

AN IMPROVED COMPUTATIONAL MODEL FOR CLASSIFICATION OF 3D  
SPATIO TEMPORAL fMRI DATA

SHAZNOOR SHAKIRA BINTI SAHARUDDIN

A thesis submitted in  
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the  
Degree of Master of Information Technology



Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2018

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Compassionate.

I praise and thank Allah.

Special thanks to my beloved father Saharuddin B Shawal and mother Latipah Binti Sa'at.

For dearest,

Shafilaz Sahar, Aidil Lasha, Nurfatim Fatimah, Zayyan Firash  
(Sister, brother, sister, nephew)

For their love, support, enthusiasm, encouragement and motivation.

For my supervisor,

Dr. Norhanifah Binti Murli

For her incredible help, patience, understanding and support.

For all postgraduate members, fellow friends and ummah.

This thesis is dedicated to all of you.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNJUNGU TUN AMINAH

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. I praise and thank to Allah for His blessings uncounted in my life and for His willing, I was able to complete this research successfully. I take this opportunity to express my profound sense of gratitude and respect to all those people who contributed and extended their valuable assistance in the preparation and completion of this research.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Norhanifah Binti Murli for her guidance and endless supports from the initial to the final level, for her patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. I really appreciate it.

Furthermore, I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), especially Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology and ORICC for providing me good environment, facilities, and research grant to complete this study.

Finally, an honorable mention goes to my families and friends for their supports and encouragements when most needed. I dedicate this work to all of you. My overwhelming gratitude to all my friends who have been together with me, thanks for love, care, concern, and support. Thank you.



PERPUSTAKAAN TUNJUKU TIIN AMINAH

## ABSTRACT

3D spatial temporal functional magnetic resonance imaging (*fMRI*) for classification has gained wide attention in the literature to be applied in the application of data mining techniques. Similarly, Spiking Neural Networks (SNN) has successfully applied in many problems to process and classify *fMRI* data. However, the network still has a drawback in terms of processing noise, redundant and irrelevant features especially in *fMRI* data. To an extent, standard machine learning techniques has effectively process and classify *fMRI* data. Although, these techniques are only best at dealing spatial data, which completely neglect the temporal information inside the data. In order to achieve higher classification accuracy, there is a need to filter out noise from the dataset. Studies have shown that the presence of noise in the data effects the classification process thereby reducing the classification accuracy. In this study, the feature selection technique has been used as a filter at the pre-processing part of the dataset. Thus, this study proposed a feature selection technique called *iReliefF* to overcome the complexity in selecting the important features in *fMRI* dataset. This technique has been trained and tested by using StarPlus dataset. Based on the obtained results, the new computational model with proposed method *iReliefF* has shown better performance by achieving 85% accuracy compared to the existing model which is 80%. Therefore, it can be concluded that the proposed *iReliefF* has achieved reasonable accuracy and is very effective as well as ideal for *fMRI* dataset.



## ABSTRAK

*Functional magnetic resonance imaging* 3D (fMRI) untuk klasifikasi telah mendapat perhatian luas dalam kerja-kerja yang berkaitan untuk diterapkan dalam teknik perlombongan data. Begitu juga, Spiking Neural Networks (SNN) telah berjaya digunakan dalam menyelesaikan banyak masalah untuk memproses dan mengklasifikasikan data fMRI. Walau bagaimanapun, rangkaian ini masih mempunyai kelemahan dari segi mengenal *noise*, ciri yang berlebihan dan tidak relevan dalam data fMRI. Setakat ini, teknik pembelajaran mesin standard telah memproses dan mengklasifikasikan data fMRI secara berkesan. Tetapi, teknik-teknik ini hanya terbaik untuk menangani data *spatial* atau ruang, dan mengabaikan maklumat temporal atau masa yang berada di dalam data. Untuk mencapai ketepatan klasifikasi yang lebih tinggi, terdapat keperluan untuk menapis *noise* dari dataset. Kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa kehadiran *noise* dalam data memberi kesan kepada proses klasifikasi dengan itu mengurangkan ketepatan klasifikasi. Dalam kajian ini, teknik pemilihan ciri-ciri data telah digunakan sebagai penapis di bahagian pra-pemprosesan data set. Oleh itu, kajian ini mencadangkan teknik pemilihan ciri yang dipanggil *iReliefF* untuk mengatasi kerumitan dalam memilih ciri-ciri penting dalam dataset fMRI. Teknik ini telah dilatih dan diuji dengan menggunakan dataset StarPlus. Berdasarkan hasil yang diperolehi, model komputasi baru dengan kaedah yang dicadangkan *iReliefF* telah menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik dengan mencapai ketepatan 85% berbanding dengan model sedia ada yang hanya mencapai 80% ketepatan. Oleh itu, dapat disimpulkan bahawa *iReliefF* yang dicadangkan telah mencapai ketepatan yang tinggi dan lebih berkesan serta sesuai untuk dataset fMRI.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF ALGORITHM</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Motivation	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	2
	1.4 Objectives of Study	3
	1.5 Scope of Study	3
	1.6 Thesis Organization	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Review of Spiking Neural Networks	6
	2.3 Review of NeuCube Architecture	9
	2.4 NeuCube Based Methodology for fMRI Data Modelling	12



2.4.1	AER Data Encoding	13
2.4.2	Dynamic Evolving Spiking Neural Network (deSNN)	14
2.5	Review of Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)	14
2.5.1	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)	15
2.5.2	Introduction to Imaging Techniques	15
2.5.3	Features Selection in fMRI Data	16
2.5.3.1	ReliefF Feature Selection	16
2.5.3.2	Signal to Noise Ratio	19
2.6	Classification Method	19
2.6.1	Naïve Bayes	19
2.6.2	K-Nearest Neighbor	20
2.6.3	Support Vector Machines	21
2.6.4	Spike Pattern Association Neuron (SPAN)	21
2.6.5	Dynamic evolving spiking neural networks (deSNN)	22
2.7	Chapter Summary	23
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Research Phases	26
3.3	Research Framework	27
3.3.1	Data Transpose	27
3.3.2	Data Extraction	28
3.3.3	Feature Selection Techniques	28
3.3.4	Classifiers	28
3.3.5	Performance evaluation and analysis	28
3.4	Proposed Approach	29
3.4.1	Star/Plus Data Set	30
3.4.1.1	Data pre-processing	33
3.4.1.2	iReliefF Feature Selection	



	Technique (proposed approach)	34
	3.4.2 NeuCube Data Learning	35
3.5	Design and Implementation	37
3.6	Chapter Summary	40
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Performance Analysis and Results	41
4.3	Performance Measures	42
	4.3.1 Accuracy	43
	4.3.2 Precision and Recall	43
4.4	Results	43
	4.4.1 Support Vector Machines Classifier	44
	4.4.2 K- Nearest Neighbor Classifier	45
	4.4.3 Naïve Bayes Classifier	46
	4.4.4 Spike Pattern Association Neuron (SPAN)	47
	4.4.5 Dynamic Evolving Spiking Neural Networks (DeSNN) NeuCube Classifier	48
4.5	Results Comparison	49
4.6	Chapter Summary	50
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>51</b>
5.1	Introduction	51
5.2	Research Contribution	52
5.3	Future Work	53
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>54</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Experiment Conditions	31
3.2	ROIs number of features in each region for subject 04847	32
4.1	Basic parameter setting for experiments on <i>f</i> MRI dataset	42
4.2	Classification performance of SVM classifier on the <i>f</i> MRI Subject 04847 dataset	44
4.3	Classification performance of <i>k</i> -NN classifier on the <i>f</i> MRI Subject 04847 dataset	45
4.4	Classification performance of Naïve Bayes classifier on the <i>f</i> MRI Subject 04847 dataset	46
4.5	Classification performance of SPAN (NeuCube) classifier on the <i>f</i> MRI Subject 04847 dataset	47
4.6	Classification performance of DeSNN (NeuCube) classifier on the <i>f</i> MRI Subject 04847 dataset	48
4.7	Result comparison in term of accuracy base on each classifier	49



## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Artificial neuron model (left) and Biological neuron (Hemming, 2003) (right).	8
2.2	Standard architecture of NeuCube that contains of four integrated modules which are: Input, NeuCube, Gene Regulatory Network (GRN) and Output module (Kasabov 2012)	10
2.3	Estimated mapping of 1,471 NeuCube neurons displayed in dark blue dots. The cyan and yellow dots are the fMRI input data before initialization (left) and after initialization (right) separately.	10
2.4	Schematic diagram of NeuCube architecture involving mapping, learning and mining phases	13
3.1	Flowchart of Research Phases	26
3.2	Research Framework	27
3.3	Proposed NeuCube Computational Model	29
3.4	25 Regions of Interest in Human Brain	31
3.5	A screenshot of NeuCube Architecture for classification of 2 class fMRI data for subject 04847	35
3.6	Left - Connection of neuron after initialization. Right – connection of neuron after unsupervised learning	36
3.7	StarPlus Data files	37
3.8	Data variables (a) and Data snapshots (b)	38
3.9	Condition Field	39

3.10	Region of Interests	39
3.11	Data features transposed and combined	40



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

**LIST OF ALGORITHM**

2.1	Pseudo code of ReliefF algorithm	17
3.1	Pseudo code of <i>i</i> ReliefF algorithm	34



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

$f$ MRI	-	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging
STBD	-	Spatio And Spectro-Temporal Brain Data
EEG	-	Electroencephalogram
DTI	-	Diffusion Tensor Imaging
MEG	-	Magneto Encephalography
NIRS	-	Near-Infrared Spectroscopy
SNN	-	Spiking Neural Networks
eSNN	-	Evolving Spiking Neural Network
BOLD	-	Blood-Oxygen-Level Dependent
$i$ ReliefF	-	Improved ReliefF
DeSNN	-	Dynamic Evolving Spiking Neural Network
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
k-NN	-	K-Nearest Neighbor
NB	-	Naïve Bayes
DeSNN	-	Dynamic Evolving Spiking Neural Network
3D	-	3 Dimensional
BCI	-	Brain Computer Interface
ECoS	-	Evolving Connectionist System
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Networks
STDP	-	Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity
SSTD	-	Spatio Spectro Temporal Data
AER	-	Address Event Representation
BSA	-	Ben's Spike Algorithm
RO	-	Rank Order
CBV	-	Cerebral Blood Volume
PET	-	Positron Emission Tomography

CT	-	Computed Tomography
MRI	-	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
LS-SVM	-	Least Square Support Vector Machine
MI	-	Mutual Information
SD	-	Statistical Dependency
SNR	-	Signal to Noise Ratio
STPR	-	Spatio Temporal Pattern Recognition
SPAN	-	Spike Pattern Association Neuron
SNNc	-	Spiking Neural Networks cube
ROIs	-	Region Of Interests
ARFF	-	Attribute-Relation File Format
RDLPFC	-	Right Bilateral Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex
LDLPFC	-	Left Bilateral Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex
LFEF	-	Left Frontal Eye Field
RFEF	-	Right Frontal Eye Field
RPPREC	-	Right Posterior Precentral Sulcus
SMA	-	Supplementary Motor Area
LPREC	-	Left Posterior Precentral Sulcus
RIPL	-	Right Inferior Parietal Lobule
LIPL	-	Left Inferior Parietal Lobule
RSPL	-	Right Superior Parietal Lobe
RIPS	-	Right Intraparietal Sulcus
LSPL	-	Left Superior Parietal Lobe
LIPS	-	Left Intraparietal Sulcus
LIFG	-	Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus
LSGA	-	Left Supramarginal Gyrus
RSGA	-	Right Supramarginal Gyrus
ROPER	-	Right Opercularis
LOPER	-	Left Opercularis
LT	-	Left Temporal Lobe
RT	-	Right Temporal Lobe
RIT	-	Right Inferior Temporal Lobe
LIT	-	Left Inferior Temporal Lobe



PTT AUTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TOKU AMINAH

CALC	-	Calcarine Sulcus
RTRIA	-	Right Triangularis
LTRIA	-	Left Triangularis
TBR	-	Threshold-Based Representation
TP	-	True Positive
TN	-	True Negative
FP	-	False Positive
FN	-	False Negative
BSA	-	Ben Spiker Algorithm



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Conference:

2018 7th International Conference on Software and Computer Applications (ICSCA 2018)

TITLE: A Feature Selection Technique for Spatio-Temporal Brain Data in the Spiking Neural Network

VENUE : Kuantan, Malaysia DATE : Feb 8-10, 2018 (Accepted)



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

The human brain is the most complex organ of the human body which is the fundamental source to process the input information. The cells bring information to all parts of the human body. Over the past few years, the study of human brain received great attention from researchers especially in image processing. A very common and important field in image processing is the Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (*fMRI*) (Sclocco *et al.*, 2016). *fMRI* has appeared as a new tool to get the information of activities in human brain, specifically to capture the spatio-temporal activation (Yosipovitch *et al.*, 2015). *fMRI* measures the ratio of oxygenated hemoglobin to deoxygenated hemoglobin in the blood at many individual locations within the brain (Mitchell, *et al.*, 2004). The brain is a complex integrated spatio-temporal information processing machine and Spatio and spectro-temporal brain data (STBD) is a most commonly collected data for measuring brain response to external stimuli (Kasabov, 2014). Spatio and spectro-temporal brain data (STBD) has several forms of understanding such as, electroencephalogram (EEG), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), magneto encephalography (MEG) and near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) as part of *fMRI*. These spatial/spectral and temporal components help to generate the sequence of brain slices and brain images.

*fMRI* contains millions of data points, and has a complex structure in both space and time (Manning *et al.*, 2014). By using a standard machine learning technique, only several data can be processed or understood. Therefore, new

computational framework is needed to work with a complicated and huge data points. In recent years, spiking neural networks (SNN) have a similar standard working for mapping, learning and understanding of *fMRI* as well as other spatio-temporal data in 3D evolving spiking neural network (eSNN) known as NeuCube architecture (Kasabov, 2014). NeuCube is more efficient to read and process the *fMRI* brain data as compared to traditional machine learning techniques which neglect the temporal component (Enmei Tu, 2016).

## 1.2 Motivation

The significance of experiments in optimization and machine learning technique is the accessibility to big datasets in real world applications. These datasets are often referred to big data as it consists of vast numbers of data samples as well as features. Similarly, due to the huge quantity of data in *fMRI*, it is necessary to apply some feature selection method to prevent overfitting. Therefore, feature selection technique plays a main role in such a complex dataset as it can assist the extraction of relevant information. It is also a process of selecting subset from novel features according to a certain standard such as feature extraction, feature integrations and etc. As feature selection is an optimization problem by nature, it can improve the performance of decision making models in term of classification accuracy as well as decreasing time complexity by increasing the signal to noise ratio and removing irrelevant, redundant and noisy features. The objective of this research is looking for the most important features that can improve the performance in term of classification accuracy of the model.

## 1.3 Problem Statement

In recent years, *fMRI* data analysis systems have been widely used to detect the blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) signal from the noisy data and to localize the activated regions in the brain. Due to the complex nature of *fMRI* data, experiments involving *fMRI* data requires high processing time especially in determining the optimal model and thus multiple runs of experiments are required to find the perfect combination of parameters in order to achieve higher accuracy (Salimi-Khorshidi *et*

*al.*, 2014). Moreover, *fMRI* is considered as large datasets (up to several gigabytes) and the high levels of noise inherent in *fMRI* data poses a challenge to researchers interested in mining these datasets for information about cognitive processes. Therefore, feature selection technique is needed to find the most relevant features from the raw dataset as to reduce the complexity and improve the classification in term of accuracy. NeuCube as a new SNN architecture is used to map, learn and understand *fMRI* data. This study thereby proposes a feature selection technique called *iRelief F* to be embedded in the Neucube as a filter method for *fMRI* brain dataset and uses Dynamic evolving Spiking Neural Network (DeSNN) as its classifier.

#### 1.4 Objectives of Study

This study embarks on the following objectives:

- (i) To propose a feature selection technique called *iReliefF* for selecting the important features from the *fMRI* data in the NeuCube.
- (ii) To implement the *iReliefF* in objective (i).
- (iii) To evaluate and compare the proposed and existing feature selection techniques in terms of performance accuracy of *fMRI* data in the NeuCube model.

#### 1.5 Scope of Study

This research work only focuses on the proposed feature selection technique to one physical subject data-starplus-04847-v7.mat which contain 4949 features. The results are compared between data without feature selection technique and three other feature selection techniques including (i) Relief (ii) ReliefF and (iii) *iReliefF* with five different classifiers which are (i) support vector machine (SVM), (ii) *k*-nearest neighbor (*k*NN), (iii) naïve bayes (NB), (iv) Span and (v) DeSNN (NeuCube). The data is a benchmark data of the subjects in human brain which this dataset was originally collected by Marcel Just and colleagues in Carnegie Mellon University (Just, 2014). The data has 2 classes which are Picture and Sentence.

## 1.6 Thesis Organization

This thesis comprises of five chapters including Introduction and Conclusion chapters. The followings are synopsis of each chapter.

**Chapter 1: Introduction.** Apart from providing an outline of the thesis, this chapter contains an overview of the research background, problem to be solved, objectives to achieve, scope, and expected outcome of the study.

**Chapter 2: Literature Review.** This chapter explains basic understanding of Spiking Neural Networks, NeuCube architecture and fMRI data. Apart from that, this chapter reviews some of the work on classifiers and feature selection techniques that has already been applied by researchers while solving problems related to accuracy performance.

**Chapter 3: Research Methodology.** This chapter discusses the research methodology used to carry out the study systematically. First, existing work on Neucube architecture, classifiers and feature selection techniques has been analyzed and by observing the gap analysis the proposed methodology is presented to explain what phases and steps will be taken in this research to achieve the objectives as an outcome. The design and implementation of each step has been taken throughout the experiments to achieve the objectives and expected outcomes of the study.

**Chapter 4: Results and Analysis.** This chapter summarizes the results obtained from different classifiers and feature selection techniques. The comparison has been explained in terms of accuracy performance. The performance evaluation was carried out based on accuracy, precision and recall.

**Chapter 5: Conclusion and Future work.** The contributions of the proposed approach are summarized, and the recommendations are given for further continuation of work.



## CHAPTER 2

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction

The overview of this chapter touches on important fields related to the research study which has been found in literature. Furthermore, it also highlights on the different algorithms and techniques generally used in the study domain. These existing related works are reviewed, analyzed and summarized.

The true characteristics of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging fMRI data are difficult to define, as real data from fMRI consists of a series of three dimensional volumes recorded over an interval of time. Each element of the three-dimensional volume is referred to as a voxel, and the data recorded for each voxel at each time step is a decimal value referred to as the hemodynamic response, correlating to the firing rate of a population of neurons.

Spatio and temporal components are constructed by this technique which generate an image (Alistair *et al.*, 2015). Volume element or also known as voxel is identified as a brain size that can be sub divided into three-dimensional (3D) small cuboids spatial components. Moreover, temporal component is time taken for entire volume on brain scanning. Therefore, the main character of this study is to investigate the brain images of these spatial and temporal data. As magnetoencephalography (MEG), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), electroencephalogram (EEG), and near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) apart from fMRI, are also known as spatio and spectro temporal brain data (STBD). Basically,



PTTA UTM  
PEPUSIAKAAAN TUN AMINAH

there is a large collection available for researchers' benefit in the Brain Computer Interface (BCI), in areas for education, cognitive sciences, medicine and health.

STBD in particular *fMRI* has complex structure in both spatial and temporal as well as consists of millions of data points. A new computational architecture is needed to handle, process and understand such complex and massive data points where standard machine learning techniques was can only solve partially. Recently, in terms of spike activities, Spiking Neural Networks (SNN) have a similar function as STBD in expecting to be appropriate creation for computational architecture in terms of understanding and learning *fMRI* and also other brain data.

To get a better understanding of the data, an architecture that is based on 3D evolving SNN (eSNN) called NeuCube (Kasabov, 2014) was developed to map and learn STBD. Evolving Connectionist System (ECoS) has inspired to eSNN architecture in its neurons and neurons connections, the principles are developing the structures in time. Furthermore, the structures also provide new features that can be added in advanced stages for the learning process. Therefore, NeuCube is the best architecture that been offered by the advantages of ECoS and eSNN to map, train and learn STBD. Previously, experiments of spatio-temporal stroke data has produced a better accuracy in stroke occurrences predictions and inspired researchers to experiment with others variations of STBD (Othman *et al.*, 2014).

Undoubtedly, standard machine learning frequently neglect temporal component in *fMRI* or others spatio-temporal data where several efforts have been performed to process and model this data. Temporal component of *fMRI* is very vital and much use in making accuracy decisions equal to the spatial component containing much important information about brain.

## 2.2 Review of Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (*fMRI*)

*fMRI* consist of an arrangement of voxel in its smallest form where it will be used as features and will be experimented and studied. The *fMRI* image actually reproduces the functioning activities that have different parts in brain and the values of features that are actual intensity. Due to these values, it can be directly exploited as features for classification purposes (Mitchell *et al.*, 2005). *fMRI* has been used in medical

field by using brain imaging technique and the details will be discussed in the next subsection. For the samples of experiment, previous studies mainly use conventional classifiers and some choose feature in term of classifying the *fMRI* data.

### 2.2.1 Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (*fMRI*)

The visualization of hemodynamic can response in neurons relation activates by certain part of brain in *fMRI* (Buxton, *et al.* 2004). For the particular blood area, the response of hemodynamic is specified by the amount of blood flow. Cerebral blood volume (CBV) and the cerebral blood flow rate has changed based on the components of hemodynamic response as well as change in the oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin concentration. There have been several techniques of *fMRI* to capture the signals' function and generate different components of hemodynamic response. Blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) technique or also known as one of the greatest mutual techniques that is based on concentration of oxyhemoglobin deoxyhemoglobin component. Combination of BOLD and *fMRI* imaging technique has produced a great number of brain images for instance, spatial and temporal information, while MRI only provides structural mapping of a brain.

Furthermore, *fMRI* generates structural and functional mapping for the brain and takes the advantage of blood to carry oxygen and blood containers that occurs in activation of regions that will be used to measure the changes of neural activity in the brain from stimuli that triggers internally or externally (Haxby, 2011). Accurately, the ratio of oxygenated haemoglobin to deoxygenated haemoglobin in the blood is measured by *fMRI* in detail to control baseline at several individual locations around the brain. Local neural activity influences blood oxygen level and due to this blood BOLD response, it indicates the neural activity (Mitchell *et al.*, 2004).

### 2.2.3 Introduction to Imaging Techniques

Neuroimaging is a structure of brain or other nerve systems that will produce an image of the brain activity and allow researchers to observe the activation of the brain or other nerves. Some might assume the neuroimaging as imaging

technique only focuses on human brain. Particularly, images will be used to measure brain diseases and for diagnosing purpose as it can be used to study how the brain is working. The activation of regions in the brain will be activated when a stimulus are showed to the subject and psychologically the brain location will be affected (involves feeling of the subject together with emotions).

Undoubtedly, there are many research facilities and hospitals widely available for a few safe neuroimaging techniques to get around the world such as NIRS, EEG, MEG, Positron Emission Tomography (PET), Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and *f*MRI. Different with the subjects with short-lived radioactive material and x-rays which is PET and CT scans. NIRS, EEG, MEG, MRI and *f*MRI will not expose patients with injecting substance to blood flow or radiation to measure the brain activity. However, MEG and EEG lacks the ability to detect spatial location accurately for the activation. Nevertheless, NIRS have a lower signal-to-noise ratio but has a high correlation with *f*MRI measurement. Therefore, *f*MRI has growing attention for researchers as a counterpart.

Since *f*MRI scan of brain contains hundreds of thousands of voxels, and when the resolution of *f*MRI scanners improves, this amount will only go up. Relevant regions of the brain are often only a small portion of all these voxels. While we could use all the voxels in a machine learning algorithm, it is known that these algorithms perform worse when a lot of unnecessary features are included in the training set. This problem is called overfitting which means that the algorithm tries to fit the classifier variables to every feature, even the irrelevant ones. This results in classification variables that fit the training set very well, but perform poorly on data that is not in the training set. This becomes an even bigger problem when taking into account the fact that the amount of training samples is small, and the amount of noise in the samples is high. So it is important that a trained machine learning algorithm generalizes well.

Therefore, the feature selection method can helps to reduce the amount of features and select more relevant ones by combining features into new ones and to hold more information in less dimensions. Consequently, feature selection has the potential to be used in finding the brain regions responsible for a particular task, and

## REFERENCES

- Andrew, A. M. (2003). Spiking neuron models: Single neurons, populations, plasticity. *Kybernetes*, 32(7/8).
- Arbib, M. A. (2003). *The handbook of brain theory and neural networks*: MIT press.
- Artrith, N., & Urban, A. (2016). An implementation of artificial neural-network potentials for atomistic materials simulations: Performance for TiO<sub>2</sub>. *Computational Materials Science*, 114 pp. 135-150.
- Auffret, A. G., Plue, J., & Cousins, S. A. (2015). The spatial and temporal components of functional connectivity in fragmented landscapes. *Ambio*, 44 (1), pp. 51-59.
- Brette, R., Rudolph, M., Carnevale, T., Hines, M., Beeman, D., Bower, J. M., . . . Harris, F. C. (2007). Simulation of networks of spiking neurons: a review of tools and strategies. *Journal of computational neuroscience*, 23(3), 349-398.
- Buxton, R. B., Uludağ, K., Dubowitz, D. J., & Liu, T. T. (2004). Modeling the hemodynamic response to brain activation. *Neuroimage*, 23 Suppl 1, S220-233. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.013
- Chanel, G., Pichon, S. J. A., Conty, L., Berthoz, S., Chevalier, C., & Grèzes, J. (2016). Classification of autistic individuals by merging information from multiple fMRI experiments. pp.
- Cui, X., Bray, S., Bryant, D. M., Glover, G. H., & Reiss, A. L. (2011). A quantitative comparison of NIRS and fMRI across multiple cognitive tasks. *Neuroimage*, 54(4), 2808-2821.
- Dhoble, K., Nuntalid, N., Indiveri, G., & Kasabov, N. (2012, 10-15 June 2012). Online spatio-temporal pattern recognition with evolving spiking neural networks utilising address event representation, rank order, and temporal spike learning. *The 2012 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*.
- Doborjeh, M. G., Capecci, E., & Kasabov, N. (2014). Classification and segmentation of fMRI spatio-temporal brain data with a NeuCube evolving



- spiking neural network model. *Proceedings of the Evolving and Autonomous Learning Systems (EALS), 2014 IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE. pp. 73-80.
- Doborjeh, M. G., Wang, G. Y., Kasabov, N. K., Kydd, R., & Russell, B. (2016). A spiking neural network methodology and system for learning and comparative analysis of EEG data from healthy versus addiction treated versus addiction not treated subjects. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 63(9), 1830-1841.
- Enmei, T., Zhang, Y., Zhu, L., Yang, J., & Kasabov, N. (2016). A graph-based semi-supervised k nearest-neighbor method for nonlinear manifold distributed data classification. *Information Sciences*, 367, 673-688.
- García, S., Luengo, J., & Herrera, F. (2015). *Data preprocessing in data mining*. Springer.
- Gerstner, W. (1995). Time structure of the activity in neural network models. *Physical review E*, 51(1), 738.
- Giles, C. L., & Maxwell, T. (1987). Learning, invariance, and generalization in high-order neural networks. *Applied optics*, 26(23), 4972-4978.
- Griffis, J. C., Allendorfer, J. B., & Szaflarski, J. P. (2016). Voxel-based Gaussian naïve Bayes classification of ischemic stroke lesions in individual T1-weighted MRI scans. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 257 pp. 97-108.
- Haxby, J. V., Gobbini, M. I., Furey, M. L., Ishai, A., Schouten, J. L., & Pietrini, P. (2001). Distributed and overlapping representations of faces and objects in ventral temporal cortex. *Science*, 293(5539), 2425-2430.
- Hebb, D. O. (2005). *The organization of behavior: A neuropsychological theory*: Psychology Press.
- Hemming, C. (2003). Using neural networks in linguistic resources. *Department of Languages, University College of Skövde, Swedish National Graduate School of Language Technology*.
- Hodgkin, A. L., & Huxley, A. F. (1952). A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *The Journal of physiology*, 117(4), 500-544.
- Huguenard, J. R. (2000). Reliability of axonal propagation: The spike doesn't stop here. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(17), 9349-9350.



- Izhikevich, E. M., & Edelman, G. M. (2008). Large-scale model of mammalian thalamocortical systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(9), 3593-3598.
- Jalali, F., Ebrahimi, A., & Alirezazadeh, S. (2017). Word prediction from fMRI data based on C-SVC and a series classifier. *Proceedings of the Computer and Knowledge Engineering (ICCKE), 2017 7th International Conference on*. IEEE. pp. 131-136.
- Joseph, G. C., Allendorfer, J. B., & Szaflarski, J. P. (2016). Voxel-based Gaussian naïve Bayes classification of ischemic stroke lesions in individual T1-weighted MRI scans. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, 257, 97-108.
- Kasabov, N. (2010). To spike or not to spike: A probabilistic spiking neuron model. *Neural Networks*, 23(1), 16-19.
- Kasabov, N. (2012). Neucube evospike architecture for spatio-temporal modelling and pattern recognition of brain signals. *Proceedings of the IAPR Workshop on Artificial Neural Networks in Pattern Recognition*. Springer. pp. 225-243.
- Kasabov, N. (2013). *Evolving connectionist systems: Methods and applications in bioinformatics, brain study and intelligent machines*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kasabov, N., Feigin, V., Hou, Z.-G., Chen, Y., Liang, L., Krishnamurthi, R. *et al.* (2014). Evolving spiking neural networks for personalised modelling, classification and prediction of spatio-temporal patterns with a case study on stroke. *Neurocomputing*, 134 pp. 269-279.
- Kasabov, N., & Capecci, E. (2015). Spiking neural network methodology for modelling, classification and understanding of EEG spatio-temporal data measuring cognitive processes. *Information Sciences*, 294 pp. 565-575.
- Kasabov, N., Scott, N. M., Tu, E., Marks, S., Sengupta, N., Capecci, E., . . . Hartono, R. (2016). Evolving spatio-temporal data machines based on the NeuCube neuromorphic framework: design methodology and selected applications. *Neural Networks*, 78, 1-14.
- Kasabov, N. K. (1998). *ECOS: Evolving Connectionist Systems and the ECO Learning Paradigm*. Paper presented at the Iconip.
- Kasabov, N. K. (2007). *Evolving connectionist systems: the knowledge engineering approach*: Springer Science & Business Media.



- Kasabov, N. K. (2014). NeuCube: A spiking neural network architecture for mapping, learning and understanding of spatio-temporal brain data. *Neural Networks*, 52(Supplement C), 62-76. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2014.01.006>
- Kira, K., & Rendell, L. A. (1992). *A practical approach to feature selection*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the ninth international workshop on Machine learning, Aberdeen, Scotland, United Kingdom.
- Koch, C., & Reid, R. C. (2012). Neuroscience: Observatories of the mind. *Nature*, 483(7390), 397-398.
- Kojima, H., & Katsumata, S. (2008). *An analysis of synaptic transmission and its plasticity by glutamate receptor channel kinetics models and 2-photon laser photolysis*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Neural Information Processing.
- Läuger, P. (1995). Conformational transitions of ionic channels *Single-Channel Recording* (pp. 651-662): Springer.
- Li, E. Y. (1994). Artificial neural networks and their business applications. *Information & Management*, 27(5), 303-313.
- Maass, W. (1997). Networks of spiking neurons: the third generation of neural network models. *Neural Networks*, 10(9), 1659-1671.
- Manning, L., & Denkova, E. J. (2014). fMRI contributions to addressing autobiographical memory impairment in temporal lobe pathology. *World journal of radiology*, 6 (4), pp. 93.
- Månsson, K. N., Frick, A., Boraxbekk, C.-J., Marquand, A., Williams, S., Carlbring, P. *et al.* (2015). Predicting long-term outcome of Internet-delivered cognitive behavior therapy for social anxiety disorder using fMRI and support vector machine learning. *Translational psychiatry*, 5 (3), pp. e530.
- Maryam, G. D., Kasabov, N. K., Dobarjeh, & Dobarjeh, Z. G. (2017). Mapping, learning, visualization, classification, and understanding of fMRI data in the NeuCube evolving spatiotemporal data machine of spiking neural networks. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 28(4), 887-899.
- McCulloch, W. S., & Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The bulletin of mathematical biophysics*, 5(4), 115-133.
- Mishra, D., & Sahu, B. (2011, 8-10 April 2011). A signal-to-noise classification model for identification of differentially expressed genes from gene



expression data. *3rd International Conference on Electronics Computer Technology*.

- Mitchell, T. M., Hutchinson, R., Just, M. A., Niculescu, R. S., Pereira, F., & Wang, X. (2003). Classifying Instantaneous Cognitive States from fMRI Data. *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings, 2003*, 465-469.
- Mitchell, T. M., Hutchinson, R., Niculescu, R. S., Pereira, F., Wang, X., Just, M., & Newman, S. (2004). Learning to Decode Cognitive States from Brain Images. *Machine Learning*, 57(1), 145-175. doi: 10.1023/B:MACH.0000035475.85309.1b
- Mitchell, T. M. (2005). StarPlus fMRI Data. Retrieved from <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/afs/cs.cmu.edu/project/theo-81/www/>
- Murli, N., Kasabov, N., & Handaga, B. (2014). Classification of fMRI data in the NeuCube evolving spiking neural network architecture. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Neural Information Processing*. Springer. pp. 421-428.
- Ogawa, S., Lee, T. M., Kay, A. R., & Tank, D. W. (1990). Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast dependent on blood oxygenation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 87(24), 9868-9872.
- Othman, M., Kasabov, N., Tu, E., Feigin, V., Krishnamurthi, R., Hou, Z., . . . Hu, J. (2014). *Improved predictive personalized modelling with the use of Spiking Neural Network system and a case study on stroke occurrences data*. Paper presented at the Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2014 International Joint Conference on.
- Rosenblatt, F. (1961). Principles of neurodynamics. perceptrons and the theory of brain mechanisms: Cornell Aeronautical Lab Inc Buffalo NY.
- Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Douaud, G., Beckmann, C. F., Glasser, M. F., Griffanti, L., & Smith, S. M. (2014). Automatic denoising of functional MRI data: combining independent component analysis and hierarchical fusion of classifiers. *Neuroimage*, 90 pp. 449-468
- Santra, A., & Christy, C. J. (2012). Genetic algorithm and confusion matrix for document clustering. *International Journal of Computer Science*, 9(1), 322-328.



- Sclocco, R., Beissner, F., Desbordes, G., Polimeni, J. R., Wald, L. L., Kettner, N. W. et al. (2016). Neuroimaging brainstem circuitry supporting cardiovascular response to pain: a combined heart rate variability/ultrahigh-field (7 T) functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Philosophical transactions. Series A, Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences*, 374 (2067), pp. 20150189.
- Sengupta, N., & Kasabov, N. (2017). Spike-time encoding as a data compression technique for pattern recognition of temporal data. *Information Sciences*, 406 pp. 133-145.
- Sharma, V., Rai, S., & Dev, A. (2012). A comprehensive study of artificial neural networks. *International Journal of Advanced research in computer science and software engineering*, 2(10).
- Sokolova, M., Japkowicz, N., & Szpakowicz, S. (2006). *Beyond accuracy, F-score and ROC: a family of discriminant measures for performance evaluation*. Paper presented at the Australian conference on artificial intelligence.
- Sundermann, B., Olde lütke Beverborg, M., & Pfleiderer, B. (2014). Toward literature-based feature selection for diagnostic classification: a meta-analysis of resting-state fMRI in depression. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 8 pp. 692.
- Tang, J., Alelyani, S., & Liu, H. (2014). Feature selection for classification: A review. *Data Classification: Algorithms and Applications*, 37.
- Thorpe, S., & Gautrais, J. (1998). Rank order coding Computational neuroscience (pp. 113-118): *Springer*.
- Trang, T. L., Simmons, W. K., Misaki, M., Bodurka, J., White, B. C., Savitz, J., & McKinney, B. A. (2017). Differential privacy-based evaporative cooling feature selection and classification with relief-F and random forests. *Bioinformatics*, 33(18), 2906-2913.
- Tu, E., Kasabov, N., & Yang, J. (2017). Mapping Temporal Variables Into the NeuCube for Improved Pattern Recognition, Predictive Modeling, and Understanding of Stream Data. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 28(6), 1305-1317. doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2016.2536742
- Yosipovitch, G., Papoiu, A. D., Kraft, R. A., & Coghill, R. C., (2015). Butorphanol suppression of histamine itch is mediated by nucleus accumbens and septal



nuclei: a pharmacological fMRI study. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 135 (2), pp. 560-568.

Wysoski, S. G., Benuskova, L., & Kasabov, N. (2006). On-line learning with structural adaptation in a network of spiking neurons for visual pattern recognition. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks*. Springer. pp. 61-70.

Wysoski, S. G., Benuskova, L., & Kasabov, N. (2010). Evolving spiking neural networks for audiovisual information processing. *Neural networks : the official journal of the International Neural Network Society*, 23(7), 819-835. doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2010.04.009

Xingxing, Z., Wang, S., Xu, W., Ji, G., Phillips, P., Sun, P., & Zhang, Y. (2015, April). Detection of pathological brain in MRI scanning based on wavelet-entropy and naive Bayes classifier. In *International conference on bioinformatics and biomedical engineering* (pp. 201-209). Springer, Cham.

Zhi, W., Zhang, Y., Chen, Z., Yang, H., Sun, Y., Kang, J., ... & Liang, X. (2016, July). Application of ReliefF algorithm to selecting feature sets for classification of high resolution remote sensing image. In *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2016 IEEE International* (pp. 755-758). IEEE.

