

CUSTOMER FOCUSED OPTIMAL DESIGN SKILL TRAINING MODULE  
FROM THE INFORMATICS PERSPECTIVE

ANIES FAZIEHAN ZAKARIA

A thesis submitted in  
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the  
Doctor of Philosophy in Technical and Vocational Education

Faculty of Technical and Vocational Education  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

**DEDICATION**

*My Son,*

*Karl Zahrin Bin Zulfahmi Arif*

*Dearest Mama,*

*Rahanah Abd Rahman*



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I want to thank ALLAH Almighty for giving me the strength and courage to accomplish my goal. HE has been the biggest source of strength for me.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr Johnson Lim Soon Chong. It has been an honor to be his PhD student. I am grateful for all his contributions of time, ideas, and knowledge to make my research experience productive and exciting. Without his guidance, motivation, and endless support it would have been impossible to remain sustainable in the obscure situations.

I would like to thank my fellow doctoral friends for their feedback, cooperation and of course love and friendship. Especially, for all my sisters in Bilik Siswazah 6.

Thank you to my loving husband, Zufahmi Arif Bin Halim, whose patience and understanding has supported me throughout my journey.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family: my mother, Rahanah Abd Rahman; my father, Zakaria Bin Said and to my brothers; All the Iqmal's (Sulong, Hakim, Iche, Abe) and sister, Esya for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and my life in general.

With thanks to those who blew the wind, And those who sailed the ship,  
We sailed it tight against the tide, And I shall be forever in your debt

(Zakaria, Anies Faziehan)

## ABSTRACT

Design informatics refers to the processing and application of information in the design process. In the case study of Product-Service Bundle (PSB), design analysis has become challenging due to the increasing amount of complex design information. Given such a design complexity, there are challenges in term of technical and educational needs of data-driven design. Therefore, a design skill training module of customer-focused optimal design was proposed. This study was conducted based on four main parts: (a) Part I: The Customer Knowledge Discovery (conjoint analysis and decision tree method); (b) Part II: The PSB Design and Optimisation (multi-objective optimisation technique); (c) Part III: The Design and Development of Training Modules (ADDIE Model) with Experts Validation ( $n=5$ ); and (d) Part IV: The User Study of Skill Training Module ( $n=21$ ). As results, in Part I the generated rules for product-service that matching the product and service features were identified. Part II, two case studies that show new PSB pricing reference based on existing offers were illustrated. Then, four modules of customer-focused optimal design training were developed in Part III and the average of expert's validation score, 70%-85% were obtained which exceeding the suggested acceptable threshold, 70%. Finally, an increment of trainees achievement that obtained 'A' grade in each training was recorded in Part IV; 23.81%, 28.57%, 38.10%, and 61.90%, respectively. Besides, the frequencies of trainee's achievement grades were presented based on demographic profiles; (i) working experiences, with ( $n=3$ ), without ( $n=13$ ), and training ( $n=5$ ); (ii) level of skills; basic ( $n=6$ ), intermediate ( $n=12$ ), advanced ( $n=3$ ), respectively. Lastly, the feedback of post-training survey presented good usability rating and feasibility of the suggested training modules. In conclusion, this study provides one of the potential solutions for solving design issues that can be applied in engineering education.

## ABSTRAK

Informatik adalah merujuk kepada pemrosesan dan penggunaan maklumat dalam proses reka bentuk. Dalam kajian kes pakej perkhidmatan produk (PSB), analisis reka bentuk sangat mencabar disebabkan oleh peningkatan jumlah maklumat yang kompleks. Selain cabaran itu, terdapat cabaran lain dari segi teknikal dan keperluan pendidikan bagi reka bentuk berkaitan data. Oleh itu, modul latihan kemahiran reka bentuk yang memfokuskan kepada optimum pelanggan telah dibangunkan. Kajian ini dijalankan berdasarkan empat bahagian utama; (a) Bahagian I: Penerokaan maklumat pelanggan (analisis kombinasi dan kaedah keputusan pokok); (b) Bahagian II: pendekatan rekabentuk dan pengoptimuman PSB (teknik pengoptimuman pelbagai objektif); (c) Bahagian III: reka bentuk dan pembangunan modul latihan (Model ADDIE) dan pengesahan kebolegunaan pakar ( $n=5$ ); dan (d) Bahagian IV: kajian pengguna terhadap modul yang dicadangkan ( $n=21$ ). Sebagai hasil kajian, dalam bahagian I, padanan peraturan produk dan elemen perkhidmatan produk telah dikenal pasti. Dalam bahagian II, dua kajian kes yang menunjukkan harga rujukan PSB berdasarkan perbandingan tawaran sedia ada ditunjukkan. Kemudian, empat modul latihan reka bentuk optimum pelanggan telah dibangunkan dan memperolehi purata skor pengesahan kebolegunaan modul latihan, 70%-85%, dimana skor ini melebihi tahap yang disyorkan, 70%. Akhir sekali, peningkatan pencapaian pelatih yang mendapat gred A dalam setiap latihan direkodkan; 23.81%, 28.57%, 38.10%, dan 61.90%, berasingan. Di samping itu, frekuensi gred pencapaian pelatih dilaporkan berdasarkan profil pelatih (i) pengalaman kerja, ada ( $n=3$ ), tiada ( $n=13$ ), dan latihan sahaja ( $n=5$ ); dan (ii) tahap kemahiran; asas ( $n=6$ ), pertengahan ( $n=12$ ), lanjutan ( $n=3$ ). Kesimpulannya, kajian ini menyediakan salah satu keadah penyelesaian bagi isu reka bentuk yang boleh diaplikasi dalam bidang pendidikan kejuruteraan.

## CONTENTS

<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
<b>CONTENTS</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xx
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1    Introduction	1
1.2    Background of Study	3
1.3    Problems Statement	8
1.4    Research Objectives	10
1.5    Research Questions	11
1.6    Significance of Study	12
1.7    Research Scope	14
1.8    Operational Definitions	15
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1    Engineering Design	17
2.1.1    Design Informatics	21

	2.1.2	Data-Driven Design	23
	2.1.3	Engineering Design Skills	26
2.2		Knowledge Discovery for Analysing Customer Requirements	30
	2.2.1	Identifying Customer Requirements	31
	2.2.2	Analysing Customer Requirements	33
2.3		Product-Service Bundle (PSB) Design	35
	2.3.1	PSB Design Methodologies	38
	2.3.2	PSB Design Modelling and Optimisation	43
	2.3.3	PSB Pricing Strategies	46
	2.3.4	Data Analytics Skills in PSB Design	49
2.4		Technical Vocational Education and Training	50
	2.4.1	Teaching and Learning in TVET	54
	2.4.2	Vocational and Technical Pedagogy	55
	2.4.3	Didactics Approach	58
	2.4.4	Engineering Education	59
	2.4.5	Relationship of TVET with Engineering Design	62
2.5		Design and Development of Design Skill Training Module	64
	2.5.1	Instructional Design Models and Theories	65
	2.5.2	Types of Instructional Materials/Modules	70
	2.5.3	The Need of Modular Instruction in Technical Education	71
	2.5.4	The Fundamental Characteristic of Training Modules	73
	2.5.5	Steps in Design and Development of Module	75
	2.5.6	Impact of the Module: Assessment and Evaluation	78
	2.5.6.1	The impact of the module in term of achievement	79
	2.5.6.2	The impact of the module in term of usability testing	80
	2.5.6.3	The impact of the module in term of user experience	82
	2.5.6.4	Comparison of module and training evaluation	83
2.6		Summary of Literature	85



<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>87</b>
3.1 A Design Skills Development from Informatics Perspective for PSB Design: A Framework	87
3.2 Part I: A Knowledge Discovery Approach for Analysing design information for PSB	90
3.2.1 Methodology	91
3.2.1.1 Task I: Data Collection	93
3.2.1.2 Task II: Customer Preference Survey	93
3.2.1.3 Task III: Product and Service Relationship	94
3.3 Part II: Product-Service Bundle Design Optimisation	95
3.3.1 Methodology	96
3.3.1.1 Task I: Data Collection of Product and Service Features	99
3.3.1.2 Task II: Design and Optimisation	102
3.3.1.3 Task III: Matching Rules Discovery and Selection	109
3.3.1.4 Task IV: Decision Making	109
3.3.1.5 Task V: Determine PSB design skills	110
3.4 Part III: Design and Development of Skill Training Modules	111
3.4.1 Research Design	111
3.4.2 Instrument	111
3.4.3 Methodology	112
3.4.3.1 Task I: Analysis of Training Module	115
3.4.3.2 Task II: Design of Training Module	116
3.4.3.3 Task III: Development of Training Module	116





	3.4.3.4	Task IV: Training Module Validation	117
3.5		Part IV: User Study of Design Skill Training Modules	119
	3.5.1	Instrument	119
	3.5.2	Methodology	120
		3.5.2.1 Task I: Implementation of Training Module	122
		3.5.2.2 Task II: Evaluation of Training Module	123

## **CHAPTER 4 DEVELOPMENT OF CUSTOMER-FOCUSED OPTIMAL DESIGN SKILL TRAINING MODULES 125**

4.1		Analysis	125
	4.1.1	Training Module Need Analysis	125
	4.1.2	Learning Objectives	127
	4.1.3	Targeted User: Selection of Trainee	128
4.2		Design	130
	4.2.1	Layout Design	130
	4.2.2	Image and Graphics Selection	137
	4.2.3	Media and Material Selection	138
4.3		Development	139
	4.3.1	Guideline and Worksheet	139
	4.3.2	Module Content	142
		4.3.2.1 Training I: Customer Knowledge Discovery	142
		4.3.2.2 Training II: Product Service Bundle Design Relationship Discovery	143
		4.3.2.3 Training III: Data Transformation: Convert Decision Tree to Rules Form	143
		4.3.2.4 Training IV: Design Optimisation and Decision Making	144
4.4		Implementation	144
	4.4.1	Time Allocation	144
	4.4.2	Venue Layout	145
	4.4.3	Lesson Plan	146
	4.4.4	Observation/Reflection	149

4.5	Evaluation	150
4.5.1	Acceptance level of trainee's achievement	150
4.5.2	Acceptance level of usability	151
4.5.3	Acceptance level of user' experiences	152

## **CHAPTER 5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** **154**

5.1	Part I: A Knowledge Discovery Approach for Analysing Design Information for PSB	154
5.1.1	Product-Service Features	154
5.1.2	Customer Preference's Survey	156
5.1.3	Classification Evaluation	159
5.1.4	Discussion of Results	162
	5.1.4.1 Type of product and service design information that can be collected	162
	5.1.4.2 Gather customer preferences by given product and service information	163
	5.1.4.3 Analyse the customer preferences to support PSB design decision making	164
5.1.5	Section Summary	165
5.2	Part II: Product-Service Bundle Design Optimisation	166
5.2.1	Optimal Decision for Product-Service Design Pricing Decision	166
5.2.2	Case I: Designing Service Elements and Pricing with Given Product Category	169
5.2.3	Matching Rules Discovery and Selection Results	174
5.2.4	Case II: Product-Service Design based on Customer Preference Knowledge	176
5.2.5	Discussion of Results	179
	5.2.5.1 Formulate the PSB problem based on the collected PSB design information	179

	5.2.5.2	Solve formulated PSB design problem and determine solution algorithm	180
	5.2.5.3	Illustrate the proposed PSB design methodology	181
	5.2.5.4	Design skill needed for PSB design	182
	5.2.6	Section Summary	184
5.3		Part III: Design and Development of Skill Training Modules	186
	5.3.1	Training Need Analysis	186
	5.3.2	Training Design and Development	189
	5.3.3	Training Module Validation	190
	5.3.4	Discussion of Results	192
	5.3.4.1	Suitable instructional design to develop training modules	192
	5.3.4.2	Design of skill training modules	193
	5.3.4.3	Module validation from experts' feedback	194
	5.3.5	Section Summary	195
5.4		Part IV: User Study of Design Skill Training Modules	196
	5.4.1	Trainee's Achievement	196
	5.4.1.1	Scoring and Grading	196
	5.4.1.2	Standard Average Score	198
	5.4.1.3	Cross Tabulation Analysis	202
	5.4.2	Usability	206
	5.4.3	User Experience Toward Training Module	207
	5.4.4	Discussion of Results	209
	5.4.4.1	Acceptance level of trainee's achievement	209
	5.4.4.2	Acceptance level of module's usability	210
	5.4.4.3	Acceptance level of user experience of developed module	211
	5.4.5	Section Summary	212
		<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>214</b>
6.1		Summary of Work	214

6.2	Objectives Accomplished	215
6.3	Research Contributions	222
6.4	Directions for Future Work	225
6.5	Conclusion	227
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>228</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>268</b>
	<b>VITA</b>	<b>319</b>



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Definition of informatics	22
2.2	Special issues on data related design studies	25
2.3	Summary of design skills studies	30
2.4	Comparison of common methods for identifying voice of customers	32
2.5	Comparison of PSB System concepts (Manzini & Vezzoli, 2003; Tran & Park, 2014)	37
2.6	Three categories in PSB system	38
2.7	Summarisation of pricing decision for PSB design	48
2.8	Summarisation of TVET challenges	53
2.9	Summarisation of pedagogy definition	56
2.10	Didactics and pedagogy	59
2.11	Comparison of existing instructional design	66
2.12	Previous studies on ADDIE Model	69
2.13	Comparison of traditional and modular teaching approach	73
2.14	Fundamental Characteristic of Modules	74
2.15	The component of the module	75
2.16	Aspect of developing physical module (Meyer, 1984)	77
2.17	Factor and method selection in development module (Rubin & Chisnell, 2008; You <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	78
3.1	Comparison between classification and clustering technique	91
3.2	Pseudo-code for the C4.5 algorithm used within WEKA	95
3.3	Selected product and service offering	100
3.4	Selected products and services parameter limit	102
3.5	Expert selection criteria	118
4.1	Industrial expert selection criteria	126
4.2	Selected Sample	129
4.3	Design element for PSB skill training module development	130
4.4	Media and material selection	139

4.5	Example of lesson plan for training I	148
4.6	Types of Evaluation	153
5.1	Product and service features and feature values	155
5.2	Partially content for PSB preference survey	156
5.3	Generated rules for product-service matching	161
5.4	Selected products and service offerings' price parameter for optimisation	167
5.5	Experimental settings	168
5.6	Case I: Proposed PSB pricing structure for selected product category to existing offer	173
5.7	Selected optimised matching PSB design rules	175
5.8	Case II: Proposed PSB pricing based on customer preference knowledge compared with existing offers	178
5.9	Design skill to support PSB design	184
5.10	Experts Profile	187
5.11	Skill elements required by engineers/ designers from experts' perspective	188
5.12	Selected design tools and software	189
5.13	Training module development process using ADDIE Model	190
5.14	Training module usability score from experts perspective	191
5.15	Experts' usability score	192
5.16	Score and grade	196
5.17	Gender's cross tabulation frequency for four training sessions	202
5.18	Prior skill's cross tabulation frequency for four training sessions	204
5.19	Working experience's cross tabulation frequency for four training sessions	205
5.20	Post training evaluation	207



## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	General product and design development process (Ulrich, 2003)	19
2.2	Phase of the design activities (Dieter & Schmidt, 2013)	19
2.3	Integrated product-service design process (Aurich <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	20
2.4	Integrated engineering design skills requirement (Riel <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	28
2.5	Type of modules	71
2.6	General principle of instructional material design	76
2.7	Summary of literature	86
3.1	Overall framework of design skill training development to support PSB design	89
3.2	Overview of methodology for product-service matching	92
3.3	Design and optimisation methodology	97
3.4	Chromosome representation	107
3.5	Proposal of instructional design approach	113
3.6	Training module design and development	114
3.7	Methodology of user study for design skill training modules	121
4.1	Training modules layout design	132
4.2	Mini table of content for each training module	133
4.3	Training Module Overview Layout	134
4.4	Note each training module	135
4.5	Step-by-step guideline each training module	136
4.6	Worksheet layout design	137
4.7	Example of Selected Graphic or Image from Internet (source: <a href="https://www.vecteezy.com/free-vector/school-boy">https://www.vecteezy.com/free-vector/school-boy</a> )	138
4.8	Example of graphics selection	138
4.9	Partially content of training notes	140
4.10	Partially content of training guidelines	141

4.11	Partially content of training modules	142
4.12	Time allocation for training	145
4.13	Proposed Class Layout	146
5.1	Respondents demographic information	157
5.2	Customer preference for product-service package	158
5.3	Performance accuracy versus confidence factor for C4.5 algorithm	159
5.4	Non-Dominated Pareto Solution Sets for Each Product Category	170
5.5	Trainee's Achievement	197
5.6	Trainee's Achievement for Training I	198
5.7	Trainee's Achievement for Training II	199
5.8	Trainee's Achievement for Training III	200
5.9	Trainee's Achievement for Training IV	201
5.10	Average Trainee's Achievement for Four Training	201
5.11	Feedback score on training usability	207
5.12	Feedback score versus prior skills	208





## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PSB	–	Product service bundle
$D^3$	–	Data driven design
CR	–	Customer requirement
VoC	–	Voice of customer
CA	–	Conjoint analysis
DCA	–	Discrete conjoint analysis
SA	–	Sentiment analysis
QFD	–	Quality function deployment
HoQ	–	House of quality
NSGA	–	Non-dominated genetic algorithm
ANN	–	Analytic neural network
DEA	–	Data envelop analysis
EC	–	Engineering characteristics
IPA	–	Importance-performance analysis
PLM	–	Product-life management
NPD	–	New product development
RE	–	Requirement engineering
RSP	–	Receiver state parameter
AHP	–	Analytic Hierarchy Process
TVET	–	Technical and vocational education training
CAE	–	Computer-aided engineering
CAM	–	Computer-aided manufacturing
ID	–	Instructional Design
MOEA	–	Multi-objective evolutionary algorithm
MOO	–	multi-objective optimisation
IG	–	information gain
SMS	–	Short message system
ML	–	Machine learning
$D_{rp}$	–	Device retail price
$D_p$	–	Device price
$D_u$	–	Device up-front
$D_r$	–	Device rebate
$N_c$	–	Number of voice call service
$N_m$	–	Short Message Service
$N_d$	–	Data service quota

$N_{cr}$	–	Number of additional voice call
$N_{dr}$	–	Additional data service quota
$RS_i$	–	Service fee for each service
$C_c$	–	Charges for a number of minutes call
$N_c$	–	Number of call per minutes
$N_{cr}$	–	Number of free call per minute
$N_d$	–	Charge of Internet data
$N_{dr}$	–	Number of free Internet data
$C_m$	–	Charges for a SMS
$N_m$	–	Number of SMS
$g(CS_j)$	–	Pricing function for service category
$f(CP_j)$	–	Pricing function for product category
$RS$	–	Service fee for each service
$GD$	–	Generational distance
$HV$	–	Hyper-volume



**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Questionnaires Survey	268
B	Training Module	286
C	Lesson Plan/ Guideline	317
D	Expert's Feedback	323
E	Documentation	328



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

Engineering education is a multi-disciplinary area that has progressively improved into essential teaching, learning and research focus with an increasing number of approaches to support industrial applications. Traditionally, the major discipline-focused areas (e.g. civil, electrical, mechanical and information technology) to multi-disciplinary areas such as computer application in education, engineering entrepreneurship, digitalising and globalisation engineering show promising progress for producing pro-active and highly skilled graduates (Castro *et al.*, 2015). Among the areas of engineering education, design education is considered an emerging sub-field that focused on the educational perspectives that support the engineering design application (i.e. product design, product engineering and manufacturing process). In design education, the key focus is to determine the educational approach on how to learn design. On the other hand, the key point of engineering design is how to technically design products, service and system (Tomiya *et al.*, 2009). Design problem usually does not match the boundaries of a single discipline (Gericke *et al.*, 2013). As a consequence, designers/engineers are required to possess different skill

from multiple disciplines that can help them in designing better and marketable products and services.

The engineering design process is complicated and complex which requires multi-dimensional effort and skills such as analysis of design goals, understanding operational contexts, assessment of technologies, and developing design team that can support design process (Hughes & Martin, 1998). Several prominent design processes have been proposed, such as systematic design, design process, product development (Pahl & Beitz, 2013; Ulrich & Eppinger, 2003), which commonly focus on the importance of identifying the design problem and analysis design information (e.g. market analysis and identify potential customers). Thus, one of the main challenging issues raised in the engineering design process is to deal with new information and communication technologies. The rapid increase in the amount of published information or design data that are now available to people (i.e. designers, customers, companies) has implications for the current needs of product and service design. The more that information becomes essential in nowadays, the more important it is to establish the field of design informatics (Geng *et al.*, 2010; Bordegoni & Cugini, 2010).

The term, design informatics, has lately started to gain some attention from the various fields such as design disciplines, education and information science. The example of study is that include the approach for discovering customer-focused optimal design in product-service bundle (Zakaria & Lim, 2016). This can be viewed, design informatics as a study area that enables understanding of the design that can be obtained by taking an informed perspective on design activities, which is integrating design and education context. In this case, various of information and resources are required involving identified users needs, translating customer requirements into engineering characteristics, finding matching suitable combination of product and service feature during the design process. Furthermore, all this information and processes need to be transformed into educational practices.

On the other hand, given the challenges in the engineering design process, it

brings to the design education challenges and needs. Firstly, the ability to understand, apply and synthesise the design process is one of the essential skills for designers in solving design problems (Pahl & Beitz, 2013; Ulrich, 2003). The solution to a design problem requires a systematic methodology with different design skills. Previously, the issue related to the design process and design skill development highlights the usefulness for novice training. However, it is reported that the shortage of design skills, no specific training modules with minimal exposure to 'real-world' engineering problems in design engineering lead to insufficient product knowledge and incomplete design training (van der Waal *et al.*, 2014). To find a solution for improving design skills training, the traditional design practices and pedagogical approaches need to be improved due to the current industrial demand and technology development. For example, improvement can be the highlight in design contents and instructional pedagogy, and the use of technology (Litzinger *et al.*, 2011; Dym *et al.*, 2005).

## 1.2 Background of Study

Design informatics refers to the processing and application of the information in the design process (Chiba *et al.*, 2012; Rolstads & Paci, 2013). From engineering design process context, information processing activity allows knowledge discovery for analysis of various combination and interaction of design variables and implements design concepts. Designers can capture and analyse information at every stage of the design process, formulate design problems and also assist in the design evaluation and decision making (McGinley & Dong, 2011; McMahan, 2006; Eppinger, 2001). The essential elements of the design informatics approach are the ability to understand information management focusing on computational methods, techniques and applications for the data-driven design that requires several technical skills to help in designing better products and services.

Both engineering design and design education continuously work on finding a better way to research, analyse and creatively respond to design problems that require the use of information from a variety of sources, proficient in design and technical

strategies for problem-solving (McMahon, 2016; Lan *et al.*, 2013). Academicians are persistently responsive to industrial and manufacturing changes by improving the traditional educational approaches such as teaching and learning process, contents, curriculum development and the use of technologies (Telenko *et al.*, 2016; Tomiyama *et al.*, 2009; Dym *et al.*, 2005). To deal with changes in manufacturing and industrial design practices, an improvement of design engineering is remarkable (Cohen & Katz, 2015). One suggested way is preparing future designers with essential technical and design skills of engineering practices (Cohen & Katz, 2015; Banios, 1991). However, teaching engineering education subject matter with latest technologies aimed at presenting a sufficient design knowledge to future designers has become a challenge due to industrial changes in production, distribution, usage of products and services, and social development (e.g. innovations, technologies, data-driven design, competitive market and customer-driven products).

Previously, one of the better solutions proposed for this issue was to provide the adequate preparation of technical/design skills development for the novice engineers/designers and future technical teachers (Wood *et al.*, 2016; Banios, 1991). Brunhaver *et al.* (2017) and Lang *et al.* (1999) supported the idea that skills training development with the integration of industrial design contents can be one of the effective pedagogical solutions to prepare designers who are capable of identifying and solving complex engineering problems. Besides, in design skills training, both technical and non-technical skills are highlighted to improve trainee's efficiency and design experiences across training and disciplines. For example, the assessment of cognitive design skills proposed by Khorshidi *et al.* (2014) that emphasised on the high-degree of design thinking skills such as analytical, synthetics, problem formulation and decision making are essential elements for a good design. Design thinking skills consist of potential strategies for solving problems by bringing an understanding of users to technology design (Norman & Klemmer, 2018).

Moreover, the design process consists of complex design tasks (e.g. product planning, conceptual design, detailed design, optimisation, prototyping), and it

requires a systematic methodology for each design task (Eppinger & Ulrich, 2015; Pahl & Beitz, 2013). To develop systematic design methodologies, researchers have recognised the need to exchange information, to store and analyse design knowledge for each design stage, which is necessary for product and service development (Eppinger, 2001; McMahon, 2006). Besides, the use of computing tools in all aspects of design practice especially in analysing design data, design optimisation and in support of designers in knowledge discovery, management and decision making are considered the key elements in design process (Bontempi *et al.*, 2013; McGinley & Dong, 2011). For instance, information management and knowledge management (e.g. database management, information architecture, programming, software applications) are commonly used to ensure smooth management and analysis of the design description, information and knowledge. However, there is a lack of design program or specific training modules that can emphasise the importance of design skill. Specifically, design skills that focused on information and knowledge discovery such as in the assembly, interpretation of research questions into coherent design challenges in a specific context, the use of computing tools techniques (data analytics tools), and programming for physical and software-based applications.

On the other hand, product-service bundle (PSB) design is found to be one of the challenging issues in design informatics studies due to their complex design process and contain multiple aspects of data-related design information that need consideration. PSB design is a design process that highlights the integration of tangible product, and intangible service features to satisfy customer requirements (Geng *et al.*, 2010; Manzini & Vezzoli, 2003; Mont, 2002). Designing PSB requires sufficient design information, suitable design methodology, and also use a data analytics tool to ensure that the service can complement the functional product experiences and created additional values through the entire life cycle of products. Existing studies have discussed a wide variety of PSB design from different perspectives such as information systems, and business management toward the importance of informatics (Lim *et al.*, 2015; Boehm & Thomas, 2013a; Heisig *et al.*, 2010; Allard *et al.*,



## REFERENCES

- Abbas, A., Choudhary, M. A., & Khan, N. (2013). An Assessment of Competency Requirements of Design Engineers in Pakistan and Development of a Framework for Improvement. *2013 Proceedings of Technology Management in the It-Driven Services (Picmet'13)*, pp. 1723–1737.
- Abdul Wahab, N. B. (2015). *Pembangunan Kit Rimba Berorientasikan Elemen Sekolah Rimba Malaysia bagi Peningkatan Kompetensi Sains Murid Orang Asli*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.
- Agard, B., & Kusiak, A. (2004). Data-mining-based methodology for the design of product families. *International Journal of Production Research*, 42(15), 2955–2969.
- Agouridas, V., & Race, P. (2006). Supporting Reflection Practice in Design Education. In *ASME 2006 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. pp. 867–875.
- Agrawal, R., Imieliński, T., & Swami, A. (1993). Mining association rules between sets of items in large databases. In *Acm sigmod record*, vol. 22. ACM. pp. 207–216.
- Ahmad, J. (2002). *Kesahan, kebolehpercayaan dan keberkesanan Modul Program Maju Diri ke atas motivasi pencapaian di kalangan pelajar sekolah menengah negeri Selangor*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia Serdang.
- Aksoy-Burkert, F., & König, C. J. (2015). Meeting training: A suggestion.

- Alelaimat, A. R., & Ghoneem, K. A. A. R. (2012). The Effect of Educational Modules Strategy on the Direct and Postponed Study's Achievement of Seventh Primary Grade Students in Science, in Comparison with the Conventional Approach. *Higher Education Studies*, 2(2), 40–60.
- Allard, S., Levine, K. J., & Tenopir, C. (2009). Design engineers and technical professionals at work: Observing information usage in the workplace. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 60(3), 443–454.
- Almomen, R. K., Kaufman, D., Alotaibi, H., Al-Rowais, N. A., Albeik, M., & Albattal, S. M. (2016). Applying the ADDIE– Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation and Evaluation – Instructional Design Model to Continuing Professional Development for Primary Care Physicians In Saudi Arabia Vocational Training In Malaysia: A Critical Evaluation. *International Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 7(08), 538.
- Alonso-Rasgado, T., & Thompson, G. (2006). A rapid design process for total care product creation. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 17(6), 509–531.
- Alsagoff, S. A. (1987). Training needs in the use of media for distance education in Malaysia.
- Ananiadou, K. (2013). Revisiting Global Trends in TVET: Reflections on Theory and Practice. *UNESCO-UNEVOC International Centre for Technical and Vocational Education and Training*.
- Anderson, C. J., Glassman, M., McAfee, R. B., & Pinelli, T. (2001). An Investigation of Factors Affecting How Engineers and Scientists Seek Information. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 18(2), 131–155.
- Askin, R. G., & Dawson, D. W. (2007). Maximizing customer satisfaction by optimal specification of engineering characteristics Downloaded. *IIE Transactions*, 32(April 2014), 37–41.
- Aurich, J., Fuchs, C., & DeVries, M. (2004). An approach to life cycle oriented technical service design. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, 53(1), 151–154.

- Aurich, J. C., Fuchs, C., & Wagenknecht, C. (2006a). Life cycle oriented design of technical Product-Service Systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(17), 1480–1494.
- Aurich, J. C., Fuchs, C., & Wagenknecht, C. (2006b). Modular design of technical product-service systems. In *Innovation in life cycle engineering and sustainable development*, pp. 303–320. Springer.
- Aurich, J. C., Mannweiler, C., & Schweitzer, E. (2010). How to design and offer services successfully. *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 2(3), 136–143.
- Ayonmike, C. S. (2016). Technical Vocational Education and Training in Nigeria for Job Creation and Wealth Generation: Myths and Realities. *ATBU Journal of Science, Technology and Education*, 4(2), 1–8.
- Azadeh, A., Songhori, H., & Salehi, N. (2017). An Unique Optimization Model for Deterministic Bundle Pricing of Two Products with Limited Stock. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 8(2), 1154–1160.
- Baartman, L. K., & De Bruijn, E. (2011). Integrating knowledge, skills and attitudes: Conceptualising learning processes towards vocational competence. *Educational Research Review*, 6(2), 125–134.
- Bae, J. K., & Kim, J. (2011). Product development with data mining techniques: A case on design of digital camera. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(8), 9274–9280.
- Baker, R. S., & Inventado, P. S. (2014). Educational data mining and learning analytics. In *Learning analytics*, pp. 61–75. Springer.
- Banciu, M., & Ødegaard, F. (2016). Optimal product bundling with dependent valuations: The price of independence. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 255(2), 481–495.
- Banios, E. W. (1991). Teaching Engineering Practices. In *Frontiers in Education Conference, 1991. Twenty-First Annual Conference. Engineering Education*

*in a New World Order' Proceedings.. IEEE. pp. 161–168.*

- Bannan, K. J. (2003). Companies save time, money with online surveys. *B to B*, 88(6), 1–1.
- Barajas, M., & Agard, B. (2011). Selection of products based on customer preferences applying fuzzy logic. *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM)*, 5(4), 235–242.
- Bart, A. C., & Shaffer, C. A. (2016). Instructional Design is to Teaching As Software Engineering is to Programming. *Proceedings of the 47th ACM Technical Symposium on Computing Science Education*, pp. 240–241.
- Battisti, C., & Zocchi, A. (2018). Experiential Key Species for Nature-disconnected Generations: An Expert-based Framework for Their A-priori Selection. *Anthrozoös*, 31(5), 627–644.
- Baumgarten, K., & Kunz, S. (2016). Re-thinking Greening TVET for Traditional Industries in Asia – The Untegration of a Less-Skilled Labour Force into Green Supply Chains.
- Bayer, M., Sommer, W., & Schacht, A. (2012). Font size matter emotion and attention in cortical responses to written words. *PloS one*, 7(5), e36042.
- Becker, J., Beverungen, D. F., & Knackstedt, R. (2010). The challenge of conceptual modeling for product–service systems: status-quo and perspectives for reference models and modeling languages. *Information Systems and e-Business Management*, 8(1), 33–66.
- Ben-dov, M., & Feldman, R. (2010). *Text Mining and Information Extraction*. Boston, MA: Springer US, second edi ed.
- Bere, A., & Rambe, P. (2016). An empirical analysis of the determinants of mobile instant messaging appropriation in university learning. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 28(2), 172–198.
- Berkovich, M., Leimeister, J. M., Hoffmann, A., & Krcmar, H. (2014). A Requirements Data Model for Product Service Systems. *Requirements Engineering*, 19(2), 161–186.

- Bevan, N. (2009). Extending quality in use to provide a framework for usability measurement. In *International Conference on Human Centered Design*. Springer. pp. 13–22.
- Bhattacharya, A., Sarkar\*, B., & Mukherjee, S. K. (2005). Integrating AHP with QFD for robot selection under requirement perspective. *International journal of production research*, 43(17), 3671–3685.
- Biasutti, M. (2011). The student experience of a collaborative e-learning university module. *Computers & Education*, 57(3), 1865–1875.
- Blass, E., & Davis, A. (2003). Building on solid foundations: establishing criteria for e-learning development. *Journal of further and higher education*, 27(3), 227–245.
- Bocken, N., Short, S. W., Rana, P., & Evans, S. (2014). A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. *Journal of cleaner production*, 65, 42–56.
- Boehm, M., & Thomas, O. (2013a). Looking Beyond the Rim of One's Tea Cup: A Multidisciplinary Literature Review of Product-Service Systems in Information Systems, Business Management, and Engineering & Design. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 51, 245–260.
- Boehm, M., & Thomas, O. (2013b). Looking beyond the rim of one's teacup: A multidisciplinary literature review of Product-Service Systems in Information Systems, Business Management, and Engineering & Design. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 51, 245–250.
- Bondos, I., *et al.* (2014). Price and services bundling—an example on the telecommunications market in Poland. *Human Capital without Borders: Knowledge and Learning for the Quality of Life, Proceedings*.
- Bone, S. A., Lemon, K. N., Voorhees, C. M., Liljenquist, K. A., Fombelle, P. W., Detienne, K. B., & Money, R. B. (2017). Mere Measurement 'Plus': How Solicitation of Open-Ended Positive Feedback Influences Customer Purchase Behavior. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 54(1), 156–170.

- Bontempi, G., Taieb, S. B., Borgne, Y.-A. L., & Borgne, L. (2013). Machine Learning Strategies for Time Series Forecasting. *Second European Summer School, eBISS 2012, Brussels, Belgium, July 15-21, 2012, Tutorial Lectures*, pp. 62–77.
- Booth, J. W., Taborda, E. A., Ramani, K., & Reid, T. (2016). Interventions for Teaching Sketching Skills and Reducing Inhibition for Novice Engineering Designers. *Design Studies*, 43, 1–23.
- Bordegoni, M., & Cugini, U. (2010). The Role of Designer-Customer Collaboration for Product Customization and Assessment. In *ASME 2010 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. pp. 679–686.
- Branch, R. M. (2009). *Instructional design: The ADDIE approach*, vol. 722. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Breier, G., Echevest, M. E., & Caten, C. T. E. N. (2013). Transforming User Requirements Into Technical Requirements for Development of a New Control Access for People With Reduced Environmental Impact. *ICED13: 19th International Conference on Engineering Design*, (August), 1–10.
- Brown, M. G. (2016). Blended instructional practice: A review of the empirical literature on instructors' adoption and use of online tools in face-to-face teaching. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 31, 1–10.
- Brunhaver, S. R., Korte, R. F., Barley, S. R., & Sheppard, S. D. (2017). Bridging the gaps between engineering education and practice. In *US Engineering in a Global Economy*. University of Chicago Press.
- Brydges, R., Manzone, J., Shanks, D., Hatala, R., Hamstra, S. J., Zendejas, B., & Cook, D. A. (2015). Self-Regulated Learning in Simulation-Based Training: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Medical education*, 49(4), 368–378.
- Bucci, T. T. (2004). Researching expert teachers: who should we study? In *The Educational Forum*, vol. 68. Taylor & Francis. pp. 82–88.

- Buzhardt, J., & Heitzman-Powell, L. (2011). Stop blaming the teachers: The role of usability testing in bridging the gap between educators and technology. *Electronic Journal for the integration of Technology in Education*, 4(1), 13–29.
- Camara, W. (2007). Standards for educational and psychological testing: Influence in assessment development and use. *Retrieved from*.
- Cao, J., Wang, J., Law, K., Zhang, S., & Li, M. (2006). An interactive service customization model. *Information and Software Technology*, 48(4), 280–296.
- Caputo, A. L. (2015). Analysis of a Retention Program Implementing an Increased Time-On-Task Teaching Strategy for Sixth and Seventh Grade Students Within a Small City School District in Orange County, New York.
- Carreira, R., Patrício, L., Jorge, R. N., & Magee, C. L. (2013). Development of an extended Kansei engineering method to incorporate experience requirements in product–service system design. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 24(10), 738–764.
- Carroll, J. B., & Spearritt, D. (1967). A Study of a” Model of School Learning.” Monograph Number 4.
- Cartier, P. (2011). Most valuable aspects of educational expectations of the students in design education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 2187–2191.
- Castro, M., Tawfik, M., & Tovar, E. (2015). Digital and global view of engineering education using remote practical competences. *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías del Aprendizaje*, 10(3), 126–133.
- Chan, K. (2016). A critical analysis of a program evaluation: A case study on the effectiveness of a teacher training program. *Asian Journal of Educational Research Vol*, 4(5).
- Chan, K. Y., Kwong, C., & Hu, B. Q. (2012). Market segmentation and ideal point identification for new product design using fuzzy data compression and fuzzy clustering methods. *Applied Soft Computing*, 12(4), 1371–1378.
- Chan, K. Y., Kwong, C., & Wong, T. (2011a). Modelling customer satisfaction for

- product development using genetic programming. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 22(1), 55–68.
- Chan, K. Y., Kwong, C., & Wong, T. (2011b). Modelling customer satisfaction for product development using genetic programming. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 22(1), 55–68.
- Chan, S.-l. (2011). *An integrated decision support system for new product development with customer satisfaction*. Ph.D. thesis, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.
- Chen, C., Zhu, J., Yu, J.-Y., & Noori, H. (2012a). A new methodology for evaluating sustainable product design performance with two-stage network data envelopment analysis. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 221(2), 348–359.
- Chen, C.-H., Khoo, L. P., & Yan, W. (2006). An investigation into affective design using sorting technique and Kohonen self-organising map. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 37(5), 334–349.
- Chen, C.-h., Tng, Y., Chang, W., & Yan, W. (2012b). Advanced Engineering Informatics A quality time cost-oriented strategy for product conceptualization. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 26, 16–25.
- Chen, C.-Y., Chen, L.-C., & Lin, L. (2004). Methods for processing and prioritizing customer demands in variant product design. *Iie Transactions*, 36(3), 203–219.
- Chen, Y., & Xie, J. (2008). Online consumer review: Word-of-mouth as a new element of marketing communication mix. *Management science*, 54(3), 477–491.
- Cherubini, S., Iasevoli, G., & Michelini, L. (2014). Product-service systems in the electric car industry: critical success factors in marketing. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Chesler, N. C., D'Angelo, C. M., Arastoopour, G., & Shaffer, D. W. (2011). Use of Professional Practice Simulation in a First-Year Introduction Engineering Course. *ASEE Annual Conference*, pp. 22.1585.1 – 22.1585.9.



- Chiba, K., Makino, Y., & Takatoya, T. (2011). Design-Informatics Approach Applicable to Real-World Problem. In *Computational Intelligence in Multicriteria Decision-Making (MDCM), 2011 IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE. pp. 167–174.
- Chiba, K., Makino, Y., & Takatoya, T. (2012). Design-informatics approach for intimate configuration of silent supersonic technology demonstrator. *Journal of Aircraft*, 49(5), 1200–1211.
- Chin, J. P., Diehl, V. A., & Norman, K. L. (1988). Development of an instrument measuring user satisfaction of the human-computer interface. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM. pp. 213–218.
- Choudhary, A. K., Harding, J. A., & Tiwari, M. K. (2009). Data mining in manufacturing : a review based on the kind of knowledge. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 20, 501–521.
- Chua, J. H., & Jamil, H. (2012). Factors influencing the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) among TVET instructors in Malaysian TVET institution. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 1539–1547.
- Chung, B. D., Park, J. H., Koh, Y. J., & Lee, S. (2016). User satisfaction and retention of mobile telecommunications services in Korea. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 32(7), 532–543.
- Coatanéa, E., Nonsiri, S., Bakhouya, M., Kiviluoma, P., & Calonijs, O. (2012). An Early Modeling and Simulation Approach for Fast Evaluation of Early Design Concepts. In *ASME 2012 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. pp. 97–109.
- Codini, A., Saccani, N., & Sicco, A. (2012). The relationship between customer value and pricing strategies: an empirical test. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 21(7), 538–546.

- Cohen, K., & Katz, R. (2015). Teaching mechanical design practice in academia. *Procedia CIRP*, 36, 177–181.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Educational Research :Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*, vol. 4. United States of America: Pearson New International Edition, fourth edition ed.
- Cullough, P. R. D. M. (2002). A user's guide of conjoint analysis. *AMA Research Magazine, Summer*.
- Danescu-niculescu mizil, C., Lee, L., & Kleinberg, J. (2009). How Opinions are Received by Online Communities : A Case Study on Amazon . com Helpfulness Votes. Madrid Spain: International World Web Conference Committee. pp. 141–150.
- Daniel, B. (2015). Big data and analytics in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. *British journal of educational technology*, 46(5), 904–920.
- Das, S. R., & Chen, M. Y. (2007). Yahoo! for Amazon: Sentiment extraction from small talk on the web. *Management science*, 53(9), 1375–1388.
- Davis, A. L. (2013). Using instructional design principles to develop effective information literacy instruction: The ADDIE model. *College & Research Libraries News*, 74(4), 205–207.
- De Miranda, M. A., Troxell, W., Siller, T. J., & Iverson, E. (2008). Preparing technology teachers to infuse engineering into technology education: Pre-service, professional development, and outreach. *Council of technology teacher education*, pp. 133–157.
- De Vere, I., Melles, G., & Kapoor, A. (2010). Product design engineering—a global education trend in multidisciplinary training for creative product design. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 35(1), 33–43.
- Deb, K., Agrawal, S., Pratap, A., & Meyarivan, T. (2000). A fast elitist non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm for multi-objective optimization: NSGA-II. In *International Conference on Parallel Problem Solving From Nature*. Springer. pp. 849–858.

- Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S., & Meyarivan, T. (2002). A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation*, 6(2), 182–197.
- Dhamija, N., & Kanchan, M. (2014). Effectiveness of Self Learning Modules on the Achievement and Retention of Undergraduate Students in Commerce. *Educationia Confab*, 3(2), 26–32.
- Dias, A. C., Almendra, R. A., Moreira, F., & Silva, D. A. (2014). Teaching digital technologies in industrial / product design courses in Portugal. In *International Conference on Engineering and Product Design Education*, September. pp. 1–6.
- Dick, W. (1996). The Dick and Carey model: Will it survive the decade? *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 44(3), 55–63.
- Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2005). The systematic design of instruction.
- Dieter, G. E., & Schmidt, L. C. (2013). *Engineering design*, vol. 3. McGraw-Hill New York.
- Dinar, M., Shah, J., & Todeti, S. (2015). Towards a Comprehensive Test of Problem Formulation Skill in Design. In *DS79: Proceedings of The Third International Conference on Design Creativity, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore*.
- Dinar, M., & Shah, J. J. (2014). Enhancing design problem formulation skills for engineering design students. *Proceedings of ASME IDETC/CIE, ASME, Buffalo, NY, USA*.
- Dong, M., Yang, D., & Su, L. (2011). Ontology-based service product configuration system modeling and development. *Expert systems with applications*, 38(9), 11770–11786.
- Donkor, F. (2011). Assessment of learner acceptance and satisfaction with video-based instructional materials for teaching practical skills at a distance. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 12(5), 74–92.
- Dove, G., Halskov, K., Forlizzi, J., & Zimmerman, J. (2017). UX Design Innovation:

- Challenges for Working with Machine Learning As a Design Material. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '17. New York, NY, USA: ACM. pp. 278–288.
- Downey, S., Wentling, R. M., Wentling, T., & Wadsworth, A. (2005). The relationship between national culture and the usability of an e-learning system. *Human Resource Development International*, 8(1), 47–64.
- Driscoll, M., & Carliner, S. (2005). *Advanced web-based training strategies: Unlocking instructionally sound online learning*. John Wiley & Sons.
- DSouza, B. S., & Simpson, T. W. (2002). A genetic algorithm based method for product family design optimization. In *ASME 2002 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. pp. 681–690.
- Du, X., Jiao, J., & Tseng, M. M. (2002). Grammar Based Product Family Modeling. *Concurrent Engineering*, 10(2), 113–128.
- Durillo, J. J., & Nebro, A. J. (2011). jMetal: A Java framework for multi-objective optimization. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 42(10), 760–771.
- Durugbo, C. (2011). Collaborative networks for product-service systems delivery. In *Concurrent Enterprising (ICE), 2011 17th International Conference on*. IEEE. pp. 1–8.
- Durugbo, C. (2014). Strategic framework for industrial product-service co-design: findings from the microsystems industry. *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(10), 2881–2900.
- Dutson, A. J., Todd, R. H., Magleby, S. P., & Sorensen, C. D. (1997). A review of literature on teaching engineering design through project-oriented capstone courses. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 86(1), 17–28.
- Dym, C. L., Agogino, A. M., Eris, O., Frey, D. D., & Leifer, L. J. (2005). Engineering design thinking, teaching, and learning. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), 103–120.

- Eastman, C., Newstetter, W., & McCracken, M. (2001). *Design Knowing and Learning: Cognition in Design Education*. Elsevier.
- Elovici, Y., & Braha, D. (2003). A decision-theoretic approach to data mining. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Part A: Systems and Humans*, 33(1), 42–51.
- Eppinger, S., & Ulrich, K. (2015). *Product design and development*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Eppinger, S. D. (2001). Innovation at the speed of information.
- Erkarslan, O. (2013). A systematic review of the relations between industrial design education and industry in Turkey through SWOT analysis. *The Design Journal*, 16(1), 74–102.
- Evans, J. R., & Mathur, A. (2018). The value of online surveys: a look back and a look ahead. *Internet Research*, 28(4), 854–887.
- Fazekas, Z., Wall, M. T., & Krouwel, A. (2013). Is it what you say, or how you say it? An experimental analysis of the effects of invitation wording for online panel surveys. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 26(2), 235–244.
- Feldkamp, F., Heinrich, M., & Meyer-Gramann, K. D. (1998). SyDeRSystem design for reusability. *AI EDAM*, 12(4), 373–382.
- Ferrer, J.-C., Mora, H., & Olivares, F. (2010). On pricing of multiple bundles of products and services. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 206(1), 197–208.
- Fidel, R., & Green, M. (2004). The many faces of accessibility: engineers' perception of information sources. *Information Processing & Management*, 40(3), 563–581.
- Følstad, A., Law, E., & Hornbæk, K. (2012). Analysis in practical usability evaluation: a survey study. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. pp. 2127–2136.
- Foster, G., Holland, M., Ferguson, S., & Deluca, W. (2012). The creation of design modules for use in engineering design education. In *ASME 2012 International*

*Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. pp. 23–36.

Frøkjær, E., Hertzum, M., & Hornbæk, K. (2000). Measuring usability: are effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction really correlated? In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. pp. 345–352.

Fung, C. K., Kwong, C., Chan, K. Y., & Jiang, H. (2014). A guided search genetic algorithm using mined rules for optimal affective product design. *Engineering optimization*, 46(8), 1094–1108.

Fung, R. Y. K., & Chong, P. Y. (2007). An active styling platform for designing and developing product families. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 18(1), 47–58.

Gaiardelli, P., Resta, B., Martinez, V., Pinto, R., & Albores, P. (2014). A Classification Model for Product-Service Offerings. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 66, 507–519.

Gamble, J. (2013). Why improved formal teaching and learning are important in technical and vocational education and training (TVET). *Revisiting global trends in TVET: Reflections on theory and practice*, 204.

Gamon, M., Aue, A., Corston-Oliver, S., & Ringger, E. (2005). Pulse: Mining customer opinions from free text. *Lecture notes in computer science*, 3646, 121–132.

Garrod, B., & Fyall, A. (2017). Collaborative destination marketing at the local level: benefits bundling and the changing role of the local tourism association. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 20(7), 668–690.

Gay, L. (1987). *Professional Supplement for Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Application*. Merrill Publishing Company.

Geng, X., Chu, X., Xue, D., & Zhang, Z. (2010). An integrated approach for rating engineering characteristics final importance in product-service system

- development. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 59(4), 585–594.
- Geng, X., Chu, X., Xue, D., & Zhang, Z. (2011). A Systematic Decision-Making Approach for the Optimal Product–Service System Planning. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(9), 11849–11858.
- Geng, X., Chu, X., & Zhang, Z. (2012). An association rule mining and maintaining approach in dynamic database for aiding product–service system conceptual design. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 62(1-4), 1–13.
- Gericke, K., Meißner, M., & Paetzold, K. (2013). Understanding the context of product development. In *DS 75-3: Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Engineering Design (ICED13) Design For Harmonies, Vol. 3: Design Organisation and Management, Seoul, Korea 19-22.08. 2013*.
- Goedkoop, M., Heijungs, R., Huijbregts, M., De Schryver, A., Struijs, J., & Van Zelm, R. (2009). ReCiPe 2008. *A life cycle impact assessment method which comprises harmonised category indicators at the midpoint and the endpoint level, 1*.
- Goldstein, I. L., & Ford, J. K. (2002). *Training in organizations: Needs assessment, development, and evaluation*. Wadsworth/Thomson Learning.
- Goodale, J. C., Verma, R., & Pullman, M. E. (2003). A market utility approach to scheduling employees. *The Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 44(1), 61–69.
- Green, P. E., & Srinivasan, V. (1978). Conjoint Analysis in Consumer Research: Issues and Outlook. *Journal of consumer research*, 5(2), 103–123.
- Grierson, H. (2013). Detailed Empirical Studies of Student Information Storing in the Context of Distributed Design Team Based Project Work. *Design Studies*, 34(3), 378–405.
- Gupta, S., Hanssens, D., Hardie, B., Kahn, W., Kumar, V., Lin, N., Ravishanker, N., & Sriram, S. (2006). Modeling Customer Lifetime Value. *Journal of Service Research*, 9(2), 139–155.

- Guthrie, H., Harris, R., Simons, M., & Karmel, T. (2009). Teaching for technical and vocational education and training (TVET). In *International handbook of research on teachers and teaching*, pp. 851–863. Springer.
- Häggman, A., Tsai, G., Elsen, C., Honda, T., & Yang, M. C. (2015). Connections Between the Design Tool, Design Attributes, and User Preferences in Early Stage Design. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 137(7), 71408.
- Haik, Y., Sivaloganathan, S., & Shahin, T. M. (2015). *Engineering design process*. Nelson Education.
- Hamilton, J., & Selen, W. (2004). Enabling real estate service chain management through personalised Web interfacing using QFD. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 24(3), 270–288.
- Hansen, J. M., & Smith, S. M. (2012). The impact of two-stage highly interesting questions on completion rates and data quality in online marketing research. *International Journal of Market Research*, 54(2), 241–260.
- Hara, T., Arai, T., Shimomura, Y., & Sakao, T. (2009). Service CAD system to integrate product and human activity for total value. *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 1(4), 262–271.
- Harker, P. T., & Vargas, L. G. (1987). The theory of ratio scale estimation: Saaty's analytic hierarchy process. *Management science*, 33(11), 1383–1403.
- Harris, R., Willis, P., Simons, M., & Collins, E. (2001). The relative contributions of institutional and workplace learning environments: an analysis of apprenticeship training. *Journal of vocational education and training*, 53(2), 263–278.
- Hashim, Y. (1999). Are instructional design elements being used in module writing? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 30(4), 341–358.
- Heisig, P., Caldwell, N. H., Grebici, K., & Clarkson, P. J. (2010). Exploring knowledge and information needs in engineering from the past and for the future—results from a survey. *Design studies*, 31(5), 499–532.
- Hernandez, N. V., & Rangel, G. D. (2010). Improving engineering design education:



From skills to educational objectives. In *Proceedings of ASME 2010 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*.

Hertzum, M., & Pejtersen, A. M. (2000). The information-seeking practices of engineers: searching for documents as well as for people. *Information Processing & Management*, 36(5), 761–778.

Herzog, M., Koster, M., Meuris, D., & Sadek, T. (2013). Battleships: An industrial use case of playful teaching IPSs concept generation. In H. Meier (Ed.) *Product-Service Integration for Sustainable Solution*. Heidelberg, Springer. pp. 53–62.

Hicks, B. J., Culley, S. J., Allen, R. D., & Mullineux, G. (2002). A framework for the requirements of capturing, storing and reusing information and knowledge in engineering design. *International journal of information management*, 22(4), 263–280.

Hicks, B. J., Culley, S. J., & McMahon, C. A. (2006). A study of issues relating to information management across engineering SMEs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 26(4), 267–289.

Hicks Ben, J., Culley Stephen, J., & McMahon Christopher, A. (2007). The Barriers to Improving Information Management in Engineering Organizations: a Study of Small to Medium-Sized Enterprises. *Guidelines for a Decision Support Method Adapted to NPD Processes*.

Hinterhuber, A. (2008). Customer value-based pricing strategies: why companies resist. *Journal of business strategy*, 29(4), 41–50.

Hogan, S., Romaniuk, J., & Faulkner, M. (2016). Comparing approaches to elicit brand attributes both face-to-face and online. *International Journal of Market Research*, 58(1), 57–78.

Hogg, A. (2003). Web efforts energize customer research. *Electric Perspectives*, 28(5), 81–81.

Homburg, C., Allmann, J., & Klarmann, M. (2014). Internal and external price search in industrial buying: The moderating role of customer satisfaction. *Journal of*

*Business Research*, 67(8), 1581–1588.

- Horvath, I. (2001). A contemporary survey of scientific research into engineering design. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Engineering Design, ICED*, vol. 1.
- Hoshangabadwala, A. (2015). Student Perceptions of Textbook Layout and Learnability in Private Schools. *Journal of Education and Educational Development*, 2(1), 1–16.
- Hsu, C.-M., Yeh, Y.-C., & Yen, J. (2009). Development of design criteria and evaluation scale for web-based learning platforms. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 39(1), 90–95.
- Hu, M., & Liu, B. (2004). Mining opinion features in customer reviews. In *AAAI*, vol. 4. pp. 755–760.
- Hughes, T., & Martin, C. (1998). Design traceability of complex systems. In *Human Interaction with Complex Systems, 1998. Proceedings., Fourth Annual Symposium on*. IEEE. pp. 37–41.
- Hussin, K., & Hamid, H. A. (1990). *Prinsip asas kurikulum dan pengajaran*. Penerbit UTM.
- Indounas, K., & Avlonitis, G. (2011). New industrial service pricing strategies and their antecedents: empirical evidence from two industrial sectors. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 26(1), 26–33.
- Ingenbleek, P. T., & Van der Lans, I. A. (2013). Relating price strategies and price-setting practices. *European Journal of Marketing*, 47(1/2), 27–48.
- Isa, M. H. M., Masrom, M., & Salim, K. R. (2014). Review of Learning Models for Production Based Education Training in Technical Education. In *Teaching and Learning in Computing and Engineering (LaTiCE), 2014 International Conference on*. IEEE. pp. 206–211.
- Isaac, S., & Michael, W. B. (1995). *Handbook in research and evaluation: A collection of principles, methods, and strategies useful in the planning, design, and evaluation of studies in education and the behavioral sciences*. Edits

publishers.

- Ismail, A., & Abiddin, N. Z. (2014). Issues and challenges of Technical and Vocational Education and Training in Malaysia towards human capital development. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 19(2), 7–11.
- Jackson, D. (2015). Employability skill development in work-integrated learning: Barriers and best practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 40(2), 350–367.
- Jonassen, D. H. (2010). Research Issues in Problem Solving. *The 11th International conference on Education Research New education paradigm for learning and instruction*, pp. 1–15.
- Kamaliah, S., Roslan, S., Bakar, A. R., & Ghiami, Z. (2018). The effect of supervised work experience on the acquisition of employability skills among Malaysian students. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*.
- Kameshwaran, S., Viswanadham, N., & Desai, V. (2007). On Bundling and Pricing of the Service with the Product. *2007 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering*, pp. 652–657.
- Kamil, K. (1990). Potensi Modul Sebagai Bahan Pengayaan Kendiri dalam Mata Pelajaran Alam dan Manusia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Guru*, 6, 53–70.
- Kang, M.-J., & Wimmer, R. (2008). Product service systems as systemic cures for obese consumption and production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(11), 1146–1152.
- Kelley, T. R., & Wicklein, R. C. (2009). Teacher Challenges to Implement Engineering Design in Secondary Technology Education. *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 46(3), 34–50.
- Kemp, J. E. (1989). *Planning, producing, and using instructional media*. HarperCollins Publishers.
- Khaw, J. F., Lim, B., & Lim, L. E. (1995). Optimal design of neural networks using the Taguchi method. *Neurocomputing*, 7(3), 225–245.
- Khodakarami, F., & Chan, Y. E. (2014). Exploring the role of customer relationship management (CRM) systems in customer knowledge creation. *Information &*

*Management*, 51(1), 27–42.

- Khorshidi, M., Shah, J. J., & Woodward, J. (2014). Applied tests of design skillspart III: abstract reasoning. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 136(10), 101101.
- Kim, Y. S., Lee, S. W., Kim, S. R., Jeong, H., & Kim, J. H. (2013). A product-service systems design method with integration of product elements and service elements using affordances. In *ServDes. 2012 Conference Proceedings Co-Creating Services; The 3rd Service Design and Service Innovation Conference; 8-10 February; Espoo; Finland*, 067. Linköping University Electronic Press. pp. 111–119.
- Kimita, K., & Shimomura, Y. (2014). Development of the design guideline for product-service systems. *Procedia CIRP*, 16, 344–349.
- Kirakowski, J., & Corbett, M. (1993). SUMI: The software usability measurement inventory. *British journal of educational technology*, 24(3), 210–212.
- Kirakowski, J., & Dillon, A. (1988). The computer user satisfaction inventory (CUSI): Manual and scoring key. *Cork, Ireland: Human Factors Research Group, University College of Cork*.
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., & Kirkpatrick, W. K. (2016). *Kirkpatrick's four levels of training evaluation*. Association for Talent Development.
- Klein, A., & Jakopin, N. (2014). Consumers willingness-to-pay for mobile telecommunication service bundles. *Telematics and Informatics*, 31(3), 410–421.
- Köksal, G., Batmaz, İ., & Testik, M. C. (2011). A review of data mining applications for quality improvement in manufacturing industry. *Expert systems with Applications*, 38(10), 13448–13467.
- Kolberg, E., Reich, Y., & Levin, I. (2005). Transforming design education by design. In *Proceedings of IDETC/CIE*.
- Komla, M. E., Offei-Ansah, C., *et al.* (2011). Linking tertiary institutions to industries: Evidence from the Vocational and Technical Education Department of the University of Cape Coast. *International Journal of Vocational and Technical*

*Education*, 3(5), 53–60.

- Kwong, C. K., Luo, X., & Tang, J. (2011a). A multiobjective optimization approach for product line design. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 58(1), 97–108.
- Kwong, C. K., Luo, X., & Tang, J. (2011b). A multiobjective optimization approach for product line design. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 58(1), 97–108.
- Lammi, M. D., Denson, C., Wells, J., Paretti, M., Williams, C., & Gero, J. (2014). Initial findings of high school pre-engineering and non-engineering students' design cognition. In *Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), 2014 IEEE*. IEEE. pp. 1–4.
- Lan, L., Liu, Y., & Loh, H. T. (2013). Understanding task structure in DSM mining dependency using process event . In *International Conference on Engineering Design, ICED13 19-22, August*. pp. 1–10.
- Landrum, R. E., Gurung, R. A., & Spann, N. (2012). Assessments of textbook usage and the relationship to student course performance. *College Teaching*, 60(1), 17–24.
- Lang, J. D., Cruse, S., McVey, F. D., & McMasters, J. (1999). Industry expectations of new engineers: A survey to assist curriculum designers. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 88(1), 43–51.
- Law, E. L.-C., Roto, V., Hassenzahl, M., Vermeeren, A. P., & Kort, J. (2009). Understanding, scoping and defining user experience: a survey approach. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. ACM. pp. 719–728.
- Lawrence, J. J., Grinspan, Z. M., Statland, J. M., & McBain, C. J. (2006). Muscarinic receptor activation tunes mouse stratum oriens interneurons to amplify spike reliability. *The Journal of physiology*, 571(3), 555–562.
- Lee, A. H. I., Kang, H.-y., Yang, C.-y., & Lin, C.-y. (2010). An evaluation framework for product planning using FANP , QFD and multi- choice goal programming.

*International Journal of Production Research*, 48(13), 3977–3997.

- Lee, E., & Rhim, H. (2014). An application of conjoint analysis to explore user perceptions on management information systems: A case study. *Management Research Review*, 37(1), 69–88.
- Lee, H. R., E (2014). An Application of Conjoint Analysis to Explore User Perceptions on Management Information Systems: A case study. *Management Research Reviews*, 31(1), 69–88.
- Lee, I. (2017). Big data: Dimensions, evolution, impacts, and challenges. *Business Horizons*, 60(3), 293–303.
- Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H.-A. (2014). Recent advances and trends of cyber-physical systems and big data analytics in industrial informatics. In *International Proceeding of Int Conference on Industrial Informatics (INDIN)*. pp. 1–6.
- Leftwich, R. H., & Eckert, R. D. (1966). The price system and resource allocation. Tech. rep., Holt, Rinehart and Winston New York.
- Leong, P. C. (2011). Key reforms in revitalising Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) in Malaysia. In *Regional Conference on Human Resource Development Through TVET as a Development Strategy in Asia*. pp. 4–5.
- Lewis, J. R. (1992). Psychometric evaluation of the post-study system usability questionnaire: The PSSUQ. In *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, vol. 36. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA. pp. 1259–1260.
- Li, B., Chen, L., Huang, Z., & Zhong, Y. (2006). Product configuration optimization using a multiobjective genetic algorithm. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 30(1), 20–29.
- Li, J., Tao, F., Cheng, Y., & Zhao, L. (2015). Big data in product lifecycle management. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 81(1-4), 667–684.

- Liao, S.-h., Chu, P.-h., Chen, Y.-j., & Chang, C.-C. (2012). Mining customer knowledge for exploring online group buying behavior.
- Lim, C.-H., Kim, M.-J., Heo, J.-Y., & Kim, K.-J. (2015). A Conceptual Framework for Designing Informatics-based Services in Manufacturing Industries. *Procedia CIRP*, 30, 72–77.
- Lim, S. C. J., Liu, Y., & Lee, W. B. (2010). Multi-facet Product Information Search and Retrieval using Semantically Annotated Product FamiOntology. *Information Processing & Management*, 46(4), 479–493.
- Lin, W.-Y., Lee, W.-Y., & Hong, T.-P. (2003). Adapting crossover and mutation rates in genetic algorithms. *J. Inf. Sci. Eng.*, 19(5), 889–903.
- Litzinger, T., Lattuca, L. R., Hadgraft, R., & Newstetter, W. (2011). Engineering education and the development of expertise. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 100(1), 123–150.
- Liu, Y., Lim, S. C. J., & Lee, W. B. (2013). Product family design through ontology-based faceted component analysis, selection, and optimization. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 135(8), 081007.
- Logaw, M. (2015). Modularization and the Practices of Module Development in Selected Ethiopian Universities. *Unpublished thesis,(PHD). AAU*.
- Long, H., Wang, L., Shen, J., Wu, M., & Jiang, Z. (2013). Product service system configuration based on support vector machine considering customer perception. *International journal of production research*, 51(18), 5450–5468.
- Louviere, J. J., Flynn, T. N., & Carson, R. T. (2010). Discrete choice experiments are not conjoint analysis. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 3(3), 57–72.
- Lu, D. (2010). *Environmental life cycle driven decision making in product design*. Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Lucas, B. (2014). Vocational pedagogy.
- Lucas, B., Spencer, E., & Claxton, G. (2012). How to Teach Vocational Education.
- Luo, X., Kwong, C., Tang, J., & Tu, Y. (2012). Optimal product positioning with consideration of negative utility effect on consumer choice rule. *Decision*

*support systems*, 54(1), 402–413.

- Luo, Z., Chen, X., & Kai, M. (2017). The effect of customer value and power structure on retail supply chain product choice and pricing decisions. *Omega*.
- Lutters, E., van Houten, F. J., Bernard, A., Mermoz, E., & Schutte, C. S. (2014). Tools and techniques for product design. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 63(2), 607–630.
- Lynn, R., Jablokow, K., Reddy, N., Saldana, C., Tucker, T., Simpson, T. W., Kurfess, T., & Williams, C. (2016). Using Rapid Manufacturability Analysis Tools to Enhance Design-for-Manufacturing Training in Engineering Education. In *ASME 2016 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences & Computers and Information in Engineering Conference (IDETC/CIE 2016)*.
- Magana, A. J., Falk, M. L., & Reese Jr, M. J. (2013). Introducing discipline-based computing in undergraduate engineering education. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE)*, 13(4), 16.
- Manalu, H. C., Silaban, S., & Hutabarat, W. (2018). The Development of Teaching Materials Stoichiometric Integrated Multimedia Easy Sketch. In *3rd Annual International Seminar on Transformative Education and Educational Leadership (AISTEEL 2018)*. Atlantis Press.
- Manzini, E., & Vezzoli, C. (2003). A strategic design approach to develop sustainable product service systems: examples taken from the environmentally friendly innovation Italian prize. *Journal of cleaner production*, 11(8), 851–857.
- Marilungo, E., Peruzzini, M., & Germani, M. (2015). An Integrated Method to Support PSS Design within the Virtual Enterprise. *Procedia CIRP*, 30, 54–59.
- Marope, P. T. M., Chakroun, B., & Holmes, K. (2015). *Unleashing the potential: Transforming technical and vocational education and training*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Marques, P., Cunha, P. F., Valente, F., & Leitão, A. (2013). A methodology for product-service systems development. *Procedia CIRP*, 7, 371–376.



- Marsden, P. V., & Wright, J. D. (2010). *Handbook of survey research*. Emerald Group Publishing.
- Masood, M., & Musman, A. (2015). The usability and its influence of an e-learning system on student participation. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 197, 2325–2330.
- Măță, L., & Suci, A. I. (2011). Curricular innovative model focused on developing pedagogical competences of teachers of Language and communication. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 12, 274–282.
- Mayer, R. E. (2002). Multimedia learning. In *Psychology of learning and motivation*, vol. 41, pp. 85–139. Elsevier.
- Mayhew, D. J. (1999). The usability engineering lifecycle. In *CHI'99 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. pp. 147–148.
- McConnell, C. R., Brue, S. L., & Flynn, S. M. (2009). *Economics: Principles, problems, and policies*. Boston McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- McFarlane, D. A. (2006). Evaluating training programs: The four levels. *Journal of Applied Management and Entrepreneurship*, 11(4), 96.
- McGinley, C., & Dong, H. (2011). Designing with information and empathy: Delivering human information to designers. *Design Journal*, 14(2), 187–206.
- McKenna, A., & Agogino, A. (1998). A Web-Based Instructional Module for Teaching Middle School Students Engineering Design with Simple Machines. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 87(4), 437–444.
- McKenna, A. F., Colgate, J. E., Olson, G. B., & Carr, S. H. (2006). Exploring adaptive expertise as a target for engineering design education. In *ASME International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information In Engineering Conference, DETC2006, September 10, 2006 - September 13, 2006*, vol. 2006.
- McMahon, C. (2016). Design informatics: Supporting engineering design processes with information technology. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science*, 95(4), 365–378.

- McMahon, C., Giess, M., & Culley, S. (2005). Information Management for Through Life Product Support: The Curation of Digital Engineering Data. *International Journal of Product Lifecycle Management*, 1(1), 26–42.
- McMahon, C. A. (2006). Opportunities for improvement in teaching performance through collaboration. In *Proceeding of IDETC/DED- ASME 2006 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences & Computers and Information in Engineering Conferences*. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. pp. 1–9.
- Meier, H., & Massberg, W. (2004). Life cycle-based service design for innovative business models. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, 53(1), 393–396.
- Meng, X., & Wang, H. (2009). Mining user reviews: from specification to summarization. In *Proceedings of the ACL-IJCNLP 2009 Conference Short Papers*. Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 177–180.
- Meyer, G. R. (1984). *Modules : from design to implementation / G. Rex Meyer*. Colombo Plan Staff College for Technician Education Singapore.
- Meyer, J., & Shankar, V. (2016). Pricing strategies for hybrid bundles: analytical model and insights. *Journal of Retailing*, 92(2), 133–146.
- Meyer, O. (2015). Towards quality CLIL: successful planning and teaching strategies. *PULSO. Revista de Educación*, (33), 11–29.
- Mirkouei, A., Bhinge, R., McCoy, C., Haapala, K. R., & Dornfeld, D. A. (2016). A Pedagogical Module Framework to Improve Scaffolded Active Learning in Manufacturing Engineering Education. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 5, 1128–1142.
- Mitra, S., Pal, S. K., & Mitra, P. (2002). Data mining in soft computing framework: a survey. *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, 13(1), 3–14.
- Mont, O. K. (2002). Clarifying the concept of product-service system. *Journal of cleaner production*, 10(3), 237–245.
- Morelli, N. (2002). The design of product/service systems from a designers perspective. In *Proceedings of the Common Ground Design Research Society*

*International Conference*. pp. 5–7.

- Morelli, N. (2009). Service as value co-production: reframing the service design process. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 20(5), 568–590.
- Morrison, G. R., Ross, S. J., Morrison, J. R., & Kalman, H. K. (2019). *Designing effective instruction*. Wiley.
- Mourtos, N. J. (2010). Challenges students face when solving open-ended problems. *International Journal of Engineering Education*.
- Mourtos, N. J. (2012). Defining, Teaching, and Assessing Engineering Design Skills. *Industrial Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications*, 1, 1.
- Mueller, M. L., Dunlosky, J., Tauber, S. K., & Rhodes, M. G. (2014). The font-size effect on judgments of learning: Does it exemplify fluency effects or reflect peoples beliefs about memory? *Journal of Memory and Language*, 70, 1–12.
- Mundfrom, D. J. (1991). Estimating Course Difficulty.
- Muruganantham, G. (2015). Developing of E-content package by using ADDIE model. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 1(3), 52–54.
- Nadiyah, R. S., & Faaizah, S. (2015). The development of online project based collaborative learning using ADDIE Model. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 195, 1803–1812.
- Nan, S., Yanwei, Z., Mingfei, G., & Huijun, T. (2006). Product adaptive design based on extension co-transforming: a differential approach. In *Computer-Aided Industrial Design and Conceptual Design, 2006. CAIDCD'06. 7th International Conference on*. IEEE. pp. 1–6.
- Narciss, S., Proske, A., & Koerndle, H. (2007). Promoting self-regulated learning in web-based learning environments. *Computers in human behavior*, 23(3), 1126–1144.
- Nathenson, M. B., & Henderson, E. S. (2018). *Using student feedback to improve learning materials*. Routledge.
- Nelson, K. G., Shell, D. F., Husman, J., Fishman, E. J., & Soh, L.-K.

- (2015). Motivational and self-regulated learning profiles of students taking a foundational engineering course. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 104(1), 74–100.
- Ngaile, G., Wang, J., & Gau, J.-T. (2015). Challenges in teaching modern manufacturing technologies. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 40(4), 432–449.
- Ngcwangu, S. (2015). The ideological underpinnings of World Bank TVET policy: Implications of the influence of human capital theory on South African TVET policy. *Education as Change*, 19(3), 24–45.
- Nielsen, J. (1994). Heuristic evaluation. In *Usability inspection methods*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 25–62.
- Nikou, S., Bouwman, H., & de Reuver, M. (2012). The potential of converged mobile telecommunication services: a conjoint analysis. *info*, 14(5), 21–35.
- Niranjnamurthy, M., Nagaraj, A., Gattu, H., & Shetty, P. K. (2014). Research Study on Importance of Usability Testing/User Experience (UX) Testing.
- Noah, S. M., & Ahmad, J. (2005). Pembinaan modul: Bagaimana membina modul latihan dan modul akademik. *Serdang: Penerbit UPM*.
- Norman, D., & Klemmer, S. (2018). State of design: How design education must change. LinkedIn.
- Olsson, H. H., & Bosch, J. (2015). Towards continuous customer validation: a conceptual model for combining qualitative customer feedback with quantitative customer observation. In *International Conference of Software Business*. Springer. pp. 154–166.
- Ota, K., Kurita, Y., Akasaka, F., Kimita, K., & Shimomura, Y. (2013). Extraction of customers potential requirements using service scenario planning. In *Product-Service Integration for Sustainable Solutions*, pp. 63–74. Springer.
- Othman, A. (2003). *The Role of The National Vocational Training Council in the Management of Vocational Training In Malaysia: A Critical Evaluation*. Penerbit UTHM.

- Owens, L. K. (2002). Introduction to survey research design. In *SRL fall 2002 seminar series*. pp. 78–105.
- Oxman, R. (2004). Think-maps: teaching design thinking in design education. *Design studies*, 25(1), 63–91.
- Ozdilek, Z., & Robeck, E. (2009). Operational priorities of instructional designers analyzed within the steps of the Addie instructional design model. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 2046–2050.
- Oztekin, A., Delen, D., Turkyilmaz, A., & Zaim, S. (2013). A machine learning-based usability evaluation method for eLearning systems. *Decision Support Systems*, 56, 63–73.
- Pahl, G., & Beitz, W. (2013). *Engineering design: a systematic approach*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Park, I.-U., Peacey, M. W., & Munafò, M. R. (2014). Modelling the effects of subjective and objective decision making in scientific peer review. *Nature*, 506(7486), 93–96.
- Parlangeli, O., Marchigiani, E., & Bagnara, S. (1999). Multimedia systems in distance education: effects of usability on learning. *Interacting with computers*, 12(1), 37–49.
- Pascual, G. G., Lopez-Herrejon, R. E., Pinto, M., Fuentes, L., & Egyed, A. (2015). Applying multiobjective evolutionary algorithms to dynamic software product lines for reconfiguring mobile applications. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 103, 392–411.
- Patel, S. R., Margolies, P. J., Covell, N. H., Lipscomb, C., & Dixon, L. B. (2018). Using instructional design, Analyze, Design, Develop, Implement, and Evaluate (ADDIE), to develop e-Learning modules to disseminate Supported Employment for community behavioral health treatment programs in New York State. *Frontiers in public health*, 6, 113.
- Patra, P. (2016). Optimal Pricing Strategies for Bundled Channel in a Smart Phone Supply Chain. *Oper. Supp. Chain Manag.*, 9(3), 198–204.

- Peppers, D., & Rogers, M. (2016). *Managing Customer Experience and Relationships: A Strategic Framework*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Pinsonneault, A., & Kraemer, K. (1993). Survey research methodology in management information systems: an assessment. *Journal of management information systems*, 10(2), 75–105.
- Polykalas, S. E., Prezerakos, G. N., & Nikolinakos, N. T. (2012). Wholesale provision of broadband services: alternative pricing strategies and associated policies. *info*, 14(3), 16–34.
- Popescu, A.-M., Nguyen, B., & Etzioni, O. (2005). OPINE: Extracting product features and opinions from reviews. In *Proceedings of HLT/EMNLP on interactive demonstrations*. Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 32–33.
- Puad, M., & Hazwan, M. (2015). The role of employability skills training programs in the workforce of Malaysia.
- Pucillo, F., & Cascini, G. (2014). A framework for user experience, needs and affordances. *Design Studies*, 35(2), 160–179.
- Quinlan, J. R. (1986). Induction of Decision Trees. *Machine learning*, 1(1), 81–106.
- Quinlan, J. R. (2014). *C4. 5: programs for machine learning*. Elsevier.
- Ramdhani, N., Ancok, D., Swasono, Y., & Suryanto, P. (2012). Teacher Quality Improvement Program: Empowering Teachers to Increasing a Quality of Indonesian's Education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 1836–1841.
- Ramírez-Gallego, S., Krawczyk, B., García, S., Woźniak, M., & Herrera, F. (2017). A Survey on Data Preprocessing for Data Stream Mining: Current Status and Future Directions. *Neurocomputing*, 239, 39–57.
- Raphael, B., & Smith, I. F. (2013). *Engineering informatics: Fundamentals of computer-aided engineering*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Reeve, E. M. (2016). 21st century skills needed by students in technical and vocational education and training (TVET). *Asian International Journal of*

*Social Sciences*, 16(4), 65–82.

- Rexfelt, O., & Hiort af Ornäs, V. (2009). Consumer Acceptance of Product-Service Systems: Designing for Relative Advantages and Uncertainty Reductions. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 20(5), 674–699.
- Riel, A. E., Tichkiewitch, S., Grajewski, D., Weiss, Z., Draghici, A., Draghici, G., & Messnarz, R. (2009). Formation and Certification of Integrated Design Engineering Skills. In *DS 58-10: Proceedings of ICED 09, the 17th International Conference on Engineering Design, Vol. 10, Design Education and Lifelong Learning, Palo Alto, CA, USA, 24.-27.08. 2009*.
- Rolstads, A., & Paci, A. (2013). Editorial: Special issue on engineering education. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 24(3), 421–422.
- Romiszowski, A. J. (2016). *Designing instructional systems: Decision making in course planning and curriculum design*. Routledge.
- Rovai, A. P., & Barnum, K. T. (2007). On-line course effectiveness: An analysis of student interactions and perceptions of learning. *International Journal of E-Learning & Distance Education*, 18(1), 57–73.
- Rubin, J., & Chisnell, D. (2008). How to plan, design, and conduct effective tests.
- Ryan, M., Bate, A., Eastmond, C., & Ludbrook, A. (2001). Use of discrete choice experiments to elicit preferences. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 10(suppl 1), i55–i60.
- Saleh, A., & Bista, K. (2017). Examining factors impacting online survey response rates in educational research: Perceptions of graduate students. *Journal of MultiDisciplinary Evaluation*, 13(29), 63–74.
- Salmonowicz, M. (2009). Meeting the challenge of school turnaround: Lessons from the intersection of research and practice. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 91(3), 19–24.
- Sanders, M. (2001). New Paradigm or Old Wine? The Status of Technology Education Practice in the United States. *Journal of Technology education*, 12(2), 35–55.
- Schaap, H., Baartman, L., & De Bruijn, E. (2012). Students learning processes during school-based learning and workplace learning in vocational education: a review. *Vocations and learning*, 5(2), 99–117.

- Schneider, M., & Preckel, F. (2017). Variables associated with achievement in higher education: A systematic review of meta-analyses. *Psychological bulletin*, 143(6), 565.
- Scholl, N., Mulders, S., & Drent, R. (2002). On-line qualitative market research: interviewing the world at a fingertip. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 5(3), 210–223.
- Schotman, H., & Ludden, G. D. S. (2014). User acceptance in a changing context: why some product-service systems do not suffer acceptance problems. *Journal of design research*, 12(3), 188–203.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Shah, J. J. (2005). Identification, Measurement and Development of Design Skills in Engineering Education. In *DS 35: Proceedings ICED 05, the 15th International Conference on Engineering Design, Melbourne, Australia, 15.-18.08. 2005*.
- Shah, J. J., Millsap, R. E., Woodward, J., & Smith, S. (2012). Applied Tests of Design SkillsPart 1: Divergent Thinking. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 134(2), 021005.
- Shah, J. J., Woodward, J., & Smith, S. M. (2013). Applied tests of design skillspart II: visual thinking. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 135(7), 071004.
- Shen, P.-D., Lee, T.-H., & Tsai, C.-W. (2007). Applying Web-Enabled Problem-Based Learning and Self-Regulated Learning to Enhance Computing Skills of Taiwan's Vocational Students: A Quasi-Experimental Study of a Short-Term Module. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 5(2), 147–156.
- Sheriff, A., Bouchlaghem, D., El-Hamalawi, A., & Yeomans, S. (2011). Information management in UK-based architecture and engineering organizations: drivers, constraining factors, and barriers. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 28(2), 170–180.
- Shih, H. M. (2014). Migrating product structure bill of materials Excel files to STEP



- PDM implementation. *International Journal of Information Management*, 34(4), 489–516.
- Shih, L., Chen, J., & Tu, J. (2009). An integrated approach for product service system development: Design phase. *Journal of Environmental Engineering Management*, 19(6), 327–342.
- Shimomura, Y., & Arai, T. (2009). Service engineering—methods and tools for effective PSS development. *Introduction to product/service-system design*, pp. 113–135.
- Shute, V. J. (2007). Focus on formative feedback. *ETS Research Report Series*, 2007(1), i–47.
- Sing, C. C., & Der-Thang, V. C. (2004). A review on usability evaluation methods for instructional multimedia: An analytical framework. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 31(3), 229–239.
- Singh, S. (2012). Job readiness of further education and training (FET) mechanical engineering graduates.
- Sinha, A., Bera, N., Allen, J. K., Panchal, J. H., & Mistree, F. (2013). Uncertainty management in the design of multiscale systems. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 135(1), 011008.
- Sola, E., Hoekstra, R., Fiore, S., & McCauley, P. (2017). An Investigation of the State of Creativity and Critical Thinking in Engineering Undergraduates. *Creative Education*, 8(09), 1495.
- Song, W., & Chan, F. T. (2015a). Multi-objective configuration optimization for product-extension service. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 37, 113–125.
- Song, W., & Chan, F. T. S. (2015b). Multi-objective configuration optimization for product-extension service. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 37, 113–125.
- Song, W., Ming, X., Han, Y., & Wu, Z. (2013). A rough set approach for evaluating vague customer requirement of industrial product-service system. *International Journal of Production Research*, 51(22), 6681–6701.
- Song, W., & Sakao, T. (2016). Service conflict identification and resolution for design

of product–service offerings. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 98, 91–101.

Sosa, R., Rojas, N., Gero, J. S., & Xu, Q. (2016). Visual divergence in humans and computers. *Design Studies*, 42, 56–85.

Sousa, T., & Miguel, P. C. (2015). Product-service systems as a promising approach to sustainability: exploring the sustainable aspects of a PSS in Brazil. *Procedia CIRP*, 30, 138–143.

Squires, D. (1999). Usability and educational software design: special issue of interacting with computers.

Srinivasan, A., Smith, J. D., & Bairaktarova, D. (2016). Identifying Freehand Sectional View Technical Drawing Activities in Engineering Design Graphics Course to Enhance Spatial Skills of Engineering Students. In *ASME 2016 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. pp. V003T04A012–V003T04A012.

St Clair, D. (2015). A Simple Suggestion for Reducing First-Time Online Student Anxiety. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 11(1), 129.

Subrahmanian, E., & Rachuri, S. (2008). Special Issue on Engineering Informatics. *Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering*, 8(1), 010301.

Sulaiman, E. (2004). *Pengenalan pedagogi*. Penerbit UTM.

Sun, G., Yao, S., & Carretero, J. A. (2013). Evaluating cognitive efficiency by measuring information contained in designers cognitive processes. In *Proceedings of the ASME 2013 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computer and Information in Engineering Conference*. p. V005T06A029.

Sun, G., Yao, S., & Carretero, J. A. (2014). Comparing cognitive efficiency of experienced and inexperienced designers in conceptual design processes. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making*, 8(4), 330–351.

- Sunthonkanokpong, W. (2011). Future global visions of engineering education. *Procedia Engineering*, 8, 160–164.
- Taleizadeh, A. A., & Charmchi, M. (2015). Optimal advertising and pricing decisions for complementary products. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 11(1), 111–117.
- Tan, K. H., & Zhan, Y. (2017). Improving new product development using big data: a case study of an electronics company. *R&D Management*, 47(4), 570–582.
- Tasir, Z. (2002). *Pembinaan dan penilaian keberkesanan perisian multimedia interaktif Matematik berasaskan kecerdasan pelbagai*. Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Telenko, C., Wood, K., Otto, K., Elara, M. R., Foong, S., Pey, K. L., Tan, U.-X., Camburn, B., Moreno, D., & Frey, D. (2016). Designettes: An Approach to Multidisciplinary Engineering Design Education. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 138(2), 022001.
- Tomiyama, T. (2001). Service engineering to intensify service contents in product life cycles. In *Environmentally Conscious Design and Inverse Manufacturing, 2001. Proceedings EcoDesign 2001: Second International Symposium on*. IEEE. pp. 613–618.
- Tomiyama, T., Gu, P., Jin, Y., Lutters, D., Kind, C., & Kimura, F. (2009). Design methodologies: Industrial and educational applications. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, 58(2), 543–565.
- Tran, T. A., & Park, J. Y. (2014). Development of integrated design methodology for various types of productservice systems. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 1(1), 37–47.
- Tsai, C.-Y., Chang, P.-C., & Wang, S.-J. (2003). Applying association-rule techniques and artificial neural networks to product development. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, 20(2), 101–112.
- Tseng, S.-C., & Hung, S.-W. (2013). A framework identifying the gaps between customers' expectations and their perceptions in green products. *Journal of*

*Cleaner Production*, 59, 174–184.

Tuckman, B. W. (1994). *Conducting educational research* fifth edition.

Tuckman, B. W., & Waheed, M. A. (1981). Evaluating an Individualized Science Program for Community College Students. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 18(6), 489–495.

Uchihira, N., Kyoya, Y., Kim, S. K., Maeda, K., Ozawa, M., & Ishii, K. (2008). Analysis and design methodology for recognizing opportunities and difficulties for product-based services. *Journal of Information Processing*, 16, 13–26.

Ulrich, K. T. (2003). *Product design and development*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.

Ulrich, K. T., & Eppinger, S. D. (2003). *Product Design and Development*. Mc Graw-Hill, 3 ed.

Utama, A. A. G. S. (2016). The Usage of E-Learning Model To Optimize Learning System In Higher Education by Using Dick and Carey Design Approach. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Business Intelligence*, 2(1), 50–56.

van der Waal, S., Newing, A., & Steele, C. (2014). The Nuances and Management of Multinational Design Teams– A Sino and Occidental Comparison. *Journal of Integrated Design and Process Science*, 18(4), 3–24.

van der Zanden, P. J., Denessen, E., Cillessen, A. H., & Meijer, P. C. (2018). Domains and predictors of first-year student success: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review*, 23, 57–77.

Van Halen, C., Vezzoli, C., & Wimmer, R. (2005). *Methodology for product service system innovation: how to develop clean, clever and competitive strategies in companies*. Uitgeverij Van Gorcum.

van Kuijk, J., Daalhuizen, J., & Christiaans, H. (2018). Drivers of usability in product design practice: Induction of a framework through a case study of three product development projects. *Design Studies*.

- Vasantha, G. V. A., Roy, R., Lelah, A., & Brissaud, D. (2012). A review of product–service systems design methodologies. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 23(9), 635–659.
- Vu, H. Q., Li, G., & Beliakov, G. (2012). A fuzzy decision support method for customer preferences analysis based on Choquet Integral. *2012 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems*, pp. 1–8.
- Wagner, B. (2018). *The Effects of a Flipped Classroom on Student Comprehension and Perception among Second Language Learners in a Bachelor of Social Work Course*. Ph.D. thesis, University of South Carolina.
- Walter, M. (2013). Statistical tolerance analysis of mechanisms with interactions between deviations a methodology with 10 easy steps. In *ICED13, International Conference on Engineering Design*, August. pp. 1–10.
- Wang, D., Zhu, S., & Li, T. (2013). SumView: A Web-based engine for summarizing product reviews and customer opinions. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(1), 27–33.
- Wang, L., Song, H., & Wang, Y. (2017). Pricing and service decisions of complementary products in a dual-channel supply chain. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 105, 223–233.
- Wang, Y.-M. (2012). A fuzzy-normalisation-based group decision-making approach for prioritising engineering design requirements in QFD under uncertainty. *International Journal of Production Research*, 50(23), 6963–6977.
- Weimer, M. (2002). *Learner-centered teaching: Five key changes to practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Wiechmann, M. D. (2016). Being OPEN-ly Sexy and ADAPT-ably Beautiful: An Open Educational Resource (OER) Look at Attractive, Sustainable Course Design! *Minnesota eLearning Summit*.
- Williams, N., Azarm, S., & Kannan, P. (2010). Multicategory design of bundled products for retail channels under uncertainty and competition. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 132(3), 031003.

- Witten, I. H., Frank, E., Hall, M. A., & Pal, C. J. (2016). *Data Mining: Practical machine learning tools and techniques*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Woldetsadik, D. A., & Lumadi, M. W. (2015). Missing Link: Partnership of Technical Vocational Education and Training (TVET) Colleges and Industries in Addis Ababa. *Journal of Economics*, 6(1), 74–78.
- Wood, K., Otto, K., Tan, U.-x., Moreno, D., & Frey, D. (2016). Designettes : An Approach to Multidisciplinary Engineering Design Education. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 138(February), 1–11.
- Wright, J. (2015). Course Participation: Defining and Evaluating Student Participation. *American Association of Philosophy Teachers Studies in Pedagogy*, 1, 219–232.
- Wu, J., Gao, W., Zhang, B., Hu, Y., & Liu, J. (2011). Online web sentiment analysis on campus network. In *Computational Intelligence and Design (ISCID), 2011 Fourth International Symposium on*, vol. 2. IEEE. pp. 379–382.
- Wu, S.-y., Hitt, L. M., Chen, P.-y., & Anandalingam, G. (2008). Customized bundle pricing for information goods: A nonlinear mixed-integer programming approach. *Management Science*, 54(3), 608–622.
- Xuanyuan, S., Jiang, Z., Patil, L., Li, Y., & Li, Z. (2008). Multi-objective optimization of product configuration. In *Proceedings of ASME international design engineering technical conferences and computers and information in engineering conference*, vol. 1. pp. 961–968.
- Yan, R., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2011). The profit benefits of bundle pricing of complementary products. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 18(4), 355–361.
- Yan, R., Myers, C., Wang, J., & Ghose, S. (2014). Bundling Products to Success: The Influence of Complementarity and Advertising. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21(1), 48–53.
- Yang, B., & Ng, C. (2010a). Pricing problem in wireless telecommunication product and service bundling. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 207(1),

473–480.

- Yang, B., & Ng, C. (2010b). Pricing problem in wireless telecommunication product and service bundling. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 207(1), 473–480.
- Yang, M. C., & Cham, J. G. (2007). An analysis of sketching skill and its role in early stage engineering design. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 129(5), 476–482.
- Yang, M.-Y., You, M., & Chen, F.-C. (2005). Competencies and qualifications for industrial design jobs: implications for design practice, education, and student career guidance. *Design studies*, 26(2), 155–189.
- Yang, X., Moore, P., Pu, J. S., & Wong, C. B. (2009). A practical methodology for realizing product service systems for consumer products. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 56, 224–235.
- Ye, X., Peng, W., Chen, Z., & Cai, Y.-Y. (2004). Today's students, tomorrow's engineers: an industrial perspective on CAD education. *Computer-Aided Design*, 36(14), 1451–1460.
- Yeager, D. S., Romero, C., Paunesku, D., Hulleman, C. S., Schneider, B., Hinojosa, C., Lee, H. Y., O'Brien, J., Flint, K., Roberts, A., *et al.* (2016). Using Design Thinking to Improve Psychological Interventions: The Case of the Growth Mindset During the Transition to High School. *Journal of educational psychology*, 108(3), 374.
- Yim, S., & Rosen, D. (2012). Build Time and Cost Models for Additive Manufacturing Process Selection. *Volume*, 2, 32nd.
- You, H., Young, R., & Lee, M. W. (2008). Development and usability testing of an operating manual for a hair-braiding machine. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, 18(1), 1–13.
- Yulastri, A., Hidayat, H., *et al.* (2017). Developing an Entrepreneurship Module by Using Product-Based Learning Approach in Vocational Education. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 12(5), 1097–1109.

- Zaharias, P., & Poylymenakou, A. (2009). Developing a usability evaluation method for e-learning applications: Beyond functional usability. *Intl. Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 25(1), 75–98.
- Zain, Z. M. (2008). TVET in Malaysia. *Universiti Malaysia Perlis*, pp. 2008–2012.
- Zakaria, A. F., & Lim, S. J. (2016). Matching Rule Discovery Using Classification for Product-Service Design. In *International Conference on Industrial, Engineering and Other Applications of Applied Intelligent Systems*. Springer. pp. 31–42.
- Zhan, H. L. Y. L., J (2009). Gather Customer Concern Product Reviews - A Text Summarization Approach. *Expert System With Application*, 36(2 part 1), 2107–2115.
- Zhan, J., Loh, H. T., & Liu, Y. (2009). Gather customer concerns from online product reviews—A text summarization approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2), 2107–2115.
- Zhan, Y., Tan, K. H., Li, Y., & Tse, Y. K. (2016). Unlocking the power of big data in new product development. *Annals of Operations Research*, pp. 1–19.
- Zhan, Y., Zhan, Y., Tan, K. H., Tan, K. H., Ji, G., Ji, G., Chung, L., Chung, L., Tseng, M., & Tseng, M. (2017). A big data framework for facilitating product innovation processes. *Business Process Management Journal*, 23(3), 518–536.
- Zhang, Y., & Jiao, J. R. (2007). An associative classification-based recommendation system for personalization in B2C e-commerce applications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 33, 357–367.
- Zhang, Z. H. U., Li, X. I. N., & Chen, Y. (2012). Deciphering Word-of-Mouth in Social Media : Text-Based Metric of Consumer Reviews. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems*, 3(1).
- Zhu, F., & Zhang, X. (2010). Impact of online consumer reviews on sales: The moderating role of product and consumer characteristics. *Journal of marketing*, 74(2), 133–148.