Image Compression using a New Adaptive Standard Deviation Thresholding Estimation at the Wavelet Details Subbands
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Abstract—The process before quantization stage in compression process is a very crucial stage especially in application that require a high compression ratios. So, in this paper, we propose a new method of image compression that is based on reducing the wavelet coefficients in wavelet details subbands. It is based on the concept of local subband wavelet coefficients minimization to find the optimum threshold value for wavelet coefficients in each detail subbands. The proposed method decomposed the image into LL (low resolution approximate image), HL (intensity variation along column, horizontal edge), LH (intensity variation along row, vertical edge) and HH (intensity variation along diagonal). The coefficients in details subband retrieved from the decomposition process is then manipulated in such a way that the nearly zero coefficient is discarded while the rest is remained. This process will reduce the unimportant wavelet coefficient that leads to a great compression ratio while preserving the informative data to produce a good image quality as can be seen in the experiment done.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, digital image has rising popularity and has been becoming increasingly important. With a huge number of image application available online and mobile, it require a huge storage space that also burden the network capability [1]. Compressing an image is one of the promising solution that can reduce the amount of redundant data[2]. Besides, it will decrease the storage space, transmission time, bandwidth utilization and enable rapid browsing and retrieval of images from database [3], [4].

II. WAVELET IN IMAGE COMPRESSION

Wavelet is one of the promising tools in image compression. There are three main properties of wavelets;
Let’s an image with the size of $N_1 \times N_2$ be expressed in image function as $S(n_1, n_2)$. By applying the DWT scaling function filter to the image function, it will generate the wavelet coefficients of an approximate subband.

$$\omega_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_1 N_2}} \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} s(n_1, n_2) \psi_{j_0, k_1, k_2}(n_1, n_2)$$

Where:
- $j_0$ is the wavelet scale;
- $k_1$ and $k_2$ are the index written from $n_1$ and $n_2$ respectively;
- $\psi$ is the scaling function filter.

While, by applying the wavelet filter to the signal function, the wavelet coefficients of 3 details subband will be generated.

$$\omega^h_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_1 N_2}} \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} s(n_1, n_2) \psi_{j_0, k_1, k_2}(n_1, n_2)$$

$$\omega^v_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_1 N_2}} \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} s(n_1, n_2) \psi_{j_0, k_1, k_2}(n_1, n_2)$$

$$\omega^d_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_1 N_2}} \sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{N_2-1} s(n_1, n_2) \psi_{j_0, k_1, k_2}(n_1, n_2)$$

Where:
- $\omega^h_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2)$ carries the horizontal wavelet coefficients details;
- $\omega^v_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2)$ carries the vertical wavelet coefficients details;
- $\omega^d_{\psi}(j_0, k_1, k_2)$ carries the diagonal wavelet coefficients details.

Wavelet coefficients have good time resolution at higher frequency to capture the image and good frequency resolution at lower frequency to capture image background. Human eyes are less sensitive to high frequency but very sensitive to low frequency [5].

The approximate coefficients are commonly called as LL (low resolution approximate image) while the details coefficients are called as HL (intensity variation along column, horizontal edge), LH (intensity variation along row, vertical edge) and HH (intensity variation along diagonal).

In inverse of analysis bank, the synthesis bank will do the upsampling (represented as $\uparrow 2$) to reconstruct the original fine scale coefficients by combining the scale and wavelet coefficients at lower coarser scale. During upsampling, the value of zero will be inserted between 2 coefficients because during the downsampling, every second coefficient is thrown away.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Wavelet algorithm enables the computer to decompose an image into various levels with different value resolution. The apparent advantage is it capable to isolate and manipulate data with specific properties.

For example, more vertical details are keep instead of keeping all the horizontal, diagonal detail when the image has more vertical aspect. This will allow some unwanted value of horizontal and diagonal detail without degrading the quality of image in human perception.

By manipulating this features, higher compression ratio can be obtained by eliminating as much the unwanted or insignificant wavelet coefficients value. The key
performance to effective wavelet coefficients removal is based on the selection of its threshold(s) [6].

In this paper, we are proposing a method that can eliminate the unwanted or insignificant wavelet coefficients value effectively by proposing a prediction scheme on threshold level based on the characteristic of each details subband of an image.

As can be seen in Fig.2, first, the original image is transformed using the DWT. During the DWT, the original image is decomposed into 4 set of wavelet coefficients that represent the approximation subband, diagonal subband, vertical subband, and horizontal subband.

As can be seen in Fig.2, first, the original image is transformed using the DWT. During the DWT, the original image is decomposed into 4 set of wavelet coefficients that represent the approximation subband, diagonal subband, vertical subband, and horizontal subband.

The approximate subband wavelet coefficients show the common trend of pixel values, while the three detail subbands wavelet coefficients show the horizontal, vertical and diagonal details or changes in the image.

In this project, we are proposing a method where the value in approximate subband is retained unchanged, while the unwanted or unsignificant wavelet coefficients value in detail subbands will be eliminated by using our new proposed thresholding technique. We call this process as compression parameterization.

Defining the threshold value is a very crucial task because if the value is set too high, it will eliminate the significant wavelet coefficients. On the other hand, if the threshold value is set too low, it will not reduce the image size which is the main objective in image compression task.

Previously, the researchers suggest to use a fixed threshold value [7], while other suggesting the usage of a fixed percentage of wavelet coefficient to zeroed [8]. These techniques however limit the performance of compression because it create frontier where the coefficients cannot be reduced higher than the permanent limit. Arya in her paper [9] proposing a technique which predicting the wavelet coefficient based on the past neighbouring sample. Yet, this technique just works well for images which made of smooth region separately by smooth boundary.

So, in this project we are proposing a new threshold estimation based on the standard deviation concept. For each detail subband, the individual threshold value , is calculated and then it is applied to details coefficients value respectively. The retained coefficients carries only significant value that sufficient enough to reconstruct a good quality image.

The standard deviation can be defined as:

\[ s = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right)^{1/2} \]  

where,

\[ \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \]  

In this case, \( n \) is defined as the amount of wavelet coefficients in subband, while \( X_i \) is the respective wavelet coefficient value.

In order to calculate the new diagonal details threshold value, \( \lambda_D \), the horizontal detail coefficients is adapted to standard deviation concept:

\[ \lambda_D = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_D(j_0, k_1, k_2) - \bar{X})^2 \right)^{1/2} \]  

where,

\[ \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_D(j_0, k_1, k_2) \]  

Here, the thresholding process is applied, where each coefficient value that is lower than the \( \lambda_D \) will be discarded while the rest are remained. So, the new remaining horizontal detail coefficients can be expressed as:

\[ \omega_D^{\text{new}}(j_0, k_1, k_2) = \begin{cases} \omega_D^{D}(j_0, k_1, k_2), & |\omega_D^{D}(j_0, k_1, k_2)| \geq \lambda_D \\ 0, & |\omega_D^{D}(j_0, k_1, k_2)| < \lambda_D \end{cases} \]  

Equations derived in (7) till (9) can effectively discard the near zero wavelet coefficients, while keeping the significant coefficients unchanged by considering the characteristic of each wavelet coefficient value in respective subband. Each details subband actually carrying its own characteristic, so specific \( \lambda \) need to be derived for each specific detail subbands.

Thus, the same concept of adapting standard deviation is applied to the vertical and horizontal details coefficients to generate the new vertical and horizontal details threshold value, \( \lambda_V \) and \( \lambda_H \) respectively.
This technique implements a process where the insignificant coefficient value is discarded to reduce the coefficient number. Reducing the near zero coefficient value will not harming the image quality because it represents the smooth region area where modification at this area are actually not easily been detected by Human Visual System (HSV). From the preliminary test, we found that the near zero coefficients are representing the smooth region. While the high value coefficients are representing the fine details and edges region (refer to Fig. 3).

This technique is also inspired from the fact that the total energy after applying wavelet transform is not changed [10]. This characteristic allows the near-zero coefficients to be considered as zero and keep only the significant one.

The reason why the approximate subband is keep unchanged is because the modification in approximate subband will destroy a large amount of significant coefficients that may lead to lossy compression [11]. The details subband that consist of a large amount of near zero-coefficients can be ignored because it will not harming the image quality.

IV. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

This section will reveals the result up until the compression stage only. The analysis is perform to evaluate the transformation process of an image using our proposed compression method up to the construction on edgemap of an image.

This experiment was carried out on Matlab R2012a platform by using various standard test images. But as example, we just reveal two of them, namely Lena and Mandrill that representing large smooth region image and large hard region image respectively [12]. The size of size image is 512x512 and Symlet 5 (Sym5) is used as the filter. The level of decomposition is set to 3 because the performance archive its best at level 3 while level 4 and above the value is stagnant as at level 3.

For instance, Fig. 4 shows the original wavelet coefficients representation of Lena on detail subbands (horizontal, vertical and diagonal) and its edgemap representation after the DWT process.

By applying our proposed equation to horizontal, vertical and diagonal details respectively, the insignificant wavelet coefficients are discarded while keeping the significant one. Fig. 5 shows the example of eliminating the undesired coefficients value at Lenas’ diagonal subband using our designated threshold value, $\lambda_D$.

It is clearly can be seen that by using our efficient proposed technique, only the insignificant near-zero coefficient is discarded, while the significant coefficients that carry the fine details and edges is preserve.

Table 1 shows the comparison of the amount of wavelet coefficient using ordinary Discrete Wavelet Trasform with our proposed thresholding method. Concerning on the amount of coefficient reduced, the proposed method diminish about three quarter of the original coefficient. This degradation will lead to a more compact size of the reconstructed image.
TABLE 1. THE COMPARISON OF COEFFICIENT AMOUNT BETWEEN ORIGINAL DWT AND THE PROPOSED METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Subband</th>
<th>DWT (Coefficients)</th>
<th>Proposed (Coefficients)</th>
<th>Reduced Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>31443</td>
<td>88.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>35974</td>
<td>86.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>34843</td>
<td>86.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandrill</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>56834</td>
<td>78.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>61854</td>
<td>76.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diagonal</td>
<td>262144</td>
<td>56197</td>
<td>78.56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This degradation amount of wavelet coefficient is however doesn’t degrade the image quality. As can be seen in Table 2, only the smooth area region that carrying the non-details effected. As Human Visual System (HVS) is just concern on the hard region which contain the fine edge and details, lost value at smooth region is acceptable.

TABLE 2. THE EDGEMAP REPRESENTATION OF (A) ORIGINAL WAVELET COEFFICIENTS (B) WAVELET COEFFICIENTS USING OUR PROPOSED METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Lena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have proposed a new efficient threshold value estimation for each detail subbands. By adapting the standard deviation rules, we propose our own $\lambda$ based on the characteristic of each subband. By using this method, each wavelet coefficient in subband is considered, resulting an effective estimation. According to the experiment, we can observed that the quality of edgemap of the image is superior without harming the significant fine details and edges.

Our further work will continue with the decompression process to produce the compressed reconstructed image.
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