

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF FDM WATERWORKS SYSTEM  
FOR ABS PART

OMAR MOHD. FAIZAN B. MARWAH

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering  
Kolej Universiti Teknologi Tun Hussein Onn

NOVEMBER , 2006

*To my loving wife , Elmy Johana Mohamad and sons, Muhammad Hafiy Darwis and  
Muhammad Harris Haikal , my family and friends.....*

*"My Success Is Yours Too"*



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his utmost appreciation and grateful to his supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Saparudin from KUiTTHO and co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Safian from UTM, for their guidance, supervision, valuable opinion, priceless ideas along the duration of this research and the heartfelt motivation during the downhearted moment.

Alongside, I would also like to convey my appreciation to Mr. Hambali and Mr. Kamarudin from KUTKM for their constructive support, Mr. Mokhtar Bin Abdul Hamid from Rapid Manufacturing Laboratory for his guidance, Mr. An'Amur Rahman Bin Yunos from Metrology Laboratory and Stratasys Inc. for its valuable information on Fused Deposition Modeling process. Their valuable contribution had made this study full of excitement.

My thanks to my loving family for their supports and sacrifice during the entire moment of my study. Not forgetting my friends especially Erween, Nasuha and Joharudin for their ideas and involvement during the discussion and ideas of the project.

## ABSTRACT

Fused Deposition Modeling(FDM) is one of the Rapid Prototyping(RP) Technologies to produces plastic prototypes from acrylonitrile butadiene styrene(ABS) which has control over numerous processing variables that will impact the quality of the fabricated part . The ability to select the optimal process parameters has been a major concern for part quality improvement. This work was undertaken to determine parameters which influence the part quality for FDM Waterworks Soluble Support(WSS) system .The effect of these factors on FDM performance or response were investigated and the optimum conditions of those responses were established. Design Of Experiments (DOE) was used to perform the experiments. Experimental results were analysed by using Minitab software to obtain the significant parameters and to optimize the setting. Pareto Chart, Analysis of Variance(ANOVA), Main Effect plot and Regression were employed to determine the significant parameters and to generates recommendations of process variables setting and the associated predict build outcomes for FDM process . Finally, validation tests were conducted to verify the model of each responses against the actual results.

## ABSTRAK

Fused Deposition Modeling(FDM) merupakan salah satu daripada Teknologi Pembuatan Deras untuk menghasilkan prototaip plastik daripada acrylonitrile butadiene styrene(ABS) di mana terdapat pelbagai proses pembolehubah perlu dikawal yang akan memberi kesan terhadap kualiti produk. Kemampuan untuk memilih parameter yang optimum telah menjadi penting untuk memperbaiki kualiti sesuatu produk. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti parameter-parameter yang memberi kesan terhadap kualiti produk untuk FDM Waterworks Soluble Support(WSS) sistem. Faktor atau respon yang memberi kesan terhadap prestasi FDM dikaji dan keadaan optimum dapat ditentukan untuk setiap respon tersebut. Design Of Experiments(DOE) digunakan untuk menjalankan kerja eksperimen. Keputusan kajian dianalisis menggunakan perisian Minitab dimana untuk mendapatkan parameter-parameter yang penting dan meramal keadaan yang optimum. Rajah Pareto, Analysis of Variance(ANOVA), Main Effect Plot dan Analisis Regression digunakan untuk memperolehi parameter penting dan memberi rekomen dan ramalan terhadap produk yang dihasilkan di dalam proses FDM. Akhirnya , ujian pengesahan dijalankan untuk mengesahkan di antara model setiap respon dan keputusan sebenar.

## CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SUBJECT	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDIXES	xvii
<b>I</b>	<b>PROJECT BACKGROUND</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background Of Problems	3
	1.3 Research Questions	4
	1.4 Objectives Of The Project	4
	1.5 Scopes Of The Project	5
	1.6 Importance Of The Project	5

<b>II</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Introduction to Rapid Prototyping	8
2.2	Fused Deposition Modeling	11
2.2.1	The principle Of FDM	12
2.2.2	Fused Deposition Modeling Process	14
2.2.3	Waterworks System	18
2.2.4	FDM Build Material	19
2.2.5	Advantages and Disadvantage of FDM	21
2.2.6	Advantages	21
2.2.7	Disadvantages	22
2.3	Product Defect Occurred In FDM	23
2.4	Process Parameter Consideration in FDM	27
2.4.1	Air Gap	27
2.4.2	Road Width	28
2.4.3	Layer Thickness	29
2.4.4	Raster Angle	29
2.4.5	Build/Raster Orientation	29
2.4.6	Tip Size	30
2.4.7	Base Oversize	30
2.5	Previous Research Based On Response Consideration	30
2.5.1	Surface Finish	31
2.5.2	Dimensional Accuracy	33
2.5.3	Built Time	35
2.5.4	Defect Analysis	37
2.6	Design Of Experiments (DOE)/Taguchi	37
2.6.1	Experimental Design	41
2.7	Literature Summary	43



PTTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU AMINAH

<b>III</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>44</b>
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Overview of the Methodology	45
3.3	FDM System	48
3.3.1	Part Post Processing	50
3.4	Product Design	51
3.4.1	Pre-Processing (Converting CAD Files to STL)	52
3.5	Experimental Planning	53
3.5.1	Project Definition	54
3.5.2	Determine the Response Variables	54
3.5.2.1	Built Time	54
3.5.2.2	Surface Roughness	55
3.5.2.3	Dimensional Accuracy	56
3.5.2.4	Part Defects	58
3.5.3	Factor Level and Ranges	59
3.5.3.1	Fix Design Factor	60
3.5.4	Experimental Design	63
3.5.5	Perform The Experiment	64
<b>IV</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>66</b>
4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Experimental Result	67
4.3	Result Analysis Using Design Of Experiment	70
4.3.1	Surface Roughness	70
4.3.2	Dimensional Accuracy	72
4.3.3	Built Time	73
4.3.4	Defect Measurement	75
4.4	Predicted of the Optimum Condition	76



4.4.1	Surface Roughness	76
4.4.2	Dimensional Accuracies	77
4.4.3	Built Time	79
4.4.4	Defect Condition	80
4.5	Validation of the DOE Analysis	82
4.6	Summary of DOE Analysis	84
4.7	Discussion on the Responses By Classical Method	85
4.7.1	Surface Roughness	85
4.7.2	Dimensional Accuracy	86
4.7.3	Built Time	88
4.7.4	Part Defects	89
4.7.4.1	Type of Defect	91
4.8	Summary of Classical Method Analysis	98
<b>V</b>	<b>CONCLUSION, CONTRIBUTION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1	Conclusion	99
5.2	Contribution of this work	101
5.3	Future Recommendation	102
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>103</b>
	<b>APPENDIXES (A – E)</b>	<b>108</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	PAGE	
2.1	Classification of RP system	10
2.2	The Schematic View of FDM	13
2.3	Staircase Effect in RP Parts	23
2.4	Schematic View of Layered Boundaries Produced By Layered Manufacturing Process	24
2.5	Tessellated or Faceted Surface Model, Chordal Error	24
2.6	Some Important Process Parameter	25
2.7	Slicing as mapping from the model of the layer domains	26
2.8	Air Gap	28
2.9	A Process Model	38
2.10a	RP process chain	40
2.10b	Transfer of CAD to RP System	40
3.1	Experiment Flow Chart	45
3.2	Methodology Overview and Result	47
3.3	FDM Prodigy Plus	50
3.4	Water Soluble Equipment and Waterworks Soluble Concentration	51
3.5	Product Design using Unigraphics NX2	52
3.6	STL File Setting	53
3.7	Surface Roughness Measuring Set	55
3.8	Direction of Measurement of Roughness	56

3.9	Carl Zeiss Calypso Coordinate Measuring Machine	57
3.10	Measurement of the Dimensions	57
3.11	High Magnifying Measuring Microscope	58
3.12	Defect Inspection Area	59
3.13	Part Orientation	61
3.14	Model Tip	61
3.15	Modeler Setup	62
3.16	Factorial Design Menu	63
4.1	Pareto Chart for Surface Roughness	71
4.2	Pareto Chart for Dimensional Accuracies	72
4.3	Pareto Chart for Built Time	74
4.4	Pareto Chart for Defect Measurement	75
4.5	Main Effect Plot for Surface Roughness	76
4.6	Main Effect Plot for Dimensional Accuracies	78
4.7	Main Effect Plot for Built Time	79
4.8	Main Effect Plot for Defect	81
4.9	Surface Roughness Variation of Each Part	85
4.10	Overall Average Surface Roughness Measurement	86
4.11	Dimensional Variation of Each Features	87
4.12	Overall Dimensional Deviation	88
4.13	Built Time of Part Development	89
4.14	Defect Frequency for Every Features	90
4.15	Total Defect Frequency of Each Part	90
4.16	Type 1 Defect	91
4.17	Type 2 Defect	92
4.18	Type 3 Defect	92
4.19	Type 4 Defect	93
4.20	Type 5 Defect	93
4.21	Type 6 Defect	94
4.22	Type 7 Defect	94
4.23	Type 8 Defect	95

4.24	Type 9 Defect	95
4.25	Type 10 Defect	95
4.26	Type 11 Defect	96
4.27	Type 12 Defect	96
4.28	Type 13 Defect	96
4.29	Type 14 Defect	97
4.30	Type 15 Defect	97



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	Essential Post-Processing Tasks for Different RP Processes	18
2.2	Overall Literature Summary	43
3.1	FDM Prodigy Plus Specifications	49
3.2	Levels of Design Factors	60
3.3	Experiment Layout	64
4.1	Experimental Result For Surface Roughness	67
4.2	Experimental Result For Dimensional Accuracy	68
4.3	Experimental Result For Built Time	68
4.4	Experimental Result For Defect Measurement	69
4.5	Total Average Of Response	69
4.6	ANOVA Table For Surface Roughness	71
4.7	ANOVA Table For Dimensional Accuracies	73
4.8	ANOVA Table For Built Time	74
4.9	ANOVA Table For Defect Occurrence	75
4.10	Regression Analysis For Surface Roughness	77
4.11	Regression Analysis For Dimensional Accuracies	78
4.12	Regression Analysis For Built Time	80
4.13	Regression Analysis For Defect	81
4.14	Result Validation	83
4.15	Summary of Analysis on the Responses	98
5.1	Optimum Setting Condition	101

**LIST OF SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATIONS**

%	Percent
µm	Micro Meter
°C	Degree Celsius
Ø	Diameter
ABS	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
ANOVA	Analysis Of Variance
BASS	Breakaway Support System
BT	Built Time
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
cm	Centimeters
CMM	Coordinate Measuring Machine
DOE	Design Of Experiment
DA	Dimensional Accuracy
DF	Defect
FDM	Fused Deposition Modeling
LM	Layered Manufacturing
LOM	Laminated Object Manufacturing
m	Meters
mm	Millimeters
RM	Rapid Manufacturing
RP	Rapid Prototyping
RP&M	Rapid Prototyping and Manufacturing
RT	Rapid Tooling

RTV	Room Temperature Vulcanizing
SFM	Solid Free-Form Manufacturing
SGC	Solid Ground Curing
SL	Stereolithography
SLA	Stereolithography Apparatus
SLS	Selective Laser Sintering
Std.	Standard
.STL	Stereolithography File
UG	Unigraphics
WSS	Water Soluble Support System



**LIST OF APPENDIXES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>		<b>PAGE</b>
<b>A</b>	Engineering Drawing Of Part Experiment	108
<b>B</b>	Printed Part Of Experiment	110
<b>C</b>	Result Data Of Response	112
<b>D</b>	Surface Roughness Data And Graph	117
<b>E</b>	Dimensional Measurement Data	137



PT TAAUTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUNJAMINAH



## CHAPTER I

### PROJECT BACKGROUND

#### 1.1 Introduction

Rapid prototyping (RP) is a process in which a part is produced using layer by layer deposition of material. It is an important technology as it has the potential of reducing 30 to 50 % of the manufacturing lead time of the product even the product complexity is very high (D.T. Pham and S.S. Demov., 2001 ). The RP cycle consists of creation of geometric model using a solid modeler, determination of suitable deposition orientation, slicing, generation of material deposition paths, part deposition and the post processing operations. Most of the steps in RP are automatically driven; however part deposition orientation is selected manually among the few options provided by the RP software. Orientation for a part deposition on RP machine platform has a significant effect on many key characteristics which will determine the final quality and cost of the prototype (P.Alexander, et al., 1998).

Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) is one of the major technique in developing rapid prototypes or models. The FDM machine builds the part by extruding a semi-molten filament through a heated nozzle in a prescribed pattern onto a platform. A second nozzle may extrude a second material usually the support material if required. When the first layer is completed, the platform move downwards by one layer thickness and the building process repeats all over again. Once completed, the build model is removed from the platform and removal of the support materials is done to obtain the final part.

Recently , there are profoundly numerous possible parameters that can affect the final quality of part using FDM. Altering the parameters will affects the character of the resulting part. Determination of the proper significant parameters and optimum condition has been a subject of research in the FDM area for a some time . Bharath, et al. (2000), found the orientation and layer thickness as a significant parameters influence to surface finish quality. Anitha, et al. (2001), concluded the layer thickness has been proved influenced to surface roughness. Azanizawati, et al.(2003), have studied optimization for build time and surface finish. They found that the layer thickness and orientation influence to build time and orientation was the significant parameter affect to surface roughness.

It is observed that most of previous work on produce better part quality has been related to FDM BSS(Breakaway Support System).Not much work about orientation has been directed to the FDM WSS system. Therefore , continue evaluation on performance of part deposition orientation is a very essential as it effects build time, surface finish, dimensional accuracy and defect occurrence. In this project, Design Of Experiments (DOE) technique were used to study the influence of numerous parameters setting , optimum setting condition and predict the part quality outcomes .

## 1.2 Background Of Problems

Evaluation of part surface quality, geometrical accuracy and reduction in build time is a major concern in RP technologies. Very few attempts (Nur Fazidah and Safian Sharif, 2003) (D.T. Pham and S.S. Demov., 2001)(S.H. Masood, et al., 2001) (K.Thrimurthulu, et al., 2004) have been conducted to evaluate performance of FDM process which is more dominant as compared to Stereolithography(SL). Current support removal system using BSS(Breakaway Support System) where the predecessor to WSS(Waterworks Soluble Support) system that requires manual stripping of support from the part surface seems to be less efficient as compared to WSS system in obtaining optimum part quality within FDM technology .However in WSS system there are lack of information settings and optimum parameters used on producing acceptable part quality. Research on the FDM WSS system more limited as compared to Breakaway Support System (BASS) where the WSS is the latest invention in FDM technology .

Currently, it was reported that most of FDM users use the default settings of the various of parameters and others rely on the supplier recommendation or use trial and error methods (Azanizawati, et al., 2003). These practices created waste of material and time in achieving the optimum part quality . Furthermore, there are various methods in determining significant parameters and to predict optimum condition of settings including Adaptive Slicing, Classical Method and DOE(Design Of Experiment ). A study on suitable method is required .

Hence there is a need to determine and produce a new prototype model for identifying significant parameters and optimum settings within WSS system based on various design criteria of responses through a suitable method . In addition optimizing process parameters is one of the major concern in FDM research areas. Once

the parameters of the model have been determined experimentally, the model should be able to give reliable predictions under various final part quality requirements.

### 1.3 Research Questions?

- (i) Can the identification and evaluation of significant parameters in the FDM WSS process in building a compliant prototype using suitable method which influence the part quality?
- (ii) Can the analysis of the significant parameters result in optimised settings for predicting and influencing part quality, based on criteria of responses?

### 1.4 Objectives of the Project

The main objectives of the study are as follows:

- (i) To analyse significant parameters of FDM-WSS (Waterworks Soluble Support within Fused Deposition Modeling) system which give influence to the responses of the build part quality, based on Design of Experiment(DOE) and Classical Analysis methods.

- (ii) To determine and analyse optimum settings from the significant parameters for each criteria of response by using DOE approach which result in improved part quality.
- (iii) To validate the optimum part quality using a predicted regression model and actual conditions.

### **1.5 Scope of the Project**

Experimental investigation will be carried on the FDM Stratasys Prodigy Plus waterworks system using P400 ABS as the part material. Experiments will be carried out based on Design of Experiment (DOE) approach and all related parameters and responses will be analysed using Minitab Software. The 3D model of the part will be created using the Unigraphics package. InSight software will be used to provide the necessary information on the FDM process. The response that will be investigated and evaluated are build time, surface roughness, dimensional accuracy and part defects.

### **1.6 Importance of the Project**

Identifying the significant factors in the FDM process will eventually assist in building a quality prototype. Quality prototype possess good surface quality, high dimensional accuracy, low cost and less defects. Since FDM is a complex technology which involves many different process parameters, continuous improvement of the

prototype quality are particularly important. By determining the significant parameter which effect to the part quality, the optimum process condition can be obtained and predicted for future part development .



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## CHAPTER II

### LITERATURE REVIEW

Layered Manufacturing(LM) or RP is a process which automatically generates a physical 3D object through layer by layer deposition of raw material. The 3D solid model can be created in a solid modeler packages such as Unigraphics, Ideas, ProE etc. It's now become imperative for manufacturer to use the RP technology during their product development to enhance the ability of the product or model to be produced. Currently, the diverse nature of RP processes leads to a wide variation of product quality characteristics. However, all processes have a common problem of stair stepping which will have a significant effect on final the product quality. It is often desirable for an RP model to have lower surface roughness, good accuracy and lower build time..

Today, FDM is the second most common commercial layered manufacturing system (Justin, et al. 1999). There are several attempts to produce better product using FDM. These attempts were intended to obtain product which best surface finish, lowest build time and high geometrical accuracy. It has becomes a big challenge for a RP user to minimize the adverse effects through appropriate orientation of the model during building. Currently, there is no systematic methodology or software to aid this process

(R.I. Campbell, et al. 2002). For optimum selection orientation, the user must have some indication of the product quality. Most of the FDM users use the default setting of the various parameters available in the system when building a model. Others rely on the supplier recommendation or use trial and error methods in order to obtain a good quality model within a very short time ( Azanizawati, et al. 2003 ).

Todd Grimm et al. (2003), explained that there were two reasons. First , FDM brings forth a new set of evaluation criteria since the technology has different parameter set than other RP technologies . Second , while it is widely used , the technology is most often applied to internal use rather than service bureau operations , which limits the availability of hands on data .

## **2.1 Introduction To Rapid Prototyping**

Rapid Prototyping (RP) can be defined as a group of techniques used to quickly fabricate a scale model of a part or assembly using three-dimensional computer-aided design (CAD) data (Hilton, et al. 2000) . It is also refers to the physical modeling of a design based on a special class of machine technology (Wohlers and T., 2003). The capability of RP in directly generating physical objects from graphical computer data enable the transition from basic research and design work into finished products faster, with higher quality, and at lower cost.

RP has also been referred to as solid free-form manufacturing (SFM), computer automated manufacturing, and layered manufacturing. Solid is used because while the initial state may be liquid, powder, individual pellets or laminates, the end result is a



## REFERENCES

1. Anitha R. , Arunachalam S. , Radhakrishnan P. (2001) . “ Critical parameter influencing the quality of prototype in fused deposition modeling.” Journal Of Material Processing Technology .
2. Azanizawati Ma’ram , Safian Sharif , Khidzir Zakaria , Jafri Mohamed Rohani (2003). “Quality assessment of hollow prototypes model in fused deposition modeling.” CARs & FOF .
3. B.H. Lee , J. Abdullah , Z.A. Khan (2005) . “Optimization of rapid prototyping parameters for production of flexible ABS object .” Journal of Materials Processing Technology
4. Chua, Chee Kai and Leong, Kah Fai (1997). “Rapid Prototyping Principles and Applications in Manufacturing.” Singapore: John Wiley & Sons (Asia). 1 – 23, 92 – 95, 151 – 152, 260 – 269.
5. C.W. Ziemian , P.M. Crawn III (2001) , “Computer aided decision support for fused deposition modeling .” Rapid prototyping Journal pp. 138 – 147
6. Cooper, K. G. (2001). “Rapid Prototyping Technology Selection and Application.” N. Y., USA: Marcel Dekker. 1 – 11, 68 – 87, 160 – 162, 166 – 167.
7. D.T. Pham , S.S. Demov (2001), “Rapid Manufacturing : The Technologies and Applications of Rapid Prototyping and Rapid Tooling.” Springer-Verlag , London Limited .
8. Emmanuel Sabourin , Scott A. Houser , Jan Helge Bohn (1997) , “Accurate

*exterior , fast interior layered manufacturing.*” Rapid prototyping journal pp. 44-52

9. Feng Lin , Wei Sun , Yongnian Yan (2001) , “Optimization with minimum process error for layered manufacturing fabrication.” Rapid Prototyping Journal pp.73-81
10. Hilton, P. D. and Jacobs P. F., (Eds.) (2000). “Rapid Tooling Technologies an Industrial Applications.” N. Y., USA: Marcel Dekker. 1 – 13, 51 – 57.
11. Justin Tyberg , Jan Helge Bohn (1999) , “FDM systems and local adaptive slicing.” Int. Journal Of Material and Design.
12. K. Gautham , M.I. Novi , M. Henderson , “A design tool to control surface roughness In rapid fabrication.”  
[http://prism.asu.edu/research/data/publications/paper98\\_dtcsrf.pdf](http://prism.asu.edu/research/data/publications/paper98_dtcsrf.pdf)
13. K. Thrimurthulu , Pulak M. Pandey , N. Venkata Reddy ( 2004 ) , “Optimum part deposition orientation in fused deposition modeling.” Int. J. Of Machine Tools & Manufacture 323-331.
14. P. Alexander , S. Allen, D. Dutta (1998).“ Part Orientation and build cost determination in layered manufacturing .”J. Of Computer Aided Design .
15. P.M. Pandey , N. V. Reddy , S.G. Dhande ( 2003 ) , “Real time adaptive slicing for fused deposition modeling.” International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture
16. P.M. Pandey , N. Venkata Reddy , Sanjay G, Dhande (2003), “Improvement of surface finish by staircase machining in fused deposition modeling .” J. Of Material Processing Technology 323-331

17. Pulak Mohan Pandey , N. Venkata Reddy , Sanjay G. Dhande (2003). "Slicing procedures in layered manufacturing : a review." Rapid prototyping journal pp.274-288
18. Prashant Kulkarni , Anne Marsan , Debasish Dutta , 2000, "A review of process planning techniques in layered manufacturing." Rapid Prototyping Journal pp. 18-35
19. R C Pennington , N L Hoekstra , J L Newcomer (2005) , "Significant factors in the dimensional accuracy of fused deposition modeling." J. Process Mechanical Engineering .
20. R.I. Campbell , M. Martorelli , H.S. Lee (2002) , "Surface roughness visualization for rapid prototyping models." Int. J. Of Computer Aided Design 717-725
21. S.H. Massod , W. Rattanawong , P. Iovenitti (2001). "Part Build Orientations based on volumetric error in fused deposition modeling . International Journal of Advance Manufacturing Technology .
22. S.H. Masood , W. Rattanawong , P. Iovenitti (2003) , "A generic algorithm for a best part orientation system for complex parts in rapid prototyping." Int. J. Of Materials Processing Technology 110-116 .
23. Sung-Hoon Ahn , Michael Montero, Dan Odell , Shad Roundy , Paul K. Wright (2002). "Anisotropic material properties of fused deposition modeling ABS ." Emerald , Rapid Prototyping 248-257.
24. Stratasys, Inc. (2003). "Profiting From the Product Continuum." Eden Prairie, MN: 2003 Annual Report. 1 – 10.

25. Todd Grim , T.A. Grimm & Associates (2003) . “Fused deposition modeling : A technology evaluation .” [www.time-compression.com](http://www.time-compression.com)
26. V. Bharath , P.N. Dharma , Mark Henderson ( 2000 ) , “Sensitivity of RP surface finish To process parameter variation .” in : Solid Free Form Fabrication Proceedings;pp.251 – 258 .
27. Weiyin Ma , Wing Chung But , Peiren He (2004), “NURBS-based adaptive slicing for efficient rapid prototyping.” *Int. J. Of Computer aided design* 1309-1325
28. Wohlers, T. (2003). “The Rapid Prototyping Manufacturing Industry.” *Advanced Materials & Processes*. 161 (1). 35 – 37.
29. W. Rattanawong , S.H. Masood , P. Ioveniti (2001), “A volumetric approach to part build orientations in rapid prototyping.” *Int. J. Of Materials Processing Technology* 348-353.
30. Kai Yang , Basem El-Haik , (2003) .“Design for six sigma.” McGraw Hill
31. Emmanuel Sabourine , Scott A. Houser , Jan Helge Bohn \*1996). “Adaptive slicing using stepwise uniform refinement.” *Rapid Prototyping Journal* pp.20-26
32. Prashant Kulkarni , Debasish Dutta (1996). “An accurate slicing procedure for layered manufacturing .” *Computer aided design* pp. 683-697
33. Nur Fazidah Bt Elias.(2001) “Performance Evaluation Of Rapid Prototyping Process.” *Master Thesis. University Teknologi Malaysia;* .

34. Ahmad Faizal (2006) "Evaluation on the effect of the support parameters and slice thickness on prototype quality in fused deposition modeling." Master Thesis Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

