

DEVELOPMENT OF SUBSONIC CURVED DIFFUSER PERFORMANCE
CORRELATIONS INTEGRATED ANGLE OF TURNS USING ASYMPTOTIC
COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

SHAMSURI BIN MOHAMED RASIDI

A thesis submitted in
fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Degree of Master of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

June 2022

In the name of God, The Most Gracious, The Most Merciful

For my beloved parents, Mr Mohamed Rasidi Bin Abdullah and Mrs Faridah Bt. Abu Bakar.

My dear siblings, Nur Fadilah Binti Mohamed Rasidi, Mohd Azim Bin Mohamed Rasidi, Nur Syamimi Binti Mohamed Rasidi.

My Fiance, Nur Amirah Binti Madri Saleh.

My friends, Muhammad Zahid Firdaus Shariff, Muhammad Syamir Abu Bakar, Akmal Azfar, Solehan Khairulfuad, Razizy Fauzi, Nur Farahain, Nurzaha Hassan, Nur Syazwani, Muhd Ridzuan, Zhairul Iqumal, Nur Farahalya, & Nur Amani.

My respected supervisor Dr Normayati Binti Nordin, and laboratory instructor En. Zaimal Abidin Bin Alias.

Thank you for all your support along the way.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his heartfelt gratitude to his supervisor, Dr Normayati Binti Nordin, for her guidance, assistance, compassion, and encouragement to overcome the various barriers and problems encountered throughout this research.

Additionally, the author wishes to convey his appreciation to his parents, and for their support throughout his two and a half years of study at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. The author wishes to express his gratitude and appreciation to everyone who assisted him in any way during the process.

Insha'Allah, Allah S.W.T. will reward those directly or indirectly engaged in this research, and may Allah shower us with blessings.



ABSTRACT

Numerous studies on the performance of the curved diffuser have been made on either 2-D or 3-D expansion type with various working geometrical and operating parameters. Most researchers are focusing in the existence of flow separation phenomenon and secondary flow vortices that often disturb the recovery of pressure and uniformity of flow. On top of that, the existing guideline have just integrated the geometrical and operating effects in a low range. Therefore, the current work focussing on studying the effects of a wider turning angles in a range of 30° to 180° integrated with various operating condition by experiment and numerical method. The experimental rig was built at Aerodynamics Laboratory, UTHM. The blower speed was set in range of 9RPM-25RPM and tested for 30°, 90° and 180° curved diffusers. A profound set of Re_{in} were obtained for three test made where 6.149×10^4 - 1.828×10^5 for 30°, 6.080×10^4 - 1.820×10^5 for 90°, and 5.784×10^4 - 1.607×10^5 for 180°. The result of C_p obtained indicates that 30° curved diffuser have the best C_p performance from all curved diffusers tested. In validating the results, three solver models are tested which are standard k- ϵ adopting enhanced wall treatment of $y^+ \approx 1.1$ -1.8 was the best operated model to validate the experiment results against numerical. Two sets of correlations that integrate the performance of C_p and σ_{out} by using Asymptotic Computational Fluid Dynamics (ACFD) method is made. This correlation indicated the novelty of the new correlation made in curved diffuser research.

ABSTRAK

Pelbagai kajian tentang prestasi peresap melengkung telah dibuat pada sama ada jenis pengembangan 2-D atau 3-D dengan pelbagai parameter geometri dan operasi yang berfungsi. Kebanyakan penyelidik memfokuskan kepada kewujudan fenomena pemisahan aliran dan pusaran aliran sekunder yang sering mengganggu pemulihan tekanan dan keseragaman aliran. Selain itu, garis panduan sedia ada baru sahaja menyepadukan kesan geometri dan operasi dalam julat yang rendah. Oleh itu, kerja semasa memfokuskan pada mengkaji kesan sudut pusingan yang lebih luas dalam julat 30° hingga 180° disepadukan dengan pelbagai keadaan operasi melalui kaedah eksperimen dan berangka. Rig eksperimen telah dibina di Makmal Aerodinamik, UTHM. Kelajuan blower ditetapkan dalam julat 9RPM-25RPM dan diuji untuk peresap melengkung 30° , 90° and 180° . Re_{in} diperolehi untuk tiga ujian yang dibuat di mana 6.149×10^4 - 1.828×10^5 untuk 30° , 6.080×10^4 - 1.820×10^5 untuk 90° dan 5.784×10^4 - 1.607×10^5 untuk 180° . Hasil C_p terhadap ketiga-tiga kajian menunjukkan peresap lengkung 30° adalah tertinggi. Untuk kaedah numerik, ANSYS 19.2 (Fluent) dengan pelbagai model penyelesaian ditambah dengan rawatan dekat dinding telah digunakan untuk pengesahan dan simulasi intensif dalam prosedur berangka. Antara ketiga-tiga model tersebut, k- ϵ standard yang mengguna pakai rawatan dinding dipertingkatkan $y^+ \approx 1.1$ - 1.8 adalah model kendalian terbaik untuk mengesahkan keputusan eksperimen terhadap berangka. Dua set korelasi yang menyepadukan indeks prestasi dengan menggunakan kaedah Asymptotic Computational Fluid Dynamics (ACFD). Korelasi ini menunjukkan kebaharuan korelasi baharu yang dibuat dalam penyelidikan peresap melengkung.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vv
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATION	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of research	3
1.4 Scope of Research	4
1.5 Significant of Research	4
1.6 Thesis Outline	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Application, Mechanism and Flow Physics in Diffusers	6
2.1.1 Flow separation phenomenon in diffusers	7
2.2 Effect of geometrical and operating parameters on the performance	9
2.2.1 Area ratio (AR)	10
2.2.2 Inner wall length ($LinW1$)	14
2.2.3 The angle of turn ($\Delta\theta$)	15
2.2.4 Inflow Reynolds number (Re)	18
2.3 Previous Experimental works from literature	22
2.4 Numerical work from literature	24
2.5 Previous ACFD works	30



P T T A U T H M

PERUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

2.6	Summary of literature review	36
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		41
3.1	Research workflow	41
3.2	Experimental work	43
3.2.1	Design and development of the rig	43
3.2.2	Instrumentation and measurement setup	47
3.3	Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Work	55
3.3.1	Modelling	55
3.3.2	Meshing	59
3.3.3	Placement of First Grid Point	61
3.3.2.2	Grid Independence Study	65
3.3.4	Solver details	67
3.3.5	Intensive simulation	70
3.4	ACFD method	71
CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION		73
4.1	Experimental result	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.1	Flow and pressure performance of a 30° curved diffuser	74
4.1.1	Flow and pressure performance of a 90° curved diffuser	76
4.1.2	Flow and pressure performance of a 180° curved diffuser	79
4.1.3	Concluding remarks	82
4.2	Numerical results	83
4.2.1	Numerical validation	84
4.2.2	Effects of Inflow Reynolds Number (<i>Re_{in}</i>)	94
4.2.3	Effects of Outlet-Inlet configuration, <i>W₂/W₁</i> (AR)	99
4.2.4	Effects of Inlet Throat Ratio, <i>Lin/W₁</i>	103

4.2.5 Effects of Outlet-Inlet Configuration (X2X1)	107
4.2.6 Effects of turning angle, \emptyset	111
4.3 Asymptotic Computational Fluid Dynamics (ACFD)	116
4.3.1 Pressure recovery performance by using ACFD	116
4.3.2 Flow uniformity performance by using ACFD	124
4.3.3 Concluding remarks	132
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	134
REFERENCES	153
APPENDICES	



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Details of cases study [17]	14
2.2	Geometrical and operating parameters [11]	17
2.3	Comparison of mean outlet air velocity, $V_{outlet(\frac{m}{s})}$ and flow uniformity $\sigma_{outlet(\frac{m}{s})}$ of a 2-D and 3-D turning diffuser [20]	20
2.4	Comparison of outlet pressure recovery of 2-D and 3-D turning diffusers [20]	21
2.5	Boundary layer and operating conditions [18]	29
2.6	Summary of geometrical and operating parameters	37
2.7	Summary of models used by the previous researchers	39
3.1	Measurement parameters set for experimental part	47
3.2	Parameters associated with instrument and equation	48
3.3	Geometrical specification of 3-D curve diffuser	57
3.4	The first layer height value (SWF & EWT) at different turning angles (a) 30° (b) 90° (c) 180°	63
3.5	Grid independency test results of a 90° curved diffuser (<i>Ske</i> + enhanced wall treatment)	66
3.6	Turbulence model's behaviour and applications	68
3.7	Boundary layer's operating conditions for various angle curved diffusers	69
3.8	Geometrical and operating parameters for an intensive simulation	70
4.1	Verification of fully developed flow of a 30° curve diffuser	74
4.2	Mean inlet velocity (V_{in}) and inflow Reynolds Number (Re_{in}) of a 30° curve diffuser	75

4.3	Pressure recovery performance of a 30° curve diffuser	75
4.4	Verification of fully developed flow of a 90° curve diffuser	76
4.5	Mean inlet velocity (V_{in}) and inflow Reynolds Number (Re_{in}) of a 90° curve diffuser	77
4.6	Pressure recovery performance of a 90° curve diffuser	78
4.7	Verification of a fully developed flow of a 180° curve diffuser	79
4.8	Mean inlet velocity (V_{in}) and inflow Reynolds Number (Re_{in}) of a 180° curve diffuser	81
4.9	Pressure recovery performance of a 90° curve diffuser	81
4.10	Outlet pressure recovery coefficient (C_p) for 30°, 90° and 180° curve diffusers at different inflow Reynolds numbers ($Re_{in}/Re_{in,ref}$)	82
4.11	Average deviation of outlet velocity distributions V_i for $Re_{in} = 6.08 \times 10^4$ (exp vs <i>Ske</i> models + various near-wall treatment)	87
4.12	Validation of optimum turbulence model	91
4.13	Effects of Re_{in} on the performance of a 90° curved diffuser	95
4.14	Effects of W_2/W_1 on the performance of a curved diffuser	99
4.15	Effects of L_{in}/W_1 on the performance of a curved diffuser	103
4.16	Effects of X_2/X_1 on the performance of a curved diffuser	107
4.17	Effects of turning angle, ϕ	111
4.18	Substitution of $C_{P_{ref}}$, ϕ_1 , ϕ_{1ref} , and $(\frac{\partial C_p}{\partial \phi})$ to Taylor's series expansion	119
4.19	$\phi_1 = [Re_{in}/Re_{in,ref}]^1$ and the corresponding $C_{P_{ACFD}}$ for various Re_{in}	120
4.20	$\phi_2 = [W_2/W_1/W_2/W_{1ref}]^1$ and the corresponding $C_{P_{ACFD}}$ for various W_2/W_1	120
4.21	$\phi_3 = [L_{in}/W_1/L_{in}/W_{1ref}]^3$ and the corresponding $C_{P_{ACFD}}$ for various L_{in}/W_1	121



4.22	$\phi_4 = \left[X_2/X_1/X_2/X_{1ref} \right]^{0.4}$ and the corresponding $C_{P_{ACFD}}$ for various X_2/X_1	121
4.23	$\phi_5 = \left[\emptyset/\emptyset_{ref} \right]^2$ and the corresponding $C_{P_{ACFD}}$ for various γ	122
4.24	Comparison of outlet pressure recovery of a turning diffuser obtained by CFD ($C_{P_{CFD}}$) and ACFD ($C_{P_{ACFD}}$)	125
4.25	Substitution of $\sigma_{out_{ref}}$, ϕ_1 , ϕ_{1ref} , and $\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{out}}{\partial \phi} \right)$ to Taylor's series expansion	126
4.26	$\phi_1 = \left[Re_{in}/Re_{in_{ref}} \right]^1$ and the corresponding $\sigma_{out_{ACFD}}$ for various Re_{in}	127
4.27	$\phi_2 = \left[W_2/W_1/W_2/W_{1ref} \right]^2$ and the corresponding $\sigma_{out_{CFD}}$ for various W_2/W_1	127
4.28	$\phi_3 = \left[L_{in}/W_1/L_{in}/W_{1ref} \right]^1$ and the corresponding $\sigma_{out_{CFD}}$ for various L_{in}/W_1	128
4.29	$\phi_4 = \left[X_2/X_1/X_2/X_{1ref} \right]^3$ and the corresponding $\sigma_{out_{CFD}}$ for various X_2/X_1	128
4.30	$\phi_5 = \left[\emptyset/\emptyset_{ref} \right]^2$ and the corresponding $\sigma_{out_{CFD}}$ for various γ	129
4.31	Comparison of outlet flow uniformity of a turning diffuser obtained by CFD ($\sigma_{out_{CFD}}$) and ACFD ($\sigma_{out_{ACFD}}$)	130
4.32	Performance correlations of a curved diffuser via ACFD method with an applicable domain	133



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Several types of diffusers (a) S-shaped diffuser [5] (b) Y-shaped diffuser [6]	7
2.2	Flow separation occurred in turning diffuser [3]	8
2.3	Closed loop wind tunnel circuit with 19 guides vane installed [18]	10
2.4	Geometry of curved diffuser [7]	11
2.5	Sketching of curved diffuser [20]	12
2.6	Variation of pressure recovery results for different AR [17]	13
2.7	First-stall limits for circular-arc centre-line curved diffusers based on data of Fox and Kline [7]	13
2.8	Result on the performance of 180° curved diffuser (a) Static wall pressure recovery coefficient (b) Longitudinal mean velocities velocity profiles [14]	15
2.9	Result on the performance of a 180° curved diffuser (a) Static wall pressure recovery coefficient (b) Longitudinal mean velocities velocity profiles [11]	16
2.10	Schematic layout of tested diffuser [21]	19
2.11	Geometry of a 90° curved diffuser including the “cobra-shape” 3-hole probe [6]	23
2.12	3-holes pressure probe used to measure the static wall pressure [11]	24
2.13	CFD results of velocity contour for closed-loop subsonic tunnel [16]	26
2.14	Grid for curved diffuser [11]	27
2.15	Streamline contour for the flow in curved diffuser [11]	27
2.16	Flow structure of turning diffuser with (a) AR=1.6 (b)	28

	AR=3.0 operated at $Re_{in}=2.123 \times 10^5$ [20]	
2.17	Graphical display of the relationship between Nu and (a) $(A/(1+A))^{-0.5}$ (b) emissivity, ε (c) Grashof number, Gr (d) $(1 - N_R/N_{R,ref})$ [26]	32
2.18	Variation of independent variables on the entrainment ratio (Jet ejector system with blowers) [27]	33
2.19	Linearity plot for different dependent against independent [27]	35
3.1	Research methodology flow chart of experimental and numerical work for curved diffuser	41
3.2	(a) Settling chamber (b) Screens and (c) Contraction cone	43
3.3	3-D schematic view and 3-D actual view for 30° curved diffuser (a)(b), 90° curved diffuser (c)(d) & 180° curved diffuser (e)(f)	44
3.4	2-D design of experimental rig for low subsonic wind system	45
3.5	3-D design of experimental rig for low subsonic wind system	45
3.6	Experimental rig without diffuser connected to contraction cone	46
3.7	(a) Placement of static pressure tapping for measurement of fully developed flow (b) Digital airflow meter (FLUKE 922 Airflow meter)	50
3.8	Placement of pitot static probe along velocity airflow	51
3.9	Point of measurement across the diffuser inlet centreline (P1, P2, P3,P4,P5)	51
3.10	Schematic view of pressure tapping's holes at inlet and outlet of diffuser	53
3.11	Schematic closed-up view of instrumentation used of pressure tapping at outlet of diffuser	53
3.12	2-D schematic diagram of 30° curved diffuser	57
3.13	2-D schematic diagram of 90° curved diffuser	57
3.14	2-D schematic diagram of 180° curved diffuser	58

3.15	Grid applying for 30° curved diffuser	59
3.16	Grid applying for 90° curved diffuser	59
3.17	Grid applying for 180° curved diffuser	60
3.18	Mesh view for (a) standard wall function (b) enhanced wall treatment	63
3.19	Inlet surface view for enhanced wall treatment of sizing (a) 5mm (b) 3mm	64
3.20	Solution flow for a pressure-based solver	66
4.1	Fully developed flow profiles of 30° curve diffuser at different RPM	73
4.2	Fully developed flow profiles of 90° curve diffuser at different RPM	75
4.3	Comparison of outlet pressure recovery of 90° curve diffuser between present and previous work [17]	77
4.4	Fully developed flow profiles of 180° curve diffuser at different RPM	79
4.5	Outlet pressure recovery coefficient (C_p) for 30°, 90° and 180° curve diffusers at different inflow Reynolds number ($Re_{in}/Re_{in\ ref}$)	82
4.6	Validation plane at actual outlet (green) and middle (blue)	83
4.7	Outlet velocity profiles of applying standard wall function and enhanced wall treatment at (a) $Re_{in} = 5.786 \times 10^4$, (b) 1.027×10^5 and (c) 1.775×10^5	85
4.8	Flow structure of 90° curved diffuser at 5.786×10^4 by (a)CFD and (b)PIV	87
4.9	Flow structure of 90° curved diffuser at 1.027×10^5 by (a)CFD and (b)PIV	88
4.10	Flow structure of 90° curved diffuser at 1.775×10^5 by (a)CFD and (b)PIV	89
4.11	Outlet velocity profiles for different turbulence models at various Inflow Reynolds number for 90° curved diffuser (a) 6.08×10^4 (b) 1.067×10^5 (c) 1.820×10^5	93
4.12	Onset flow separation, S of turning diffuser (a) 6.08×10^4	95



- (b) 1.067×10^5 (c) 1.820×10^5
- 4.13 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 2.93$ at $Re_{in} = (a) 6.08 \times 10^4$ (a) isometric (b) front (c) top (d) side views 96
- 4.14 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the worst flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 8.53$ at $Re_{in} = 1.820 \times 10^5$ (a) isometric (b) front (c) top (d) side view. 97
- 4.15 Onset flow separation, S of turning diffuser (a) $W_2/W_1 = 1.00$ (b) $W_2/W_1 = 1.44$ (c) $W_2/W_1 = 2.67$ 99
- 4.16 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 3.09$ at $W_2/W_1 = 2.67$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) top (d) front views 100
- 4.17 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 4.58$ at $W_2/W_1 = 1.0$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) top (d) front views 101
- 4.18 Onset flow separation, S of turning diffuser (a) $L_{in}/W_1 = 1.52$ (b) $L_{in}/W_1 = 13$ (c) $L_{in}/W_1 = 25$ 103
- 4.19 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 3.03$ at $L_{in}/W_1 = 25$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) top (d) front views 104
- 4.20 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 3.99$ at $L_{in}/W_1 = 2.15$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) top (d) front views 105
- 4.21 Onset flow separation, S of turning diffuser (a) $X_2/X_1 = 1.00$ (b) $X_2/X_1 = 1.389$ (c) $X_2/X_1 = 2.778$ 107
- 4.22 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 3.48$ at $X_2/X_1 = 2.778$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) top (d) front views 108
- 4.23 Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 4.70$ at $X_2/X_1 = 1.00$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) top (d) front views 109
- 4.24 Onset flow separation, S of turning diffuser (a) 30° (b) 50° (c) 90° 111

4.25	Onset flow separation, S of turning diffuser (e) 120° (b) 150° (c) 180°	112
4.26	Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 3.44$ for $\emptyset = 30^\circ$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) front (d) top views	113
4.27	Velocity streamlines of turning diffuser with the best flow uniformity, $\sigma_{out} = 4.81$ for $\emptyset = 180^\circ$ (a) isometric (b) side (c) front (d) top views	114
4.28	Outlet pressure recovery, C_p performance of turning diffuser	118
4.29	Parity plot describe the agreement between simulation result $C_{p_{cfd}}$ and ACFD $C_{p_{acfd}}$	122
4.30	Outlet flow uniformity, σ_{out} performance of turning diffuser	124
4.31	Parity plot describe the agreement between $\sigma_{out_{cfd}}$ and $\sigma_{out_{acfd}}$	130



LIST OF SYMBOLS & ABBREVIATIONS

<i>2-D</i>	Two dimensional
<i>3-D</i>	Three dimensional
<i>ACFD</i>	Asymptotic computational fluid dynamics
<i>AR</i>	Area ratio
<i>CFD</i>	Computational fluid dynamics
<i>Gr</i>	Grashof number
<i>HVAC</i>	Heating, ventilation and air-conditioning
<i>Nu</i>	Nusselt number
<i>Pr</i>	Prant number
<i>PIV</i>	Particle Image Velocimetry
<i>PRESTO</i>	Pressure discretization scheme
<i>QUICK</i>	Quadratic upwind interpolation
<i>Ra</i>	Rayleigh number
<i>Re</i>	Reynolds number
<i>Re_{in}</i>	Inflow Reynolds number
<i>Rke</i>	Realizable k- ϵ turbulence model
<i>Rngke</i>	Renormalization k- ϵ turbulence model
<i>RANS</i>	Reynolds average navier stokes
<i>RPM</i>	Rotation per minute
<i>RSM</i>	Reynolds stress model
<i>SIMPLE</i>	Pressure-velocity coupling scheme
<i>SIMPLEC</i>	Pressure velocity coupling scheme
<i>Ske</i>	Standard k- ϵ turbulence model

A	Cross-sectional area [m^2]
A_{in}	Inlet cross-sectional area [m^2]
A_{out}	Outlet cross-sectional area [m^2]
C	Contraction ratio
C_p	Outlet pressure recovery coefficient
$C_{p\ ideal}$	Ideal outlet pressure recovery coefficient
C_f	Wall skin friction coefficient
D_h	Hydraulic diameter [m]
E	Empirical constant (= 9.793)
F	Friction factor
H	Height of diffuser [m]
I	Turbulent intensity [%]
K	Overall system pressure loss coefficient
K_f	Fittings pressure loss coefficient
$L_{diffuser}$	Length of diffuser [m]
L_{ax}	Axial length [m]
L_c	Contraction cone length [m]
$L_{h,turb}$	Turbulent hydrodynamic length [m]
L_{in}	Inner wall length [m]
L_m	Centre curve length [m]
L_{out}	Outer wall length [m]
N	Number of measurement points
P	Perimeter [m]
P_{dyn}	Dynamic pressure [Pa]
P_{dync}	Central dynamic pressure [Pa]
P_{in}	Average static pressure at the inlet [Pa]
P_{out}	Average static pressure at the outlet [Pa]
Q	Volumetric flow rate [m^3s^{-1}]
R	Intermediate radius [m]
r_{in}	Inner wall radius [m]
r_m	Centre curve radius [m]
r_p	Measurement point from the wall

R	Pipe radius [m]
S	Separation point
S_{out}	Secondary flow index
T	Operating temperature [°C]
U	Mean velocity [ms^{-1}]
U^*	Dimensionless velocity
u'	Fluctuating x -velocity component [ms^{-1}]
u_*	Friction velocity [ms^{-1}]
U_0	Centreline velocity [ms^{-1}]
v'	Fluctuating y -velocity component [ms^{-1}]
V_i	Local outlet air velocity [ms^{-1}]
$V_{i\ max}$	Maximum local outlet air velocity [ms^{-1}]
V_{in}	Mean inlet air velocity [ms^{-1}]
$V_{in\ max}$	Maximum inlet air velocity [ms^{-1}]
V_{out}	Mean outlet air velocity [ms^{-1}]
V_x	x - velocity component [ms^{-1}]
V_y	y - velocity component [ms^{-1}]
$V_{y\ ps}$	y - velocity component obtained by pitot static probe [ms^{-1}]
V_z	z - velocity component [ms^{-1}]
W	Width of duct [m]
w'	Fluctuating z -velocity component [ms^{-1}]
W_1	Inlet throat width at y -axis direction [m]
W_2	Outlet throat width at z -axis direction [m]
w_{Pn}	Local inlet air velocity at point n , [ms^{-1}]
$w_{Pn\ ps}$	Local inlet air velocity at point n obtained by Pitot static probe [ms^{-1}]
$w_{Pn\ theo}$	Local inlet air velocity at point n obtained by theory [ms^{-1}]
X_1	Inlet throat width at x -axis direction [m]
X_2	Outlet throat width at x -axis direction [m]
y^+	The first grid point off the wall
y_*	Dimensionless distance from the wall



PT TAJUK TUN AMINAH
PERPUSTAKAAN TUN AMINAH

σ_{out}	Flow uniformity index [ms^{-1}]
σ_y	Core flow index [ms^{-1}]
σ_k	Turbulent Prandtl number for k
σ_ε	Turbulent Prandtl number for ε
$\Phi_{1,2,3...}$	Dimensionless groups of independent variables
$\Delta\phi$	Turning angle [°]
θ	Single divergence angle [°]
Δt	Time between pulses [s]
α	Total divergence angle, 2θ [°]
ρ	Air density [kgm^{-3}]
ε	Total energy dissipation rate [m^2s^{-3}]
δ	Boundary layer thickness [m]
μ	Dynamic viscosity [$kgm^{-1}s^{-1}$]
μ_t	Turbulent or eddy viscosity [$kgm^{-1}s^{-1}$]
ν	Kinematic viscosity [m^2s^{-2}]
ν_t	Kinematic turbulent or eddy viscosity [m^2s^{-1}]
ξ	Effectiveness of diffuser
κ	Von Karman constant (= 0.4187)



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Diffusers has been used widely in engineering systems to decelerate the fluid's flow via the changing phase of the diffuser's shapes and sizes based on its application. Referring to Nordin et al. [1], a straight diffuser is a diverging duct with zero angle of turn, whereas a curved diffuser refers to a diverging duct with a certain angle of turn. A curved diffuser can be assembled by diverging it into either two or three dimensions.

In recent years, various types of curved diffusers have been used simply based on their applicability throughout recent years. A closed-circuit wind tunnel is customarily constructed with the principle used of 90° or 180° curved diffusers [2]. In general, actual work or applications of a diffuser is always centred on a settlement between pressure recovery and flow uniformity [3]. Other than a simple turning

diffuser, S-shaped and Y-shaped (fish-tail) diffusers were also found applicable for almost all modern combat aircraft that used fuselage-mounted intake [4,5]. The nature of the geometry of the curved diffuser has led to the determination of pressure recovery, losses, and non-uniformity of flow that usually present at the exit of flow as the effects of flow separation and dispersion of core flow [6]. The existence of secondary flow in turning diffuser has drawn massive interest in studying its performance, especially on the pressure recovery coefficient and flow uniformity index. Moreover, various geometrical aspects and operating parameters have been applied in consideration of the best turnout model of performance for a turning diffuser.

In this present work, the performance of curved diffuser is in concern with varying geometrical and operating parameters, namely turning angle ($\Delta\theta$), inner wall length to inlet throat width ratio (L_{in}/W_1) area ratio (AR), outlet-inlet configurations (W_2/W_1 , X_2/X_1) and inflow Reynolds number (Re_{in}) were numerically and experimentally investigated. Integrated performance correlations of a curved diffuser were ultimately developed using Asymptotic Computational Fluid Dynamics.

1.2 Problem Statement

Curved diffuser is applied widely in industrial flow to conserve energy by converting the kinetic energy to pressure energy. Nevertheless, flow performance is often disturbed, especially on a complex flow due to its nature of geometry such as the turning angle, aspect ratio, or even inlet flow velocity by the existence of flow separation and dispersion of core. The practical application always seeks a compromise between maximum permissible pressure recovery and flow uniformity, which can be achieved by optimally setting the geometrical and operating parameters.

The performance of various applications of fluid machinery is often disturbed by the existence of flow separation [7]. Flow separation problem is common when using a diffuser; the cross-sectional area increases and is vulnerable to separation. It is the primary cause of pressure drop. The lower Reynolds number applied also causes the

problem of separation phenomenon in a passage flow diffuser [8]. This circulation or rotating flow phenomenon appears for a fluid with non-uniform velocity distribution that passes around a bend. Besides the flow speed, the stagnant fluids in the wall boundary layer as the effect of the centrifugal pressure gradient is also the attribution of secondary flow or flow separation [9].

There are various literature available for a diffuser that has been made, particularly for 2-D curved diffusers. Fox and Kline [7] have established a guideline in choosing the optimum geometries of a 2-D curved diffuser free from the stall. Nordin et al. [3] recently have developed mathematical correlations to quantitatively evaluate pressure recovery and flow quality of 90° curved diffusers. Nevertheless, these available guidelines could still not comprehensively represent the performance of curved diffuser, particularly when the 3-D expansion of various angle of turns are considered.

1.3 Objectives of research

The objectives of this research are specified as follows:

- 1) To numerically and experimentally investigate the effects of varying geometrical and operating parameters on the performance of curved diffusers.
- 2) To develop performance correlations of curved diffusers by integrating angle of turn via the Asymptotic Computational Fluid Dynamics (ACFD) technique.
- 3) To propose optimum configuration of geometrical and operating parameters for a curved diffuser.

1.4 Scope of Research

The research scopes are as follows:

- 1) Curved diffusers with identical inlet conditions were considered in range of area ratio 1.0 to 4.0 as in guideline.
- 2) Performance of curved diffusers was evaluated primarily in terms of pressure recovery coefficient, C_p and flow uniformity index, σ_{out} .
- 3) The angles of turn varied between 30° to 180° representing common turning angles applied in HVAC and wind tunnel systems.
- 4) ANSYS 19.2 Fluent is used for CFD simulation, including research and data management (Workbench), modelling (DesignModeler), grid generation (ICEM CFD) and flow analysis (Fluent).
- 5) Asymptotic computational fluid dynamics (ACFD) developed the performance correlations (C_p and σ_{out}) as a function of geometrical and operating parameters (L_{in}/W_1 , W_2/W_1 , X_2/X_1 , Re_{in}).

1.5 Significant of Research

The following were the significant contributions of the research to the body of knowledge:

1. The prospective performance of 30° to 180° of 2-D and 3-D curved diffusers has been scientifically assessed.
2. The performance correlations representing the effects of both geometrical and operating parameters for 2-D and 3-D turning diffusers have been developed. These correlations may be utilised to evaluate the performance of 2-D and 3-D curved diffusers without running the full simulations or experiments.

REFERENCES

1. Nordin, N., Abdul Karim, Z. A., Othman, S., & Raghavan, V. R. (2013). Design and development of low subsonic wind tunnel for turning diffuser application. In *Advanced Materials Research* (Vol. 614, pp. 586-591). Trans Tech Publications Ltd.
2. Chong, T. P., Joseph, P. F., & Davies, P. O. A. L. (2008). A parametric study of passive flow control for a short, high area ratio 90deg curved diffuser. *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, 130(11).
3. Nordin, N., Karim, Z. A. A., Othman, S., Raghavan, V. R., Batcha, M. F. M., Hariri, A., & Basharie, S. M. (2017, April). Flow characteristics of 3-D turning diffuser using particle image velocimetry. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1831, No. 1, p. 020021). AIP Publishing LLC.
4. Gopaliya, M. K., & Chaudhary, K. K. (2010). CFD analysis of performance characteristics of Y-shaped diffuser with combined horizontal and vertical offsets. *Aerospace Science and Technology*, 14(5), 338-347.
5. Saha, K., Singh, S. N., Seshadri, V., & Mukhopadhyay, S. (2007). Computational analysis on flow through transition S-diffusers: Effect of inlet shape. *Journal of aircraft*, 44(1), 187-193.
6. Majumdar, B., Mohan, R., Singh, S. N., & Agrawal, D. P. (1998). Experimental study of flow in a high aspect ratio 90 deg curved diffuser.

7. Fox, R. W., & Kline, S. J. (1962). Flow regimes in curved subsonic diffusers.
8. Senoo, Y., & Nishi, M. (1977). Prediction of flow separation in a diffuser by a boundary layer calculation.
9. Sparrow, E. M., Abraham, J. P., & Minkowycz, W. J. (2009). Flow separation in a diverging conical duct: Effect of Reynolds number and divergence angle. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 52(13-14), 3079-3083.
10. Hawthorne, W. R. (1951). Secondary circulation in fluid flow. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 206(1086), 374-387.
11. Djebedjian, B. (2001). Numerical and experimental investigations of turbulent flow in a 180° curved diffuser. In *ASME Division of Fluid Dynamics Summer Meeting*.
12. Calautit, J. K., Chaudhry, H. N., Hughes, B. R., & Sim, L. F. (2014). A validated design methodology for a closed-loop subsonic wind tunnel. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 125, 180-194.
13. Nordin, N., Karim, Z. A. A., Othman, S., Raghavan, V. R., Batcha, M. F. M., Hariri, A., & Basharie, S. M. (2017, April). Flow characteristics of 3-D turning diffuser using particle image velocimetry. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1831, No. 1, p. 020021). AIP Publishing LLC.
14. Sagi, C. J., & Johnston, J. P. (1967). The Design and Performance of Two-Dimensional, Curved Diffusers: Part I—Exposition of Method and Part II—Experiment, Evaluation of Method, and Conclusions.
15. Majumdar, B., Singh, S. N., & Agrawal, D. P. (1996). Flow characteristics in a large area ratio curved diffuser. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 210(1), 65-75.

16. Hamada, K. I. (2009). NUMERICAL VALIDATION ON THE PERFORMANCE OF A TWO DIMENSIONAL CURVED DIFFUSER. *Tikrit Journal of Engineering Sciences*, 16(1), 105-120.
17. Parsons, D. J., & Hill, P. G. (1973). Effects of curvature on two-dimensional diffuser flow.
18. Nguyen, C. K., Ngo, T. D., Mendis, P. A., & Cheung, J. C. (2006). A flow analysis for a turning rapid diffuser using CFD.
19. Gan, G., & Riffat, S. B. (1996). Measurement and computational fluid dynamics prediction of diffuser pressure-loss coefficient. *Applied energy*, 54(2), 181-195.
20. Shimizu, Y., Nagafusa, M., & Kuzuhara, S. (1982). Effects of approaching flow types on the performances of straight conical diffusers. *Bulletin of JSME*, 25(208), 1506-1512.
21. Kumaraswamy, R., Natarajan, K., & Anand, R. B. (2021). CFD analysis of flow and performance characteristics of a 90 curved rectangular diffuser: effects of aspect ratio and Reynolds number. *International Journal of Turbo & Jet-Engines*, 38(4), 451-463.
22. Majumdar, B., Singh, S. N., & Agrawal, D. P. (1996). Flow characteristics in a large area ratio curved diffuser. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 210(1), 65-75.
23. Nordin, N., Othman, S., Raghavan, V. R., & Karim, Z. A. A. (2012). Verification of 3-D stereoscopic PIV operation and procedures. *International Journal Engineering and Technology IJET/IJENS*, 12(4), 19-26.
24. Taylor, A. M. K. P., Whitelaw, J. H., & Yianneskis, M. (1982). Curved ducts with strong secondary motion: velocity measurements of developing laminar and turbulent flow.

25. Namet-Allah, A., & Birk, A. M. (2014, June). Numerical and Experimental Study of Swirling Flow in a Short Annular to Round Diffuser/Nozzle. In *Turbo Expo: Power for Land, Sea, and Air* (Vol. 45578, p. V01AT01A034). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
26. Sinha, P. K., Biswas, A. K., Mullick, A. N., & Majumdar, B. (2017). Flow development through a duct and a diffuser using CFD. *Int J Eng Res Appl*, 7, 46-54.
27. Herwig, H., & Schäfer, P. (1992). Influence of variable properties on the stability of two-dimensional boundary layers. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 243, 1-14
28. Balaji, C., & Herwig, H. (2003). The use of ACFD approach problems involving surface radiation and free convection. *International communications in heat and mass transfer*, 30(2), 251-259.
29. HEYWOOD, F. (1925). The Flow of Water in Pipes and Channels. In *Minutes of the Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers* (Vol. 219, No. 1925, pp. 174-189). Thomas Telford-ICE Virtual Library.
30. Subramanian, G., Natarajan, S. K., Adhimoulame, K., & Natarajan, A. (2014). Comparison of numerical and experimental investigations of jet ejector with blower. *International journal of thermal sciences*, 84, 134-142.
31. Cerantola, D. J., & Birk, A. M. (2013, June). Experimental validation of numerically optimized short annular diffusers. In *Turbo Expo: Power for Land, Sea, and Air* (Vol. 55232, p. V06BT38A005). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.