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ABSTRACT: ‘Methodology’ means the science of methods (method+logy, the latter being a Greek word which can literally be translated to mean the 'science of'). It contains the strategy, plan of action, process or design lying behind the choice and use of particular methods and the linking of the choice and use of method to the desired outcomes. A case study is understood as an umbrella term for a family of research methods. A reliable case study will therefore desire to use as many sources as possible that can be called "multiple sources of evidence". This paper therefore seeks to present a discussion on the appropriateness of the use of multiple sources of evidence and their techniques. In particular this will be applied to the gathering of comparative data on strategic, tactical and operational practices for the provision of residential care homes for the elderly in both UK and Malaysia. For this reason, a triangulation approach has been designed to undertake a piece of qualitative empirical enquiry entitled 'Opportunities for the Transfer of United Kingdom (UK) Best Practices for the Provision of Residential Care Homes for the Elderly'. The discussion will elaborate upon the application of this triangulation method, consisting of content analysis, visual methods, participant observation and interviews, as means to respond to the developed research questions. The strength and weaknesses of the methodology and its methods will also be identified.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

"Methodology can only brings us reflective understanding of the means which have demonstrated their value in practice by raising them to the level of explicit consciousness; it is no more the precondition of fruitful intellectual work than the knowledge of anatomy is the precondition for correct walking..". (Weber in Kaplan, 1964). In other words, it can be said that methodology is the important part of the research process which if properly designed, will unblock the roads of inquiry by employing the reliable methods. Also, the purpose of methodology is to show the appropriateness of the techniques used to gather data and the methodological approaches employed (Hart, 2005). It contains the strategy, plan of action, process or design lying behind the choice and use of particular methods and the linking of the choice and use of method to the desired outcomes (Gray, 2004). According to Sulaiman et al. (2008b), in producing a good piece of research work researchers have to use a methodology in a new topic where it has not been applied before and therefore make manifest its strengths in giving new knowledge and theoretical insights, then the new contribution to the knowledge can be developed. Hence, this paper is trying to elucidate the background of the research and discuss on the application of case study as a research methodology in order to obtain the strategic, tactical and operational practice data on care practice for the provision of Residential Care Homes for the Elderly (RCHE) as public sector property. Then, the strategy for the development of research questions will be explained based on a case study strategy used by Yin (2003). This exploratory study has been designed to apply the Multiple Cases vs Embedded and employed four methods known as content analysis, visual method, participant observation and interview. The rationale of the selection of case study with qualitative approach and its design will be discussed thoroughly. After that, how the sampling strategies were made including its strengths and weaknesses will also be justified.

2.0 BACKGROUND OF RESEARCH

Facilities Management (FM) encompasses multiple disciplines of structures, processes and practices. It has emerged as a theory which has been systematically tested and has various logical interrelated propositions through research to explain social phenomenon integrated between people, place, process, practice and technology in any organisation. In the field of built and human environment, FM is one of the fastest growing professions in the UK. The British Institute of Facilities Management (BIFM, 2007) defined FM as "an integration of processes within an organisation, to maintain and develop the agreed services which support and improve the effectiveness of its primary activities". The International Facility Management Association (IFMA, 2007) then
defined FM as "a profession that encompasses multiple disciplines to ensure functionality of the built environment by integrating people, place, process and technology". The Facilities Journal academically summarized FM as "a hybrid management discipline that combines people, property and process management expertise, to provide vital services in support of the organisation. It is interested in all areas relating to briefing, design, construction and use of facilities and in the emerging technologies that support organizational functions at the workplace" (Emerald, 2007). This FM approach is practically applied within the public and private sector organisations.

Within the FM context, organisation strategy is considered as a policy framework which provides the context for decision making within the facilities department through the interaction between strategic, tactical and operational FM. FM corporate goals are usually about the core business task and the FM's aim is to synergistically balance current strategic, tactical and operational level of FM function with the needs of the future (Langston and Kristensen, 2002). Barrett and Baldry (2003) stated that paramount in the strategic FM process is the ability to establish, monitor and update strategic practice, Langston and Kristensen (2002) stated that strategic FM represents higher order activities involved in the alignment of facilities with corporate goals. This includes a measure of anticipation and forward planning and demands access to boardroom-level discussions and/or effective communication between senior personnel. It is distinct from tactical and operational activities that have progressively reduced scope and corporate impact. To be effective, all parts of the organisation must be coordinated so that a common purpose is maintained. This is accomplished through a clearly articulated set of corporate goals and a team approach, particularly among upper management. Accordingly, tactical FM activities are essentially about the monitoring and management of facilities performance. The performance is based on the achievement of change agents practice in an FM ecosystem which consists of people, place and process in delivering organisation corporate goals. In the process of managing RCHE, the tactical activities may involve the development of good practice guidance & documents, the identification of a code of practice at the RCHE, the legislations and regulations, standards development and reviews, the development of national minimum standards, assessment and audits, process controls, recognition from internal/external experts etc. They differ from strategies activities, in that the direct link to corporate goals is absent, although the overall objective of improving quality, reducing cost and minimising risk remains. Tactical activities are often smaller and tightly defined, and are akin to sub-projects within the greater business context (Langston and Kristensen, 2002). In addition to this, the operational FM activities are activities which are more routine and are often viewed as janitorial. They involve protective activities, watching over and safeguarding of the practice delivered at least at the minimum practice achievement as monitored by the tactical level of FM function. Featherstone and Baldry (2000) concluded there must be a strategic FM foundation around which to build and mould the day-to-day routines. An absence of this strategic foundation will result in a displacement of the tactical as well as operational interface between the facilities provider and facilities service users leading to user dissatisfaction while receiving the services promised.

The history of the provision of RCHE as public residential property started in the United Kingdom since early 19th century. It revealed that some residential provisions for the elderly, such as like Almshouses, were the earliest form of sheltered housing for the elderly, with some having their roots back to the 12th century (Harriot & Matthew, 2004). Retrospectively, the special concern for the elderly living arrangement was began to be provided since a long time ago in the UK. In addition to this, various political changes were also taken by the UK government for the establishment a series of reforms which laid the foundations for the welfare state, particularly for an appropriate and better living arrangement for the elderly, poor and destitute people. For example, the establishment of the National Assistance Act in 1948, largely gave an impact for with the residential provision to the elderly provided by the local authority and National Health Service (NHS) across the country. In addition to this, globally, Article 25(1) of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948 (UDHR) has also stated that "everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control" (UN-HABITAT, 2002). Ever since then, in the UK, a series of policy documents has been set out with the various objectives for the elderly, all of which shared the emphasis on redirecting development towards the improvement of health and care services including the provision of the RCHE. This has created various key landmarks in the focus and role of social care services particularly for the elderly in the UK alongside ideological debate and policy improvement concerning the provision of various care services such as the issues on the Elizabeth Poor Law and the workhouses in early 19th century; the inquiry of the Beveridge
In contrast to these long experiences, in the Asian population, living in alternative arrangement, RCHE is not applied as in the UK. Certainly, the provision of RCHE was viewed as a more residual provision with the fact that wherever in Asian, most of the elderly wish to continue to live in their own homes and do not require formalized long-term care. As evidence, in Asian, Martin (1989) found that approximately three quarters of the elderly population live with their adult children. Similarly, in Malaysia, Martin (1989) and Da Vanzo and Chan (1994), found that more than two-thirds of Malaysians age 60 or over co-reside with an adult child. However, in recent years, the reality has been gradually changing since the traditional values of familism and filial piety have been being supplanted by Western values of individualism in Asian families as well as in Malaysia. Though people in Asian societies still, on the whole, pay high respect to the elderly, there is no doubt that this value is fast fading and can no longer be taken for granted (Chow, 2006). Similarly, in Malaysia, Abdul Jalil (2005) revealed that “We are certainly not going back to the period when we had a very youthful population that took care of an elderly population”. Further, the Eighth Malaysia Plan (2001-2005) identified that the concurrent phenomena of decreasing family size and increasing number of older persons, as well as other demographic and social factors affecting the family structure, such as the role of the extended family, will require the establishment of formal institutions to take over the traditional responsibilities of families. Syed Mustafa et al., (2005) also stated that Malaysia is similar to other developed countries which have shown an increment in the percentage of homes being developed in order to cater for the needs of the elderly people to reside and to be taken care of. Malaysians still place great emphasis on the preservation of the family and its value, and many Malaysians are still consistent with a firm stand on the traditional definition of taking care of their parents. However, institutional care has progressively overtaken family care for the elderly. In fact, the expectation of institutional care for older people is becoming the norm to the Malaysian.

While the UK has normally adapted and adopted institutional care as the alternative living arrangement for its golden age population, Malaysia has still at the early stage of the transition of its institutional elderly living arrangement. Despite the fact that traditional value and familism criteria are being eradicated in Malaysian society, there are many other plus factors which may affect future living arrangement of the elderly in Malaysia. Evidently, Sulaiman et al. (2006a, 2006b and 2006c) revealed that the factors such as poor and inadequate support of housing condition; declining extended family; family size is decreasing; it is expected that more elderly people living alone in the urban and rural areas; more elderly living longer; declining birth rate; increasing dual income households of their adult children; growing number of unmarried population; migrations of working adults; greater number of divorces; housing needs for the elderly is increasing; growing level of female labour participation; people have less time for carrying out care duties for taking care of the parents; increasing demand for entering the RCHE; and the most important: it is alarming the nation that action should be taken as the nation is facing the increasing number of elderly people requiring a proper place as well as an appropriate care (or nursing care) respectively. Obviously, these factors signal that moving into RCHE will becoming normal in a few years ahead in Malaysia. Though older people are wishing to stay in their homes independently for as long as possible, the truth is the infrastructure needed to support this choice is often inadequate and not practically applicable (Sulaiman et al., 2006a and 2006b) due to their housing needs become increasingly entwined with health and care needs when they become older (Boaz et al., 1999). Even though more than two thirds of Malaysians age 60 or older co-reside with an adult child, in the next decade, institutional care which provides formal care to the elderly may no longer be considered unacceptable for the elderly and society but is seen as an alternative for families to take care of their golden age member as in developed country such as the UK.
The UK has evolved longer in managing the provision of RCHE, and Malaysia should endeavour to learn and discover from the UK in certain aspects of the practices such as strategies and experiences; knowledge development; the enforcement of rules and regulations; the implementation of national polices and the way of monitoring performance; management skills; tactics and techniques as well as the formation of national standards and the code of best practices. Undeniably, reflections on the past history of social care practices, institutions, as well as the management of RCHE at the strategic, tactical and operational level, tell a great deal about how the UK present day culture and nature of ageing society has evolved. In the same way, as recommended by Lauren (2004) who stated that "In companies where exemplary processes have been in place for 20 years, you need to ask people why they do what they do and how. Consider your depth of knowledge of the practice, and then ask yourself, 'What's the potential gain of applying this practice in another unit? How will it take to start getting equal or better results in the recipient unit?'" Novelli (2005) then emphasised and suggested that, "We have to look across borders and around the world for good ideas and practices. The more we share our experiences, the better strategies we will develop and the more we will succeed at making market forces and public policies more responsive to investment in liveable communities".

Considering the status of RCHE as a residual provision of living arrangement, there has been a lack of research done on housing for the elderly generally and RCHE specifically in Malaysia (Sulaiman et al, 2006). An analysis of papers published in the Welfare Journal by DSW revealed only five papers had been written on the elderly since 1991 (Muhamad & Kamis, 2006). Poi et al (2004) added that there was no vision of change for treating and caring for older people, or directing programmes of home or community base care, or pursuing research in geriatrics in Malaysia. Muhamad & Kamis (2006) exposed that older groups command less than favourable interest in the academic and economic arena in Malaysia. In fact, Poi et al, (2004) suggested "as Malaysia develops a system for caring for older people, it needs to learn from the mistakes of other healthcare systems (not repeat them) and seek advice of visionary leaders of the specialty. Sulaiman et. al, (2006a, 2006b) also suggested that it would be very advantageous if Malaysia could adapt and adopt the provision structure and identify best practices from the providers of RCHE in the UK. From this point of view, research is very important because through this older people and the RCHE providers can express and continuously develop their knowledge and views on housing and residential issues of the elderly; especially in research relating to their needs and aspirations to live in. As FM is a profession that encompasses multiple disciplines to ensure the effective functionality of the built environment by integrating people, place, process and technology, transferring best practice is one of the management tools which is important for the enhancement of FM practice in any organisation. Importantly, Payne and Rees (1999) and Heavisides (2000) stated that FM itself can act as a change agent between people, place and process. Hence, this statement led the effort and interest for undertaking research for the continuous improvement of the provision of RCHE in Malaysia. The aim is to seek the "Opportunities for the Transfer of United Kingdom (UK) Best Practices for the Provision of Residential Care Homes for the Elderly in Malaysia to Meet Emerging and Changing Social Needs".

3.0 RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Whilst conducting a case study, the heart of the protocol is a set of substantive questions reflecting the researcher's actual line of inquiry. Yin (2003) placed the research strategies in case study research as in Table 1. Each strategy, peculiar advantages and disadvantages, depends on three conditions:

1. The type of research question;
2. The control an investigator has over actual behavioural events and;
3. The focus on contemporary as opposed to historical phenomena.

According to Yin (2003), a basic categorisation of research questions is the familiar series: "who", "what", "where", "how" and "why". In this research it is believed that the developed research questions will answer the inductive reasoning qualitative inquiries through the multiple sources of converging evidence consisting of content analysis, visual (photographical analysis), participant observation and interviews. Based on Table 1, this phenomenological research is trying to answer seven "what" research questions as drawn below.
For all the questions, the research is considered as an exploratory case study as mentioned by Yin (2003) if research questions focus mainly on "what" questions, either two possibilities arise. First, some types of "what" questions are exploratory and the second type of "what" research questions actually form a "how many" or "how much" line of inquiry in the research strategy. Within the specific boundaries the lines of inquiry are as below:

(1) Which strategic, tactical and operational practices within the context of public sector Facilities Management (FM) are applied in the provision of RCHE in the United Kingdom?

(2) What are the current strategic, tactical and operational practices within the context of public sector FM currently being delivered in the provision of RCHE in Malaysia?

(3) To what extent has a best practice approach at strategic, tactical and operational public sector FM functions been established and empirically emerged in the context of United Kingdom?

(4) To what extent has a best practice approach at strategic, tactical and operational public sector FM functions been established and empirically emerged in the context of Malaysia?

(5) Which enablers, barriers and benefits will Malaysia face if the Best Practice Transfer Process takes place?

(6) What lessons could be learned for the improvement of the provision of RCHE in Malaysia?

(7) What are the transferable strategic, tactical and operational practices needed for the provision of RCHE to be transferred to Malaysia?

Locke, Spirduso and Silverman (2000) stated that research objectives are the purpose statements which indicate "why you want to do to the study and what you intend to accomplish". Creswell (2003) cited the use of word such as "purpose", "intent" or "objective" to signal attention to this statement as the central controlling idea in a study. This "purpose statement" then conveys the overall intention of a proposed study. Thus, based on the research aim, the specific objectives of the study are created as below:

(1) To review and understand the provision of RCHE in the United Kingdom and Malaysia;

(2) To identify the best practices strategically, tactically and operationally from the view of public sector FM in the provision of RCHE in United Kingdom and Malaysia;

(3) To review and understand the Best Practice Transfer Model;

(4) To compare the practices between Malaysia and United Kingdom in the provision of RCHE;

(5) To test and validate UK practices for adoption into Malaysia.
4.0 A CASE STUDY METHODOLOGY: WHY IS IT BEING EMPLOYED?

Yin (2003) defined case studies in two ways of technical definition as the following;

1. Empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its life context, especially when the boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evident and;

2. Case study inquiry which copes with the technically distinctive situation in which there will be many more variables of interest than data points, as one result relies on multiple sources of evidence, with data needing to converge in triangulation fashion, and as another result benefits from prior development of theoretical propositions to guide data collection and analysis.

Bell (1993) in Remenyi et al (2002) stated "the case study approach is an umbrella term for a family of research methods having in common decision to focus on an enquiry around specific instance or event". Yin (2003) stated a case study's unique strength is "its ability to deal with a full variety of evidence such as documents, artefacts, interviews and observation...". "... a good case study will therefore want to use as many sources as possible...". Figure 1 shows the relationship between philosophical background and case study methodology conducted in this research.

![Figure 1: Relationships between philosophical background and case study methodology](image)

As this research follows the interpretivism epistemology, there are several reasons why the case study methodology is believed as the best methodology as in the list below.

1. Passionately seeking understanding of the world/social life, reality is subjective, problematic, holistic, and socially constructed;

2. Case study would have to cover both the phenomenon of interest and its context yielding a large number of potentially relevant variables;

3. Getting close to the subject, object and their interaction in a holistic inquiry focuses on the full complexity of human sense making as the situation emerges (practitioners at each level, best practices and their social interaction);
(4) Investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its life context, especially when the boundaries between phenomenon (within the selected case studies either analytical or conceptual generalisation);

(5) Desiring to understand contemporary, complex and real life social phenomena;

(6) Allowing the researcher to retain the holistic (studies on whole units) and meaningful characteristics of real life events;

(7) Obtaining a wide range of different sources of evidence/multiple sources of evidence/full variety of evidence (using the case study techniques consisting of content analysis, visual method, participant observation and interviews);

(8) There are no predefined dependent and independent variables (involves qualitative inquiries in a dynamic systems-process and change);

(9) Involving inductive analysis and no strict rules for the interpretations;

(10) Cases will be conducted within a specific time and context specific;

(11) Gathering qualitative data and description through content analysis, visual method, participant observation and interviews.

Considering this, as an exploratory case study, it is also significant to differentiate between the quantitative and qualitative methodological approach as in Table 2 below. Based on Table 2 it can be seen that this research will only emphasised on the qualitative methodological approach. Comparatively, the features and procedures within these two approaches can be understood as these criteria have helped in guiding the whole of the research process while employing the case study methodology.

Table 2: Perceived differences and comparison between quantitative and qualitative methodology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Quantitative Methodology</th>
<th>Qualitative Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural of reality</td>
<td>Objective, simple, single, tangible sense impressions</td>
<td>Subjective, problematic, holistic, a social construct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes and effects</td>
<td>Nomological thinking, cause-effect linkages</td>
<td>Non-deterministic, mutual shaping, no cause-effect linkages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The role of values</td>
<td>Value neutral, value-free inquiry</td>
<td>Naturalism, value-bound inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural and social science</td>
<td>Deductive, model of natural sciences, nomothetic, based on strict rules</td>
<td>Inductive, rejection of the natural sciences model, ideographic, no strict rules: interpretations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Quantitative, mathematical, extensive use of statistics</td>
<td>Qualitative, with less emphasis on statistics, verbal and qualitative analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher’s Roles</td>
<td>Rather passive, is separate from subject - the known: dualism</td>
<td>Active, ‘knower’ and ‘known’ are interactive and inseparable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalisations</td>
<td>Inductive generalisations; nomothetic statements</td>
<td>Analytical or conceptual generalisations, time-and-context specific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Quantitative Methodology</th>
<th>Qualitative Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preparation</td>
<td>Definition: precise, accurate and specific hypotheses formulated before the study</td>
<td>Definition: general, and loosely structured hypotheses formulated throughout the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employs operationalisation</td>
<td>Employs sensitising concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodological Principles</td>
<td>Positivism and neo positivism, and adheres to the standards of strict research design</td>
<td>Based on diverse theoretical principles (e.g. phenomenology, hermeneutics and social interactionism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Design: well planned and prescriptive Sampling: well planned before data collection, is representative Measurement/recall: employs all types</td>
<td>Design: well planned but not prescriptive Sampling: Well planned but during data collection, is not representative Measurement/recall: Mostly nominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Collection</td>
<td>Uses quantitative methods, employs assistants</td>
<td>Uses qualitative methods; usually single handed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>Mostly quantitative and statistical analysis, inductive generalisations</td>
<td>Mainly qualitative, often collection and analysis occur simultaneously, analytical generalisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Highly integrated findings</td>
<td>Mostly not integrated findings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adopted from: Sarantakos (1987)
6.0 CASE STUDY MATRIX DESIGN: MULTIPLE CASES VS EMBEDDED

In order to apply a case study methodology, the case study itself has a specific design to be formed. This process is important before the whole case study processes can be carried out and the methods of research can be selected. In this case, using the case study matrix, **Multiple Cases Vs Embedded** has been chosen to be used. After looking at the general background of the case study design, especially the developed research questions, its objectives and aim, it is significantly important to develop the case study matrix to portray every type of design included the contextual conditions in relation to the case and its boundaries within the developed research questions. The matrix then shows that single and multiple-case studies reflect different design situations and that within these two variants, there also can be a unitary unit or multiple unit of analysis.

![Figure 2: Basic Types of Designs for Case Studies](image)

Based on the developed research questions and identified unit of analysis, this research occupied the **Multiple Cases vs. Embedded** type of case study matrix. The rationales for this type of case study matrix are stated as the following:

1. In this situation, these embedded units have been selected through theoretical sampling or cluster techniques. The convergence unit of analysis has been accumulated in a way to conclude with the analytical generalisation;

2. There are subunits (object of studies) which can be identified when the relevant theory underlying the case study. In this case the subunits have been classified into three main categories. First is strategic practice, second is tactical practice and finally the operational practice in the provision of RCHE for both countries. No matter how the units are selected, the resulting design would be called an embedded case study design (Yin, 2003);

3. The entire case studies have been conducted not at an abstract level, which means the cases were having clear measures or data. However, because of the case study design there has been a shift in terms of its methods, hence the new orientation of research design could probably emerge at the end of the process (for example the modification of research techniques);
The unsuspected slippage can be avoided because the subunits have been clearly defined by their different specific methods;

The evidence from multiple cases is often considered more compelling, and the overall study is therefore regarded as being more robust;

Yin (2003) stated multiple cases in this sense should be considered like multiple experiments. Under these circumstances, the mode of generalisation is "analytic generalisation", in which previously developed theory is used as a template with which to compare the empirical result of the case study. If two or more cases are shown to support the same theory, replication may be claimed, in this case, the UK and Malaysia can be replicated to each other. Finally, the empirical results may be considered yet more potent if two or more cases support the same theory but not an equally plausible, rival theory;

Decision to take multiple cases cannot be taken lightly. It requires extensive resources and time beyond the means of a single researcher. Every case should serve a specific purpose within the overall scope of inquiry in each country;

The logic underlying the use of multiple case studies is the same. Each case must be carefully selected so that it either (a.) predicts similar results or (b.) predicts contrasting results but for predictable reasons (a theoretical replication). A major insight is to consider multiple cases as one would consider multiple experiment—that is follow replication logic. Replication logic is analogous to that used in multiple experiments. The first experiment would be about the RCHE in the UK, then it followed by the Malaysia case;

This is far different from a mistaken analogy in the past, which incorrectly considered multiple cases to be similar to the multiple respondents in a survey (or to the multiple subjects within an experiment) that is followed a sampling logic;

Upon uncovering a significant finding from a single experiment, the immediate research goal would be to replicate this finding by conducting a second, third and even additional more experiments. Some of the replications might have attempted to duplicate the exact conditions of the original experiment. Other replications might have altered one or two experimental conditions considered irrelevant to the original finding, to see whether the finding could still be duplicated. Only with such replications would the original finding be considered robust and worthy of continued investigation or interpretation;

If all the cases turn out as predicted, in the aggregate, this would have provided compelling support for the initial set of propositions. If the cases are in some way contradictory, the initial proposition must be revised and retested with another set of cases. Again the logic is similar to the way scientists deal with contradictory experimental findings;

The simplest multiple case design would be the selection of two or more cases that are believed to be literal replications, such as a set of cases with exemplary outcomes in relation to some evaluation theory. Selecting such cases requires prior knowledge of the outcomes, with the multiple case inquiry focusing on how and why the exemplary outcomes might have occurred and hoping for literal (or direct) replications of the conditions from case to case;

The selected design would also be recognised as "two tail" design in which cases from both extremes (of some important theoretical condition, such as good and bad outcomes) have been deliberately chosen;

This case is more complicated because it would likely result from the number and types of theoretical replication the researcher has to cover. In contrast, these multiple case rationales can also be derived from the prior hypothesizing of different types of conditions and the desire to have subgroups of cases covering each type. These and other similar designs are more complicated because the study should still have at least two individual cases within each of the subgroups, so that the theoretical replications across subgroups are complemented by literal replication within each subgroup;
Based on these explanations, Figure 3 below illustrated how the two cases have been experimented to answer the developed research questions. Within its own context, each case owned the same methods of research. This replication and method triangulation will finally help to provide an analytic generalisation about the national FM practices for the provision of RCHE in each country. See Figure 3 and Figure 4. After finishing the analytical process, then the modification of theory about the practices in the provision of RCHE can be retrieved and the process of validating the research conceptual framework and the development of new theory can be developed. This would be the important output of the research which is a few grains of new knowledge can be added to already established theoretical propositions within the scope of research.

*Notes: Credible Research Methods
- = Content Analysis
= Interview
= Visual (Photographic)
= Participant Observation

Figure 3: Case Study Matrix for Design for United Kingdom and Malaysia
7.0 RESEARCH METHODS: THE MULTIPLE SOURCES OF EVIDENCE

All social research involves methods, that is, ways of proceeding, collecting information, tool of data generation and analysis. Methods are obviously different from methodologies. Sarantakos (1997) stated, 'methodology' means the science of methods (method+logy, the latter being a Greek word which can literally be translated to mean the 'science of', in the same way it is used in geology, psychology, sociology, etc) and contains the standards and principles employed to guide the choice, structure, process and used of methods, as directed by the underlying paradigm. Gray (2004) defined method as "the techniques or procedures used to gather and analyse data related to some research questions or hypothesis". With that, in a case study Yin (2003) stated "a good case study will therefore want to use as many sources as possible..". Practically, it can be said that methods are tools of the trade for social scientists and are chosen on the basis of criteria related to or even dictated by the major elements of the methodology in which they are embedded, such as perception of reality, perception of human beings, purpose of research, type of research units and so on (Sarantakos, 1997). In a similar vein, each method requires that one or a number of techniques be mastered in order to apply the method competently. This can be understood as research techniques which means detailed ways, or steps, involved in applying the method (Kellehear, 1993). As mentioned earlier, case study inquiry copes with the technically distinctive situation in which there will be many more variables of interest than data points and as one result relies on multiple sources of evidence, with data needing to converge in triangulation fashion, and as another result benefits from prior development of theoretical propositions to guide data collection and analysis (Yin, 2003). As the evidence from multiple cases is often considered more compelling and vigorous Figure 5 shows the methods applied in this paper. There are content analysis, visual analysis, participant observation and
interviews. In this figure the multiple sources of evidence applied where these methods are trying to gather the data on strategic, tactical and operational practice for the provision of RCHE in both UK and Malaysia. Before the photograph can be shot, participant observation can be started and interviews can be commenced, an Inform Consent has to be agreed and sign by both the researcher and the research participant. The importance of inform consent are to obtain an official permission from the research participants, giving brief explanation on the research aim and objectives, to notify the privacy and confidentiality of the data to be collected, the benefit of the research project, contribution of the research participants to the production of new and potentially illuminating knowledge about the sector in which there are working and finally the section to enable the research participants to sign for their consent to be a participant in the presence of the researcher (Sulaiman et al, 2008a). After it has been signed then these methods were permitted to be used at the research settings. The application of these methods can be explained as follows:
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**Figure 5: Convergence of Case Study Methods**

1. **Content Analysis**

   The sampling is based on non-purposive sampling approach. About 100 newspapers headlines were identified as ‘textual sources’ to be analysed for both the UK and Malaysia from year 2000 until 2007. Of that total, 50 headlines represented Malaysian news on the elderly care practices covering the strategic, tactical and operational issues. On top of that, 50 more were collected covering the same issue in the UK. In Malaysia, the sources were collected from four leading newspapers known as Berita Harian (Malay Language), Utusan Malaysia (Malay Language), The Star (English Language) and The News Straits Times (English Language). However, due to the lack of awareness on the issues and concern on the elderly care practices, in Malaysia the collected newspapers headlines were extremely lower compared to what has been collected from UK newspapers. Since the year 2000 until year 2007 less than 100 headlines have reported issues on the RCHE and elderly care practice in Malaysia. By contrast, the same issues have aggressively been reported within UK newspapers and create popular issues across the country with more a hundred issues have been published. Hence, the quantification concept can not be applied in this sampling strategy. For the UK’s the justification of qualitative sampling can be evidenced by selected only one type of newspaper to represent the whole issues in the UK. One newspaper is able to give more than enough samples on the articles at the same interest. This is mainly because the issues on elderly care practice have been significantly considered as an important issue throughout the country compared to Malaysian publishers. For that reason, the The Guardian has been selected to represent issues in the UK for the strategic, tactical and operational care practices for the elderly. The Guardian has been selected based on the highest rate on audience active rate as suggested by Nielsen/Net Ratings (2007) and the UK’s most popular newspaper website (Online Journalism News, 2007). It was reported that The Guardian remains the most popular national UK newspaper website as it has been for each of the last 12 months - with 2.7 million Unique Visitors, equal to one in every twelve Britons active online (Nielsen/Net Ratings, 2007).
(2) Visual Method

This method is specifically chosen to gather the data on operational care practice at the RCHE. In the first place, time-based sampling was used in which 3 hours were allocated for each care home to engage the participant observation. While conducting the participant observation, the photographs on care practice delivery and the condition of RCHE were being taken at the same time. Altogether, about 18 hours were spent for taking photographs at the 6 RCHE both in UK and Malaysia along with the tour of the building for participant observation. Mainly, the shooting script and the UK's National Minimum Standard for the Care Home for the Elderly were used as a guideline to capture the photos driven by the developed research questions and of course were framed by the theoretical framework. This conceptually-driven sequential sampling is also known as theoretical sampling where it is associated with theory and also known as theory-driven 'up-front' sampling (Miles & Huberman, 1997). It is selective, not representative (Payne and Payne, 2004). Simply, in total, 150 photos were captured in Malaysia and the same quantities of sampling frame were shot in the UK respectively. The total 300 photos have been documented and then reasonably reduced for the categorising process. Then, 50 photographs were selected to represent each residential care home. At the end of the process, only 10 representative and significant photographs were chosen for each care home for the elderly totalling 30 photographs each country. Considering this method as a support method, this sample was sufficient to represent the achievement and analytical generalisation for the method to understand the standard of care homes for the elderly ranging from choice of home, health and personal care, daily life and social activities, complaints and protection, environment, staffing and management and administration visually. Interestingly, there were also certain events which took place during the researcher's visit. So, the senses of event, culture and social value were also recorded during the visit.

(3) Participant Observation

Montaigne a great humanist, over 400 years ago said 'Saying is one thing; doing is another' (in Robson, 2004). With regards to practice, in a way to ensure the social phenomena are being well delivered, hence it should be observed systematically. Fielding et al. (2005) reported that "a research on the effectiveness of practices focuses on what is observable". Then, a participant observation method is employed to obtain the social reality particularly on the operational care practice being delivered at the RCHE in both countries. According to Mack et al. (2005), using the participant observation, researcher can understand the physical, social, cultural and economic contexts in which study participants live; the relationships among and between people, contexts, ideas, norms and events; and people's behaviours and activities-what they do, how frequently, and with whom. In this case, researcher played the role as an "Observer as Participant", which means the researcher activities were made publicly known at the outset/setting (not keep under wraps). Interestingly, the purpose of participant observation is partly to confirm what researcher already know (or think researcher know) but is mostly to discover unanticipated truths during the fieldwork. It is an exercise of "discovery" (Mack et al., 2005). In terms of the number of research setting, King et al. (2004) suggested that studying more than one case or setting almost always strengthen the causal conclusions and make findings more generable. Then, in order to strengthen the results, 6 RCHEs have been selected. Three RCHE in the UK and three more in Malaysia have been selected as the same settings which the photographs were being shot. All together 18 hours were allocated for the whole process of participant observation, which means 3 hours of field notes writing has been spent for each RCHE. At the end of the participant observation fieldwork, 18 field notes had to be transcribed. The main purpose of this method is more than just an onlooker, but rather comes to the scene with a set of target concepts, definitions, and criteria of describing events. The narrative recording technique was used because it was able to record as much as possible what happens within the focus of the observation at the research settings. Though this technique is time consuming to both record and interpret, it has been chosen because of the advantages of being open ended and flexible, and can provide a wealth of information about research settings and operational care practice delivery at the RCHE.

(4) Interview

In order to obtain information from all levels, the interviews were set into three schedules respectively. The three involved (A)-Strategic Level Personnel; (B)-Tactical Level Personnel and (C)-Operational Level Personnel. Four phases have been structured in each interview schedule including (1) The warm-up session, (2) Exploration of
the discussion point, (3) Core discussion and, finally, (4) Summarisation and recommendation. The strategic personnel were asked the questions as in the Set A, the tactical practitioners were asked the questions as in the Set B and finally Set C was specifically prepared for the operational practitioners which contained the questions for the care homes managers. These semi-structured and open ended interview schedules were aimed to attain the information base on the three levels of FM function delivered in the provision of RCHE in each country. Set A tried to obtain the qualitative data on higher order activities involved in the alignment of FM with the service provider goals particularly the strategic, long-term and national policies of the public provider, as the service provider of the RCHE. The respondents were the experts and high ranked senior officers involved in strategic planning for the provision of RCHE in the UK as well as in Malaysia. Set B was essentially trying to identify how the providers monitor, assess and manage the performance of the RCHE. This set particularly asked about the tactical skills and the way of improving quality, and reducing cost of the particular phenomenon of the operational practice delivered at the RCHE. The respondents were people from the council/local authorities, health department and welfare officers. Set C of the interview schedule was predominantly trying to obtain data regarding the routine activities which were often viewed as custodial. In order to obtain the data, 6 RCHE managers/matrons were asked about how they perform their responsibilities as a manager and deal with day to day operation at the RCHE such as the identification of how the elderly/service users make the choice of care home; health and personal care of the service users; daily life and social activities arrangement, complaints procedure and protection of the service users; environment and surrounding area; staffing; and management and administration at the RCHE. Of all the set of interview schedules, this set was the most important to be considered as a fundamental source of obtaining data for this research because it was directly involved with the service users at the RCHE. The ultimate stage of care practices and services were delivered at this stage.

8.0 SUMMARY

In the perspective of social policy, Malaysia has just recently reacted to the changing needs in society regarding the issues of the elderly. Comparatively, a developed country like the UK has been dealing with the residential matters for their people with vulnerabilities like the elderly since a hundred years ago. Though the definition of vulnerable people is wide however, terms like 'vulnerable' and 'at risk' are often used to describe the position of elderly people. They are the ones who are going to spend their live forever at the RCHE and certainly they require extra housing or related support from the government and other agencies. Interestingly, the British debates and experiments in housing and social studies were often followed with great interest by housing and social reformers in other countries. Simultaneously, while the other ASEAN countries are also having the same issues of RCHE provision, this research is trying to identify and create innovation, change and a turnaround strategy for the elderly and the provision of RCHE in Malaysia. Symbolically, like an organisation, efforts on searching for the best practices could help in gaining superiority and demonstrating visible dedication to the renewal of organisational knowledge and willingness to improve the current weaknesses. Malaysia must be willing to understand, anticipate, and be responsive to the achievements of others countries and try to identify why, how, when to adopt and adapt to change. The benefits of this learning action are it can help in reducing the competitive advantage, in terms of dollars saved, customer satisfaction, public favourability, development and application of new technologies, reducing cycle time in day to day operation and practice and overcoming political and geographical barriers. As FM is concerned the practice of co-ordinating any physical workplace with the people and work of the organisation, and seeking to integrate all aspects of organisation administration, built and human environment, correspond to the changing environment, science and technology. Hence FM can react as a tool of identification of best practice based on the FM function strategically, tactically and operationally in the structure of RCHE nationally. Certainly, research is one of the important medium to change and improve what people do not know. Through research, older people and the RCHE providers can express and continuously develop their knowledge, practice and views on housing and residential issues of the elderly; especially in research relating to their needs and aspirations to live. As research reflects the words "re" and "search", it means research works will never be completed as human knowledge is incomplete. As society understands that knowledge is power, therefore there is a need continually to break the frontiers knowledge through the research process especially when looking of what has been done in the UK in the research on care practice for the elderly. For this reason, as an umbrella term for a family of research methods, the selection of the case study methodology is believed to be an invaluable aid for understanding the actual practices and potential transferable best practices within RCHE in the UK and Malaysia. The triangulation
approach using multiple sources of evidence has been designed suitably, consisting of content analysis, visual methods, participant observation and interviews, as means to respond to the developed research questions.
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