IMPROVEMENT OF THE SAG AMPACITY CARRYING LEVEL OF EXISTING 275 KV OVERHEAD LINE TOWER BY USING THE RE-CONDUCTORING APPROACH

LUQMAN HAKIM BIN MAHMOD

A project report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Degree of Master of Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Universiti Tun Hussien Onn Malaysia

JULY, 2012

This project is dedicated to my father, *Mahmod bin Basar*, who sparked a young boy's interest in engineering.

> To my mother, *Putiah binti Karmin,* who made it all possible.

To all of my family members especially my brother and sisters, and friends, who had gave a lot of support.

and

To another part of my heart, *Nor Azwa binti Husin,* the source of inspiration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful.

Praise to Allah S.W.T for giving me strength and enough time to finish this project although a lot of difficulties had been faced throughout this period. Special thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Md. Nor Ramdon who had given me a lot of guidance and advices throughout this project while enduring all the problems arise. With all his might, he helps me from the bottom until this project had been a success. To friends, without your help this project would never go to a near completion. The opinions shared, helpful critics and the hardship we went through together will always bloom along with our friendship. Last but not least, Hj. Mahmod bin Basar and Hjh. Putiah binti Karmin my beloved parents that supported in many ways with their faith that they had within them that rooted for me. Thank you and may Allah bless us all.



ABSTRACT

This project presents a methodological approach, suggestions and information in uprating the existing 275kV transmission overhead line by using the re-conductoring approach. Overhead transmission line conductors were given priority in information. Calculation regarding the maximum sag and maximum current at maximum operating temperature were the core of this project as to suggest a novel conductor available in the current market. The aim of this project is to provide more current and less sag with the new conductor proposed in comparison with the existing. In addition, the conductor will not in any way infringe the minimum safety clearance. Smart Draw, Matlab and Excel were used as a medium in the data analysis. Therefore, the apparent power of the overhead transmission line when applied with the new conductor can be calculated as to achieve the objective of this project. In Malaysia, ACSR Zebra is used as the conductor for the 275 kV L3 tower. Thus, four other conductors were chosen in order to make comparison between the maximum sag and current at maximum operating temperature. These conductors are the 3M Drake, AAC Narcissus, AAAC Greeley and ACCC 415 mm² which have similar characteristics to the ACSR Zebra in terms of weight, maximum rated strength ratio and size. By using the calculations provided in this project, it is determined that the 3M Drake conductor is better to the ACSR Zebra in comparison of the maximum sag and the curent at maximum opertaing temperature.



ABSTRAK

Projek ini menerangkan pendekatan metodologi, cadangan dan maklumat dalam meningkatkan voltan talian penghantaran 275 kV sedia ada dengan menggunakan pendekatan menukar-ganti konduktor. Maklumat berkenaan konduktor voltan talian penghantaran diberi keutamaan. Pengiraan berkaitan dengan pengenduran maksima konduktor dan arus elektrik maksima pada suhu operasi maksima adalah teras projek ini di samping mencadangkan konduktor baru di pasaran semasa. Matlamat projek ini adalah untuk menghasilkan arus elektrik yang lebih tinggi dan pengenduran konduktor yang lebih rendah apabila menggunakan konduktor baru yang dicadangkan. Tambahan, konduktor tersebut tidak akan memasuki jarak selamat minima antara konduktor dengan objek-objek lain di sekitarnya. Smart Draw, Matlab dan Excel adalah perisian yang digunakan untuk menganalisa data. Adalah dengan itu, kuasa ketara (apparent power) boleh dikira bagi konduktor baru yang telah digantikan untuk mencapai objektif projek ini. Di Malaysia, konduktor ACSR Zebra digunakan untuk voltan talian penghantaran 275 kV. Oleh itu, empat jenis konduktor yang lain telah dipilih bagi memperoleh keputusan perbandingan bagi tahap pengenduran konduktor dan arus pada suhu operasi maksima. Konduktor-konduktor tersebut ialah 3M Drake, AAC Narcissus, AAAC Greeley dan ACCC 415 mm² di mana ia mempunyai ciri-ciri yang hampir sama dengan konduktor ACSR Zebra dari segi berat, nisbah maksima kekuatan dan saiz. Pengiraan menggunakan rumus yang disediakan dalam projek ini membuktikan bahawa konduktor 3M adalah lebih baik berbanding konduktor ACSR Zebra daripada segi pengenduran talian dan juga arus pada suhu operasi maksima.



LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	AAC conductor	13
2.2	AAAC Conductor	14
2.3	ACAR conductor	15
2.4	ACSR conductor	16
2.5	ACSR/TW conductor	17
2.6	ACSS/TW and ACSS conductor	18
2.7	GTACSR conductor	19
2.8	ACCR conductor	19
2.9	ACCC conductor	20
2.10	The visualization of safety clearance and other types of sag	21
3.1	The flowchart of this project	23
3.2	The 275 kV L3 type tower	24
4.1	Current vs. temperature of ACSR Zebra and ACCC 415 mm ²	39
4.2	Current vs. temperature of ACSR Zebra and 3M Drake	39



LIST OF TABLES

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Previous work summary	/
2.2	Aluminium and aluminium alloy classes summarization	11
3.1	Parameters used for the selected conductors	27
3.2	Fixed parameters condition	28
3.3	Fixed parameters to calculate current	34
4.1	Parabola sag value comparison at 70°C	36
4.2	The parabola sag value with modified parameters of ACSR Zebra	37
4.3	Maximum electrical loading at different temperature	38
4.4	Power per phase comparison	40



NO.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

kV	-	kilovolt
kN	-	kilo Newton
kg	-	kilogram
AAC	-	All-Aluminium Conductor
AAAC	-	All-Aluminium Alloy Conductor
ACAR	-	Aluminium Conductor Aluminium-Alloy Reinforced
ACSR	-	Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced
AAAC	-	All Aluminum Alloy Conductors
AACSR	-	Aluminum Alloy Conductor Steel Reinforced
ACSS	-	Aluminium Conductor Steel Supported
GTACSR	-	Gap-Type Thermal-Resistant ACSR
ACCR	-	Aluminium Conductor Composite Reinforced
ACCC	-	Aluminium Conductor Composite Core
IACS	PUP	International Annealed Copper Standard
TWPEN	-	Trapezoidal Wire / Trapwire
HTLS	-	High Temperature Low Sag
GUI	-	Graphical User Interface
CEGB	-	Central Electricity Generating Board
MWT	-	Maximum Weight Tension
W	-	Watt
Ω	-	Ohm
0	-	Angle in Degree
°C	-	Temperature in Celsius
ft	-	Feet
m	-	Meter
mm	-	Milimeter

mm^2	-	Milimeter square	
ms	-	Milliseconds	
S	-	Second	
hr	-	Hour	
lbs	-	Pound	
Ι	-	Current in Ampere	
V	-	Voltage in Volt	
MVA	-	Apparent Power	
Hz	-	Hertz	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER

1

TITLE

PAGE

REPORT STATUS CONFIRMATIO	N
SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	i
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	Х
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi

INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Project Background	1
1.2 Problem Statements	2
1.3 Objective	3
1.4 Scopes and Limitation	3

CHAPTER

TITLE

PAGE

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Conductor	8
	2.3 Conductor Selection	9
	2.4 Types of Conductor Materials	10
	2.4.1 Aluminium and Aluminium Alloy Wire	10
	2.4.2 Coated Steel Core Wire	12
	2.5 Conventional Round Wire Conductors	12
	2.5.1 All-Aluminium Conductor (AAC)	13
	2.5.2 All-Aluminium Alloy Conductor	13
	2.5.3 Aluminium Conductor Aluminium-Alloy	
	Reinforced (ACAR)	14 NAM
	2.5.4 Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced	
	(ACSR)	15
	2.6 Trapezoidal-Shaped Wire Conductors	16
	2.7 High Temperature Conductors	17
	2.7.1 Aluminium Conductor Steel Supported	
	(ACSS)	17
	2.7.2 Gap-Type Thermal-Resistant ACSR	
	(GTACSR)	18
	2.7.3 Aluminium Conductor Composite	
	Reinforced (ACCR)	19
	2.7.4 Aluminium Conductor Composite Core	
	(ACCC)	20
	2.8 Clearances	20

PERP

TITLE

3	METHODOLOGY	21
	3.1 Introduction	21
	3.2 Flowchart	21
	3.3 The 275 kV Lattice tower System	23
	3.4 Maximum Sag	25
	3.4.1 Sag at Maximum Temperature	27
	3.5 Ampacity	28
	3.5.1 DC Resistance	28
	3.5.2 AC Resistance	29
	3.5.3 Steady-State Heat Balance	30
	3.5.4 Convected Heat Loss Rate (q _c)	31
	3.5.5 Natural Convected Heat Loss Rate	31 A A
	3.5.6 Forced Convection Heat Loss Rate	32
	3.5.7 Radiated Heat Loss Rate (qr)	33
	3.5.8 Solar Heat Gain Rate (q _s)	33
	3.6 Apparent Power (MVA)	35

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Introduction	36
4.2 Maximum Sag	36
4.3 Maximum Current	38

5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
	5.1 Conclusion	41	
	5.2 Recommendations	42	

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE

REFERENCES APPENDICES

43

45

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 **Project Background**

Electricity plays important role in modern day routine. No matter the time and place, without the electricity to lighten up the bulb, to operate the fan or such electrical devices, it will definitely affect our life. For the past years, new overhead transmission lines had been very few in many countries. Though, such countries had been experiencing an increasing amount of power consumption in which these overhead transmission line may not be able to supply enough electricity to these urban cities in few years more [3]. Thus, the purpose of this project is to increase the current capacity of the existing overhead transmission lines cost huge amount of money including long term time planning while as reconductoring only cost a portion from it [5].



The power transfer capacity of an existing overhead transmission line can be upgraded by either increasing the size or number of conductors or increasing the voltage. By increasing the conductors and retaining the same voltage does not in any way change the electrical parameters. In general, existing structures are not loaded to their structural capacity in which they can accept larger conductors. While increasing the voltage involves in modification of both the electrical and structural aspects of an existing line. The structure can be modified in several ways; from simple to complex depending on the structure types. Though, power transfer capacity by increasing the conductors ampacity is structurally and electrically easier compared to increasing the voltage [5].

1.2 Problem Statement

Rapid urbanization in big cities demand higher power consumption. The needs of electricity had become among the priorities of daily routine. A lot of existing overhead transmission line was built years ago that could barely support today's demand not to mention that power consumption increases 5% each year [5].

Building new transmission tower would most likely violate the rights of way in which it will consume large space of area and disturb civilization. Though, another way of increasing the capacity of current that the overhead transmission line can deliver is by changing the existing conductor into one with higher current capacity without exceeding existing limitations such as sag constraints [5].





1.3 Objective

The main objective of this project is to investigate the potential improvements in the sag ampacity carrying level of existing 275 kV overhead line tower by re-conductoring approach. In order to achieve this objective, work analysis will look at the potential of increasing the ampacity of the line by using suitable novel conductors that are currently available in the market.

1.4 Scopes and Limitation

In order to achieve this project, the following scope and limitations had been followed:

- i. Literature review of related issues such as conductor sag, ampacity, etc.
- ii. To determine wether the 275kV L3 tower can provide more ampacity than the existing by using the calculations provided in this project..
- iii. To provide simulation tools to be used in data collecting by using Matlab and Excel.

Through this, the National Grid 275kV L3 tower is used as the framework for the analysis.



CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

There are three major parts that contributed into an overhead transmission line, which are the tower, conductor and insulator. The tower can be in variety of shapes which depends on the type of the line [6]. Conductor acts as a medium in which the electric charge moves form one point to another which generally contains metallic attribute such as aluminium or copper. Insulators acts as a support to hold the conductors in place in order to provide sufficient distance between the tower and the conductor. Inheriting the insulation properties, it will resist the flow of electric current. By means of increasing the current capacity using reconductoring method, only the conductor properties will be discussed thoroughly throughout this project.

A. Kikuchi and K. Yonezawa [4] had proposed a paper regarding the application of gap conductor and other special conductors for uprating the overhead transmission line tower. Antiquated, overworked, objection from inhabitants to construct new transmission line and the lack of coordination with IPPs on the grid efficiency seems to be the major problems in rapid urbanization such as the U.S. High growth of electricity consumption faces the problem of limited current supplied, thus increasing the current capacity of existing transmission line would obviously be the solution. This proposal provides such solution by re-stringing the conductor with higher current capacity. In



high temperature condition, heat-resistant aluminium alloy was developed so high amount of current can be delivered with the same size of conductor as the previous. Gap conductor leaves a gap between the steel core and aluminium layer filled with heatresistant grease that will help reducing the friction between the steel core and aluminium inner layer at the time of stringing. It also helps preventing water from entering the gap [4].

Jerome G. Hanson [5] had proposed a paper that provides the overview of the experience from a large utility in upgrading transmission lines. Reasons and methods with advantages and disadvantages are also discussed between upgrading and constructing new transmission lines. Upgrading an existing transmission line is far more economically than creating a new one. Though, upgrading does not seem to be easy in every way. Two different ways of upgrading the existing transmission lines are by increasing the size and number of the conductor or increasing the voltage. The former is structurally and electrically easier compared to the latter. A wood pole H-frame in North Dakota had been upgraded and modified by adding additional cross-arm that costs only 60 percent from the cost of a new line. Thus, upgrading will be implemented in the future in regard of uprating the ampacity of the existing transmission lines [5].



K. Kopsidas, S. M. Rowland, M. N. R. Baharom, and I. Cotton in [9] had proposed a paper regarding of increasing power demand for power transfer including economic and environment issues had made building new transmission line the second choice or rather not to be chosen at all. Thus, new implementation of technology had been done such as re-tensioning, reconductoring or modifying the tower design in order to supply the needs for electricity. Most of the old lines use re-tensioning to increase the thermal rating or reconductoring that changes the lines a little bigger than the previous lines. Other than that, modification of the tower design can also be done by adding composite cross-arms. Strong as the conventional, the cross arms tend to reduce cost from several angle which are; reducing the painting cost, reduce electromagnetic fields or improve pollution performance of a system. Current and voltage uprating were discussed in this paper. 275kV Lattice tower system was also discussed regarding its existing figure and modified. The cross arm provides insulation between phase and earth in which no further insulator required. ACSR was selected for the first case study as the existing tower uses it while the second comparative case uses ACCR according to the results stated in this paper. For both case studies, twin bundle configuration had been investigated [9].

I. Zamora et. al. [10] had wrote a paper regarding the possible options of upgrading the capacity of a line by means of re-conductoring. A general study of the characteristics of electrical conductors with high temperature performance and low sag were done and applied to the specific overhead transmission line. Technological peak and the quality of life increased which led to a growing demand for electrical power. Existing transmission lines are being forced to transmit increasingly higher power in order to met with this increase in demand. Thus, the ampacity of some transmission lines is close to its critical limit. The most immediate solution state din this paper is the increase in the ampacity of current overhead lines by increasing their nominal voltage or an increase in their maximum accepted current. The first choice came with a problem in the needs for raising or modify the existing supports in order to comply with the minimum safety distances. For the increase in ampacity, it is possible to opt for replacing the conductor by another with a bigger cross section which would mean performing changes or alterations to the supports in order to withstand the greater stresses on them [10].

K. Kopsidas and S. M Rowland [9] in another paper had evaluated the opportunities for increasing the power capacity of existing overhead line systems. In this paper, re-tensioning and re-conductoring are considered as the most popular cost-effective ways to increase the efficiency of power capacity of an existing aerial line. In order to identify the most beneficial method, ampacity and sag calculations are required as to consider all of the system factors that influence its performance. A holistic methodology for calculating such criterion are presented here when different conductors



are implemented onto a pre-specified overhead line structure. Analysis done in this paper focuses on normal operating temperatures for novel conductors that can operate at elevated temperatures to avoid the increase in losses and also to allow the comparison with conventional conductors in order to identify potential benefits for the investigated overhead lines system [9]. Table 2.1 represents the summary of the previous work done by other researchers.

Project Title	Author	Method	Outcome	
Application of Gap	- A. Kikuchi	- Re-stringing	-Use GTACSR and	
Conductor and	- K. Yonezawa		ZTACIR conductor	
Other Special			- Increases current and	
Conductors for			maintains sag	4A
Uprating [4]			TIN AM	
Evaluating	- K. Kopsidas	- Re-conductoring	- Use 33 kV wooden	
Opportunities for	- S. M. Rowland	TUNN	pole structure	
Increasing Power		1	- AAAC better than	
Capacity of Existing	TAKA		ACSR	
Overhead Line				
Systems [9]				
Power Transfer	- K. Kopsidas	- Re-conductoring	- ACSR LYNX and	
Capacity	- S.M. Rowland	- Composite	ACCR	
Improvements of	- M.N.R Baharom	cross-arm	- Reduced sag and	
Existing Overhead	- I. Cotton		increased current	
Line Systems [11]				
High Temperature	- I. Zamora et. al.	- Re-conductoring	- Use GTACSR,	
Conductors: A			ZTACIR and ACSS	
Solution in the			- Reduced sag and	
Uprating of			increased current	

Table 2.1: Previous work summary.



Overhead			
Transmission Lines			
[10]			
ACSS/TW - An	- F.R. Thrash, Jr.	- Re-conductoring	- Use ACSS/TW
Improved Conductor			- Less sag
for Upgrading			- High temperature
Existing Lines or			operation
New Construction			
[14]			

2.2 Conductor



The conductor is the overhead cable or line used to transmit power between the two sides. Naturally, strands of relatively pure aluminium are among the material suitable to use is the aluminium which is cheaper and lighter compared to copper [7]. These advantages made aluminium conductor to be favored as overhead transmission line of the transmission system. For example, the All Aluminium Conductor (AAC) is widely used compared to copper due to its price, weight and availability including the strength to weight ratio of AAC that has been improving which provides smaller sags resulting in shorter tower. During the period, modifications had been made which produced All Aluminium Alloy Conductor (AAAC) and Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced (ACSR) [1].

ACSR and AAAC are commonly used in medium and high voltage lines. Aluminium conductors have better resistivity over weight compared to copper as well as being cheaper. Though, some cooper cable are still used especially at lower voltages and grounding. On equal diameter basis, AAAC has a better corrosion resistance and better strength to weight ratio including improved electrical conductivity than ACSR [7-8].

Among all of the conductor characteristics, the thermal rating of conductor stands out the most. ACSS had been used as an example study for reconductoring options in order to upgrade the current carrying capacity of transmission line. The ACSS can be operated continuously at high temperatures up to 200 °C without being damaged and that it exhibit less sag at equivalent tension [2]. As increasing current implies, the conductor temperature should be increased as well. In high temperature condition, heatresistant aluminium alloy was developed in order for high current to be delivered with the same size conductor as the previous [3]. Heat-resistant alloy can be divided into two groups, namely; heat-resistant aluminium alloy and super heat-resistant aluminium alloy in which it can be operated to 150 °C and 210 °C respectively [4]. NKU TUN AMINA

2.3 **Conductor Selection**



Choosing the most appropriate conductor type and size is essential due to it being one of the major cost components of a line design [9][10]. Among the considerations that can be made are the tension loads, ice and wind loads, the current loading of the line, voltage stability, environmental effects, electrical losses, ambient conditions and many others. The objective is to select a conductor that inherits the best conductivity-toweight ratio or strength-to-weight ratio at minimal cost for the application. Other key factors in choosing the conductor types are the electrical and mechanical properties, thermal properties, and stress-strain relationship of the conductor type and size for a given line design problem [1].

2.4 Types of Conductor Materials

Relatively pure aluminium (1350-H19), an aluminium alloy (6201-T81), 1350-H19 and 6201-T81 combined or 1350-H19 or 1350-O combined with one or more steel core wires are the common types of phase conductors used in overhead transmission lines. These conductors are well-known as All-Aluminium Conductor (AAC), All-Aluminium Alloy Conductor (AAAC), Aluminium Conductor Alloy Reinforced (ACAR), Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced (ACSR) and Aluminium Conductor Steel Supported (ACSS) respectively. Each of the aluminium materials have different advantageous characteristics.

2.4.1 Aluminium and Aluminium Alloy Wire



Aluminium and aluminium alloys can be divided into two major groups, namely the non-heat-treatable and heat-treatable. The first type can only be hardened and strengthened by some form of plastic deformation, such as rolling, drawing, swaging and etc. Generally hardness and strength increase proportionally with the deformation process as opposite to the electrical conductivity. The 1350 pure aluminium used in overhead conductors falls into the non-heat-treatable class. Greatly deformed as it is in which it had undergone the wire drawing process called tempering, it is labeled as H19. Thus, in order to indicate both the material and temper, the wire is referred to as 1350-H19. Smaller wire requires greater deformation during the wire drawing process which makes it contains a higher tensile strength [1].

After the hardening process, both the non-heat-treatable and heat-treatable materials can only be softened by subjecting them into a thermal treatment. The only softened temper used in overhead conductors is a full-soft, or O-temper which also made it fully annealed. Used only in one of the steel-reinforced composite cables, ACSS, the

1350 wire in this state is designated 1350-O. Being compared with 1350-H19, 1350-O wire has a much lower tensile strength, but much greater ductility and slightly increased conductivity [1].

Heat treatable aluminium alloys can be strengthened in many ways, such as; plastic deformation, thermal treatments, or a combination of the two. The deformation takes place during the wire drawing process of wire. Solution-heat-treatment (SHT) and aging treatment are the two thermal treatments required. SHT gives the materials the potential to be strengthened which consists of an elevated temperature-time cycle followed by a quench. SHT can be done during the manufacturing of the redraw rod or separately after the manufacturing process. After the wire is drawn, it is subjected to an elevated temperature-time aging treatment. This means that the wire will achieve two times strength from the process it had undergone. The state of the finished wire is designated as being in the T81 temper [1]. Table 2.2 describes the summarization of the aluminium alloy classes summarization.

Table 2.2: Aluminium and aluminium alloy classes summarization.

Non-heat-treatable	Heat-treatable		
- Aluminium and aluminium alloys are hardened by plastic deformation.	- Can be strengthened by plastic deformation, thermal treatments or the combination of the two.		
- 1350 aluminium is in this category.	- 6201 aluminium alloy is used when higher strength is required.		
- H19 is the temper process.	- T81 is the temper process		
- Can be softened with full-soft or O-temper.			

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ridley, M. Amy, L. Mark and N. Kim, ".Overhead Conductor Manual" 2nd ed., Southwire Company, 2007.
- [2] C. B. Gordon, "Re-conductoring Power Lines: An Example Exercise in Conductor Selection" in IEEE Rural Electric Power Conference, 2001.
- [3] E. Mateescu, D. Marginean, G. Gheorghita, E. Dragan, St. I. A. Gal and C. Matea., "Uprating a 220 kV Double Circuit Transmission LINE in Romania; Study of the Possible Solutions, Technical and Economic Comparison" in IEEE Bucharest Power Tech Conference, 2009.
- [4] A. Kikuchi, and K. Yonezawa "Application of Gap Conductor and Other Special Conductors for Uprating" in Power Engineering Society Summer Meeting, 2001, on pp. 180-181.
- [5] H. G. Jerome, "Upgrading Transmission Lines" in Transmission and Distribution Conference, 1991.
- [6] BSI, "Loading and Strength of Overhead Transmission Lines" BS EN 7733:1994, April 1994.
- [7] S.R. Krishnamurthy and P. Selvan, "Use of AAAC in a distribution network A strategy for energy and cost reduction" 1995.
- [8] S. N. Mokhtar, Md. N. Jamal and M. Sulaiman, "Analysis of All Aluminium Conductor (AAC) and All Aluminium Alloy Conductor (AAAC)".
- [9] K. Kopsidas, S.M. Rowland "Evaluating Opportunities for Increasing Power Capacity of Existing Overhead Line Systems", in IET, Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 2008.



- [10] I. Zamora, A.J. Mazon, P. Egula, R. Criado, C. Alonso, J. Iglesias, J.R. Saenz "High Temperature Conductors: A Solution in the Uprating of Overhead Transmission Lines", in IEEE Porto Power Tech Conference, 2001.
- [11] K. Kopsidas, S. M. Rowland, M. N. R. Baharom and I. Cotton, "Power Transfer Capacity Improvements of Existing Overhead Line Systems," Electrical Insulation (ISEI), Conference Record of the 2010 IEEE International Symposium, United Kingdom, June 2010.
- [12] *Modern Power Station Practice* 3rd ed, British Electricity International, London, 1991.
- [13] Overhead Line Handbook, National Technical Training Centre, April 1989.
- [14] F. R. Thrash, Jr., "ACSS/TW An Improved Conductor for Upgrading Existing Lines or New Construction", IEEE, Transmission and Distribution Conference, 1999.
- [15] *Bare Overhead Conductors*, Available from http://www.shashicables.com, 2012.
- [16] ACAR Aluminium Conductor Alloy Reinforced (AWG Series), Available from http://www.nexans.com.br, 2012.
- [17] *Transmission Conductor*, Available from http://www.metallink.co.kr, 2012.
- [18] Ultra High Strength Steel Core Transmission Cables, Available from http://www.cable.alcan.com, 2012.
- [19] *Gap conductors: GTACSR and GTACSR*, Available from http://lamifil.be, 2012.
- [20] *3M ACCR cross section*, Available from http://www.realwire.com, 2012.
- [21] *Aluminium Conductor Composite Core conductor*, Available from http://www.cable.alcan.com, 2012.



PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH