

SIMULATION OF LAMINATION ORIENTATION FOR CARBON FIBER
REINFORCED POLYMER AS A WRAPPING STRUCTURE ON PIPING SYSTEM

SHAKTIVELL A/L M. LETCHUMANAN

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment
of requirements for the award of the
Degree of Master of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Manufacturing
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

July 2021

For my beloved father **Mr. M.Letchumanan** and my mother **Mrs. R. Tamilselvi**

*“Sire greatest boon on son confers, who makes him meet,
In councils of the wise to fill
the highest seat”- Kural: 67*

The benefit which a father should confer on his son is to give him precedence in the assembly of the learned

*“When mother hears him named 'fulfill'd of wisdom's lore,'
Far greater joy she feels, than when
her son she bore”- Kural: 69*

The mother who hears her son called "a wise man" will rejoice more than she did at his birth

*“To sire, what best requital can by grateful child be done?
To make men say, 'What merit gained the
father such a son?’”- Kural: 70*

(So to act) that it may be said "by what great penance did his father beget him," is the benefit which a son should render to his father.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“In the name of God, the most gracious, the most compassable”

It has been God’s blessing that the completion of my Master’s Research that has been achieved and completed after facing lots of hurdles during this COVID-19 crisis. I wish to express my sincere gratitude to all the parties, as this thesis would not have been the same as presented here. I am also indebted to Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) for providing the facilities for my thesis completion. Staff at the Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering and Centre of Graduate Studies (CGS) also deserves special thanks for their assistance during my project.

I sincerely would like to thank my supervisor Ts. Dr. Ahmad Mubarak Bin Tajul Arifin for his guidance, flexibility and unconditional support throughout this journey. Without his outmost dedication I may not complete this project successfully in this particular time frame. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and advices are useful indeed. I also specially would like to thank Encik Mohamad Rashid Bin Kasmari (Solidwork Modelling Lab Assistant Engineer-FKMP) for providing a good support during my lab work. Last but not least, I would like to appreciate my parent’s effort, guidance and economical support on being a part of this successful journey. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space and I appreciate to those whom had supported me directly and indirectly to complete the research.

ABSTRACT

Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) was designed, simulated and evaluated as a wrapping material on defected pipe using computational approach. This composite material was considered as a unique wrapping material as it may have the combined characteristics of the constituents or have substantially different properties than the individual constituents. Specifically, this research evaluates the capability of CFRP as a wrapper through SolidWorks Simulation using the static analysis and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis. This approach gives a preliminary consideration and justification on choosing the optimized lamination orientation of CFRP in real cases based on the simulated data. Various orientations were simulated and analysed throughout this research. Based on all the simulation analysis, the CFRP wrapper with quasi -isotropic lamination with the 8 plies $(45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ orientation was seen most effective in reducing the stress and possess highest minimum safety factor at the fully defected region (100mm x 100mm x 7.11mm thru) after the repair. Eventually, this optimized CFRP lamination orientation, proved that it was able to withstand pressures ranging between 0.86MPa to 19.6MPa with a layer thickness in between 0.16mm up to 3.76mm. Based on the static analysis, this optimized laminated orientation of CFRP indeed showed that it was able to reduce the stress on an average of 94.10% after the repair was done. Relatively, CFRP was 0.2% higher in reducing the maximum stress at the defected region at the pipe, than the Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP) with the same orientation. Additionally, the flow simulation analysis in SolidWorks showed that fluid flow was undisrupted after the repair was done and the wrapped region was resistant to any fluid leakages.

ABSTRAK

Polimer Bertetulang Gentian Karbon (CFRP) telah direka bentuk, disimulasikan dan dinilai sebagai bahan pembalut pada paip yang rosak menggunakan pendekatan pengkomputeran. Bahan komposit ini dianggap sebagai bahan pembalut yang unik kerana ia mungkin mempunyai gabungan ciri-ciri komponen atau mempunyai sifat yang jauh berbeza daripada individu. Secara khususnya, kajian ini menilai keupayaan CFRP sebagai pembalut bagi struktur paip melalui simulasi SolidWorks menggunakan analisa statik dan Pengkomputeran Pengaliran Bendalir (CFD). Pendekatan ini memberikan pertimbangan dan justifikasi awal untuk memilih orientasi laminasi CFRP yang paling sesuai pada keadaan sebenar berdasarkan data simulasi. Berdasarkan semua data analisa simulasi, pembalut CFRP dengan laminasi kuasi-isotropik dengan orientasi 8 lapisan ($45^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ/45^\circ$) dilihat paling berkesan dalam mengurangkan tekanan dan mempunyai faktor keselamatan minima tertinggi di kawasan yang bocor sepenuhnya selepas dibaiki (100mm x 100mm x 7.11mm). Akhirnya, orientasi laminasi CFRP ini, membuktikan bahawa ia dapat menahan tekanan antara 0.86MPa hingga 19.6MPa dengan ketebalan lapisan antara 0.16 mm hingga 3.76 mm. Berdasarkan analisa statik, orientasi CFRP berlaminasi ini memang menunjukkan bahawa ia dapat mengurangkan tekanan pada kadar 94.10% setelah dibalut. Secara relatifnya, CFRP lebih efisien dalam mengurangkan tekanan maksimum di bahagian paip berbanding dengan Polimer Bertetulang Gentian Kaca (GFRP) dengan orientasi yang sama pada kadar 0.2%. Selain itu, analisa simulasi aliran dalam SolidWorks pula menunjukkan bahawa aliran bendalir tidak terganggu setelah pembalutan dilakukan dan kawasan yang dibalut mampu bertahan terhadap tekanan air di bahagian yang mengalami kebocoran bendalir.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PROJECT TITLE	i
	DECLARATIONS	ii
	DEDICATIONS	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	5
	1.3 Objectives	6
	1.4 Scope	7
	1.5 Significant Study	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Defects on pipe system	8
	2.3 Composite material	12
	2.3.1 Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP)	13
	2.3.2 Polymer Resin of CFRP	17

2.3.3	Carbon Fibre as Wrapping Material (Case Study 1)	19
2.3.4	Carbon Fibre as Wrapping Material (Case Study 2)	27
2.4	Pipe Specifications	30
2.5	Conventional Pipe Repairs Methods	36
2.5.1	Compound Box	36
2.5.2	Clamps	37
2.5.3	Slip Lining	38
2.5.3	Steel Sleeve	38
2.6	Existing Pipeline Wrapping	39
2.6.1	Polyethylene / Primer Coating Pipe Wrap Tape For Corrosion Protective Pipes	40
2.6.2	Plastic Rockshield	42
2.6.3	Hand Wrapping Tape	43
2.7	Computational Analysis On Simulating The Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer As Pipeline Wrapping Structure	45
2.7.1	Modelling Pipe Structure	45
2.7.2	Modelling of Putty	48
2.7.3	Computational Fluid Dynamics Analysis	49
2.8	Summary of Previous Studies	52
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	53
3.1	Overall Research Methodology Flow Chart Description	53
3.2	Stage 1: Formulating The CFRP New Wrapping Structure	55
3.2.1	Parameter Setup	55

3.2.2	Design 100% Wall Loss Defected Pipe	62
3.2.3	Design Sealer Defected Pipe	63
3.2.4	Modelling CFRP Wrapper	64
3.2.5	Assemble of Sealer and CFRP Wrapper on Defected Pipe	65
3.3	Stage 2: Analysis on Repaired Defected Pipe by CFRP Wrapping Structure	66
3.3.1	Static Analysis	67
3.3.2	Fluid Simulation Analysis / CFD Analysis	69
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Static Analysis on Unrepaired Defected Pipe	75
4.2.1	The Static Analysis on Defected Pipe (0.86 MPa)	76
4.2.2	The Static Analysis on Defected Pipe (1.97 MPa)	79
4.2.3	The Static Analysis on Defected Pipe (5.65 MPa)	82
4.2.4	The Static Analysis on Defected Pipe (19.65 MPa)	85
4.2.5	The Summary of Static Analysis of Defected Pipe	88
4.3	Static Analysis on Repaired Defected Pipe	91
4.3.1	The Static Analysis on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe (0.86 MPa)	91
4.3.2	The Static Analysis on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe (1.97 MPa)	104



4.3.3	The Static Analysis on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe (5.65 MPa)	117
4.3.4	The Static Analysis on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe (19.65 MPa)	130
4.3.5	The Summary of Static Analysis on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe	143
4.4	Static Analysis Comparison Between CFRP and GFRP	149
4.5	Flow Simulation Analysis on CFRP Wrapping Structure	153
4.5.1	Flow Simulation Analysis: Cut Plots	154
4.5.2	Flow Simulation Analysis: Surface Plots	155
4.5.3	Flow Simulation Analysis: Flow Trajectories	156
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	158
5.1	Conclusion	158
5.2	Recommendation	159
	REFERENCES	160
	APPENDICES (A-C)	171



LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Different pipes used in oil field and their performance	14
2.2	Mechanical properties of carbon fibres compared with steel materials	15
2.3	Advantages and disadvantages of various type of composites	16
2.4	Various Fibre Reinforced Polymers Properties	17
2.5	Mechanical properties of commonly used polymer resins	19
2.6	Mechanical Properties of the different orientation of CFRP and GFRP	25
2.7	Average properties of the repair materials	29
2.8	Fluid Service Categories	33
2.9	API 5L Grade B Pipe Specification	34
2.10	The Nominal Pipe Size and Minimum Overlap of Wrapper	35
2.11	The properties of the inner wrap	41
2.12	The properties of the outer wrap	42
2.13	The properties of the Rockshield	43
2.14	The properties of Hand Wrapping Tape	44
2.15	Parameters used in CFD analysis of multiphase flow in the elbow	50

3.1	Parameter Set up for Pipe Specification	55
3.2	Theoretical Defect Parameters Based on Pipe Specification	56
3.3	Design Parameters	58
3.4	CFRP Wrapping Structure Parameters	59
4.1	The orientation and the parameters	75
4.2	Stress Analysis on unrepaired pipe (0.86 MPa)	76
4.3	Resultant Displacement of unrepaired pipe due to load (0.86 MPa)	77
4.4	Strain on unrepaired pipe due to load (0.86 MPa)	78
4.5	Stress Analysis on unrepaired pipe (1.97 MPa)	79
4.6	Resultant Displacement of unrepaired pipe due to load (1.97 MPa)	80
4.7	Strain on unrepaired pipe due to load (1.97 MPa)	80
4.8	Stress Analysis on unrepaired pipe (5.65 MPa)	82
4.9	Resultant Displacement of unrepaired pipe due to load (5.65 MPa)	83
4.10	Strain on unrepaired pipe due to load (5.65MPa)	84
4.11	Stress Analysis on unrepaired pipe (19.65MPa)	85
4.12	Resultant Displacement of unrepaired pipe due to load (19.65 MPa)	86
4.13	Strain on unrepaired pipe due to load (19.65 MPa)	87
4.14	The summary of the static analysis unrepaired defected pipe	88

4.15	Static Analysis on 0°_{UD} CFRP Wrapped Pipe @ 0.86MPa	92
4.16	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @0.86MPa	96
4.17	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @ 0.86MPa	97
4.18	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/-90^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @0.86MPa	98
4.19	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @ 0.86MPa	99
4.20	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @0.86MPa	100
4.21	Static Analysis on $(-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @0.86MPa	101
4.22	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @0.86MPa	102
4.23	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @0.86MPa	103
4.24	Static Analysis on 0°_{UD} CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	105
4.25	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97 MPa	109
4.26	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	110
4.27	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/-90^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	111
4.28	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	112
4.29	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	113
4.30	Static Analysis on $(-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	114
4.31	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	115
4.32	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @1.97MPa	116

4.33	Static Analysis on 0°_{UD} CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65MPa	118
4.34	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65 MPa	122
4.35	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65MPa	123
4.36	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/-90^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65 MPa	124
4.37	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65 MPa	125
4.38	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65MPa	126
4.39	Static Analysis on $(-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65MPa	127
4.40	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65MPa	128
4.41	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @5.65MPa	129
4.42	Static Analysis on 0°_{UD} CFRP Wrapped Pipe @ 19.65MPa	131
4.43	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65 MPa	135
4.44	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	136
4.45	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/-90^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	137
4.46	Static Analysis on $(90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/90^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	138
4.47	Static Analysis on $(45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	139
4.48	Static Analysis on $(-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	140
4.49	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ}/-45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	141
4.50	Static Analysis on $(0^{\circ}/-45^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/45^{\circ})_s$ CFRP Wrapped Pipe @19.65MPa	142

4.51	Maximum Stress on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe	144
4.52	The Percentage Of Stress Reduction By CFRP Wrapper	146
4.53	Minimum factor of safety of CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe	147
4.54	Maximum Stress on Repaired Pipe (MPa) between CFRP and GFRP	150
4.55	The percentage of stress reduction by CFRP and GFRP wrapper	151
4.56	Maximum Safety Factor On Repaired Pipe Between CFRP And GFRP	152



LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Sample pipeline problems (a) Corrosion on pipe; (b) Pipe burst	3
1.2	The lamination model	5
2.1	The irregular depth, width and length due to corrosion on a defected pipe	9
2.2	(a) Defect on pipe surface, (b) Volumetric loss due to corrosion in pipeline surface	11
2.3	Comparison of Carbon fibre with human hair	13
2.4	Molecular structures of (a) thermoplastic resins and, (b) thermosetting resins.	18
2.5	(a) Unidirectional quasi-isotropic and, (b) cross-piled quasi-isotropic lamination	20
2.6	Schematic diagram of: (a) symmetric quasi-isotropic laminate; (b) symmetric laminate	21
2.7	Flexural stress-strain curves for different orientations: (a) CFRP; (b) GFRP	24
2.8	SEM micrographs for different laminate orientations: 0° – a, b; 45° – c, d; $(45^\circ/-45^\circ/45^\circ)_s$ – e, f; $(\pm 45^\circ/0^\circ/90^\circ)_s$ – g, h; 90° – i, j; CFRP – a, c, e, g, i; GFRP – b, d, f, h, j in bending	26
2.9	Blister formation at the interface, starting from a through-thickness defect	28
2.10	The pipe structure terminologies	31

2.11	Flange joins to section of pipe, where thereafter the compound is injected through valve to cure	37
2.12	When the leak is located on the weld seam the Clamp method is used	37
2.13	The illustration on slip lining process	38
2.14	Shows a steel sleeve used for long lasting repairs	39
2.15	Polyethylene Tape Coating & Wrapping	40
2.16	The Plastic Rockshield Wrapper is more convenient to be used for the pipelines outer structure	43
2.17	Hand Wrapping Tape Method	44
2.18	The extruded pipe structure	46
2.19	The boundary conditions on extruded pipe for flow analysis	46
2.20	Parameters that could be covered in SOLIDWORKS: (a) Boundary Conditions for inlet substance, (b) Goals or results that could be achieved, (c) Various inlet substance	47
2.21	Process in achieving structural mesh	48
2.22	(a) The Geometry of putty and (b) The meshed model of the putty	48
2.23	Meshed model of steel pipe, putty, composite and repaired pipe	49
2.24	The lid feature and its part in geometry building	50
2.25	Computed flow trajectories and velocities for the aorta before stent implantation	51
3.1	Research Methodology Flowchart	54
3.2	Schematic diagram of defected pipe	59
3.3	The Material Properties of API 5L Grade B Carbon Steel in SOLIDWORKS	61
3.4	The Material Properties of CFRP Wrapper in SOLIDWORKS	61

3.5	a) Pipe design without defect, b) Draw the defect on the pipe , c) Draw the flanges for the pipe, d) Apply material properties for the pipe.	62
3.6	a)Dimension of sealer, b)Extrusion of sealer, c)Fillet feature on sealer, d) Apply material properties for the sealer.	63
3.7	a)Dimension and surface feature of wrapper, b) Material Properties of CFRP Wrapper, c) CFRP Lamination Angle Optimization.	65
3.8	a) Insert Defected Pipe, b) Assemble Sealer, c) Assemble CFRP Wrapper, d) Mates that are used to assemble	66
3.9	The use of fixed geometry, contact set and internal pressure for static analysis	67
3.10	(a) The general mesh of whole structure, (b) The control mesh at defected region	
3.11	(a) The sample stress results, (b) The sample strain results, (c) The sample displacement results, (d) The sample factor of safety results, (e) The list of results that could be achieved by static analysis	69
3.12	The use of lid feature to close the pipe openings.	70
3.13	The steps to put in the fluid properties	71
3.14	(a) The inlet velocity and total pressure, (b) The overall boundary conditions	72
3.15	(a) The Cut Plots, (b) The Flow Trajectories, (c) The Surface Plots	
4.1	(a) Pipe structure without sealer, (b) Pipe Structure with sealer	74
4.2	The Graph of Minimum Stress & Strain vs Pressure	89
4.3	The Graph of Maximum Stress & Strain vs Pressure	90
4.4	The Graph of Maximum Resultant Displacement vs Pressure	90

4.5	The graph of Maximum Stress on CFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe against the CFRP Lamination Orientation	145
4.6	The graph of Minimum factor of Safety of CFRP Wrapped Repaired Pipe	148
4.7	Static analysis on GFRP Wrapped Defected Pipe.	149
4.8	The maximum stress on repaired pipe wrapped with GFRP and CFRP	150
4.9	The minimum safety factor on repaired pipe wrapped with GFRP and CFRP	152
4.10	The boundary conditions on CFRP wrapped pipe	153
4.11	The cut plot of the pipe: (a) Fluid Pressure, (b) Fluid Temperature, (c) Fluid Velocity	154
4.12	The pressure surface plots	155
4.13	The temperature surface plots	156
4.14	(a) The CFRP Wrapped Sealed Defected Pipe, (b) CFRP Wrapped Unsealed Defected Pipe	156
4.15	(a) Flow trajectories for pressure, (b) is the flow trajectories for temperature, (c) flow trajectories for velocity	157



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

API	-	American Petroleum Institute
ASME	-	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
CAD	-	Computer Aided Design
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CFRP	-	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer
CI	-	Critical Structure
DM	-	Diametre Nominal
Exp.	-	Experimental
exp. coeff	-	Exponential Coefficient
FEA	-	Finite Element Analysis
FKMP	-	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Manufacturing
FOS	-	Factor of Safety
GFRP	-	Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer
MAWP	-	Maximum Allowable Working Pressure

NACE	-	National Association of Corrosion Engineers
NPS	-	Nominal Pipe Size
OD	-	Outer Diameter
SCH	-	Schedule
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SI	-	Strategic Infrastructure
STD	-	Standard
Theo.	-	Theoretical
UD	-	Unidirectional
USA	-	United States of America
UV	-	Ultraviolet
XS	-	Extra Strong
XXS	-	Double Extra Strong



LIST OF APPENDICES

- A Pipe Schedules (according to ASME / ANSI B36.10m)
- B API5L 45th Edition Specification for Line Pipe
- C Design Parameters for API 5L Grade B Pipe
- D Sample Calculation to Obtain Minimum Wrapping Thickness
Relative to Pressure Ranges



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

In this modern working culture, sustainable technologies that focusses on ensuring modern competitive production that are supported by systems consisting of software, communications, machines instruments, tools and structures, which in combination form infrastructure systems, distributed across a certain territory are given the priority. The most important infrastructural facilities are called as Critical Infrastructure (CI) and the most critical of them are the strategic infrastructures (SIs) [1]. One of the most common CI elements is the pipeline system. These includes, the infield and main oil product, as well as gas distribution lines, pipelines of nuclear and cogeneration power plants, pipeline systems of ships and aircraft control systems. Pipelines are also useful for the transport of drinking water or irrigation over long distances when it is required to travel over hills or when the canals which are low for reasons of evaporation, contamination or environmental effects. In spite of the costs of constructing pipelines, they are relatively economical means of transport.

In this pipeline system it consists of pipes, pump stations, compressor stations, and other facilities to support the safety environment and to make sure a continuous movement of liquids and gases. Pipes are most often buried in three ground, but they also laid under water and sometimes erected above the ground. In order to ensure constant fluid flow through the pipe, the reliability of the pipe system is accomplished by pipe insulation,

offering high hydraulic efficiency and stability in a range of uses, including oil and gas pipelines, city water pipes and manufacturing tanks. As mentioned earlier these critical sectors safety is given the highest priority since the risk exposure is very high. The combination of design, material and operating practices always lowers the chances of pipe failure. Natural occurrence and exposure to critical elements also contributes to the failure of pipeline system. To eliminate such failures, pipeline structure is given the highest level of priority and maintenance to maintain the continuous product flow in the pipe. Durability of the pipe structure is achieved through the pipe insulation where it provides a high mechanical strength and flexibility in a variety of applications. Mechanical insulation systems have a substantially positive effect on thermal, acoustical and personnel safety and on the annual operating budget. Thermal insulation and acoustic insulation are constructed on pipe and hydraulic structures to accomplish several of the following: energy efficiency, staff safety, process management, condensation management, noise reduction and greenhouse gas emission reduction. This insulation method is discussed earlier as this research focusses on usage of carbon fibre as the wrapping material on pipe [1].

For decades composite material had started to play a key role over the metal pipeline in the oil and gas sectors. This option is now been considered for funnel protection as an alternative for conventional pipeline fix practices. As “ASME PCC-2: Repair of Pressure Equipment and Piping standard and ISO/TS 24817” gives clear guidelines on fixing and evaluating the composite material as pipe repairing material, this research was decided to study on the Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) as wrapping material. Since carbon fibre falls under the composite category in general, at first the composite material needs to be defined where it is means, as it is made up of different parts or various materials where they are referred as constituents. This composite material has a very unique characteristic were depending on the manner in which the constituents are put together, resulting composite material may have the combined characteristics of the constituents or have substantially different properties than the individual constituents [2,3]. That’s the reason behind its high consumption in various industry sectors as it possibly could possess low density, corrosion resistance and various better mechanical properties.

Furthermore, the carbon fibre was decided to be used as the main material among the other type of composite material, due to its specific characteristics which will be discussed further in this research. In present, the above-mentioned approach on piping system is applied as defect, leakage and imperfection in pipe have been the critical problem that being faced in various industry as what has been illustrated in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Sample pipeline problems (a) Corrosion on pipe; (b) Pipe burst

Replacing the damaged steel pipes with the new one will not only consume high cost but also will create complication during product flow. Moreover, replacing the damaged pipe with new one due to gouges, pits, and splits was never been the right choice as it is costly, time consuming, labour intensive and create complication during product flow [4,5]. Due to long moulding cycle times, these materials, which may also be reinforced with glass or other fibres, have a high price tag and are better suited for lower volume manufacture. In recent years, new technology for lowering fibre costs and panel processing has been in the news, and growing implementation is a good indicator that progress is being made. Over the next few years, expect more announcements of upgrades and applications. Composite wrap repair can be used to repair non-leaking pipeline flaws permanently, as well as to treat interior corrosion-related problems temporarily. It will save money since it will avoid the need to shut down a damaged pipeline. Composite wrapping takes two days on an average, whereas pipeline replacement takes five to seven days [104]. When repairing non-leaking faults that must be finished fast, composite wrap

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Timashev, A. Bushinskaya. *Diagnostics and Reliability of Pipeline Systems*, Vol. 30, pp.1-2, Springer, Switzerland, 2016.
- [2] C. T Joen, Y. Park, Q. Wang, A. Sommers, X.Han, A.Jacobi (2009). *A review on polymer heat exchangers for HVAC&R applications*, International Journal of Refrigeration, Vol 32, pp 763-779.
- [3] S.Timashev, A.Bushinskaya, (2016). *Methods of Assessing Integrity of Pipeline Systems with Different Types of Defects*. *Diagnostics and Reliability of Pipeline Systems*. Topics in Safety, Risk, Reliability and Quality, Vol 30, pp 9-43
- [4] United States and E. P. Agency, “Composite Wrap for Non-Leaking Pipeline Defects,” *Lessons Learn. from Nat. Gas STAR Partners*, pp. 1–11, 2006.
- [5] H. R. Bend, Fishermans, “Composite repair of pipelines, considering the effect of live pressure-analytical and numerical 2 models with respect to ISO/TS 24817 and ASME PCC-2.”
- [6] M. Kara, M. Uyaner & A. Avci (2015) *Repairing impact damaged fiber reinforced composite pipes by external wrapping with composite patches*, Journal of Composite Structures, Vol. 123, pp 1-8.
- [7] Seal Expert (2015). *Composite repair for pipe corrosion and leaks*, Retrieved on Jun 03, 2015 from <https://www.sealxpert.com/leak-article-a14-composite-repair-for-pipe-corrosion-and-leaks/>
- [8] Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, US Department of Transportation (2011). *Fact Sheet: Pipe Defects and Anomalies*. Retrieved on January 12, 2011 from <https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/comm/FactSheets/FSPipeDefects.htm?nocache=9047>

- [9] NACE International, *Basic Corrosion: Student Manual*, United States, 2014.
- [10] Haider, Aftab. (2017). *Technical Report Repair Techniques for In Service and Out of Service Buried Pipelines*.
- [11] K. Sing Lim, S.A.A. Azraai, N. Yahaya, N. Noor, L. Zardasti & J.H.J. Kim (2019). *Behaviour of Steel Pipelines with Composite Repairs Analysed using Experimental and Numerical Approaches*, Journal of Thin-Walled Structures, Vol. 139, pp 321-333.
- [12] A. Cosham, P.Hopkins, K.A. Macdonald. (2007) *Best practice for the assessment of defects in pipelines – Corrosion*, Engineering Failure Analysis. pp 1245-1265.
- [13] Živče Šarkoćević, Dragan Lazarević, Ivica Čamagić, Mladen Radojković, Bojan Stojčetočić. (2019) *The pipeline defect assessment manual – short review*, Proceedings Paper of XXI YUCORR-International Conference pp. 161-166.
- [14] J.P. Roland, T. Susannah, H.Phil (2008). *A Proposal for the Development of an International Recommended Practice in Pipeline Defect Assessment and Repair Selection*, International Conference on, The Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Pipelines.
- [15] M. Al-Amin, Wenxing Zhou. (2013). *Evaluating The System Reliability Of Corroding Pipelines Based On Inspection Data*. Special Issue of the Structure and Infrastructure Engineering: Maintenance, Management, Life-Cycle Design and Performance, pp 1161-1175.
- [16] S.Timashev, A.Bushinskaya, (2016). *Methods of Assessing Integrity of Pipeline Systems with Different Types of Defects*. Diagnostics and Reliability of Pipeline Systems. Topics in Safety, Risk, Reliability and Quality, Vol 30, pp 9-43.
- [17] M. Hadj Meliani, O. Bouledroua, Z. Azari, A. Sorour, N. Merah and G. Pluvinage. (2018) *The Inspections, Standards and Repairing Methods for Pipeline with Composite: A Review and Case Study*, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on New Trends in Fatigue and Fracture. pp.147-156

- [18] Gurdal, Zafer. *Design and Optimization of Laminated Composite Materials*, New York, John Wiley, 1999.
- [19] Yue Liu, B. Swingmann, Mike Schlaich. (2015), *Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer for Cable Structures*, Journal of Polymers. Vol. 7, pp 2078-2099.
- [20] M Mallick, P. K. *Composites Engineering Handbook*, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1997.
- [21] Chung, D. *Carbon Fibre Composites*; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, UK, 1994.
- [22] Melander (2016). *Fiber Reinforced Polymers for Rehabilitation of Action Research and Case Study*, Master Thesis, Chalmers University of Technology.
- [23] A.M. Tajul Arifin, S. Abdullah, R. Zulkifli, D.A. Wahab, (2013). *A Study on Characteristic of Polymer Matrix Composites Using Experimental and Statistical Approach*. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 368–370, 683–686.
- [24] F.Gao, L. Boniface, SL. Ogin, PA. Smith, RP.Greaves.(1999) *Damage Accumulation In Woven Fabric CFRP Laminates Under Tensile Loading. Part 2. Modelling The Effect Of Damage On Macro-Mechanical Properties*. Journal of Composite Science Technology. Vol.59(1), pp.137–45.
- [25] R. Talreja,(2008) *Damage and Fatigue In Composite*. Journal of Composite Science Technology. Vol. 68(1), pp. 2585-2591.
- [26] H.M.S Belmonte, C.I.C Manger, S.L Ogin, P.A Smith, R Lewin (2001) *Characterisation And Modelling Of The Notched Tensile Fracture Of Woven Quasi-Isotropic GFRP Laminates*, Journal of Composite Science Technology, Vol. 61(4), pp.585-597.
- [27] MD. Rafiqzaman, S. Abdullah, A.M. Tajul Arifin. (2015). *Behavioural Observation of Laminated Polymer Composite under Uniaxial Quasi-Static and Cyclic Loads*. Fibres and Polymers. 16. 640
- [28] K. P. Jaya, J. Mathai (2012). *Strengthening of RC Column using GFRP and CFRP*. 15th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering Lisbon, Portugal

- [29] Meier, U. (1992) *Carbon Fibre-Reinforced Polymer: Modern Materials In Bridge Engineering*. Structural. Engineering. Int.2, pp 7–12.
- [30] Roger, B. *Filamentary Graphite And Method For Producing The Same*. U.S. Patent US2,957,756 A, 25 October 1960.
- [31] Alberto, M. (2013). *Introduction of Fibre-Reinforced Polymers and Composites: Concepts, Properties and Processes*. Fiber Reinforced Polymers, Croatia, InTech, 2013.
- [32] Qi. G., Qi. D., Bai Q., Li. H., Wei B., Ding N., Shao X., (2019). *Failure Analysis on Pressure Leakage of FRP*. *Fibres and Polymers*, 20(3), 595–601.
- [33] Protech Composites (2016), *About Carbon Fibre*, Retrieved from <http://www.protechcomposites.com/what-is-carbon-fiber/>
- [34] M. Marwan (2010). *Optimisation of Composite Materials using a Multilevel Decomposition Approach*, Master Thesis, Cranfield University.
- [35] Winistoefer, A.U. *Developemnt of Non-Laminated Advanced Composite Straps for Civil Engineering Applications*. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Warwick , UK, 1999.
- [36] U. Meier,. (1992) *Carbon Fibre-Reinforced Polymer: Modern Materials In Bridge Engineering*. Structural. Engineering. Int.2, pp 7–12.
- [37] C. Elanchezhian, B. Vijaya Ramnath, J. Hemalatha, (2014) *Mechanical Behavior of Glass and Carbon Fibre Reinforced Composites at Varying Strain Rates and Temperature*. *Procedia Materials Science*, Vol. 6, pp. 1405-1418
- [38] Unicomposite (2020), *Advantage and Disadvantage of Fiberglass Products*, Retrieved on May 29, 2020 from <https://www.unicomposite.com/advantage-and-disadvantage-of-fiberglass-products/>
- [39] Roger, B. *Filamentary Graphite And Method For Producing The Same*. U.S. Patent US2,957,756 A, 25 October 1960.

- [40] J. Nurhidayatullaili Muhd, B. Samira and S.M.Sapuan. *Multifunctionalized Carbon Nanotubes Polymer Composites: Properties and Applications*. Eco-friendly Polymer Nanocomposites, India, Springer, 2015.
- [41] M Mallick, P. K. *Composites Engineering Handbook*, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1997.
- [42] Alberto, M. (2013). *Introduction of Fibre-Reinforced Polymers – Polymers and Composites: Concepts, Properties and Processes*. Fiber Reinforced Polymers, Croatia, InTech, 2013.
- [43] Dragon Plate. (2019). *Carbon Fibre 101: What do Isotropic, Quasi-Isotropic, and Anisotropic Mean?* Retrieved on 25th November 2019 from, <https://dragonplate.com/carbon-fibre-101-what-do-isotropic-quasi-isotropic-and>
- [44] Clock Spring NRI, *Installation Guide and Checklist: Clock Spring Coil Pass Method*, CSNRI, 2020.
- [45] Arnab Gupta. (2013) Arnabocean. *What Advantages Does A Composite Have?* Retrieved on 15th March 2013 from, <https://arnabocean.com/frontposts/2013-03-15-compositeadvantage/>
- [46] U.S. Koruche, S.F. Patil, *Application of Classical Lamination Theory And Analytical Modelling Of Laminates*, IRJET. 2 (2015) 958-965.
- [47] Z. Vnucec, *Analysis of The Laminated Composite Plate Under Combined Loads*, In Proceedings of the 5th International Scientific Conference on Production Engineering, Bihac, Bosnia, Herzegovina, 2005.
- [48] Kakur Naresh, Shankar Krishnapillai, Velmurugan Ramachandran. (2017). *Effect of Fibre Orientation on Carbon/Epoxy and Glass/Epoxy Composites Subjected to Shear and Bending*, Solid State Phenomenon, Vol.267, pp 103-108.
- [49] Meniconi, L.C.M. & Perrut, Valber. (2009). *Composite repairs qualification according to ISO/TS 24817*, Rio Pipeline Conference Proceedings
- [50] The Process Piping (2018), *Nominal Pipe Size and Schedule*, Retrieved on 02 July 2018 from, <https://www.theprocesspiping.com/nominal-pipe-size-and-schedule/>

- [51] Metal Supermarkets, *What do pipe schedules mean?*, Retrieved on 05 June 2015 from, <https://www.metalsupermarkets.com/what-do-pipe-schedules-mean/>
- [52] A.Bhatia, *Process Piping-Fundamental, Codes and Standards*, An online Continuing Education for Engineers, PDH Engineer. (2016)
- [53] American Piping Products (2020), *API 5L Seamless & Welded Pipe*, Retrieved on 21st October 2020 from <https://www.amerpipe.com/steel-pipe-products/api-5l-pipe-specifications/>
- [54] Octalsteel (2020), *API 5L Grade B Pipe Specification*, Retrieved on 30th October 2020, from <https://www.octalsteel.com/product/api-5l-seamless-line-pipe>
- [55] Denso (2016), *Denso Bitumen and Butyl*, Retrieved on 21st July 2016 from <http://www.densona.com/productgallery/Denso-Bitumen-Butyl-Tapes.aspx>
- [56] Los Alamos National Laboratory. (2009). *LANL Engineering Standards Manual PD342*, New Mexico, United States: Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- [57] Petro Seal (2018), *Leak Sealing Job on Gaskets of Bolted Assemblies*, Retrieved on 28th October 2019 from, <https://leaksealing.com/processes/>
- [58] Yazdekhashti, Sepideh & R., Piratla & Khan, Abdul & Atamturktur, (2014). *Sez. Analysis of Factors Influencing the Selection of Water Main Rehabilitation Methods*. In Proceedings of North American Society for Trenchless Technology (NASTT).
- [59] PE 100+Association. (2018). *PE Technical Guidance: Slip Lining Process with PE100 Pipe*, Retrieved on 29th May 2018 from, <https://www.pe100plus.com/PE-Pipes/Technical-guidance/Trenchless/Methods/Pipe-Rehabilitation/Slip-lining-i1306.html>
- [60] Industries, R. (2016). *Stainless Steel Repair*. Retrieved from <http://www.romac.com/couplings/>

- [61] Inspection 4 Industry LLC. (2018). *Pipeline Wrapping and Coating Specification*. Retrieved from, <https://www.inspection-for-industry.com/pipeline-wrapping-and-coating-specification.html>
- [62] Typar (2020). *PROTECTAMESH HD Rockshield*. England: Product Brochure.
- [63] Akvile Jonukaite (2017). *Flow Simulation With SolidWorkss*, Bachelor Thesis, Arcada University of Applied Science.
- [64] Hawk Ridge Systems Engineering Team (2017), *How SolidWorkss Flow Simulation Can Prevent Piping Failures?* Retrieved on 01st March 2017 from <https://hawkridgesys.com/blog/SolidWorkss>
- [65] SolidWorks (2016), *SOLIDWORKSS FloXpress*, Dassault Systems, Retrieved on 12th October 2016 from <http://www.SolidWorkss.com/sw/products/simulation/floexpress.htm>.
- [66] Mohit (2020), *Pipe Simulation Using SolidWorks*, Skill LYNC, Retrieved on 22nd June 2020.
- [67] Lim Kar Sing (2017). *Behaviour Of Repaired Composite Steel Pipeline Using Epoxy Grout as Infill Material*. Ph. D Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- [68] P. Stephen (2020), *SolidWorks Simulation Makes Meshing Easy. Too Easy?* Retrieved on 02nd July 2020 from, <https://www.engineersrule.com/SolidWorks-simulation-makes-meshing-easy-too-easy/>
- [69] E.Bellos, C.Tzivanidis, K.A.Antonopoulos, *Thermal Performance Of A Direct-Flow Coaxial Evacuated Tube With SolidWorks Flow Simulation*, 6th International Conference on Experiments, Process, System Modeling, Simulation, Optimization at Athens Greece, 1-8 (2015).
- [70] Y. Doroshenko, J. Doroshenko, V.Zapukhliak, L. Poberezhny, P. Maruschak, *Modeling computational fluid dynamics of multiphase flows in elbow and T-junction of the main gas pipeline*. Transport. 2019. 34 (1): 19-29
- [71] Chapparro Rico, F. Sebastiano, D. Cafolla. (2020) *A Smart Stent Monitoring Eventual Restenosis: Computational Fluid Dynamic and Finite Element Analysis in Descending Thoracic Aorta*, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference of IFToMM Italy (IFIT 2020). pp. 861-867.

- [72] Antaki, G. A. (2003). *Piping and Pipeline Engineering: Design, Construction Maintenance, Integrity, and Repair*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, U.S.A.
- [73] Prabhat S. "Difference Between MAWP and Design Pressure." DifferenceBetween.net. May 17, 2011 <
<http://www.differencebetween.net/science/difference-between-mawp-and-design-pressure/>
- [74] C.Stone (2020), *Understanding Maximum Allowable Working Pressure (MAWP)*, Retrieved on 28th February 2020 from, <https://www.tfsheat.com/understanding-maximum-allowable-working-pressure>
- [75] P S Prashob, A.P. Shashikala, Somasundaran, Thekke. (2017). *Determination of Orthotropic Properties Of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer By Tensile Tests And Matrix Digestion*. International Conference on Composite Materials and Structures.
- [76] L. Carolines, (2020). *Nonlinear-Elastic Orthotropic Material Modeling of an Epoxy-Based Polymer for Predicting the Material Behavior of Transversely Loaded Fiber-Reinforced Composites*. Journal of Composite Science, Vol:4, pp.2-22.
- [77] Engineering ToolBox . (2003).*Temperature Expansion Coefficients Common Piping Materials*. Retrieved on 13th April 2021 from, https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/pipes-temperature-expansion-coefficients-d_48.html
- [78] L. Russell (2009). *Mechanical Properties of Carbon Fibre Composite Materials, Fibre / Epoxy resin (120°C Cure)*. Retrieved on 01st July 2009 from, http://www.performance-composites.com/carbonfibre/mechanicalproperties_2.asp
- [79] SolidWorks (2020). *Defining a Multi-Layered Composite Shell*. Retrieved on 14th April 2021 from, https://help.SolidWorks.com/2020/english/SolidWorks/cworks/c_Defining_Composite_Shells.htm

- [80] SolidWorks (2020). *Contact Analysis*. Retrieved on 14th April 2021 from, https://help.SolidWorks.com/2020/english/SolidWorks/cworks/c_Contact_Analysis.htm?id=4803d43685be4f0797618b51d8046f13#Pg0
- [81] Duell, J.M. (2004). *Characterization and FEA of A Carbon Composite Overwrap Repair System*. Master of Science, The University of Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- [82] Muhammad Eesa. (2009). *CFD Studies of Complex Fluid Flow in Pipes*. PhD Thesis,
- [83] Matt Weber, Gaurav Verma, *SOLIDWORKS Simulation 2015 Black Book*, United States of America, 2015.
- [84] SolidWorks (2019). *Large Displacement Solution*. Retrieved on 14th April 2021 from, https://help.SolidWorks.com/2019/English/SolidWorks/cworks/c_Large_Displacement_Solution.htm
- [85] Xiaobin Le P.E, Richard L. Roberts, Anthony William Duva P.E. (2019), *Teaching Finite Element Analysis for mechanical undergraduate students*. Conference paper of ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition
- [86] A.A.Joshi, R.T. Cherian, G.R. Rao. (2001). *Piping stress analysis*. Project Report, University of Mumbai.
- [87] Ferràs, D., Covas, D. I. C., & Schleiss, A. J. (2014). *Stress–strain analysis of a toric pipe for inner pressure loads*. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, Vol. 51, pp. 68–84.
- [88] P. V.Burkov, S. P. Burkova, S. A Knaub (2015). *Stress and Strain State Analysis of Defective Pipeline Portion*. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, Vol.91, pp. 12-55.
- [89] T. Poutanen, T. Lansivaara, S. Pursiainen, J. Makinen, O.Asp (2021). *Calculation of Safety Factors of the Eurocodes*. *Journal of Applied Science*, Vol: 11, pp.208.

- [90] Rajib. (2021). *Factor Of Safety*, Retrieved on 14th April 2021 from <https://www.riansclub.com/factor-of-safety/>
- [91] Matthew Fetke. (2019). *SolidWorks Flow Simulations: Pressure opening explained*, Retrieved on 22nd May 2019 from, <https://www.cati.com/blog/2019/05/SolidWorks-flow-simulation-pressure-opening-explained/>
- [92] SolidWorks Education. *An Introduction to Flow Analysis Applications with SolidWorks Flow Simulation, Student*, SolidWorks Corporation, USA, 2010.
- [93] Chemistry Libre. (2021) *Gay-Lussac's Law-Temperature and Pressure* Retrieved April 25, 2021, from <https://chem.libretexts.org/@go/page/52227>
- [94] S.Khajehhasani (2017), *SOLIDWORKS Flow Simulation 2018 Plot Callouts*, Retrieved on 24th December 2017 from, <https://www.javelintech.com/blog/2017/12/SolidWorks-flow-simulation-2018-plot-callouts/>
- [95] J.E.Matsson (2013), *An Introduction to SolidWorks Flow Simulation 2013*, Retrieved from: <https://static.sdcpublications.com/pdfs/sample/978-1-58503-783-4-2.pdf>
- [96] Vishal (2020), *Flow Over A Cylinder Using SolidWorks ; Flow Simulation Study*, Retrieve on 04th July 2020, form, <https://skill-lync.com/projects/flow-over-a-cylinder-63>
- [97] Denso (2021), *Pipeline Tape*, Retrieved on 24th April 2021, from <https://www.frasersdirectory.com/product/pipeline-tape-10647368164/>
- [98] Chris Boyles (2017), *So how accurate is SOLIDWORKS Flow Simulation*, Retrieve on 10th October 2017, from, <https://www.solidsolutions.co.uk/blog/2017/10/so-how-accurate-is-SOLIDWORKS-flow-simulation/#.YKTIlagza1s>

- [99] Mohamed (2019), *Flow Simulation Using SolidWorks-Modelling and Simulation of Flow Through A Flowbench*, Retrieve on 23rd June 2019, from <https://skill-lync.com/projects/Modelling-and-simulation-of-flow-through-a-flowbench-Flow-Simulation-using-SolidWorks-54920>
- [100] A.Shrivastava, Deepak, P.M.Mohan (2018). Aerodynamic Analysis Of The Vehicle Using The Solidwork Flow Simulation. *Journal Of Recent Trends In Engineering & Research (IJRTER)*, Vol. 04, Pp. 1457-2455-
- [101] K.Farrag, K. Stutenberg, *Selection of Pipe Repair Methods*. Project Report. Illinois Gas Technology Institute (2013)
- [102] W.Grodzki, A.Łukaszewicz, K.Leśniewski, *Modelling of UAV's Composite Structures and Prediction of Safety Factor*. *Applied Computer Science*, 11(3), 67-75 (2015)
- [103] K.Sandeep, K.Supriya, S.Satbir Singh, *CFD Analysis with SolidWorks Simulation on FPC with Various Design Parameters*. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(39), 1-8 (2016)
- [104] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office Of Air & Radiation, Natural Gas Star (2003). *Composite Wrap For Non-Leaking Pipeline Defects*, Series Of Lessons Learned Summaries Natural Gas STAR Program Best Management Practices.
- [105] J.R. Fekete, J.N. Hall. (2017). *Design Of Auto Body*. *Automotive Steels*, pp.1–18