DYNAMICAL ANALYSIS OF CHEMOSTAT MODELS INCORPORATING VARIABLE YIELD COEFFICIENT AND SUBSTRATE INHIBITION WITH RECYCLING PROCESS

UMMAL AISHA FARHANA BINTI MOHD SADIQ

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the Degree of Master of Science

Faculty of Applied Sciences and Technology Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

DECEMBER 2021

Dedication to

This research report is dedicated to my family members, my parents

Mohd Sadiq bin S A Sahul Hamid,

Basiral Beevi Shaik Dawood Alim,

my siblings

Mohamed Mubeen bin Mohd Sadiq,

Mohamed Hussain bin Mohd Sadiq

and my husband

Mohammad Faiz Bin Abdul Latiff

Thanks for your endless support, love and prayers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I am grateful to Allah SWT for giving me the blessings and courage to finish this postgraduate research report. Next, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Siti Suhana binti Jamaian, my supervisor for her valuable guidance, encouragements, supports and patience throughout the course of this project because without her, it would have been very difficult to finish this project. I appreciate her assitance in writing this report and complete the master's research report.

Besides that, I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Hamizah binti Mohd Safuan, my co-supervisor for her valuable guidance in doing this research and her support to write this report. Next, my sincere thanks goes to Dr. Mohd Hafiz Bin Mohd, Prof. Madya Dr. Kavikumar Jacob and Dr. Choy Yaan Yee, my examiners for pointing out the flaws of this report in order to produce a good report successfully. A very special thanks to my parents, siblings and my husband for their endless support, love and prayers. Lastly, I would also like to thank my friends who supported and motivated me throughout this master's research.



ABSTRACT

This research analyses chemostat models for microbial production. Chemostat is a tool that can be used for the continuous production of microbes under controlled conditions such as pH, temperature, light, and nutrients. This ability makes chemostat promising for the applications of microbes as renewable resources, microbial products and wastewater treatment. The dynamics of the chemostat can be described using the chemostat mathematical model. The microbial growth in the chemostat can be interpreted mathematically using the specific growth rate model. The growth of microbes chiefly depends on the concentration of the nutrient. However, the growth of microbes can be inhibited under a high concentration of nutrients. Therefore, in this thesis, Andrew's growth model was considered to describe the inhibitory effect of high substrate concentration on the microbial growth in the chemostat model. The dependency of product yield towards the substrate concentration was also incorporated into the chemostat model. The performance of chemostat was also investigated with the influence of the recycling process. The stability and bifurcation analyses of the chemostat models were conducted to examine the dynamical behaviour of the steadystate of the chemostat system to identify the regions of parameters that generate oscillations of microbe population in the chemostat which occurs due to any changes in the stability of the steady-state of the system. There are two types of steady-states found which are washout steady-state and no washout steady-state. Washout steadystate means there is no growth of microbes occur in the reactor while no washout steady-state means there is growth of microbe occur in the chemostat. The steady-state solutions and their stability were determined as a function of residence time. The studies revealed the conditions to avoid the situation where no growth of microbes occurred in the chemostat. It was identified that there exists a parameters' region that can generate stable limit cycle and also region of bistability of steady-states. The high value of recycling parameter increases the cell mass concentration in the chemostat.



ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menganalisis model matematik kemostat yang digunakan untuk mengaggarkan penghasilan mikrob. Kemostat adalah satu alat yang digunakan untuk penghasilan mikrob secara berterusan dengan mengawal nilai pH, suhu, cahaya, dan nutrien dalam kemostat. Keupayaan ini menjadikan kemostat sebagai alat yang boleh menghasilkan mikrob sebagai sumber yang boleh diperbaharui, produk mikrob dan rawatan air kumbahan. Dinamik kemostat boleh diterangkan dengan menggunakan model matematik kemostat. Pertumbuhan mikrob dalam kemostat boleh ditafsirkan secara matematik menggunakan model kadar pertumbuhan. Pertumbuhan mikrob sangat bergantung kepada kepekatan nutrien. Walau bagaimanapun, pertumbuhan mikrob boleh terhalang jika kepekatan nutrien yang digunakan adalah terlalu tinggi. Oleh itu, dalam tesis ini, model pertumbuhan Andrew digabungkan dengan model kemostat untuk menggambarkan kesan menggunakan kepekatan nutrien yang tinggi terhadap pertumbuhan mikrob. Pergantungan hasil produk terhadap kepekatan nutrien juga diambil kira dalam model kemostat. Pengaruh proses kitar semula terhadap prestasi kemostat juga disiasat dalam kajian ini. Analisis kestabilan dan pencabangan model kemostat dijalankan untuk mengkaji dinamik keadaan mantap sistem kemostat dan untuk mengenal pasti rantau-rantau parameter yang boleh menghasilkan variasi populasi mikrob dalam kemostat di mana berlakunya perubahan terhadap kestabilan keadaan mantap sistem kemostat. Terdapat dua jenis keadaan mantap yang dijumpai dalam kajian ini iaitu keadaan mantap washout yang bermaksud tiada pertumbuhan mikrob dalam kemostat dan keadaan mantap bukan washout, keadaan di mana pertumbuhan mikrob berlaku dalam kemostat. Penyelesaian keadaan mantap dan kestabilannya ditentukan dalam fungsi waktu kediaman. Kajian mendedahkan syarat untuk mengelak keadaan di mana tiada pertumbuhan mikrob berlaku di dalam kemostat. Rantau parameter yang menjana had kitaran yang stabil dan rantau yang membolehkan dua keadaan mantap stabil telah dikenal pasti. Nilai parameter kitar semula yang tinggi dapat meningkatkan kepekatan mikrob dalam kemostat.



CONTENTS

	TITLI	Ξ	i	
	DECL	ARATION	ii	
	DEDI	CATION	iii	
	ACKN	IOWLEDGEMENT	iv	
	ABST	RACT	v	
	ABST	RAK	vi	
	CONT	CENTS	vii	
	LIST	OF TABLES	X	
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xi	
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii	
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xix	
CHAPTER 1	INTR	ODUCTION	1	
	1.1	Background of research	1	
	1.2	Problem statement	3	
	1.3	Research objectives	4	
	1.4	Scope of research	4	
	1.5	Significance of research	5	
	1.6	Framework of research	6	
CHAPTER 2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	8	
	2.1	Introduction to chemostat	8	
	2.2	Mathematical models of chemostat	9	
	2.2.1	Microbial growth kinetic models	10	
	2.2.2	Variable yield coefficient	13	
	2.2.3	Mathematical models of chemostat with		
		constant yield coefficient	14	
	2.2.4	Mathematical models of chemostat with		
		variable yield coefficient	17	

	2.2.5	Mathematical models of chemostat with	
		recycling process	20
	2.3	Conclusion	22
CHAPTER 3	MET	HODOLOGY	24
	3.1	Introduction	24
	3.2	Chemostat model	24
	3.2.1	Chemostat model with substrate inhibition	
		and variable yield coefficient	26
	3.2.2	Chemostat model with substrate inhibition,	
		variable yield coefficient and recycling	
		process	27
	3.3	Dimensionless equations	29
	3.4	Steady-state solutions	29
	3.5	Stability and linearization	30
	3.6	Bifurcation	31
	3.7	Phase plane and bifurcation diagrams	34
	3.8	Conclusion	34
CHAPTER 4	STAB	BILITY AND BIFURCATION ANALYSES	
	OF C	CHEMOSTAT MODEL WITH VARIABLE	
	YIEL	D COEFFICIENT AND SUBSTRATE	
	INHI	BITION	36
	4.1	Introduction	36
	4.2	Dimensionless equations of the chemostat	
		model	36
	4.3	Steady-state solutions of the chemostat	
		model	39
	4.4	Stability and linearization	43
	4.4.1	Stability of washout steady-state solution	44
	4.4.2	Stability of no washout steady-state solution	45
	4.5	Supercritical Hopf bifurcation on the no	
		washout steady-state	48
	4.6	The effect of initial substrate concentration on	
		the cell mass concentration	64

	4.7	Condition for maximization of cell mass		
		concentration in the chemostat	65	
	4.8	Conclusion	69	
CHAPTER 5	STAE	BILITY AND BIFURCATION ANALYSES		
	OF C	CHEMOSTAT MODEL WITH VARIABLE		
	YIEL	D COEFFICIENT, SUBSTRATE		
	INHI	BITION AND RECYCLING PROCESS	71	
	5.1	Introduction	71	
	5.2	Dimensionless chemostat model with		
		recycling process	71	
	5.3	Steady-state solutions of the chemostat		
		model	73	
	5.4	Stability and linearization	76	
	5.4.1	Stability of washout steady-state solution	77	
	5.4.2	Stability of no washout steady-state solution	78	
	5.5	Supercritical Hopf bifurcation on the no		
		washout steady-state	81	
	5.6	Effect of recycle ratio parameter towards		
		the cell mass concentration in chemostat	92	
	5.7	Condition for maximization of cell mass		
		concentration in the chemostat with recycling		
		process	94	
	5.8	Performance of chemostat with recycling		
		process and without recycling process	96	
	5.9	Conclusion	100	
CHAPTER 6	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	101	
	6.1	Conclusion	101	
	6.2	Recommendations	102	
	REFI	ERENCES	104	
	APPE	ENDICES	112	
	VITA	、	125	

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Microbial growth kinetic models	12
2.2	Chemostat model with constant yield coefficient	16
2.3	Chemostat model with variable yield coefficient	18
2.4	Mathematical models of chemostat with recycle process	21
4.1	Conditions for non-negative cell mass concentration of the	
	steady state solutions	42
5.1	Conditions for non-negative cell mass concentration of the	
	steady state solutions for chemostat system with recycling	
	process	75

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Framework of research	7
3.1	Schematic diagram of a chemostat	25
3.2	Schematic diagram of chemostat with settling unit	28
3.3	Saddle-node bifurcation as r varied	32
3.4	Saddle-node bifurcation diagram	32
3.5	Transcritical bifurcation as r varied	33
3.6	Transcritical bifurcation diagram	33
3.7	Flowchart of analysis	35
4.1	Variation of dimensionless cell mass concentration, X^* with	
	dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$,	
	$S_0^* = 2$ without considering stability and the occurrence of	
	bifurcation	43
4.2	Variation of dimensionless cell mass concentration, X^* with	
	dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$,	
	$S_0^* = 5$ without considering stability and the occurrence of	12
	bifurcation	43
4.3	Phase plane diagram of the washout steady-state solutions	
	$(\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, S_0^* = 2, \tau^* = 1)$	47
4.4	Phase plane diagram of the no washout steady state solutions	
	$(\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, S_0^* = 2, \tau^* = 3)$	47
4.5(a)	Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against	
	dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 0.05$, $\beta^* = 5.25$,	
	I = 0.08	49

- 4.5(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 0.05$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 50
- 4.6(a) Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 2$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08
- 4.6(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 2$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08
- 4.7(a) Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 4$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08
- 4.7(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 4$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08
- 4.8 Time series plot of the chemostat system with initial condition $S_0^* = 4, \ \beta^* = 5.25, \ I = 0.08 \text{ and } \tau^* = 1.87$
- 4.9(a) Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08
- 4.9(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 55
- 4.10(a) Time series plot of the chemostat system for S^* with initial condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 1$ 56
- 4.10(b) Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 1$ 57
- 4.11(a) Time series plot of the chemostat system for S^* with initial condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 1.87$ 57

53

54

55

53

51

51

4.11(b)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial condition $S^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$ and $\tau^* = 1.87$	58
4.12(a)	Time series plot of the character system for S^* with initial	
4.12(α)	condition $S^* = 5$ $\beta^* = 5.25$ $I = 0.08$ and $\tau^* = 2.9$	59
1 12(b)	= 5.25, T = 0.00 and t = 2.5	
4.12(0)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X with initial	59
	condition $S_0 = 5$, $\beta = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$ and $\tau = 2.9$	57
4.13(a)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for S^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$ and $\tau^* = 5$	60
4.13(b)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$ and $\tau^* = 5$	60
4.14	Unfolding diagram showing saddle-node, transcritical and	
	supercritical Hopf bifurcation curves with Bogdanov-Taken	
	(BT) bifurcation point and degenerate transcritical bifurcation	
	(dTb) point for $\beta^* = 5.25$ and $I = 0.08$	62
4.15	Unfolding diagram showing bistability region with	
	Bogdanov-Taken (BT) bifurcation point and degenerate	
	transcritical bifurcation (dTb) point for $\beta^* = 5.25$ and	
	<i>I</i> = 0.08	63
4.16	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* and S^* with	
	initial condition $S^*(0) = 30$, $X^*(0) = 50$, $S_0^* = 5.35787$,	
	$\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08$ and $\tau^* = 1.58517$.	63
4.17	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* and S^* with	
	initial condition $S^*(0) = 50$, $X^*(0) = 50$, $S_0^* = 5.35787$,	
	$\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08 \text{ and } \tau^* = 1.58517$	64
4.18	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with different	
	initial condition S_0^* and $\tau^* = 5$ $\beta^* = 5.25$ $I = 0.08$	65
5 1	$\mathbf{W} : \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$	
5.1	dimensionless medidence time -*	
	unitensionless residence time, τ when	76
	$p = 5.25, I = 0.08, K = 0.5, S_0 = 2$	

5.2 Variation of dimensionless steady-state solutions, X^* with dimensionless residence time, when τ 76 $\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5, S_0^* = 5$ Phase plane diagram of the washout steady-state solutions 5.3 $(\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, S_0^* = 2, R^* = 0.5, \tau^* = 0.8)$ 80 5.4 Phase plane diagram of the no washout steady-state solutions $(\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, S_0^* = 2, R^* = 0.5, \tau^* = 3)$ 80 5.5(a) Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 0.05$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, 81 $I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5$ 5.5(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 0.05$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, AMINA $I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5$ 5.6(a) Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 2$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, 83 $I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5$ 5.6(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 2$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, 84 $I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5$ 5.7(a) Dimensionless cell mass steady-state solutions, X^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, 85 $I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5$ 5.7(b) Dimensionless substrate steady-state solutions, S^* against dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, 85 $I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5$ 5.8(a) Time series plot of the chemostat system for S^* with initial condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08, $R^* = 0.5$ and $\tau^* = 0.8$. 86

xiv

5.8(b)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$, $R^* = 0.5$ and $\tau^* = 0.8$.	87
5.9(a)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for S^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$, $R^* = 0.5$ and $\tau^* = 1$	87
5.9(b)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$, $R^* = 0.5$ and $\tau^* = 1$	88
5.10(a)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for S^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$, $R^* = 0.5$ and $\tau^* = 5$	88
5.10(b)	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial	
	condition $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, $I = 0.08$, $R^* = 0.5$ and $\tau^* = 5$	89
5.11	Unfolding diagram showing saddle-node, transcritical and	
	supercritical Hopf bifurcation curves with Bogdanov-Taken	
	(BT) bifurcation point and degenerate transcritical bifurcation	
	(dTb) point for $\beta^* = 5.25$, $R^* = 0.5$ and $I = 0.08$	90
5.12	Unfolding diagram showing bistability region with	
	Bogdanov-Taken (BT) bifurcation point and degenerate	
	transcritical bifurcation (dTb) point for $\beta^* = 5.25$, $R^* = 0.5$	
	and $I = 0.08$	91
5.13	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* and S^* with	
	initial condition $S^*(0) = 50$, $X^*(0) = 70$, $S_0^* = 5.18817$,	
	$\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5 \text{ and } \tau^* = 0.790854$	91
5.14	Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* and S^* with	
	initial condition $S^*(0) = 50$, $X^*(0) = 50$, $S_0^* = 5.18817$,	
	$\beta^* = 5.25, I = 0.08, R^* = 0.5 \text{ and } \tau^* = 0.790854$	92
5.15	Dimensionless cell mass concentration X^* against	
	dimensionless residence time, τ^* when $S_0^* = 2$, $\beta^* = 5.25$,	
	$I = 0.08$ for different values of R^*	93

- 5.16 Time series plot of the chemostat system for X^* with initial condition $S_0^* = 2$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and different values of R^*
- 5.17 Time series diagram of the chemostat system with and without recycling process $S_0^* = 3$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 2$
- 5.18 Time series diagram of the chemostat system with and without recycling process $S_0^* = 3$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 1$
- 5.19 Time series diagram of the chemostat system with and without recycling process $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 0.2$
- 5.20 Time series diagram of the chemostat system with and without recycling process $S_0^* = 5$, $\beta^* = 5.25$, I = 0.08 and $\tau^* = 2$

A MINA 99

97

98

98

93

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

- C-Reycle concentration factorD-Dilution rate (hr^{-1}) F-Flowrate (Lhr^{-1})
- I Dimensionless inhibition constant ($I = K_s / K_I$)
- K_d Death coefficient (hr^{-1})
- K_I Inhibition constant (g L^{-1})
- K_s Half saturation constants for nutrient $(g L^{-1})$
- *R* Recycle ratio based on volumetric rates
- R^* Dimensionless recycle ratio parameter ($R^* = (C-1)R$)
 - Substrate concentration ($g L^{-1}$)
 - Dimensionless substrate concentration ($S^* = S / K_s$)
 - Initial substrate concentration ($g L^{-1}$)

Dimensionless initial substrate concentration ($S_0^* = S_0 / K_s$)

- Time (*hr*)

S

 S^*

 S_0

 S_0^*

ť

- Dimensionless time $(t^* = t \mu_{max})$
- *V* Volume of the reactor (*L*)
- *X* Cell mass concentration ($g L^{-1}$)
- X^* Dimensionless cell mass concentration ($X^* = X / \alpha K_s$)
- X_0 Initial cell mass concentration ($g L^{-1}$)
- X_0^* Dimensionless initial cell mass concentration ($X_0^* = X_0 / \alpha K_s$)
- *Y* Cell mass yield coefficient
- $\mu(S)$ Microbial specific growth rate (hr^{-1})
- μ_{max} Maximum microbial specific growth rate (hr^{-1})

- τ Residence time (*hr*)
- τ^* Dimensionless residence time ($\tau^* = \mu_{\max} V / F$)
- α Constant in yield coefficient
- β Constant in yield coefficient (Lg^{-1})
- β^* Dimensionless yield coefficient constant ($\beta^* = \beta K_s / \alpha$)

LIST OF APPENDICES

А	Coding of stability analysis of chemostat model with variable	113
	yield coefficient and substrate inhibition	
В	Coding of bifurcation analysis of chemostat model with	117
	variable yield coefficient and substrate inhibition	
С	Coding of plotting time series diagram	119
D	Coding of stability analysis of chemostat model with variable	120
	yield coefficient, substrate inhibition and recycling process	
Е	Coding of bifurcation analysis of chemostat model with	123
	variable yield coefficient and substrate inhibition	



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of research

In recent years, biofuel which is a product of microbes has gained interest as an alternative fuel that substitutes both petrol and diesel. The increase in the demand for biofuel is due to its environmentally friendly property of reducing pollution caused by crude oil products. Besides that, the demand for other microbial products such as vitamins, antibiotics, vaccines and pharmaceutical drugs have also increased. The production of microbes can also be used for wastewater treatment. Hence, to fulfill these demands, the production of microbes needs to be improved by understanding the mechanism of microbial growth which can be studied using an experimental apparatus called continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) or chemostat.



The chemostat is a tool that has been widely used for the continuous production of cell mass or microbes over a period of time (Monod, 1950, Novick & Szilard, 1950). Chemostats can be used to study the growth of microbes under controlled environments such as pH, temperature, light and nutrients so that a maximized product yield can be generated in the bioreactor over a long period (Vazquez, 2018). Chemostats have an inlet to allow substrates or nutrients to enter the reactor at a constant flow rate and the microbes' growth process takes place in the reactor. Then, the culture is drained out of the reactor at the same rate to keep the volume in the reactor constant. The efficiency of the chemostat is based on understanding the growth rate of the microbes in the reactor (Alqahtani *et al.*, 2012).

The growth process of microbes in the chemostat is usually modelled by using microbial growth kinetic models that explain the relationship between microbes and substrates such as from the Monod (1949), Tessier (1936), Moser (1958) and Contois

(1959) models. Most of these models express the growth of microbes by consuming the substrate or nutrient. However, some substrates may inhibit the growth of microbes under high concentrations since more than one substrate molecule bind to an active site of the enzyme which deactivates the enzyme and hence reduces the metabolism of the cell and the viability of the microbe (Tan *et al.*, 1996; Thatipamala *et al.*, 1992). Hence, the growth rate model that expresses the substrate concentration inhibitory effects towards the microbial growth is required to estimate the growth of the microbial population in the chemostat.

One of the models that incorporate the substrate concentration inhibition is Andrew's (1968) model. Andrew's growth kinetics model is an extension of the Monod model where an additional substrate inhibition term has been taken into account. This model has been widely used for many applications since it provides a good fit for experimental data, especially for wastewater treatment systems (Abdi et al., 2013; Costa & Quintelas, 2011; Economou *et al.*, 2011; Goudar *et al.*, 2000; Halmi *et al.*, 2014; Krishnan *et al.*, 2017).

Previous experimental studies of chemostats by Dorofeev *et al.* (1992) and Lee *et al.* (1976) have found that the oscillatory behaviour of microbe population in the chemostat can be explained when the yield coefficient dependent on the substrate concentration and not when the yield coefficient is constant. Hence, the yield coefficient cannot be assumed as a constant where several theoretical studies have been done to study the consequence of this assumption (Alqahtani *et al.*, 2012, Alqahtani *et al.*, 2015b, Nelson & Sidhu, 2005, Nelson & Sidhu, 2007, Nelson & Sidhu, 2009). The oscillation of microbes in the reactor happens when a stable steady-state of the system loses its stability and periodic solution arise. This is called the bifurcation process, where a slight change in the parameter value can change the stability of the steady-state of a system. It has been proven by several previous studies where the productivity of microbe is improved by natural oscillation of microbes in the chemostat. The natural oscillation of microbes produces more microbes compared to a stable chemostat system (Alqahtani *et al.*, 2015b, Balakrishnan & Yang, 2002; Nelson & Sidhu, 2005, 2009; Yang & Su, 1993).

It was also found that the productivity of the microbes can be improved when the cell mass leaving the reactor is recycled back into the reactor by using a tool called a settling unit. The microbes are recycled back to the chemostat after it has been settled down in the settling unit. There are studies that have been conducted to investigate the



effect of the recycling process of the microbes which are found to be effective in improving the microbe production (Ajbar et al., 1997; Alqahtani et al., 2015a; Bhowmik & Alqahtani, 2018; Cao et al., 2015; Nelson et al., 2012; Nelson et al., 2008; Vanavil et al., 2014).

Therefore, in this research, the chemostat model with a variable yield coefficient and Andrew's growth model was analysed to explain the growth of microbes in the chemostat. The effect of the recycling process towards the growth of microbial with variable yield coefficient and substrate inhibition kinetic model was also studied. The dynamical behaviours of the steady-state solutions with and without the recycling process were discussed by doing the stability analysis. The conditions for the washout of cell mass which means no growth of microbes occurring in the reactor and the condition for maximising the cell mass concentration in the reactor were identified by performing the stability analysis. The range of parameters that generates oscillations of microbes in the chemostat was determined by conducting the ...at Was bifurcation analysis. The effect of natural oscillation of microbes in the chemostat was also included in this research.

1.2 **Problem statement**



The improvement of microbe production in a chemostat can be achieved by analysing the mathematical models of the chemostat. The chemostat model should be able to describe the mechanism of microbes so that the microbe production can be enhanced. It is known that the efficiency of the chemostat relies on the growth rate of microbes and the product yield. Hence, the growth rate of microbes and the product yield should be estimated precisely. The growth rate depends mainly on the concentration of the nutrient in the chemostat. However, a high concentration of substrate will inhibit the growth of microbes by deactivating the enzyme of the microbes. Hence, the growth rate model that expresses the substrate concentration's inhibitory effects towards the microbe growth is required to estimate the growth of the microbe population in the chemostat. The product yield that depends on the substrate concentration should be considered to define the actual process.

Previously, many analyses have been performed by considering the Monod model as the growth rate model and a few works were done using Andrew's substrate

inhibition model. There are also analyses that considered variable yield coefficient and there are studies that considered recycling process into the chemostat model. However, there is no analysis yet has been done to the chemostat model with the Andrew's growth model, variable yield coefficient and recycling process. Therefore, in this research, the chemostat models with Andrew's growth model, a substrate inhibition model and variable yield coefficient with and without recycling process were analysed to study the dynamical behaviour of the steady-states of the chemostat systems. The stability and bifurcation analyses were performed to prevent the washout situation from occurring in the reactor and to identify the parameter range that generates oscillation of microbe population in the chemostat to improve the production of microbes.

1.3 **Research objectives**

This research consists of three main objectives which are

- MINA i. to reformulate the chemostat model by taking into account variable yield coefficient and Andrew's growth function with and without the recycling process.
- ii. to perform the stability and bifurcation analyses of chemostat model by incorporating variable yield coefficient and Andrew's growth function with and without the recycling process.
- iii. to investigate the performance of the reactor with and without the recycling process.

1.4 Scope of research

There are many types of microbial growth kinetic models that can be used to estimate the growth rate of microbes in a chemostat. In this research, Andrew's growth model was applied to express the substrate inhibitory effect on the microbes' growth rate. The chemostat model that was analysed in this research is a model that considers the growth of a single cell consuming a single substrate in a single reactor. A single reactor was considered so that the output from the single reactor can be set as a benchmark before comparing the output of two or more reactors. The feed was assumed to be a sterile feed where no initial amount of cell mass is supplied into the chemostat. The chemostat has an inlet to supply initial amounts of substrates and cell mass concentration into the reactor. However, no initial amount of cell mass was introduced into the chemostat to study the growth of microbes under sterile conditions.

There are two types of steady-states found in this study which are washout and no washout steady state. Washout steady-state means no growth of microbes occur in the chemostat while the no washout steady-state means there are growth of microbes occur in the chemostat. The stability and bifurcation analyses of this steady states were performed as the analysis done by Nelson & Sidhu (2005). The bifurcation analysis in this research is the local bifurcation analysis which can be analysed entirely through changes in the local stability of the steady-states, periodic orbits and other invariant sets. The phase plane and bifurcation diagrams of the chemostat model were visualised using *Mathematica* software.

1.5 Significance of research



The findings of this research will be a good contribution to microbial studies by improving the production of microbes using a chemostat system. Theoretically, the analysis of the chemostat model with substrate inhibition and variable yield coefficient will aid researches in choosing better parameter values for a high production of microbe. The stability analysis will present the steady-state solutions and the dynamical behaviour of those steady states. The condition for the washout of cell mass in the reactor (which means no growth of microbes occurring) was identified, hence, this situation can be avoided. Next, the condition for the cell mass in the reactor being maximised can be determined by using optimization method and the condition should be satisfied to produce a maximum amount of cell mass concentration. The condition for the oscillation of microbes to occur, which refers to the condition that makes the steady-states of the system becomes unstable, was determined by performing the bifurcation analysis. The parameter values should be chosen according to the condition since it has been proven that oscillation of microbe population that occurs in the chemostat can improve the productivity of the microbes in previous studies. Therefore, the growth of microbes in the chemostat can be maximised continuously and the high demand for the microbe population can be fulfilled.

1.6 Framework of research

This thesis consists of six chapters. The first chapter discusses the background of research, problem statement, objectives of research, scopes of research and framework of research.

In Chapter 2, the literature review of this research is presented. The first topic in this chapter is the introduction of the chemostat where the characteristics and applications of the chemostat are discussed. This chapter also provides a discussion about existing chemostat models to estimate the production of microbes. The variable yield coefficient function and the substrate inhibited growth rate model are also addressed in this chapter. Finally, the existing chemostat models with the recycling process are described.

In Chapter 3, the methodology of this research is provided. The derivation of the basic chemostat model is presented and the process of recycling microbes into the chemostat is discussed in this chapter. The model involved in performing the stability and bifurcation analyses is provided in this chapter in order to analyse the chemostat model.

Next, Chapter 4 analyses the chemostat model with variable yield coefficient and substrate inhibition. The dynamical behaviour of the system is presented, and the bifurcation point is obtained in this chapter. The results are estimated and plotted by using the *Mathematica* software.

The fifth chapter presents the analysis of chemostat model with variable yield coefficient, substrate inhibition and recycling process. The dynamical behaviour and the range of parameters for oscillation occurrence are identified. The effect of recycling parameter is studied and the performance of the chemostat system with the recycling process is investigated.

Finally, in Chapter 6, the summary of this research and some recommendations for future work are prepared. The framework of this research is summarised in Figure 1.1.



REFERENCES

- Abdi, N., Hocine, G., Tazdait, D., Lounici, H., Pauss, A., & Mameri, N. (2013). Comparison of different models of substrate inhibition in aerobic batch biodegradation of malathion. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 37(3), 221–230.
- Abrams, P. A., & Ginzburg, L. R. (2000). The nature of predation: Prey dependent, ratio dependent or neither?. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *15*(8), 337–341.
- Ajbar, A., Gamal, I., & Arabia, S. (1997). Stability and bifurcation of an unstructured model of a bioreactor with cell recycle. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 25(2), 31–48.
- Alqahtani, R. T., Nelson, M. I., & Worthy, A. L. (2012). Analysis of a chemostat model with variable yield coefficient: Contois kinetics. ANZIAM Journal, 53(January), 155–171.
- Alqahtani, R. T., Nelson, M. I., & Worthy, A. L. (2015a). A biological treatment of industrial wastewaters : Contois kinetics. ANZIAM Journal, 56, 397–415.
- Alqahtani, R. T., Nelson, M. I., & Worthy, A. L. (2015b). Analysis of a chemostat model with variable yield coefficient and substrate inhibition : Contois growth kinetics. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 202(3), 332–344.
- Andrews, J. F. (1968). A mathematical model for the continuous culture of microorganisms utilizing inhibitory substrates. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 10(6), 707–723.
- Ardestani, F. (2011). Investigation of the nutrient uptake and cell growth kinetics with Monod and Moser models for Penicillium brevicompactum ATCC 16024 in batch bioreactor. *Iranica Journal of Energy & Environment*, 2(2), 117–121.
- Aslan, S., & Kapdan, I. K. (2006). Batch kinetics of nitrogen and phosphorus removal from synthetic wastewater by algae. *Ecological Engineering*, 28(1), 64–70.
- Balakrishnan, A., & Yang, R. Y. K. (2002). Self-forcing of a chemostat with selfsustained oscillations for productivity enhancement. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 189(11), 1569–1585.



- Baldia, S. F., Nishijima, T., Hata, Y., & Fukami, K. (1991). Growth characteristics of a blue-green alga Spirulina platensis for nitrogen utilization. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 57(4), 645–654.
- Beyenal, H., Chen, S. N., & Lewandowski, Z. (2003). The double substrate growth kinetics of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 32(1), 92–98.
- Bhowmik, S. K., & Alqahtani, R. T. (2018). Mathematical analysis of bioethanol production through continuous reactor with a settling unit. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 111, 241–251.
- Blanch, H. W., & Clark, D. S. (1997). Biochemical Engineering. New York: CRC Press.
- Boekhorst, R. H., Ogilvie, J. R., & Pos, J. (1981). An overview of current simulation models for anaerobic digesters. *Livestock Waste: A Renewable Resource, ASAE American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, 2, 105–108.
- Bush, A. W., & Cook, A. E. (1976). The effect of time delay and growth rate thibition in the bacterial treatment of wastewater. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 63(2), 385–395.
- Butler, G. J., & Wolkowicz, G. S. K. (1987). Exploitative competition in a chemostat for two complementary, and possibly inhibitory, resources. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 83(1), 1–48.
- Cabrera, F., & Maria, I. (2011). Deterministic approach to the study of the interaction predator–prey in a chemostat with predator mutual interference: implications for the paradox of enrichment. *Ecological Modelling*, 222(3), 598–605.
- Cao, J., Bao, J., & Wang, P. (2015). Global analysis of a delayed Monod type chemostat model with impulsive input on two substrates. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2015(1), 310.
- Chou, C. S., & Friedman, A. (2016). Bacterial Growth in Chemostat. In *Introduction* to *Mathematical Biology* (pp. 3–28). Springer, Cham.
- Contois, D. (1959). Kinetics of bacterial growth: relationship between population density and specific growth rate of continuous cultures. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 21, 40–50.
- Costa, F., & Quintelas, C. (2011). Kinetics of biodegradation of diethylketone by Arthrobacter viscosus. *Biodegradation*, 23(1), 81-92.

Crooke, P. S., & Tanner, R. D. (1982). Hopf bifurcations for a variable yield

continuous fermentation model. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 20(3), 439–443.

- Crooke, P. S., Wei, C. J., & Tanner, R. D. (1980). The effect of the specific growth rate and yield expressions on the existence of oscillatory behavior of a continuous fermentation model. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, *6*(6), 333–347.
- Czeczot, J., Metzger, M., Babary, J., & Nihtilä, M. (2000). Filtering in adaptive control of distributed parameter bioreactors in the presence of noisy measurements. *Simulation Practice and Theory*, 8(1–2), 39–56.
- de Morais, M. G., & Costa, J. A. V. (2007). Biofixation of carbon dioxide by Spirulina sp. and Scenedesmus obliquus cultivated in a three-stage serial tubular photobioreactor. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 129(3), 439–445.
- Dorofeev, A., Glagolev, M., Bondarenko, T., & Panikov, N. (1992). Observation and ex- planation of the unusual growth-kinetics of arthrobacter-globiformis. *Microbiology*, 61(1), 24–31.
- Economou, C. N., Aggelis, G., Pavlou, S., & Vayenas, D. V. (2011). Modeling of single-cell oil production under nitrogen-limited and substrate inhibition conditions, 108(5), 1049–1055.
- Edelstein-Keshet, L. (2005). An Introduction to Continuous Models. In *Mathematical Models in Biology*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 115-163.
- Essajee, C. K., & Tanner, R. D. (1979). The effect of extracellular variables on the stability of the continuous baker's yeast-ethanol fermentation process. *Process Biochemistry*, *14*, 16–25.
- Faye, G. (2011). An introduction to bifurcation theory. *NeuroMathComp Laboratory, INRIA, Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, ENS Paris, France.*
- Gawande, N. A., Reinhart, D. R., & Yeh, G. T. (2010). Modeling microbiological and chemical processes in municipal solid waste bioreactor, part II: Application of numerical model BIOKEMOD-3P. *Waste Management*, 30(2), 211–218.
- Govindarajan, R., & Marathe, A. (2014). Nonlinear Dynamical Systems, Their Stability and Chaos. *Applied Mechanics Review*, 66(March), 1–16.
- Goudar, C. T., Ganji, S. H., Pujar, B. G., & Strevett, K. A. (2000). Substrate inhibition kinetics of phenol biodegradation. *Water Environment Research*, 72(1), 50–55.
- Grady, C. P. J., Daigger, G. T., & Lim, H. C. (1999). Biological wastewater treatment (Vol. October). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Gray, B. F., & Roberts, M. J. (1988) A method for the complete qualitative analysis of



two coupled ordinary differential equations dependent on three parameters. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 416(1851), 361-389.

- Haldane, J. B. S. (1965). Enzymes. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Halmi, M. I., Shukor, M. S., & Shukor, M. Y. (2014). Evaluation of several mathematical models for fitting the growth and kinetics of the catechol-degrading Candida parapsilopsis : Part 2, *Journal of Environmental Bioremediation Toxic*, 2(2), 53–57.
- Hansen, S. R., & Hubbell, S. P. (1980). Single-nutrient microbial competition: Qualitative agreement between experimental and theoretically forecast outcomes. *Science*, 207(4438), 1491–1493.
- Harmand, J., & Godon, J. J. (2007). Density-dependent kinetics models for a simple description of complex phenomena in macroscopic mass-balance modeling of bioreactors. *Ecological Modelling*, 200(3), 393–402.
- Herbert D., Elsworth R. T. R., (1956). The continuous culture of bacteria; A theoretical and experimental study. *Journal of General Microbiol*, *14*(3), 601–622.
- Hong, J. (1989). Yield coefficients for cell mass and product formation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *33*, 506–507.
- Hsu, S. B., Hubbell, S., & Waltman, P. (1977). A mathematical theory for singlenutrient competition in continuous cultures of micro-organisms. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, *32*(2), 366–383.
- Hsueh, H. T., Li, W. J., Chen, H. H., & Chu, H. (2009). Carbon bio-fixation by photosynthesis of Thermosynechococcus sp. CL-1 and Nannochloropsis oculta. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 95(1), 33–39.
- Karaaslanli, C. Ç. (2012). Bifurcation analysis and its applications. In *Numerical simulation-from theory to industry*. IntechOpen Acceess Publisher.
- Karafyllis, I., & Krstic, M. (2017). Application to the chemostat. In *Predictor Feedback for Delay Systems: Implementations and Approximations*. Birkhäuser.
- Krishnan, J., Kishore, A. A., Suresh, A., Murali, A. K., & June, M. (2017). Biodegrdation Kinectics of Azo Dye Mixture : Substrate Inhibition Modeling. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical , Biological and Chemical Sciences*, 8(3s), 365-374.
- Kurano, N., & Miyachi, S. (2005). Selection of microalgal growth model for describing specific growth rate-light response using extended information



criterion. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 100(4), 403–408.

- Latif, N. S. A. (2010). Analysis of a Dynamical System of Animal Growth and Composition. Massey University, Albany, New Zealand: Master Thesis.
- Lee, I. H., Fredrickson, A. G., & Tsuchiya, H. M. (1976). Damped oscillations in continuous culture of Lactobacillus plantarum. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 93(2), 204–208.
- Luong, J. H. (1987). Generalization of monod kinetics for analysis of growth data with substrate inhibition. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *29*(2), 242–248.
- Mahanta, D. J., Borah, M., & Saikia, P. (2014). A Study on Kinetic Models for Analysing the Bacterial Growth Rate. American International Journal of Research in Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 8(1), 68–72.
- McHenry, J. L., & Werker, A. G. (2002). Characterization of bioactivity in treatment wetlands utilising an enzymatic assay. In CSCE/EWRI of ASCE Environmental engineering conference (pp. 1–14).
- Michaelis, L., Menten, M. L., Johnson, K. A., & Goody, R. S. (2011). The original Michaelis constant: translation of the 1913 Michaelis-Menten paper. *Biochemistry*, 50(39), 8264–8269.
- Monod, J. (1949). The growth of bacterial cultures. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, *3*(1), 371–394.
- Monod, J. (1950). La technique de culture continue theorie et applications. Ann I Pasteur Paris, 79, 390–410.
- Moser, H. (1958). *The dynamics of bacterial populations maintained in the chemostat*. Washington: Carnegie Institution of Washington.
- Mrwebi, & Mandisi. (2004). Testing Monod: Growth rate as a function of glucose concentration in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Ph.D. Dissertations. University of Stellenbosch.
- Nelson, M. I., & Sidhu, H. S. (2005). Analysis of a chemostat model with variable yield coefficient. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 38(4), 605–615.
- Nelson, M. I., & Sidhu, H. S. (2007). Reducing the emission of pollutants in food processing wastewaters. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 46(5), 429–436.
- Nelson, M. I., & Sidhu, H. S. (2009). Analysis of a chemostat model with variable yield coefficient: Tessier kinetics. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 46(2), 303–321.



- Nelson, M. I., Balakrishnan, E., & Sidhu, H. S. (2012). A fundamental analysis of continuous flow bioreactor and membrane reactor models with tessier kinetics. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 199(3), 417–433.
- Nelson, M. I., Balakrishnan, E., Sidhu, H. S., & Chen, X. D. (2008). A fundamental analysis of continuous flow bioreactor models and membrane reactor models to process industrial wastewaters. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 140(1–3), 521– 528.
- Novick, A., & Szilard, L. (1950). Experiments with the chemostat on spontaneous mutations of bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 36, 708–719.
- Pfeffer, J. T. (1974). Temperature effects on anaerobic fermentation of domestic refuse. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *16*(6), 771–787.
- Powell, E. O. (1967). The growth rate of microorganisms as a function of substrate concentration. in Evans C. G. T., Strange R. E., & Tempest W. (Eds.). *Microbial physiology and continuous culture*. HMSO London, UK.
- Redish, E. F. (2005). Dimensionless Equation. Retrieved August 15, 2018, from http://www.physics.umd.edu/perg/MathPhys/content/2/pstruc/dimsDE.htm
- Rozich, A. F., & Gaudy, A. F. (1985). Response of phenol-acclimated activated sludge process to quantitative shock loading. *Journal Water Pollution Control Federation*, 57(7), 795–804.
- Shimiru, K., & Matsubara, M. (1985). Conditions for the phase-plane analysis of feedback control of chemostat. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 27(4), 519– 524.
- Small, J. R. (1994). Design and analysis of chemostat experiments using metabolic control analysis : a top-down approach. *Microbiology*, 140, 2439–2449.
- Smith, H. L., & Waltman, P. (1995). The Theory of the Chemostat: Dynamics of Microbial Competition. Cambridge Studies in Mathematical Biology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sokol, W. (1987). Oxidation of an inhibitory substrate by washed cells (oxidation of phenol by Pseudomonas putida). *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 30(8), 921– 927.
- Sönmezişik, M., Tanyola, D., Seker, S., & Tanyola, A. (1998). The double-substrate growth kinetics of sulfolobus solfataricus, a thermophilic sulfur-removing archeabacterium. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, *1*(3), 243–248.

- Strogatz, S. H. (1994). Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos with Applications To Physics, Biology, Chemistry, And Engineering (Studies in Nonlinearity). New York: Perseus Book Publishing.
- Suzuki, S., Shimizu, K., & Matsubara, M. (1985). On the parameter-space classification of the dynamic behavior of a continuous microbial flow reactor. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 33(5–6), 325–335.
- Tam, N. F. Y., & Wong, Y. S. (1996). Effect of ammonia concentrations on growth of Chlorella vulgaris and nitrogen removal from media. *Bioresource Technology*, 57(1), 45–50.
- Tan, Y., Wang, Z. X., & Marshall, K. C. (1996). Modeling substrate inhibition of microbial growth. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 52(5), 602–608.
- Tang, D., Han, W., Li, P., Miao, X., & Zhong, J. (2011). CO2 biofixation and fatty acid composition of Scenedesmus obliquus and Chlorella pyrenoidosa in response to different CO2 levels. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(3), 3071–3076.
- Tang, W. T., & Fan, L. S. (1987). Steady state phenol degradation in a draft-tube, gasliquid-solid fluidized-bed bioreactor. *Journal of American Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 33(2), 239–249.
- Taylor, P. A, & Williams, P. J. L. (1975). Theoretical studies on the coexistence of competing species under continuous-flow conditions. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 21(1), 90–98.
- Tessier, G. (1936). Les lois quantitatives de la croissance. Annales de Physiologie et de Physiochimie Biologique, 12, 527–573.
- Thatipamala R, Rohani S, Hill G.A. (1992). Effects of high product and substrate inhibitions on the kinetics and biomass and product yields during ethanol batch fermentation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *40*(2), 289-297.
- Vanavil, B., Harikumar, M. P., & Rao, A. S. (2014). Bifurcation analysis of two continuous bioreactors operated in series with recycle. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 92(11), 2–9.
- van Voorn, G. A. K. (2006). Introduction to bifurcation analysis. Ansterdam.
- Vazquez, A. (2018). Chapter 4 How Fast Can We Grow? In Vazquez (Ed.), Overflow Metabolism. United Kingdom: Academic Press, 31-51.
- Wang, Y., & Witarsa, F. (2016). Application of Contois, Tessier, and first-order kinetics for modeling and simulation of a composting decomposition process. *Bioresource Technology*, 220, 384–393.

- Wijanarko, A., Dianursanti, Sendjaya, A. Y., Hermansyah, H., Witarto, A. B., Gozan, M., Song, S. K. (2008). Enhanced Chlorella vulgaris Buitenzorg growth by photon flux density alteration in serial photobioreactors. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 13(4), 476.
- Wu, S. C., Shih, S. H., & Liu, H. S. (2007). Dynamic behavior of double-substrate interactive model. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 38(2), 107–115.
- Yang, R. Y. K., & Su, J. (1993). Improvement of chemostat performance via nonlinear oscillations, *Bioprocess Engineering*, 9(2-3), 97–102.
- Ziv, N., Brandt, N. J., & Gresham, D. (2013). The use of chemostats in microbial systems biology. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 80, 1–10.