THE INFLUENCE OF PARTICLE SIZE TOWARDS RESISTVITY AND CHARGEABILITY VALUE FOR GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION INTERPRETATION

AHMAD KHAIRUL BIN ABD MALIK

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the Degree of Master of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Built Environmental Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

AUGUST 2021

I dedicate this effort towards my beloved parents, Abd Malik Bin Yusof & Aminah Binti Abd Mohid. my wife, Najwanisa Binti Tusin. and my siblings, Azmin, Nisa, Khairunee & Khairiah. Thank you for the unrelenting patience and supports.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all praise to the great Allah Almighty, for giving me the strength to face all the obstacles and challenges to complete this research successfully.

I would like to thank the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for supporting this research under Fundamental Research Grant Scheme Vot No. FRGS/1/2018/STG09/UTHM/02/1 and partially sponsored by Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of a great numbers of people. To the greatest extent, I would like to express my profound gratitude to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Aziman Bin Madun for his inspiration and guidance throughout my Master's research journey. I gained a lot of insight throughout my research tenure from his work ethics, critical thinking and genuine curiosity towards knowledge. I am grateful to him for paving the path for my postgraduate career and this experience has been an eye-opener. I would also like to thank Dr. Mohd Firdaus Bin Md Dan and Dr. Ariffuddin Bin Joret for their guidance and feedback throughout the course of my research. From the bottom of my heart, I would also like to thank Preston Geocem Sdn. Bhd. and their research team for their generosity in lending the equipment and aid when needed to complete this project. Special thanks to Engineering Geology & Geophysics and Geotechnical Engineering Laboratory assistant engineer Mrs Nurul Farahani and Mrs Siti Fadzilah who was directly and indirectly involved during the experimental phase of this research.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family, research fellow and my housemate Imran Rahimi and Fikri Omar for their never-ending support and understanding throughout my Master's research journey. Special mention to Sufian Kamaruddin, a fellow researcher and a good friend for helping out in completing the tedious process of binding and logistic in my absence at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.



ABSTRACT

Electrical resistivity tomography is a non-destructive method of groundwater surveying where the current is being injected into the ground and the value of the subsurface resistivity and chargeability were determined. One of the major problems in groundwater surveying is the interpretation of the groundwater aquifer under saturated condition. The overlapping resistivity and chargeability value under a saturated condition is a major concern in groundwater aquifer interpretation. In this study, the effects of particle size and the interrelationship between the different particle sizes were assessed towards the resistivity and chargeability value. There were 17 samples used in this study ranges from gravel, sand, silt and clay sizes. The materials used to conduct the testing were granitic rock and river sand. Quartz and kaolinite dominated samples were used for the silt and clay sample. The device used for the experiment is Terrameter LS 2 to conduct the resistivity and induced polarization tests. The electrical testing follow the ASTM G57 and ASTM G187/AASHTO T-288 standards for the soil box with volume of 270 cm³ and soil cylinder with volume of 2714 cm³ respectively. The resistivity value for the gravel ranges from 177 Ω m at its highest to 128 Ω m at its lowest. The resistivity value for the sand ranges from 121 Ω m at its highest to 86 Ω m at its lowest. The resistivity value of the two silt and clay samples were 37 Ω m and 56 Ω m at the point of liquid limit. The chargeability value for the gravel ranges from 5.6 ms to 7.9 ms with decreasing particle size. The highest chargeability value for sand is 12.3 ms and the lowest is 2.0 ms. The silt and clay samples chargeability were 1.7 ms and 1.2 ms, respectively. The resistivity and chargeability for water used in this study were 101.7 Ω m and 0.41 ms. This study helps in clarifying the effect of particle size for resistivity and chargeability value as the larger particle size increases the resistivity value and decreases the chargeability value of water due to porosity and improve the understanding of the electrical resistivity tomography for groundwater investigation interpretation.



ABSTRAK

Pengimejan rintangan elektrik (ERT) adalah kaedah penyiasatan air bawah tanah yang tidak merosakkan kondisi tanah di mana arus eletrik disuntik ke dalam tanah dan nilai ketahanan dan daya pengecasan kandungan bawah tanah ditentukan. Salah satu masalah utama dalam peninjauan air bawah tanah adalah tafsiran akuifer air bawah tanah yang mempunyai paras air yang tinggi. Nilai-nilai rintangan eletrik dan daya pengecasan bawah tanah yang bertindih membuat penafsiran akuifer bawah tanah menjadi rumit. Dalam kajian ini, kesan saiz zarah dan hubungan diantara kesemua partikel berhubung rintangan dan penyahcasan eletrik dikaji. 17 sampel ujian digunakan di dalam kajian ini yang terdiri daripada kerikil, pasir, kelodak, dan tanah liat. Bahan yang digunakan untuk melakukan pengujian adalah dalam bentuk batu granit dan pasir sungai. Sampel dominan kuarza dan kaolinit digunakan untuk sampel kelodak dan tanah liat. Peranti yang digunakan untuk ujian eletrik ini adalah "Terrameter LS 2". Ujian eletrik yang dijalankan adalah mengikuti standard ASTM G57 untuk "soil box" yang berukuran 270 cm³ dan ASTM G187/AASHTO T-288 untuk "soil cylinder" yang berukuran 2714 cm³. Nilai ketahanan eletrik bagi kerikil adalah di antara 177 Ω m pada nilai yang tertinggi dan 128 Ω m pada nilai yang terendah. Nilai ketahanan bagi pasir adalah di antara 121 Ω m pada nilai tertinggi dan 86 Ω m pada nilai terendah. Nilai ketahanan bagi dua sampel kelodak dan tanah liat ialah 37 Ωm dan 56 Ωm pada titik had cecair. Nilai pengecas untuk kerikil adalah di antara 5.6 ms hingga 7.9 ms. Nilai pengecasan tertinggi untuk pasir ialah 12.3 ms dan terendah ialah 2.0 ms. Muatan sampel kelodak dan tanah liat adalah 1.7 ms dan 1.2 ms. Nilai kerintangan dan polarisasi induksi untuk air yang digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah 101.7 Ωm dan 0.41 ms. Kajian ini membantu menjelaskan kesan ukuran partikel bagi nilai-nilai rintangan dan penyahcasan eletrik di mana partikel yang besar menyebabkan nilai rintangan meningkat dan mengurangkan nilai penyahcasan kandungan air disebabkan oleh faktor keliangan dan menambah baik kualiti pentaksiran air bawah tanah bagi pengimejan rintangan eletrik.



CONTENTS

	TITL	i	
	DECI	ii	
	DEDI	iii	
	ACK	vi	
	ABST	v	
	ABST	vi	
	CONTENTS		
	LIST	OF TABLES	X
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS / ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1	INTR	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Research background	1
	1.2	Problem statement	3
	1.3	Aim and objectives of the study	4
	1.4	Scope of research	5
	1.5	Significant of research	6
CHAPTER 2	2 LITE	RATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1	Introduction	8
	2.2	Groundwater in the rock formation	8
		2.2.1 Groundwater origin	9
		2.2.2 Geological formation and aquifers	10
	2.3	Groundwater exploration technique	11
		2.3.1 Geologic and hydrologic methods	11
		2.3.2 Surface methods	13

		2.3.3 Subsurface methods	15
	2.4	Electrical geophysical techniques	16
		2.4.1 Electrical resistivity method	18
		2.4.2 Induced polarization	19
		2.4.4 Electrical resistivity tomography	21
	2.5	Effect of particle size in water aquifer	23
	2.6	Different type of rocks	26
	2.7	Rock-forming minerals	27
	2.8	Groundwater resistivity interpretation	28
		2.8.1 Electrical resistivity of minerals and rocks	29
		2.8.2 Archie's theory	30
	2.9	Summary	36
CHAPTE	R 3 MAT	ERIALS AND METHODS	38
	3.1	Introduction	38
	3.2	Sample preparation	40
		3.2.1 Single size sample	40
		3.2.2 Variable size sample	41
		3.2.3 Sample preparation	44
	3.3	Electrical resistivity equipment	47
	3.4	Electrical resistivity tests	51
		3.4.1 Resistivity test	51
		3.4.2 Induced polarization test	52
	3.5	Minerals and physical properties determination	53
		3.5.1 Particle size analysis	53
		3.5.2 Minerals identification	58
		3.5.3 Liquid limit	61
		3.5.4 Plastic limit	62
		3.5.5 Specific gravity	63
	3.6	Repeatability test	63
	3.7	Data analysis	64
	3.8	Summary	65
CHAPTE	R 4 RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSIONS	67
	4.1	Introduction	67
	4.2	Resistivity and chargeability of different particles size	67
		4.2.1 Gravel	68
		4.2.2 Sand	70

		4.2.3	Silty clay	72
		4.2.4	Clayey silt	74
2	4.3	Resistiv	vity and chargeability value of different type of soil	76
4.4		Discuss	ion on different particle size effects	78
2	4.5	Summa	ry	83
CHAPTER 5	CONC	LUSIO	NS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	86
4	5.1	Introdu	ction	86
	5.2	Conclu	sion	86
4	5.3	Recom	mendations for future research	89
REFERENCES		90		
APPENDICES			99	

LIST OF TABLES

Х

Table 1.1	Resistivity value for the same type of soil from multiple study	3	
Table 2.1	Summary of 12 commonly used geophysical surveying methods	16	
Table 2.2	General grain size distribution	23	
Table 2.4	Resistivity of sand controlled condition	34	
Table 2.5	Resistivity and Chargeability values	106	
Table 2.6	Common resistivity for rocks, soils and minerals	106	
Table 3.1	Particle size range	41	
Table 3.2	Variable particle size according to USCS	42	
Table 3.3	Moisture increment added into silt and clay sample	45	
Table 3.4	Bulk and dry density of the sand sample	46	
Table 3.5	Gravel size range	55	
Table 3.6	The D ₅₀ for different type of soil	55	
Table 3.7	Repeatability results under soaked conditions	64	
Table 4.1	Parameters affecting the resistivity and chargeability	82	
Table 4.2	The resistivity and chargeability value for different type of soils	110	
Table 4.3	Gravel's resistivity under soaked condition	110	
Table 4.4	Chargeability value of gravel passing 50 mm sieve size	110	
Table 4.5	Resistivity of silty clay's sample	111	
Table 4.6	Chargeability of silty clay sample	112	
Table 4.7	Resistivity of clayey silt's sample	112	
Table 4.8	Chargeability of clayey silt sample	113	
Table 4.9	Overall resistivity value of particle size under soaked condition	113	
Table 4.10	Resistivity of sand with different moisture	114	
Table 4.11	Chargeability value of sand	115	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Schematic representation of the hydrological cycle	9
Figure 2.2	Schematic representation of Groundwater aquifer	11
Figure 2.3	Geologic and hydrologic methods	13
Figure 2.4	Surface geophysical methods	14
Figure 2.5	Drilling methods for groundwater exploration	15
Figure 2.6	Voltage decay rate	21
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagram of electrical array	22
Figure 2.8	2D Electrical resistivity results of the subsurface	22
Figure 2.9	2D Chargeability results from induced polarization tests	22
Figure 2.10	Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of gravel	25
Figure 2.11	Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of sand	25
Figure 2.12	Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of silt	25
Figure 2.13	Scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrograph of clay	26
Figure 2.14	Relationship water content and resistivity for different soil	33
Figure 2.15	Relationship between water saturation and chargeability	33
Figure 2.16	Effect of aggregate size and content on electrical resistivity	35
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of methodology	39
Figure 3.2	Well graded gravel for the combined sample	43
Figure 3.3	Combined soil of poorly graded gravels sands/silts sample	43
Figure 3.4	Combined soil for poorly graded sand silt/clay mixture	44
Figure 3.5	Sand being exposed to water before being transferred into soil box	47
Figure 3.6	Silt and clay samples with added moisture	47
Figure 3.7	Abem Terrameter LS 2	48
Figure 3.8	Soil cylinder	48
Figure 3.9	The current flow of soil cylinder	49



Figure 3.10 Soil box	50
Figure 3.11 The current flow of soil box	50
Figure 3.12 Voltage decay rate	53
Figure 3.13 Sieve analysis test apparatus	56
Figure 3.14 Hydrometer analysis	57
Figure 3.15 Particle distribution of silty clay and clayey silt sample	57
Figure 3.16 Quartz found on gravel and sand sample	58
Figure 3.17 Feldspar observed from the granite and sand sample	58
Figure 3.18 Biotite mineral observed from the gravel sample	59
Figure 3.19 Granite and sand	59
Figure 3.20 XRD result for silty clay sample	60
Figure 3.21 XRD result for clayey silt sample	61
Figure 3.22 Cone penetration method	62
Figure 3.23 Samples being rolled to 3 mm diameter thread	62
Figure 3.24 Specific gravity test by using the pycnometer	63
Figure 4.1 Gravel's resistivity against grain sizes under soaked condition	69
Figure 4.2 Gravel's chargeability against particle size	70
Figure 4.3 Resistivity of sand particle size under soaked condition	71
Figure 4.4 Chargeability of sand against particle size under soaked condition	72
Figure 4.5 Resistivity of silty clay's sample against moisture content	73
Figure 4.6 Chargeability of silty clay sample against moisture content	74
Figure 4.7 Resistivity value of clayey silt sample against moisture content	75
Figure 4.8 Chargeability of clayey silt sample against moisture content	76
Figure 4.9 Resistivity of combined sample	77
Figure 4.10 Chargeability of combined sample	78
Figure 4.11 Overall resistivity and chargeability value of particle size	78
Figure 4.12 Moisture content effects towards resistivity	80
Figure 4.13 Water saturation influence towards resistivity	81
Figure 4.14 Particle size effects towards volume ratio	83
Figure 4.15 Particle size effects towards porosity	83



LIST OF SYMBOLS / ABBREVIATIONS

- AC Alternating current _
- DC Direct current -
- ERT Electrical resistivity tomography -
- Electrical resistivity value ERV -
- GIS Geographic information system _
- IP Induced polarization _
- USCS -



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background

Groundwater exists in the inter-particle pore spaces and the fractured rocks (Mézquita González *et al.*, 2021). This unseen water resources can be utilized for the water security for the nation that protecting people against drought or the unavailability of conventionally treated water. The usage of groundwater for domestic usage is minimal in some part of Malaysia mostly because of the unsuitable lithology on site and the over reliant of surface water sources from most people apart from industrial area, schools, mosque and rural area (Mridha *et al.*, 2020). There are four types of geological groundwater formations (aquifers, aquitard, aquiclude, and aquifuge) and the difference in between the formations are their ability to transmit water (Ma *et al.*, 2020). Determining the right type of groundwater formation for extraction purposes is really important and the right methods for subsurface identification needs to be employed to minimize resources.

There are multiple methods for groundwater exploration which has been used to find the location of potential groundwater. Groundwater exploration can be separated into three methods, namely geologic and hydrologic methods, surface methods and subsurface methods. Geophysical methods can be used in the surface and subsurface methods for groundwater investigations. Over the years, with the introduction of several methods and techniques, the technology of geophysics has been rapidly improved. In geological and geotechnical investigation settings, a large area is usually investigated and geophysical method will provide useful tools in



helping the survey of the earth without expending too many resources. One of the reliable methods of surveying the groundwater is by using the electrical resistivity tomography (ERT). Electrical resistivity tomography is one of the reliable methods of exploring and visualizing the tomography of the subsurface in search of groundwater without having to disturb the condition of the ground subsurface (Mainoo *et al.*, 2019). ERT is a non-destructive method of groundwater surveying where the electrical current is being injected into the ground and the value of the subsurface resistivity and chargeability are determined by applying the geophysical electrical technique survey, i.e., resistivity and induced polarization technique.

The resistivity and the chargeability value are influenced by the lithology and the water content of the sub-surface area which are being monitored (Aladejana *et al.*, 2020). A difference in resistivity value in the absence of moisture is really obvious, and this makes it easier to determine the position of water in the subsoil when there are rock fractures, as the difference in between the dry and wet condition of soil can easily be differentiated by using the electrical resistivity methods (Zhou & Che, 2020). In saturated subsurface formation however, the interpretation data for potential groundwater aquifer is challenging and at times requires both the resistivity and chargeability value (Ahmad *et al.*, 2020). This is because the differences in between the dry and wet condition of the soil are diminished because of the high groundwater table making the soil to be fully saturated. However, interpretation tasks to decide the aquifer layer is unable to directly refer to the earth material-resistivitycharge ability chart due to the overlapping values. Past study shows, there are overlapping resistivity values between the geomaterials in an inhomogeneous subsurface for the same type of soil as shown in **Table 1.1**.

The subsurface may consists of different type of rocks which may be classified as igneous, sedimentary or metamorphic. Depending on their origin, each type of rocks differs from one another in term of their relative reaction towards the resistivity and chargeability. The difference reaction towards the electrical resistivity and chargeability is due to the different type of minerals within the rock which has different electrical properties. Archie (1942) propose a theory that the measured resistivity is affected by the water resistivity and the geometric factor. The theory is later called Archie's law. Archie's law states there are few factors that may effects the measured resistivity of an aquifer such as porosity, water saturation and water resistivity.



This study helps improve the interpretation for groundwater which enhanced the understanding of the interpretation of the subsurface exploration in the relation of groundwater surveying. With an improved groundwater survey quality, the usage of treated water can be reduced and the untapped groundwater resource can be utilized and provided to the area where the treated water may not be supplied.

Type of soil	Resistivity value (Ohm-m, Ωm)	Author	
Sandy clay	296 – 1117		
Clayey sand layer (confining bed)	260 - 5140	Oyeyemi et al (2021)	
Sand (main aquifer)	115 – 530		MINAH
Sandy layer	205 - 891	Bayewu	
Sandy clay	122 - 154	<i>et al</i> (2018)	
Clayey layer	34 - 98		
Clayey sand layer	19 - 175		
sand and clay lenses	20 - 84		
Clay layer	<20	Othman	
Sand layer	28 - 70	<i>et al</i> (2018)	
Clay and clayey sand deposits	< 20		
Gravelly sand	58 - 2029		
Sand and gravel	188 - 518		
Sand (Aquifer)	17 -111	Mohamaden et al (2016)	

Table 1.1: Resistivity value for the same type of soil from multiple study

1.2 Problem statement

In a quaternary geological formation, it is challenging to identify the sandy body for the groundwater aquifer as the water table is high. The ground is in saturated condition, resulting in the resistivity value of the subsurface to be very low for any



material i.e., sand or clay (Gnanachandrasamy et al., 2020). In the case of very low resistivity value, the chargeability parameter could be utilized to recognize the change of soil parameters. Past research shows the resistivity of groundwater aquifer has wide range of resistivity value and overlapping resistivity for different type of materials under saturated condition. The overlapping resistivity value makes it difficult to give precise identification and interpretation of the groundwater aquifer within the subsurface. The overlapping value makes it hard for the prediction of the location of the potential groundwater aquifer especially in a saturated condition. In the subsoil, the parameters that differentiate the type of soil are the different ratio of particle sizes and different type of soil provides different resistivity and chargeability value. Thus, it is important to understand the influence of the particle size towards the resistivity and chargeability values as the soil profile are determined by the different ratio of particle sizes. It is expected that this study improves the understanding of resistivity and chargeability value and improve the earth materialresistivity-chargeability chart. This study may help in the interpretation of the actual groundwater aquifer when dealing with saturated earth materials especially in the quaternary formation by understanding the effect of particle size towards the electrical resistivity testing. Besides, there is yet study to revise the earth materials resistivity and chargeability based on the variable of moisture content and grain sizes in controlled condition. With the added controlled condition towards the resistivity and induced polarization testing, the outcome of the experiments can further enhance the resistivity and chargeability interpretation of the electrical resistivity testing. Furthermore, with the availability of the controlled study parameters such as water saturation, porosity and water resistivity better understanding on the effect of particle size towards the resistivity and chargeability value under saturated condition can be established.

1.3 Aim and objectives of the study

The purpose of this research is to analyse the effect of different particle sizes towards the chargeability and resistivity value under fully soaked condition for the purpose of interprating the groundwater electrical exploration. To achieve this aim, this research intends to fulfil the following objectives:

- i. To establish the effect of grain sizes on the resistivity value under fully soaked condition
- ii. To demonstrate grain size effect on the chargeability value under fully soaked condition
- iii. To determine the resistivity and chargeability of different type of soil under fully soaked condition

1.4 Scope of research

Multiple site investigation studies have been conducted concerning the chargeability and resistivity of the subsurface. However, for this particular study, the testing is performed in a lab-scale environment in Engineering Geology and geophysics laboratory for the electrical testing and Geotechnical engineering laboratory for the geotechnical parameter testing such as particle size distribution and Atterberg limit tests. Two-terminal and four-terminal resistance meter were used to obtain the value for resistivity and chargeability and the electrical testing parameters is by using Abem Terrameter LS 2. The electrical testing follows the ASTM G57 soil box with a volume of 270 cm³ and ASTM G187/AASHTO T-288 standards for the soil cylinder with a volume of 2714 cm³. There are minimum total of 3 stackings for each testing, the total amount of testings are then being averaged into one value, and the value are then representing the resistivity or chargeability value of the samples.



The materials tested are in the form of multiple sized particles ranging from gravel, sand, silt and clay. The total numbers of sample for gravel are 7, the sand has a total of 8 samples and the total sample for silt and clay are 2. In total the amount of sample tested are 17 samples. First, a gravel-sized particle is tested from granitic rocks which was collected from Batu Pahat Lian Huat Granite Quarry Sdn Bhd located at Jalan Minyak Beku, Batu 2 3/4, Johor. Secondly, a much smaller particle is tested in the form of sand which were collected from a river at Sungai Bantang Bekok located in Segamat, Johor to avoid the effect of salinity. Lastly, the silt and clay samples were collected from Kaolin (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. which is based in Tapah, Perak for sample ranging from 63 µm and below.

Aside from the aforementioned particles, the amount of moisture content is varied until the samples are in a fully soaked condition. Unified Soil Classification System clarifies that the type of soils are determined by the ratio of the different particle sizes within the samples. Hence, the different individual particle size sample are later combined to form a different type of soil according to the Unified Soil Classification System to further understand the effect of different grain sizes in determining the resistivity and chargeability value of the soil sample. The type of soils prepared in this study are well graded gravel, poorly graded gravel, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt/clay mixture, well graded sands gravelly sands, poorly graded sands gravely sands, poorly graded sand-silt/clay mixture, inorganic silts and silty clays.

The results obtained from the testing may not be directly used as a reflection of the resistivity value at site condition as the resistivity value and chargeability value of the subsurface is also attributed by the condition of the groundwater itself, the low resistivity and chargeability value of the groundwater itself might diminish the effect of the particle size. In term of the chargeability testing, different experiments may give different outcome based on the electrical parameters used during the testing. Few parameters that may alter the outcome of the results are the voltage usage, observation time and the amount of windows selected for the particular testing. Hence, using the results obtained from this study as a direct interpretation of the site subsurface is unadvisable.

1.5 Significant of research

This study is important to improve the resistivity and chargeability chart of different type of multiple particle sizes. This chargeability and resistivity value can help the sub-surface groundwater surveys by using the electrical methods during the interpretation stages of the survey The contributions of this research are as follow;

- i. This research fills the gap in knowledge and adds values to the chargeability and resistivity chart for minerals and rocks and different type of soil.
- ii. With the availability of the improved resistivity and chargeability chart, the interpretation of groundwater can add confidence in subsurface exploration in interpreting and predicting the availability of groundwater.
- Further focus and efforts by multiple organization may be directed towards the groundwater exploration as an alternate water supply with the availability of better subsurface electrical data.

iv. The quality of national study in the subsurface area will be enhanced, especially in the field of electrical resistivity.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the information of the resistivity and chargeability of minerals and rocks are provided. The discussion on the behaviour of a different type of minerals and grain sizes are imperatively reviewed. This chapter arranges a general review of resistivity value of the different type of rocks highlighting the important influence that affects the resistivity of different type of rocks, grain sizes and minerals. The testing and application of the minerals, rocks, and grain sizes were explained further in chapter 3.



2.2 Groundwater in the rock formation

Groundwater is water that exists in the pore spaces and fractures in rock and sediment beneath the Earth's surface. It originated from various sources coming from rainfall or snow and then moves through the soil into the groundwater system, where it eventually makes it way back up again into the surface streams, lakes or oceans. Natural groundwaters vary widely in composition. However, the common constituent in all such solution is sodium chloride, along with other salts and oxides. Relatively low concentration of dissolved salt is found in surficial waters, while connate waters may be very saline. The resistivity of natural water is determined mainly by the salinity (González-Quirós & Comte, 2020).

2.2.1 Groundwater origin

Groundwater originates from rainfall and infiltration within the hydrological cycle (Gamboa *et al.*, 2019). Groundwater encountered at great depth in sedimentary rocks as the result of water being trapped in marine sediments at the time of the deposition. This type of groundwater is called connate water (Thibodeau *et al.*, 2003). These water are normal saline. Understandably, the water is derived from entrapped seawater as the original seawater has moved from its original place.

The hydrological cycle is the fundamental principle of groundwater hydrology. One of the major forces that cause the cycle comes from the radiant energy of coming from the sun (Dayon *et al.*, 2018). Water evaporates and travels into the air and becomes part of the cloud. It falls to the earth as precipitation. Then, it evaporates again. This occurs repeatedly in a never-ending cycle which is called the hydrological cycle. This cycle is illustrated in **Figure 2.1**.

Precipitation creates runoff that travels over the ground surface and helps to fill lakes and rivers. It also percolates or moves downward through openings in the soil or rocks to replenish the aquifers under the ground (El-Kaliouby & Abdalla, 2015). Some area receives more precipitation than other places and this is due to the location which is close to the ocean or large bodies of water that allows water to evaporates and form clouds. Some area may receive less precipitation than others, mostly because of the distance from the ocean and near a mountain.



Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of the hydrological cycle (Perlman & Evans, 2019)

2.2.2 Geological formation and aquifers

There are four types of geological formations (aquifers, aquitard, aquiclude, and aquifuge). An aquifer is a groundwater reservoir composed of geologic units that are saturated with water and sufficiently permeable to yield water in a usable quantity to wells and springs. Sand and gravel deposits, sandstones, limestones, and fractured, crystalline rocks are examples of geological units that form aquifers. Aquifers provide two important functions to transmit groundwater from areas of recharge to areas of discharge and they provide a storage medium for usable quantities of groundwater (Dragon, 2021). The amount of water a material can hold and transfer depends upon its porosity (Worthington *et al.*, 2019). The size and degree of interconnection of those openings determine the materials ability to transmit fluid as shown in **Figure 2.2**.

Aquitard is a partly permeable geologic formation. It transfers water at such a slow rate that the yield is insufficient. Pumping by wells is not possible. For example, sand lenses in a clay formation will form an aquitard. For aquiclude, the composition is of rock or sediment that acts as a barrier to groundwater flow. Aquicludes are made up of low porosity and low permeability rock/sediment such as shale or clay. Aquicludes have normally good storage capacity but low transmitting capacity. Lastly, an Aquifuge us a geologic formation which doesn't have interconnected pores. It is neither porous nor permeable. Thus it is neither store water nor transmit it. Examples of aquifuge are rocks like basalt and granite (Ma *et al.*, 2020).

For groundwater research, it is important to identify the difference between this different type of geological formation through a thorough examination of the lithological formation of the survey area. This is important because, although most of the ground subsurface might show a potential of groundwater presence, not all of the available water might be able to be extracted. This is because the water that can be extracted are mostly the ones that are located within a sand lens or large sand structure within the subsurface (Abd Malik *et al.*, 2019). The Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) classified a plethora of soil types which consists of a combination of not only sand but also gravel, silt and clay. The different soil type caused by the combination of grain sizes demands a much better understanding of the



effects of grain size towards the resistivity and chargeability value. One of the other major factors is the rate of recharge of the groundwater (Viaroli *et al.*, 2019), which affects the quantity of the available groundwater. All of this factor is mainly derived from the type of lithology of the area. The importance of knowing the type of the lithological area and type of soil for the potential groundwater is very important for locating the extraction point of the groundwater.



Figure 2.2: Schematic representation of Groundwater aquifer (Gunther, 2011)

2.3 Groundwater exploration technique

There are multiple methods for groundwater exploration which has been used to find the location of potential groundwater. Groundwater exploration can be separated into three methods, namely geologic and hydrologic methods, surface methods and subsurface methods.

2.3.1 Geologic and hydrologic methods

Preliminary conclusions on the occurrence of groundwater can often be made with the aid of aerial photographs, regional geological maps, and geological field reconnaissance. The use of the aerial photograph to obtain geologic information is commonly called photogeology. The main objective of photogeology is to contribute to geologic mapping, some of the examples of the geologic mapping is plotting the distribution of rock types and structures. Interpretation of aerial photograph permits inferences as to the composition of rock types but does not permit the identification of mineral types of estimates of absolute ages of rocks. Rock types with distinctive water-yielding properties can be identified through petrographic studies. The position, thickness and continuity of aquifers, aquitards and aquiclude can be determined with stratigraphic techniques. Over the years the application of Geographic Information System (GIS) has been used intensively concerning the groundwater potential zone (Srinivasa Rao & Jugran, 2003). However, relying only on the topographical information only gives us a rough estimate of where the position of the groundwater may be (Saint et al., 2020). Other methods need to be applied to help provide much better information concerning the position of the groundwater aquifer. Figure 2.3 shows the application of the topographical information being used to determine the position of the groundwater, the potential groundwater zones are predicted from the multiple information of the surface where the geological formation of the site are studied to predict where the possible type of rocks that might holds groundwater as in Figure 2.3 (b), in Figure 2.3 (c) the slope condition of the area might indicates where the direction of the water migh flows With all the information given are known, the possible zone for groundwater can be estimated as shown in Figure 2.3 (a) and this information increases the confidence for groundwater extraction processes.





(a)

REFERENCES

- Abd Malik, A. K., Madun, A., Md Dan, M. F., Abu Talib, M. K., Pakir, F., Ahmad Tajudin, S. A., Zahari, M. N. H., & Mat Radzi, M. E. Z. (2019). Comparison between multiple gradient and pole dipole array protocols for groundwater exploration in quaternary formation. *Civil Engineering and Architecture*, 7(6), pp. 77–85.
- Abidin M H Z, Ahmad F., Wijeyesekera D C & Saad R. (2017). The Influence of Basic Physical Properties of Soil on its Electrical Resistivity Value under Loose and Dense Condition. J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 495, pp. 12 -14
- Abidin M H Z., Saad, R., Chitral, W. D., Fauziah, A., Ahmad Tajudin, S.A., Madun, A., & Ismail, B. (2015). Soil identification using field electrical resistivity method. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 622(1).
- Abidin,M H Z, Madun, A., Ahmad Tajudin, S.A., Chitral, W. D., Fauziah, A., & Saad, R. (2015). The behaviour of laboratory soil electrical resistivity value under basic soil properties influences. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 23(1).
- Abuel-naga, H. M., Leong, E., Lu, Y., & Al Abadi, H. (2018). Applied Clay Science Experimental-artificial intelligence approach for characterizing electrical resistivity of partially saturated clay liners. *156*(*August 2017*), pp. 1–10.
- Ahmad, M. H., Sheng, C. C., Chuang, T. C., Sharu, E. H., Isa, M. F. M., Khadzir, M. K., Mohd Ghazali, M. S. S., & Mhd Bookeri, M. A. (2020). Electrical resistivity tomography and induced polarization method applied for tubewell development in Alluvial deposit: A case study in MARDI Seberang Perai. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 476(1).
- Aizebeokhai, A. P., & Oyeyemi, K. D. (2014). The use of the multiple-gradient array for geoelectrical resistivity and induced polarization imaging. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 111, pp. 364–376.
- Alabi, A. A., Ogungbe, A. S., Adebo, B., & Lamina, O. (2010). Induced polarization interpretation for subsurface characterisation: A case study of Obadore, Lagos State. *Physics Research*, 1(3), pp. 34–43.

- Aladejana, J. A., Kalin, R. M., Sentenac, P., & Hassan, I. (2020). Hydrostratigraphic characterisation of shallow coastal aquifers of eastern dahomey basin, S/W nigeria, using integrated hydrogeophysical approach; implication for saltwater intrusion. *Geosciences (Switzerland)*, 10(2).
- Araffa, S. A. S., El-bohoty, M., Abou Heleika, M., Mekkawi, M., Ismail, E., Khalil, A., & Abd EL-Razek, E. M. (2018). Implementation of magnetic and gravity methods to delineate the subsurface structural features of the basement complex in central Sinai area, Egypt. NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics, 7(1), pp. 162–174.
- Archie, G.E., (1942). The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some reservoir characteristics. *Trans. Am. Inst. Min. Metall. Eng.* 146, pp. 54–61.
- Arifin, H., Kayode, J., Arifin, K., Zahir, Z., Abdullah, M., & Azmi, A. (2020). Data for the subsurface characterization of Pahang River Basin with the application of Transient Electromagnetic geophysical surveys. *Data in Brief*, pp. 0–11.
- Arulbalaji, P., Padmalal, D., & Sreelash, K. (2019). GIS and AHP Techniques Based
 Delineation of Groundwater Potential Zones: a case study from Southern Western Ghats,
 India. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), pp. 1–17.
- Asry, Z., Samsudin, A. R., Yaacob, W. Z., & Yaakub, J. (2012). Groundwater investigation using electrical resistivity imaging technique at Sg. Udang, Melaka, Malaysia. *Bulletin* of the Geological Society of Malaysia, 58, pp. 55–58.
- Asquith, G. and Krygowski, D. (2004) Basic Well Log Analysis 2nd Edition. AAPG. Tulsa, USA.
- Bayewu, O. O., Oloruntola, M. O., Mosuro, G. O., Laniyan, T. A., Ariyo, S. O., & Fatoba, J. O. (2018). Assessment of groundwater prospect and aquifer protective capacity using resistivity method in Olabisi Onabanjo University campus, Ago-Iwoye, Southwestern Nigeria. *NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics*, 7(2),pp. 347–360.
- Boggs, S. (2009) Petrology of sedimentary rocks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Brook, M. S. (2019). Engineering geophysics and the 2017 New Zealand Ground Investigation Specification guidelines. *Engineering Geology*, 252(February), pp. 164– 167.
- Butchibabu, B., Sandeep, N., Sivaram, Y. V., Jha, P. C., & Khan, P. K. (2017). Bridge pier foundation evaluation using cross-hole seismic tomographic imaging. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 144, pp.104–114.
- Chabaane, A., Redhaounia, B., & Gabtni, H. (2017). Combined application of vertical electrical sounding and 2D electrical resistivity imaging for geothermal groundwater

characterization: Hammam Sayala hot spring case study (NW Tunisia). *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, *134*, pp. 292–298.

- Chandra, S., Dewandel, B., Dutta, S., & Ahmed, S. (2010). Geophysical model of geological discontinuities in a granitic aquifer: Analyzing small scale variability of electrical resistivity for groundwater occurrences. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 71(4), pp. 137– 148.
- Cull, S. (2009) Rocks and Minerals (The Restless Earth). New York, USA: Chelsea House Publication
- Dabat, T., Porion, P., Hubert, F., Paineau, E., Dazas, B., Grégoire, B., Tertre, E., Delville, A., & Ferrage, E. (2020). Influence of preferred orientation of clay particles on the diffusion of water in kaolinite porous media at constant porosity. *Applied Clay Science*, *184*(October), 105354.
- Dar, A. M., & Bukhari, S. K. (2020). Characteristics of magnetic anomalies and subsurface structure constraints of Balapur fault in Kashmir basin, NW Himalaya. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 309(October), 106599.
- Dragon, K. (2021). Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies Identification of groundwater conditions in the recharge zone of regionally extended aquifer system with use of water chemistry and isotopes (Lwowek region , Poland). *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 34(January), 100787.
- Dawrea, A., Zytner, R. G., & Donald, J. (2021). Enhanced GPR data interpretation to estimate in situ water saturation in a landfill. *Waste Management*, *120*, 175–182.
- Dayon, G., Boé, J., Martin, É., & Gailhard, J. (2018). Impacts of climate change on the hydrological cycle over France and associated uncertainties. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 350(4), pp. 141–153.
- El-Kaliouby, H., & Abdalla, O. (2015). Application of time-domain electromagnetic method in mapping saltwater intrusion of a coastal alluvial aquifer, North Oman. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 115, pp. 59–64.
- Festa, V., Tripaldi, S., Siniscalchi, A., Acquafredda, P., Fiore, A., Mele, D., & Romano, G. (2016). Geoelectrical resistivity variations and lithological composition in coastal gypsum rocks: A case study from the Lesina Marina area (Apulia, southern Italy). *Engineering Geology*, 202, pp. 163–175.
- Folch, A., del Val, L., Luquot, L., Martínez-Pérez, L., Bellmunt, F., Le Lay, H., Rodellas, V., Ferrer, N., Palacios, A., Fernández, S., Marazuela, M. A., Diego-Feliu, M., Pool, M.,

Goyetche, T., Ledo, J., Pezard, P., Bour, O., Queralt, P., Marcuello, A., Carrera, J. (2020). Combining fiber optic DTS, cross-hole ERT and time-lapse induction logging to characterize and monitor a coastal aquifer. *Journal of Hydrology*, *588*(August 2019), 125050.

- Gamboa, C., Godfrey, L., Herrera, C., Custodio, E., & Soler, A. (2019). The origin of solutes in groundwater in a hyper-arid environment: A chemical and multi-isotope approach in the Atacama Desert, Chile. *Science of the Total Environment*, 690, 329–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.356
- Garzanti, E. (2019). Petrographic classification of sand and sandstone. In *Earth-Science Reviews* (Vol. 192, pp. 545–563). Elsevier B.V.
- Gill, R. (2010) Igneous Rocks and Processes. United Kingdom, UK : Wiley-Backwell
- Gnanachandrasamy, G., Dushiyanthan, C., Jeyavel Rajakumar, T., & Chen, J. (2020). Comprehensive hydro-geophysical prospecting to delineate fresh water zone in the coastal aquifer, South India. *Journal of Hydrology*, 590(September 2019), 125415.
- Gonzales, A., Dahlin, T., Barmen, G., & Rosberg, J.-E. (2016). Electrical Resistivity Tomography and Induced Polarization for Mapping the Subsurface of Alluvial Fans: A Case Study in Punata (Bolivia). *Geosciences*, 6(4), 51.
- González-Quirós, A., & Comte, J. C. (2020). Relative importance of conceptual and computational errors when delineating saltwater intrusion from resistivity inverse models in heterogeneous coastal aquifers. *Advances in Water Resources*, 144(July), 103695.
- Gunther, T. (2011). Aquifer. Earth Science, Geology, Geography, Physical Grography. Retrieved on March 16, 2021, from https://www.nationalgeographic.org/media/aquiferillo/
- He, T., Li, J., Gray, J., & Gu, Y. (2021). Analysis iron distribution methods in fine sand- and silt-sized soil particles. *MethodsX*, *8*, 101248.
- Hossain, S. K. S., Ranjan, V., Pyare, R., & Roy, P. K. (2019). Study the effect of physicomechanical characteristics of ceramic tiles after addition of river silts and wollastonite derived from wastes. *Construction and Building Materials*, 209, pp. 315–325.
- Iqbal, M. A., Salim, A. M. A., Baioumy, H., Gaafar, G. R., & Wahid, A. (2019). Identification and characterization of low resistivity low contrast zones in a clastic outcrop from Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 160, pp. 207–217.
- Basri, K. (2017). Characterization of Soft Soil Using Multi-Channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) and Electrical Resistivity Method (ERM) Universiti Tun Hussein Onn

Malaysia: Master's Thesis.

- Keller G.V. and Frischknecht F.C (1966). "Electrical Methods in Geophysical Prospecting". Pergamon Press Inc., Oxford.
- Kibria, G., & Hossain, M. S. (2014). Effects of Bentonite Content on Electrical Resistivity of Soils. November, pp. 2404–2413.
- Kibria, G., & Hossain, M. S. (2012). Investigation of Geotechnical Parameters Affecting Electrical Resistivity of Compacted Clays. December, pp. 1520–1529.
- Kouras, N., Harabi, A., Bouzerara, F., Foughali, L., Policicchio, A., Stelitano, S., Galiano, F., & Figoli, A. (2017). Macro-porous ceramic supports for membranes prepared from quartz sand and calcite mixtures. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, *37*(9), pp. 3159–3165.
- Li, J., Ke, S., Yin, C., Kang, Z., Jia, J., & Ma, X. (2019). A laboratory study of complex resistivity spectra for predictions of reservoir properties in clear sands and shaly sands. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 177(October 2018), pp. 983–994.
- Li, T., Zhang, Y., Lu, R., Gao, J., Sun, J., Zhao, X., Ma, X., & Wang, Z. (2021). 3D geometry of the Lanliao Fault revealed by seismic reflection profiles: Implications for earthquake clustering in the Dongpu Sag, North China. *Tectonophysics*, 806(February), 228798.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Nilot, E., Yannick, C. H. N., Yang, P., & Ku, T. (2021). Detection of a shallow-buried rock obstruction using 2D full waveform inversion. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 143(February), 106644.
- Loke M.H. (1994). "The Inversion of Two Dimensional Resistivity Data". Phd Thesis: University of Bermingham
- Loke, M. H., Chambers, J. E., Rucker, D. F., Kuras, O., & Wilkinson, P. B. (2013). Recent developments in the direct-current geoelectrical imaging method. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 95, pp. 135–156.
- Ma, Z. D., Jia, G. S., Cui, X., Xia, Z. H., Zhang, Y. P., & Jin, L. W. (2020). Analysis on variations of ground temperature field and thermal radius caused by ground heat exchanger crossing an aquifer layer. *Applied Energy*, 276(June).
- Maghami, S., Sohrabi-Bidar, A., Bignardi, S., Zarean, A., & Kamalian, M. (2021). Extracting the shear wave velocity structure of deep alluviums of "Qom" Basin (Iran) employing HVSR inversion of microtremor recordings. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 185, 104246.
- Mainoo, P. A., Manu, E., Yidana, S. M., Agyekum, W. A., Stigter, T., Duah, A. A., & Preko,K. (2019). Application of 2D-Electrical resistivity tomography in delineating

groundwater potential zones: Case study from the voltaian super group of Ghana. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, *160*(August), 103618.

- Maxwell, K., Rajabi, M., & Esterle, J. (2019). Automated classification of metamorphosed coal from geophysical log data using supervised machine learning techniques. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 214(September), 103284.
- Mézquita González, J. A., Comte, J. C., Legchenko, A., Ofterdinger, U., & Healy, D. (2021). Quantification of groundwater storage heterogeneity in weathered/fractured basement rock aquifers using electrical resistivity tomography: Sensitivity and uncertainty associated with petrophysical modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 593(June), 125637.
- Michot, D., Thomas, Z., & Adam, I. (2016). Nonstationarity of the electrical resistivity and soil moisture relationship in a heterogeneous soil system: A case study. *Soil*, 2(2), pp. 241–255.
- Mohamaden, M.I.I., Hamouda, A.Z., Mansour, S. (2016). Application of electrical resistivity method for groundwater exploration at the Moghra area, Western Desert, Egypt. *The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, *42*(*3*), pp. 261-268.
- Mohammed, M.A., Senosy, M.M., Abudeif, A.M. (2019). Derivation of empirical relationships between geotechnical parameters and resistivity using electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) and borehole data at Sohag University site, upper Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 158, 103563.
- Mridha, G. C., Hossain, M. M., Uddin, M. S., & Masud, M. S. (2020). Study on availability of groundwater resources in selangor state of malaysia for an efficient planning and management of water resources. *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, *11*(4), 1050–1066.
- Nemecz, K., Pe, H., Hartya, Z., & Horva, T. (2000). The origin of the silt size quartz grains and minerals in loess. *Quaternary International*, *68(1)*, pp. 199–208.
- Nguyen, S. T. (2014). Micromechanical approach for electrical resistivity and conductivity of sandstone. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, *111*, pp. 135–140.
- Oluwafemi, O., & Ojo, A. A. (2015). Adsorptive removal of anionic dye from aqueous solutions by mixture of Kaolin and Bentonite clay : Characteristics , isotherm , kinetic and thermodynamic studies *Iranica Journal of Energy & Environment*, 6(February), pp. 147-153.
- Othman, A., Ibraheem, I. M., Ghazala, H., Mesbah, H., & Dahlin, T. (2019). Hydrogeophysical and hydrochemical characteristics of Pliocene groundwater aquifer at

the area northwest El Sadat city, West Nile Delta, Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 150, pp. 1–11.

- Oyeyemi, K. D., Aizebeokhai, A. P., Metwaly, M., Oladunjoye, M. A., Bayo-Solarin, B. M. A., Sanuade, O. A., Thompson, C. E., Ajayi, F. S., & Ekhaguere, O. A. (2021). Evaluating the groundwater potential of coastal aquifer using geoelectrical resistivity survey and porosity estimation: A case in Ota, SW Nigeria. *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, 12(August), 100488.
- Palacky, G. J. (2011). Resistivity Characteristics of Geologic Targets. *Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics*, pp. 52–129.
- Pandey, L. M. S. (2015) Electrical Resistivity of Sandy Soil with Water, Leachates and Seawater. Edith Cowan University: Master's Thesis.
- Pandey, L. M. S., Shukla, S. K., & Habibi, D. (2015). Electrical resistivity of sandy soil. Géotechnique Letters, 5(3), pp. 178–185.
- Park, C.-S., Jeong, J.-H., Park, H.-W., Kim, K. (2017). Experimental Study on Electrode Method for Electrical Resistivity Survey to Detect Cavities under Road Pavements. *Sustainability*, 9(12), 2320.
- Park, J., Lee, K. H., Seo, H., Ryu, J., & Lee, I. M. (2017). Role of induced electrical polarization to identify soft ground/fractured rock conditions. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 137, pp. 63–72.
- Perlman, H., & Evans, J. (2019). The USGS Water Science School The Water Cycle. The Natural Water Cycle. Retrieved on March 16, 2021. from https://www.usgs.gov/media/images/natural-water-cycle-0
- Razafindratsima, S., & Lataste, J. F. (2014). Estimation of the error made in Pole-Dipole Electrical Resistivity Tomography depending on the location of the remote electrode: Modeling and field study. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 100, pp. 44–57.
- Radzi, E. Z., Wahab, M. S., Sahdan, M. Z., Hamdan, R., Madun, A., & Zakariah, R. A. (2020). A bench model design of gravitational aeration tower system as treatment system for iron removal in groundwater. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 991(1).
- Rezvani, A., Ahnfelt, P., Fiandaca, G., Olsson, P. I., & Dahlin, T. (2015). Spectral Time
 Domain IP Factors Affecting Data Information Content and Applicability to
 Geological Characterization. *Near Surface Geoscience 2015 21st European Meeting of*

Environmental and Engineering Geophysics, 433(433)

- Riwayat, A. I., Ahmad Nazri, M. A., & Zainal Abidin, M. H. (2018). Detection of Potential Shallow Aquifer Using Electrical Resistivity Imaging (ERI) at UTHM Campus, Johor Malaysia. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 995(1).
- Román-Sierra, J., Muñoz-Perez, J. J., & Navarro-Pons, M. (2014). Beach nourishment effects on sand porosity variability. *Coastal Engineering*, 83, pp. 221–232.
- Saint, J. P. C., Talnan Jean Honoré, C., Naga, C., Claude Alain Kouadio, K., Régis Mailly DIDI, S., Diedhiou, A., & Savane, I. (2020). Groundwater exploration using extraction of lineaments from SRTM DEM and water flows in Béré region. *Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*.
- Samouëlian, A., Cousin, I., Tabbagh, A., Bruand, A., & Richard, G. (2005). Electrical resistivity survey in soil science: A review. Soil and Tillage Research, 83(2), pp. 173– 193.
- Schon, J.H. (2011) Physical Properties of Rocks, Vol. 8: A Workbook (Handbook of Petroleum Exploration and Production). Elsevier, Netherlands, pp. 337-361.
- Sengul, O. (2014). Use of electrical resistivity as an indicator for durability. *Construction and Building Materials*, 73, pp. 434–441.
- Sharma, P.V. (1997) Environmental and Engineering Geophysics. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1-475.
- Sizirici, B., Yildiz, I., AlYammahi, A., Obaidalla, F., AlMehairbi, M., AlKhajeh, S., & AlHammadi, T. A. Q. (2018). Adsorptive removal capacity of gravel for metal cations in the absence/presence of competitive adsorption. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(8), pp. 7530–7540.
- Soueid Ahmed, A., Revil, A., Bolève, A., Steck, B., Vergniault, C., Courivaud, J. R., Jougnot, D., & Abbas, M. (2020). Determination of the permeability of seepage flow paths in dams from self-potential measurements. *Engineering Geology*, 268(January), 105514.
- Srinivasa Rao, Y., & Jugran, D. K. (2003). Delineation of groundwater potential zones and zones of groundwater quality suitable for domestic purposes using remote sensing and GIS. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 48(5), pp. 821–833.
- Su, O., & Momayez, M. (2017). Indirect estimation of electrical resistivity by abrasion and physico-mechanical properties of rocks. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 143, pp. 23–30.

Suhip, M. A. A. B. H., Gödeke, S. H., Cobb, A. R., & Sukri, R. S. (2020). Seismic refraction

study, single well test and physical core analysis of anthropogenic degraded Peat at the Badas Peat Dome, Brunei Darussalam. *Engineering Geology*, 273(January), 105689.

- Szalai, S., & Szarka, L. (2008). On the classification of surface geoelectric arrays. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 56(2), pp. 159–175.
- Telford, W.M., Geldart, L.P. and Sheriff, R.E. (1990). "Applied Geophysics",2ed. Cambridge University Press. 770p.
- Thibodeau, L., Sakanoko, M., & Neale, G. H. (2003). Alkaline flooding processes in porous media in the presence of connate water. *Powder Technology*, *132*(2–3), pp. 101–111.
- Ugwu, N. U., Ranganai, R. T., Simon, R. E., & Ogubazghi, G. (2016). Geoelectric Evaluation of Groundwater Potential and Vulnerability of Overburden Aquifers at Onibu-Eja Active Open Dumpsite, Osogbo, Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 08(03), pp. 311–329.
- Umnova, O., Attenborough, K., Shin, H. C., & Cummings, A. (2005). Deduction of tortuosity and porosity from acoustic reflection and transmission measurements on thick samples of rigid-porous materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 66(6), 607–624.
- Vakalova, T., Pogrebenkov, V., Vereshagin, V., Khabas, T., & Revva, I. (2018). Applied Clay Science Optimising rational chemical analysis for quantitative determination of the composition of clay in soils. *Applied Clay Science*, 163(March), pp. 153–163.
- Viaroli, S., Di Curzio, D., Lepore, D., & Mazza, R. (2019). Multiparameter daily time-series analysis to groundwater recharge assessment in a caldera aquifer: Roccamonfina Volcano, Italy. *Science of the Total Environment*, 676, pp. 501–513.
- Worthington, S. R. H., Foley, A. E., & Soley, R. W. N. (2019). *Transient characteristics of e ff ective porosity and speci fi c yield in bedrock aquifers*. 578(June).
- Xu, Q., Zhao, K., Liu, F., Peng, D., & Chen, W. (2021). Effects of land use on groundwater recharge of a loess terrace under long-term irrigation. *Science of the Total Environment*, 751, 142340.
- Yatini, Santoso, D., Læsanpura, A., & Sulistijo, B. (2016). Influence of physical parameters to time domain induced polarization (TDIP) response. AIP Conference Proceedings, 1719(October), pp. 16–17.
- Zhan, L. tong, Xu, H., Jiang, X. ming, Lan, J. wu, Chen, Y. min, & Zhang, Z. ying. (2019). Use of electrical resistivity tomography for detecting the distribution of leachate and gas in a large-scale MSW landfill cell. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(20), pp. 20325–20343.

- Zhou, H., & Che, A. (2020). Geomaterial segmentation method using multi-dimensional frequency analysis based on electrical resistivity tomography. *Engineering Geology*, 105925.
- Zhu, G., Zhao, Y., Zheng, X., Wang, Y., Zheng, H., & Lu, D. (2020). Understanding the role of sodium hydroxide in the selective flotation separation of spodumene from feldspar and quartz. *Minerals Engineering*, 159, 106648.
- Zonge, K., Jeff Wynn, and Scott Urquhart, (2005), "9. Resistivity, Induced Polarization, and Complex Resistivity,"*Investigations in Geophysics*: pp. 265-300.