Rugulies, R. (2011). The impact of work-related psychosocial stressors on the onset of musculoskeletal disorders in specific body regions: A review and meta-analysis of 54 longitudinal studies. *Work & Stress*, 25(3), 243-256.
- Health and Safety Executive (HSE). (2012). *Manual handling at work. A brief guide*. HSE
- Heaver, C., Goonetilleke, K. S., Ferguson, H., & Shiralkar, S. (2011). Hand-arm vibration syndrome: a common occupational hazard in industrialized countries. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 36(5), 354–363.
- Heneweer, H., Staes, F., Aufdemkampe, G., van Rijn, M., & Vanhees, L. (2011). Physical activity and low back pain: a systematic review of recent literature. *European Spine Journal*, 20(6), 826-845.
- Hignett, S., & McAtamney, L. (2000). Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA). *Applied Ergonomics*, 31(2), 201–205.
- Hooftman, W. E., van Poppel, M. N. M., van der Beek, A. J., Bongers, P. M., & van Mechelen, W. (2004). Gender differences in the relations between work-related physical and psychosocial risk factors and musculoskeletal complaints. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, 30(4), 261–278.
- Hoogendoorn, W. E., van Poppel, M. N., Bongers, P. M., Koes, B. W., & Bouter, L. M. (2000). Systematic review of psychosocial factors at work and private life as risk factors for back pain. *Spine*, 25(16), 2114-2125.
- Hoozemans, M. J. M., van der Beek, A. J., Fring-Dresen, M. H. W., van der Woude, L. H. V., & van Dijk, F. J. H. (2002). Low-back and shoulder complaints among workers with pushing and pulling tasks. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, 28(5), 293–303.
- Horneij, E., Hemborg, B., Jensen, I., & Ekdahl, C. (2001). No significant differences between intervention programmes on neck, shoulder and low back pain: A prospective randomized study among home-care personnel. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 33(4), 170-176.
- Huang, G. D., Feuerstein, M., & Sauter, S. L. (2002). Occupational stress and work-related upper extremity disorders: Concepts and models. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 41(5), 298-314.



- Inyang, N., Al-Hussein, M., El-Rich, M., & Al-Jibouri, S. (2012). Ergonomic analysis and the need for its integration for planning and assessing construction tasks. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 138(12), 1370-1376.
- Jaffar, N., Tharim, A. H. A., Kamar, I. F. M., & Lop, N. S. (2011). A literature review of ergonomics risk factors in construction industry. *Procedia Engineering*, 20, 89-97.
- Janowitz, I. L., Gillen, M., Ryan, G., Rempel, D., Trupin, L., Swig, L., Mullen, K., Rugulies, R., & Blanc, P. D. (2006). Measuring the physical demands of work in hospital settings: Design and implementation of an ergonomics assessment. *Applied Ergonomics*, 37(5), 641-658.
- Jansen, J. P., Morgenstern, H., & Burdorf, A. (2004). Dose-response relations between occupational exposures to physical and psychosocial factors and the risk of low back pain. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 61(12), 972-979.
- Jensen, J. N., Holtermann, A., Clausen, T., Mortensen, O. S., Carneiro, I. G., & Andersen, L. L. (2012). The greatest risk for low-back pain among newly educated female health care workers; body weight or physical work load? *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 13(1), 87.
- Juul-Kristensen, B., Hansson, G. A., Fallentin, N., Andersen, J. H., & Ekdahl, C. (2001). Assessment of work postures and movements using a video-based observation method and direct technical measurements. *Applied Ergonomics*, 32(5), 517-524.
- Kaergaard, A., & Andersen, J. H. (2000). Musculoskeletal disorders of the neck and shoulders in female sewing machine operators: prevalence, incidence, and prognosis. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 57(8), 528-534.
- Karhu, O., Kansi, P., & Kuorinka, I. (1977). Correcting working postures in industry : A practical method for analysis. *Applied ergonomics*, 8(4), 199-201.
- Kayis, B., & Kothiyal, K. (1996). A multilevel approach to manual lifting in manufacturing industries. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, 2(3), 251-261.
- Kee, D., & Karwowski, W. (2007). A comparison of three observational techniques for assessing postural loads in industry. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics : JOSE*, 13(1), 3-14.
- Kemmlert, K. (1995). A method assigned for the identification of ergonomic hazards - PLIBEL. *Applied Ergonomics*, 26(3), 199-211.



- Keyserling, W. M., Stetson, D. S., Silverstein, B. A., & Brouwer, M. L. (1993). A checklist for evaluating ergonomic risk factors associated with upper extremity cumulative trauma disorders. *Ergonomics*, 36(7), 807-831.
- Khan, J. C., Thurlby, D. A., Shahid, H., Clayton, D. G., Yates, J. R. W., Bradley, M., Moore, A. T., & Bird, A. C. (2006). Smoking and age related macular degeneration: the number of pack years of cigarette smoking is a major determinant of risk for both geographic atrophy and choroidal neovascularisation. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 90(1), 75-80.
- Kivimaki, M., Leino-Arjas, P., Virtanen, M., Elovainio, M., Keltikangas-Jarvinen, L., Puttonen, S., Vartia, M., Brunner, E., & Vahtera, J. (2004). Work stress and incidence of newly diagnosed fibromyalgia: Prospective cohort study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 57(5), 417-422.
- Kivimaki, M., Nyberg, S. T., Batty, G. D., Fransson, E. I., Heikkila, K., Alfredsson, L., Bjorner, J. B., Borritz, M., Burr, H., Casini, A., Clays, E., Bacquer, D. D., Dragano, N., Ferrie, J. E., Geuskens, G. A., Goldberg, M., Hamer, M., Hooftman, W. E., Houtman, I. L., Joensuu, M., Jokela, M., Kittel, F., Knutsson, A., Koskenvuo, M., Koskinen, A., Kouvonen, A., Kumari, M., Madsen, I. E. H., Marmot, M. G., Nielsen, M. L., Nordin, M., Oksanen, T., Pentti, J., Rugulies, R., Salo, P., Siegrist, J., Singh-Manoux, A., Suominen, S. B., Vaananen, A., Vahtera, J., Virtanen, M., Westerholm, P. J. M., Westerlund, H., Zins, M., Steptoe, A., & Theorell, T. (2012). Job strain as a risk factor for coronary heart disease: A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *The Lancet*, 380(9852), 1491-1497.
- Klussmann, A., Gebhardt, H., Liebers, F., & Rieger, M. A. (2008). Musculoskeletal symptoms of the upper extremities and the neck: a cross-sectional study on prevalence and symptom-predicting factors at visual display terminal (VDT) workstations. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*, 9(1), 96.
- Knutson, K. L. (2010). Sleep duration and cardiometabolic risk: a review of the epidemiologic evidence. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 24(5), 731-743.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610.
- Kroemer, K., Kroemer, H., & Kroemer-Elbert, K. (2001). *Ergonomics: How To Design For Ease And Efficiency*. 2nd ed. The University of Michigan: Prentice



Hall

- Kumar, R., & Kumar, S. (2008). Musculoskeletal risk factors in cleaning occupation- A literature review. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 38(2), 158–170.
- Lacey, R. J., Lewis, M., & Sim, J. (2007). Piecework, musculoskeletal pain and the impact of workplace psychosocial factors. *Occupational Medicine*, 57(6), 430–437.
- Larsson, B., Sogaard, K., & Rosendal, L. (2007). Work related neck–shoulder pain: a review on magnitude, risk factors, biochemical characteristics, clinical picture and preventive interventions. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology*, 21(3), 447–463.
- Latza, U., Pfahlberg, A., & Gefeller, O. (2002). Impact of repetitive manual materials handling and psychosocial work factors on the future prevalence of chronic low-back pain among construction workers. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 314–323.
- Leboeuf-Yde, C., Nielsen, J., Kyvik, K. O., Fejer, R., & Hartvigsen, J. (2009). Pain in the lumbar, thoracic or cervical regions: do age and gender matter? A population-based study of 34,902 Danish twins 20–71 years of age. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 10(1), 39.
- Leclerc, A., Chastang, J. F., Niedhammer, I., Landre, M. F., & Roquelaure, Y. (2004). Incidence of shoulder pain in repetitive work. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 61(1), 39–44.
- Li, G., & Buckle, P. (1998). A practical method for the assessment of work-related musculoskeletal risks - Quick Exposure Check (QEC). *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 42(19), 1351–1355.
- Li, G. & Buckle, P. (1999). Current techniques for assessing physical exposure to work-related musculoskeletal risks, with emphasis on posture-based methods. *Ergonomics*, 42(5), 674–695.
- Li, G., & Buckle, P. (2000). Evaluating change in exposure to risk for musculoskeletal disorders - A practical tool. *In Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 44(30), 407–408.
- Lin, Y. C., Hsiao, T. J., & Chen, P. C. (2009). Persistent rotating shift-work exposure accelerates development of metabolic syndrome among middle-aged female employees: A five-year follow-up. *Chronobiology International*, 26(4), 740–755.



PT TAJEM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

- Lipscomb, J. A., Trinkoff, A. M., Geiger-Brown, J., & Brady, B. (2002). Work-schedule characteristics and reported musculoskeletal disorders of registered nurses. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 394–401.
- Lipscomb, J., Trinkoff, A., Brady, B., & Geiger-Brown, J. (2004). Health care system changes and reported musculoskeletal disorders among registered nurses. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94(8), 1431–1435.
- Lombardi, D. A., Folkard, S., Willetts, J. L., & Smith, G. S. (2010). Daily sleep , weekly working hours , and risk of work-related injury : US National Health interview survey (2004-2008). *Chronobiology International*, 27(5), 1013–1030.
- Long, M. H., Johnston, V., & Bogossian, F. (2012). Work-related upper quadrant musculoskeletal disorders in midwives, nurses and physicians: A systematic review of risk factors and functional consequences. *Applied Ergonomics*, 43(3), 455–467.
- Lowe, B. D. (2004). Accuracy and validity of observational estimates of shoulder and elbow posture. *Applied Ergonomics*, 35(2), 159–171.
- Luime, J. J., Kuiper, J. I., Koes, B. W., Verhaar, J. A. N., Miedema, H. S., & Burdorf, A. (2004). Work-related risk factors for the incidence and recurrence of shoulder and neck complaints among nursing-home and elderly-care workers. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, 30(4), 279–286.
- MacDonald, K., & King, D. (2014). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders in veterinary echocardiographers: A cross-sectional study on prevalence and risk factors. *Journal of Veterinary Cardiology*, 16(1), 27-37.
- Malchaire, J., Cock, N., & Vergracht, S. (2001). Review of the factors associated with musculoskeletal problems in epidemiological studies. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 74(2), 79–90.
- Manchikanti, L. (2000). Epidemiology of low back pain. *Pain Physician*, 3(2), 167-192.
- Marras, W. S., & Karwowski, W. (2006). Chapter 2: Magnitude of Occupationally-related Musculoskeletal Disorders. *The Occupational Ergonomics Handbook*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC/Taylor and Francis, pp 2–21.
- Mayer, J., Kraus, T., & Ochsmann, E. (2012). Longitudinal evidence for the association between work-related physical exposures and neck and/or shoulder complaints: A systematic review. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 85(6), 587–603.



- McAtamney, L., & Corlett, E. N. (1993). RULA: a survey method for the investigation of work-related upper limb disorders. *Applied Ergonomics*, 24(2), 91–99.
- McCurdy, S. A., Xiao, H., Hennessy-Burt, T. E., Stoecklin-Marois, M. T., Tancredi, D. J., Bennett, D. H., & Schenker, M. B. (2013). Agricultural injury in California Hispanic farm workers: MICASA follow-up survey. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 18(1), 39–49.
- Mehrdad, R., Dennerlein, J. T., Haghighat, M., & Aminian, O. (2010). Association Between Psychosocial Factors and Musculoskeletal Symptoms Among Iranian Nurses. *American Journal Of Industrial Medicine*, 53(10):1032–1039.
- Mengelkoch, L. J., & Clark, K. (2006). Comparison of work rates, energy expenditure, and perceived exertion during a 1-h vacuuming task with a backpack vacuum cleaner and an upright vacuum cleaner. *Applied Ergonomics*, 37(2), 159–165.
- Menzel, N. N., Brooks, S. M., Bernard, T. E., & Nelson, A. (2004). The physical workload of nursing personnel: association with musculoskeletal discomfort. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 41(8), 859–867.
- Miranda, H., Punnett, L., Viikari-Juntura, E., Heliovaara, M., & Knekt, P. (2008a). Physical work and chronic shoulder disorder. Results of a prospective population-based study. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 67, 218–223.
- Miranda, H., Viikari-Juntura, E., Martikainen, R., Takala, E. P., & Riihimäki, H. (2001). A prospective study of work related factors and physical exercise as predictors of shoulder pain. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 58(8), 528–34.
- Miranda, H., Viikari-Juntura, E., Punnett, L., & Riihimäki, H. (2008b). Occupational loading, health behavior and sleep disturbance as predictors of low-back pain. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, 34(6), 411–419.
- Mondelli, M., Grippo, A., Mariani, M., Baldasseroni, A., Ansuini, R., Ballerini, M., Bandinelli, C., Graziani, M., Luongo, F., Mancini, R., Manescalchi, P., Pellegrini, S., Sgarrella, C., & Giannini, F. (2006). Carpal tunnel syndrome and ulnar neuropathy at the elbow in floor cleaners. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*, 36(4), 245–253.
- Mucci, N., Giorgi, G., Cupelli, V., Giofrè, P. A., Rosati, M. V., Tomei, F., Tomei, G., Bresó-Estevé, E., & Arcangeli, G. (2015). Work-related stress assessment in a population of Italian workers. The Stress Questionnaire. *Science of the Total Environment*, 502, 673–679.



- Naghshizadian, R., Rahnemai-Azar, A. A., Kella, K., Weber, M. M., Calin, M. L., Bibi, S., & Farkas, D. T. (2014). Patient perception of ideal body weight and the effect of body mass index. *Journal of Obesity*.
- Noy, Y. I., Lemoine, T. L., Klachan, C., & Burns, P. C. (2004). Task interruptability and duration as measures of visual distraction. *Applied Ergonomics*, 35(3), 207–213.
- Nunes, I. L., & Bush, P. M. (2012). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders assessment and prevention. *Ergonomics-A System Approach*, 1–31.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric Theory*. 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Nur, N. M., Dawal, S. Z., & Dahari, M. (2014). The prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders among workers performing industrial repetitive tasks in the automotive manufacturing companies. *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, 1–8.
- O'Sullivan, P. B., Mitchell, T., Bulich, P., Waller, R., & Holte, J. (2006). The relationship between posture and back muscle endurance in industrial workers with flexion-related low back pain. *Manual Therapy*, 11(4), 264–271.
- Ohayon, M. M., Smolensky, M. H., & Roth, T. (2010). Consequences of shiftworking on sleep duration, sleepiness, and sleep attacks. *Chronobiology International*, 27(3), 575–589.
- Ohlsson, K., Attewell, R. G., Palsson, B., Karlsson, B., Balogh, I., Johnsson, B., Ahlm, A., & Skerfving, S. (1995). Repetitive industrial work and neck and upper limb disorders in females. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 27(5), 731–747.
- Oliver, G., & Wardle, J. (1999). Perceived effects of stress on food choice. *Physiology and Behavior*, 66(3), 511–515.
- Othman, T. (2013). *Asas Penulisan Tesis Penyelidikan dan Statistik*. Serdang: Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Paquet, V. L., Punnett, L., & Buchholz, B. (2001). Validity of fixed-interval observations for postural assessment in construction work. *Applied Ergonomics*, 32(3), 215–224.
- Park, J., Boyer, J., Tessler, J., Perez, G., & Punnett, L. (2005). Exposure assessment of musculoskeletal disorder risk factors in hospital work: Inter-rater reliability of path observations. *In Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*



Annual Meeting, 49(14), 1385-1389.

- Park, M. S., Fukuda, T., Kim, T. G., & Maeda, S. (2013). Health risk evaluation of whole-body vibration by ISO 2631-5 and ISO 2631-1 for operators of agricultural tractors and recreational vehicles. *Industrial Health*, 51(3), 364-370.
- Polanyi, M. F. D., Cole, D. C., Beaton, D. E., Chung, J., Wells, R. W., Abdoell, M., Hawley, L. B., Ferrier, S. E., Mondloch, M. V., Shields, S. A., Smith, J. M. & Shannon, H. S. (1997). Upper limb work-related musculoskeletal disorders among newspaper employees: Cross-sectional survey results. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 32(6), 620–628.
- Rahman, M. N. A., Rani, M. R. A., & Rohani, J. M. (2011). WERA: An observational tool develop to investigate the physical risk factor associated with WMSDs. *Journal of Human Ergology*, 40, 19-36
- Reineck, C., & Furino, A. (2005). Nursing career fulfillment: statistics and statements from registered nurses. *Nursing Economics*, 23(1), 25.
- Rodriguez, Y., Vina, S., & Montero, R. (2013). A method for non-experts in assessing exposure to risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders — ERIN. *Industrial Health*, 51(6), 622–626.
- Roffey, D. M., Wai, E. K., Bishop, P., Kwon, B. K., & Dagenais, S. (2010). Causal assessment of awkward occupational postures and low back pain : results of a systematic review. *The Spine Journal*, 10(1), 89–99.
- Roscoe, J. T. (1975). *Fundamental Research Statistic for the Behavioral Sciences*. 2nd ed. New York: Holt, Rineheart and Winston.
- Schaub, K., Caragnano, G., Britzke, B., & Bruder, R. (2013). The European Assembly Worksheet. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*, 14(6), 616–639.
- Scott, L. D., Hwang, W. T., Rogers, A. E., Nysse, T., Dean, G. E., & Dinges, D. F. (2007). The relationship between nurse work schedules, sleep duration, and drowsy driving. *Sleep*, 30(12), 1801-1807.
- Sekaran, U. (2006). *Research Methods For Business: A Skill Building Approach*. 4th Ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons. Inc.
- Sethi, J., Sandhu, J. S., & Imbanathan, V. (2011). Effect of body mass index on work related musculoskeletal discomfort and occupational stress of computer workers in a developed ergonomic setup. *Sports Medicine, Arthroscopy, Rehabilitation, Therapy & Technology*, 3(1), 22.
- Sharan, D., Parijat, P., Sasidharan, A. P., Ranganathan, R., Mohandoss, M., & Jose, J.

- (2011). Workstyle risk factors for work related musculoskeletal symptoms among computer professionals in India. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 21(4), 520-525.
- Shiri, R., Karppinen, J., Leino-Arjas, P., Solovieva, S., & Viikari-Juntura, E. (2010). The association between smoking and low back pain: A meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 123(1), 87.
- Sim, J., Lacey, R. J., & Lewis, M. (2006). The impact of workplace risk factors on the occurrence of neck and upper limb pain: a general population study. *BMC Public Health*, 6(1), 234.
- Simoneau, S., St-Vincent, M., & Chicoine, D. (1996). Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSDs)—A Better Understanding for More Effective Prevention. IRSST, Quebec, 14.
- Sinha, R., & Jastreboff, A. M. (2013). Stress as a common risk factor for obesity and addiction. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(9), 827–835.
- Smedley, J., Inskip, H., Trevelyan, F., Buckle, P., Cooper, C., & Coggon, D. (2003). Risk factors for incident neck and shoulder pain in hospital nurses. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 60(11), 864-869.
- Smith, C. K., Silverstein, B. A., Fan, Z. J., Bao, S., & Johnson, P. W. (2009). Psychosocial factors and shoulder symptom development among workers. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 52(1), 57–68.
- Smith, D. R., Mihashi, M., Adachi, Y., Koga, H., & Ishitake, T. (2006a). A detailed analysis of musculoskeletal disorder risk factors among Japanese nurses. *Journal of Safety Research*, 37(2), 195–200.
- Smith, D. R., Wei, N., Zhang, Y. J., & Wang, R. S. (2006b). Musculoskeletal complaints and psychosocial risk factors among physicians in mainland China. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 36(6), 599–603.
- Smith, D. R., Wei, N., Zhao, L., & Wang, R. S. (2004). Musculoskeletal complaints and psychosocial risk factors among Chinese hospital nurses. *Occupational Medicine*, 54(8), 579–582.
- Sobeih, T., Salem, O., Asce, M., Genaidy, A., Abdelhamid, T., & Shell, R. (2009). Psychosocial Factors and Musculoskeletal Disorders in the Construction Industry. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 135(4), 267–277.
- Sommerich, C. M., Marras, W. S., & Karwowski, W. (2006). *Work-related Upper*

- Extremity Musculoskeletal Disorders. Handbook of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 855–888.
- Sparkman, C. A. G. (2006). Ergonomics in the workplace. *AORN Journal*, 84(3), 379–382.
- Sperling, L., Dahlman, S., Wikstrom, L., Kilbom, A., & Kadefors, R. (1993). A cube model for the classification of work with hand tools and the formulation of functional requirements. *Applied Ergonomics*, 24(3), 212–220.
- Spielholz, P., Silverstein, B., Morgan, M., Checkoway, H., & Kaufman, J. (2001). Comparison of self-report, video observation and direct measurement methods for upper extremity musculoskeletal disorder physical risk factors. *Ergonomics*, 44(6), 588–613.
- Srinivasan, D., Samani, A., Mathiassen, S. E., & Madeleine, P. (2014). The size and structure of arm movement variability decreased with work pace in a standardised repetitive precision task. *Ergonomics*, 58(1), 128–139.
- Streiner, D. L., Norman, G. R., & Cairney, J. (2014). *Health Measurement Scales: A Practical Guide to Their Development and Use*. USA: Oxford University Press.
- Sullivan, M. J. L., Thibault, P., Andrikonyte, J., Butler, H., Catchlove, R., & Lariviere, C. (2009). Psychological influences on repetition-induced summation of activity-related pain in patients with chronic low back pain. *Pain*, 141, 70–78.
- Suwazono, Y., Dochi, M., Oishi, M., Tanaka, K., Kobayashi, E., & Sakata, K. (2009). Shiftwork and impaired glucose metabolism: A 14-year cohort study on 7104 male workers. *Chronobiology International*, 26(5), 926–941.
- Szeto, G. P., Ho, P., Ting, A. C. W., Poon, J. T. C., Cheng, S. W. K., & Tsang, R. C. C. (2009). Work-related musculoskeletal symptoms in surgeons. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*, 19(2), 175–184.
- Takala, E. P., Pehkonen, I., Forsman, M., Hansson, G. A., Mathiassen, S. E., Neumann, W. P., Sjogaard, G., Veiersted, K. B., Westgaard, R. H., & Winkel, J. (2010). Systematic evaluation of observational methods assessing biomechanical exposures at work. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 36(1), 3–24.
- Talwar, R., Kapoor, R., Puri, K., Bansal, K., & Singh, S. (2009). A Study of Visual and Musculoskeletal Health Disorders among Computer Professionals in NCR Delhi. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*, 34(4), 326–328.
- Thackray, R. I. (1981). The stress of boredom and monotony: A consideration of the



- evidence. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 43(2), 165–176.
- Thomson, J. R., Nelson, J. K., & Silverman, S. J. (2005). *Research Methods in Physical Activity*, 5th ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Torres, S. J., Diet, M. N., & Nowson, C. A. (2007). Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity. *Nutrition*, 23, 887–894.
- Trevelyan, F. C., & Haslam, R. A. (2001). Musculoskeletal disorders in a handmade brick manufacturing plant. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 27(1), 43–55.
- Trinkoff, A. M., Le, R., Geiger-Brown, J., & Lipscomb, J. (2007). Work schedule, needle use, and needlestick injuries among registered nurses. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 28(2), 156–164.
- Trinkoff, A. M., Le, R., Geiger-Brown, J., Lipscomb, J., & Lang, G. (2006). Longitudinal relationship of work hours, mandatory overtime, and on-call to musculoskeletal problems in nurses. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 49(11), 964–971.
- Trinkoff, A. M., Lipscomb, J. A., Geiger-Brown, J., Storr, C. L., & Brady, B. A. (2003). Perceived physical demands and reported musculoskeletal problems in registered nurses. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 24(3), 270–275.
- Unge, J., Ohlsson, K., Nordander, C., Hansson, G. A., Skerfving, S., & Balogh, I. (2007). Differences in physical workload, psychosocial factors and musculoskeletal disorders between two groups of female hospital cleaners with two diverse organizational models. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 81(2), 209–220.
- van der Beek, A. J., Mathiassen, S. E., Windhorst, J., & Burdorf, A. (2005). An evaluation of methods assessing the physical demands of manual lifting in scaffolding. *Applied Ergonomics*, 36(2), 213–222.
- van der Windt, D. A. W. M., Thomas, E., Pope, D. P., de Winter, A. F., Macfarlane, G. J., Bouter, L. M., & Silman, A. J. (2000). Occupational risk factors for shoulder pain : A systematic review. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 57(7), 433–442.
- Vegso, S., Cantley, L., Slade, M., Taiwo, O., Sircar, K., Rabinowitz, P., Fiellin, M., Russi, M. B., & Cullen, M. R. (2007). Extended work hours and risk of acute occupational injury: A case-crossover study of workers in manufacturing. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 50(8), 597–603.



- Viera, A. J., & Garrett, J. M. (2005). Understanding interobserver agreement : The Kappa statistic. *Family Medicine*, 37(5), 360–363.
- Village, J., Rempel, D., & Teschke, K. (2005). Musculoskeletal disorders of the upper extremity associated with computer work: a systematic review. *Occupational Ergonomics*, 5(4), 205–218.
- Virtanen, M., Ferrie, J. E., Gimeno, D., Vahtera, J., Elovainio, M., Singh-Manoux, Marmot, M. G., & Kivimäki, M. (2009). Long working hours and sleep disturbances: The Whitehall II prospective cohort study. *Sleep*, 32(6), 737–745.
- Viikari-Juntura, E., Martikainen, R., Luukkonen, R., Mutanen, P., Takala, E. P., & Riihimäki, H. (2001). Longitudinal study on work related and individual risk factors affecting radiating neck pain. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 58(5), 345–352.
- Wahlstrom, J., Burstrom, L., Hagberg, M., Lundstrom, R., & Nilsson, T. (2008). Musculoskeletal symptoms among young male workers and associations with exposure to hand-arm vibration and ergonomic stressors. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 81(5), 595–602.
- Wang, D., Dai, F., & Ning, X. (2015). Risk assessment of work-related musculoskeletal disorders in construction: State-of-the-art review. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 141(6).
- Wang, X. S., Armstrong, M. E. G., Cairns, B. J., Key, T. J., & Travis, R. C. (2011). Shift work and chronic disease: The epidemiological evidence. *Occupational Medicine*, 61(2), 78–89.
- Widanarko, B., Legg, S., Devereux, J., & Stevenson, M. (2014). The combined effect of physical, psychosocial/organisational and/or environmental risk factors on the presence of work-related musculoskeletal symptoms and its consequences. *Applied Ergonomics*, 45(6), 1610–1621.
- Winkel, J., & Mathiassen, S. E. (1994). Assessment of physical work load in epidemiologic studies: Concepts, issues and operational considerations. *Ergonomics*, 37(6), 979–988.
- Winkel, J., & Westgaard, R. (1992). Occupational and individual risk factors for shoulder-neck complaints: Part II - The scientific basis (literature review) for the guide. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 10, 85–104.
- Winwood, P. C., Winefield, A. H., & Lushington, K. (2006). Work-related fatigue and recovery: The contribution of age, domestic responsibilities and shiftwork.



- Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 56(4), 438–449.
- Wong, P. K. K., Christie, J. J., & Wark, J. D. (2007). The effects of smoking on bone health. *Clinical Science*, 113(5), 233–241.
- Woon, K. S., Rahman, M., Neo, K. S., & Liu, K. (2008). The effect of tool edge radius on the contact phenomenon of tool-based micromachining. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 48(12), 1395–1407.
- Worksafe Alberta. (2010). Workplace Health and Safety Bulletin, (September), 1–3.
- Worksafe, N. B. (2010). *Ergonomics Guidelines For Manual Handling*. Canada: St. John, NB.
- Wu, S., He, L., Li, J., Wang, J., & Wang, S. (2012). Visual display terminal use increases the prevalence and risk of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Chinese office workers: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 54(1), 34–43.
- Yilmaz, K. (2013). Comparison of quantitative and qualitative research traditions : epistemological, theoretical, and methodological differences. *European Journal of Education*, 48(2), 311–325.
- Zakerian, S. A., & Subramaniam, I. D. (2009). The relationship between psychosocial work factors, work stress and computer- related musculoskeletal discomforts among computer users in Malaysia. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (JOSE)*, 15(4), 425–434.
- Zvolensky, M. J., Mcmillan, K. A., Gonzalez, A., & Asmundson, G. J. G. (2010). Chronic musculoskeletal pain and cigarette smoking among a representative sample of Canadian adolescents and adults. *Addictive Behaviors*, 35(11), 1008–1012.

