# DEVELOPMENT OF GESTURE-CONTROLLED ROBOTIC ARM FOR UPPER LIMB HEMIPLEGIA THERAPY

## WAN NORLIYANA BINTI WAN AZLAN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the Degree of Master of Electrical Engineering

> Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

> > JUNE 2022

To my beloved parents, supervisor, siblings, family, best friends, and my 'special' friend, thank you for the endless motivation and support.

PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah SWT for giving me a chance to pursue my master's studies and blessing me with motivation and inspiration throughout my research journey.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wan Nurshazwani Binti Wan Zakaria for her exceptional motivation and words of encouragement during the completion of my research. Her suggestions, professional guidance, and expertise has helped me tremendously in gaining precious knowledge in this field. Furthermore, I am thankful for the Postgraduate Research Grant (GPPS) (Vot H409) from Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for financially supporting me.

Next, special thanks to my parents for showering me with endless love and always supporting me in whatever I do. I am very thankful for their understanding and constantly providing for my needs. Not to mention my siblings, extended family, best friends, and my very 'special' friend who has been on my side since day one. Thank you very much for the advice, never-ending support, and the memories that made my research journey enjoyable. I hope that I will be able to repay everyone for their kind gestures.

#### ABSTRACT

Human-computer interactions using hand gesture recognition has emerge as a current approach in recent rehabilitation studies. The introduction of a vision-based system such as the Microsoft Kinect and the Leap Motion sensor (LMS) provides a very informative description of hand pose that can be exploited for tracking applications. Compared to the Kinect depth camera, the LMS produces a more limited amount of information and interaction zone, but the output data is more accurate. Thus, this study aims to explore the LMS system as an effective method for hand gesture recognition controlled robotic arm in improving upper-extremity motor function therapy. Many engineering challenges are addressed to develop a viable system for the therapy application: a real-time and accurate system for hand movement detection, limitation of robot workspace and hand-robot coordination, and development of hand motionbased robot position algorithm. EMU HS4 robot arm and controller have been retrofitted to allow 3 degrees of freedom (DOF) moment and directly controlled by LMS-based gesture recognition. A series of wrist revolving rehabilitation exercises are conducted that provides a good agreement where the robot can move according to hand movement. The potential of the proposed system has been further illustrated and verified through comprehensive rehabilitation training exercises with around 90% accuracy for flexion-extension training. In conclusion, these findings have significant implications for the understanding of hand recognition application towards roboticbased upper limb assistive and rehabilitation procedures.



#### ABSTRAK

Interaksi manusia-komputer menggunakan pengecaman isyarat tangan muncul sebagai pendekatan semasa dalam kajian pemulihan baru-baru ini. Pengenalan sistem berasaskan penglihatan seperti Microsoft Kinect dan sensor Leap Motion (LMS) memberikan penerangan yang sangat bermaklumat tentang gaya tangan yang boleh dieksploitasi untuk aplikasi penjejakan. Berbanding kamera kedalaman Kinect, LMS menghasilkan jumlah maklumat dan zon interaksi yang lebih terhad, namun menghasilkan data output yang lebih tepat. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk meneroka sistem LMS sebagai kaedah yang berkesan untuk pengecaman isyarat tangan kawalan lengan robot dalam meningkatkan terapi fungsi motor bahagian atas anggota badan. Banyak cabaran kejuruteraan ditangani untuk membangunkan sistem yang berdaya maju untuk aplikasi terapi: sistem masa nyata yang tepat untuk pengesanan pergerakan tangan, had ruang kerja robot dan penyelarasan robot tangan, dan pembinaan algoritma kedudukan robot berasaskan gerakan tangan. Lengan pengawal robot EMU HS4 telah dipasang semula untuk memberi 3 darjah kebebasan (DOF) dan dikawal secara langsung oleh pengecaman gerak isyarat berasaskan LMS. Satu siri latihan pemulihan pusingan pergelangan tangan dijalankan dan telah menunjukkan hasil yang baik di mana robot boleh bergerak mengikut pergerakan tangan. Potensi sistem yang dicadangkan telah diilustrasikan dan disahkan lebih lanjut melalui latihan latihan pemulihan yang komprehensif dengan ketepatan kira-kira 90% untuk latihan 'flexion-extension'. Kesimpulannya, penemuan ini mempunyai implikasi yang signifikan terhadap pemahaman aplikasi pengecaman tangan terhadap prosedur bantuan dan pemulihan bahagian atas anggota badan berasaskan robotik.



## CONTENTS

	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	CONTENTS	vii NA.
	LIST OF TABLES	TUR xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIAT	IONS xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XX
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Hypothesis	4
	1.4 Objectives	4
	1.5 Scopes of study	5
	1.6 Research contribution	6
	1.7 Outline of the thesis	7

CHAPTER 2	LITE	RATUI	RE REVIE	W	7
	2.1	Overvi	ew		7
	2.2	Introdu	action to H	emiplegia	7
	2.3	Existin	ıg Rehabili	tation Training for	9
		Hemip	legia Patie	nts	
	2.4	Hand C	Gesture Red	cognition in Human-	16
		Compu	iter Interac	tion	
		2.4.1	Electrom	yography (EMG)	17
		2.4.2	Data Glov	ves	19
		2.4.3	Cameras		22
	2.5	Leap N	Aotion as a	Hand Gesture Recognition	27
		Device	•		
		2.5.1	Hand Gest	tures Recognition	27
		2.5.2	Accuracy	of the Leap Motion	30
	2.6	Curren	t Research	in Gesture Controlled	33
		Roboti	c Arm Sys	tem	
	2.7	Summ	ary		37
CHAPTER 3	RESI	EARCH	METHO	DOLOGY	38
	31	Introdu	iction		38
	3.1	Hand M	Motion Tra	cking and Detection	
	5.2	3 2 1	Lean Mo	otion Software Architecture	40
		3.2.1	Leap Mo	ation Hand Tracking System	42
		3.2.2	3 2 2 1	Lean Motion Hand	45
			5.2.2.1	Coordinate System	J.
			3222	Lean Motion Hand	46
			3.2.2.2	Gesture Data Collection	10
			3.2.2.3	Development of the Test	48
			0.2.2.0	Setup	
	3.3	3-DOF	Robotic A	rm Retrofit	51
	3.4	Kinem	atics Analy	vsis of the EMUHS4 robot	55

3.4.1 Forward Kinematics 55

		3.4.2	Inverse Kinematics	58
	3.5	Robot Jo	oint – Motor Angles Calibration	61
		3.5.1	Joint Space Movement	61
			3.5.1.1 $\theta_1$ Joint Movement	62
			3.5.1.2 $\theta_2$ Joint Movement	64
		3.5.2	End Effector (Robot Gripper Motor	66
			Calibration)	
	3.6	Nature (	Gesture Control for Hand Robot	67
		Interacti	on	
	3.7	Perform	ance Measures	69
	3.8	Summar	'y	70
	DECI		DECUSSION	70
CHAPIER 4	KESU	JLIS AN	DDISCUSSION	12
	4.1	Prelimir	nary Test	72
		4.1.1	Hand Gesture Recognition by Leap	72
			Motion sensor	
		4.1.2	Optimization of Leap Motion	75
			sensor for Hand Gesture	
			Controlled Robotic Arm	
		4.1.3	Optimal Detection Distance	78
			between Hand and Leap Motion	
			sensor	
	4.2	Experim	nental Program	80
		4.2.1	Training 1: Grasping and Release	80
			(Grab Strength) Test	
		4.2.2	Training 2: Radial – Ulnar	82
			Deviation Test	
		4.2.3	Training 3: Flexion – Extension	88
			Test	
		4.2.4	Training 4: Radial – Ulnar	93
			Deviation and Flexion – Extension	
			Movement Test	
	4.3	Summar	:у	99

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION		101
	5.1	Overview	101
	5.2	Conclusion	101
	5.3	Recommendation for future work	102
	REF	ERENCES	104
	APP	ENDICES	112

## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Summary of existing rehabilitation training for	15		
	hemiplegia patients			
2.2	Comparative study of available hand gesture	26		
	recognition methods			
2.3	Summary of hand gesture recognition by the Leap	30		
	Motion sensor			
2.4	Shapes for tracing activities with actual finger and its	32		
	outcome by simulated hand (Heisnam & Suthar, 2017)			
3.1	EMU HS4 3D robotic arm movement directions	53		
3.2	Motor representation to robot part and Arduino pin	55		
3.3	EMUHS4 robot arm parameters	56		
3.4	Mapping for hand movement to robot joint movement	62		
3.5	Illustration of motor input angle to robot gripper action	67		
	for grab strength test			
3.6	Hand gesture to robot response	68		
4.1	Visibility of both hands for 72			
4.2	Visibility of right hand fingers and grasping release 74			
	value			
4.3	Grasping-release test	76		
4.4	Radial-ulnar deviation test	77		
4.5	Flexion-extension test	78		
4.6	Experimental setup to investigate the optimum vertical	79		
	and horizontal distance of the hand from the sensor			
4.7	Determination of distance between hand and sensor	80		
	according to the vertical distance of hand from sensor			
	to the horizontal wrist distance from sensor			

4	4.8	Comparison between desired grasping release value,	82
		Leap Motion sensor value and robot gripper value of	
		grasping and release test	
2	4.9	Comparison between desired angle, average Leap	84
		Motion sensor angle and robot angle of radial-ulnar	
		deviation training	
2	4.10	The root mean square error of the Leap Motion sensor	85
		angle of radial-ulnar deviation training	
2	4.11	Summary of the overall results for radial-ulnar	87
		deviation test between the Leap Motion sensor to the	
		desired hand movements	
2	4.12	Summary of the overall results for radial-ulnar	88
		deviation test between the robot position to the desired	
		hand movements	
2	4.13	Comparison between desired angle, the average Leap	90
		Motion sensor angle and robot angle of flexion-	
		extension training	
4	4.14	The root mean square error of the Leap Motion sensor	90
		angle of flexion-extension training	
4	4.15	Summary of the overall results for flexion-extension	93
		test between the Leap Motion sensor to the desired hand	
		movements	
4	4.16	Summary of the overall results for flexion-extension	93
		test between the robot position to the desired hand	
		movements	
2	4.17	The maximum and minimum value of movement angle	94
		that was captured by the sensor for radial-ulnar	
		deviation and flexion-extension training	
2	4.18	The movement of robot arm corresponding to the	96
		movement of the hand imitating the quarter circle	

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	CT scan of abscess left hemiplegia brain (arrows)	2
	(Shiba <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	
2.1	Types of paralysis (Graham et al., 2016)	8
2.2	The physical condition of a hemiplegic person	8
	(Luengas, Camargo & Sanchez, 2015)	
2.3	Types of upper limb rehabilitation ("Stroke:	9
	Physiotherapy Treatment Approaches," 2021)	
2.4	MOTOmed device for bilateral arm training	10
	("MOTOmed Viva2," 2021)	
2.5	Functional Electrical Stimulation (Sehndkar et al.,	11
	2017)	
2.6	RUPERT robot with carbon fiber composites (Sugar et	11
	al., 2007)	
2.7	Virtual environment and corresponding physical	13
	movement required to complete (a) Level 1 (b) Level 2	
	(Zirbel et al., 2019)	
2.8	Mirror box therapy (Tanaka et al., 2016)	13
2.9	A summary of available hand gesture recognition	17
	methods in human-computer interaction (Galván-Ruiz	
	<i>et al.</i> , 2020)	
2.10	Myo Armband (Chen et al., 2019)	18
2.11	(a) Yarn-based stretchable sensor arrays (YSSA) and a	19
	Wireless PCB attached to a glove (b) Translation	
	system of a real-time display of hand gesture (Zhou et	
	al., 2020)	

xiii

2.12	(a) Two IMU sensors placed on three different fingers	20
	of the data glove (b) Data capture during "holding a	
	mug" gesture (Xiong <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	
2.13	(a) Five bending sensors on the fingers and posture	20
	sensors on the back of the palm and wrist (b) Identifying	
	number '0' hand gesture (Fu et al., 2020)	
2.14	(a) Color glove (b) Performed hand gesture (c) Border	21
	outline image (Fujishima & Ietsuka, 2016)	
2.15	(a) Monochrome Gloves Design (b) Two fingers hand	21
	gesture with markers (c) Three fingers hand gesture	
	with markers (Ishiyama & Kurabayashi, 2016)	
2.16	(a) Kinect tracking using the skeletal tracking algorithm	23
	(b) Segmenting pixels with similar depth values (Choi	
	<i>et al.</i> , 2013)	
2.17	Hand gestures used for recognition rate experiment	23 A H
	(Choi et al., 2013)	
2.18	(a) The Leap Motion captures the hand movement (b)	24
	Leap Motion interface (Tanaka et al., 2016)	
2.19	Hand grasping detection (Zou et al., 2019)	27
2.20	Static gestures (Shao et al., 2015)	28
2.21	Dynamic Gestures (a) Index Key Tapping and (b) Index	28
	Circling (Shao et al., 2015)	
2.22	(a) Wrist Rehabilitation Exercise (b) Finger	29
	Rehabilitation Exercise (Kavian & Nadian-ghomsheh,	
	2020)	
2.23	Hand motion of twisting a wet towel (a) Before twisting	29
	(b) During twisting (Fujimura et al., 2015)	
2.24	Sample of Numeral Gesture (Sharma et al., 2016)	31
2.25	: 3D Virtual Robotic Arm designed in LabVIEW	33
	( <i>Rusanu et</i> al., 2019)	
2.26	The SCARA robot (Chen et al., 2018)	34
2.27	The teaching area (Chen et al., 2018)	35

xiv

2.28	Movements of the robot from point (a) A to B, (b) B to	35
	C, (c) C to D, and (d) D to A (Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	
2.29	The robot drone (Tingare, 2018)	35
2.30	The front and back view of the proposed computer-	36
	aided design (CAD) model of 20-DOF robot hand	
3.1	Proposed methodology of a 3-DOF robotic arm for	39
	training hemiplegic patients using the Leap Motion	
	sensor	
3.2	Overall configuration of the gesture controlled robotic	40
	arm system	
3.3	Dimensions of Leap Motion sensor (Weichert et al.,	40
	2013)	
3.4	a) Real internal structure of Leap Motion sensor b)	41
	Schematic view of the internal structure of Leap Motion	
	sensor (Shao et al., 2015)	
3.5	Field of perspective of Leap Motion sensor (Postolache	41
	<i>et al.</i> , 2019)	
3.6	Native Interface API (Leap Motion, 2020c)	43
3.7	Hand entering from positive z-axis (axis in blue)	44
3.8	The Leap Motion right-handed coordinate system (Leap	45
	Motion, 2020a)	
3.9	Vectors of Hand Model Orientation (Leap Motion,	46
	2020a)	
3.10	Human wrist degree of freedom (Nikafrooz et al., 2019)	47
3.11	Hand Movements (a) flexion-extension, (b) radial-ulnar	47
	deviation, (c) pronation-supination (Smeragliuolo et al.,	
	2016)	
3.12	Hand positions a) open hand, b) loose fist and c) tight	48
	fist (Smeragliuolo et al., 2016)	
3.13	Experimental setup of a participant to acquire data from	50
	the Leap Motion sensor for radial-ulnar deviation test	
3.14	Experimental setup of a participant to acquire data from	51
	the Leap Motion sensor for grab strength test, flexion-	



	extension test, and radial-ulnar deviation and flexion-	
	extension movement test	
3.15	EMU HS4 robot arm	52
3.16	Position of the joints and the end effector of the robot	53
3.17	Installation of monofilament fishing line string	54
3.18	Schematic diagram of robot retrofit	54
3.19	Frame assignment of EMUHS4 robot	55
3.20	EMUHS4 robot model representation	58
3.21	Top view of the EMU HS4 robot to find $\boldsymbol{\theta_1}$	59
3.22	Side view of the EMU HS4 robot to find $\theta_2$ and $\theta_3$	59
3.23	Robot arm configuration	61
3.24	Robot angle to motor angle calibration for $\theta_1$	63
	movement	
3.25	Calibration graph of the motor input angle to the robot	64
	output angle for $\theta_1$ joint movement	
3.26	Robot angle to motor angle calibration for $\theta_2$ joint	65
	movement	
3.27	Calibration graph of motor input angle to robot output	66
	angle for $\theta_2$ joint movement	
3.28	Overall gesture-based control	69
4.1	Grasping and release test on hand, Leap Motion	81
	visualizer and robot	
4.2	10-degree radial deviation, 0 degrees and 15-degree	83
	ulnar deviation test on hand, Leap Motion visualizer and	
	robot for radial-ulnar deviation training	
4.3	Average detected Leap Motion sensor angles and its	86
	confidence interval when palm at 0 degrees for radial-	
	ulnar deviation training	
4.4	Average detected Leap Motion sensor angles and its	86
	confidence interval for 15 degrees for radial-ulnar	
	deviation training	

xvi

4.5	Average detected Leap Motion sensor angles and its	87
	confidence interval for -10 degrees for radial-ulnar	
	deviation training	
4.6	30-degree extension, 0 degrees and 30-degree flexion	89
	test on hand, Leap Motion visualizer and robot for	
	flexion-extension training	
4.7	Average detected Leap Motion sensor angles and its	91
	confidence interval for 0 degrees for flexion-extension	
	training	
4.8	Average detected Leap Motion sensor angles and its	92
	confidence interval for 30 degrees for flexion-extension	
	training	
4.9	Average detected Leap Motion sensor angles and its	92
	confidence interval for -30 degrees for flexion-	
	extension training	
4.10	Four quadrants of a full circle	94
4.11	Quadrant 1 for test subject 1, trial 1	98
4.12	Quadrant 3 for test subject 2, trial 3	98
4.13	Quadrant 3 for test subject 1, trial 1	99
4.14	Quadrant 4 for test subject 1, trial 1	99

xvii

xviii

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

0	_	Degrees
3D	_	Three-dimension
AC	_	Alternating Current
API	_	Application Programming Interface
AR	_	Augmented Reality
CAD	_	Computer-aided Design
CI	_	Confidence Interval
ст	_	Centimeter
CT	_	Computed Tomography
DOF	-	Degree of Freedom
EMG	-	Electromyography
FES	-	Functional Electrical Stimulation
fps	-	Frame per Second
g	-	Gram
HRI	S/	Human-robot Interaction
IMU	_	Inertial Measurement Unit
IDE	_	Integrated Development Environment
IR	_	Infrared
kg	_	Kilogram
LMS	_	Leap Motion Sensor
MCI	_	Motion Control Interface
mm	_	Millimeter
ms	_	Millisecond
PC	_	Personal Computer
RGB	_	Red Green Blue
RGB-D	_	Combination Of RGB Camera And Depth-
		Sensing Camera

RMSE	—	Root Mean Square Error
ROM	_	Range Of Movement
RRR	_	Three Revolute Joints
RUPERT	_	Robotic-Assisted Upper Extremity Repetitive
		Therapy
S	_	Second
SCARA	_	Selective Compliance Assembly Robot Arm
SCARA	_	Selective Compliance Articulated Robot Arm
sEMG	_	Surface Electromyography
SDK	_	Software Development Kit
t	_	Time
USB	_	Universal Serial Bus
V	_	Voltage
VR	_	Virtual Reality
YSSA	_	Yarn-based Stretchable Sensor Arrays



## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Optimal Detection Distance between Hand	112
	and Leap Motion sensor	
В	List of Publications	115
С	VITA	116

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1** Background of the study

Globally, there are over 12.2 million new strokes each year (World Stroke Organization, 2022). Stroke is the third most common cause of mortality rate in Malaysia (Ganasegeran *et al.*, 2020). Based on the database on hospital admissions from Malaysia's Ministry of Health hospitals, they detected a substantial increase in stroke incidence in those under 65 years of age, the largest increase of 53.3% and 50.4% in men and woman respectively (Tan & Venketasubramanian, 2022). Sampane-Donkor (2018) mentioned that the most commonly identified stroke risk factors were paralysis and hemiplegia, and stroke disease has accounted for 34.4% in developing countries.



Hemiplegia is a type of paralysis that affects one side of the body. Usually, it affects only an arm and a leg on one side of the body and extends partially to the torso (Spinalcord.com, 2019). Hemiparesis is a related condition described as weakness or significant loss of strength and mobility on one side of the body. Unlike a person with hemiplegia, which results in total paralysis on one side of the body, a person with hemiparesis might be unable to make movements using their arm or leg or feel a tingling or other unusual sensations on just one side of the body (Villines, 2019). Generally, hemiplegia occurs when the brain receives damage on either side of the brain. Figure 1.1 shows a computed tomography (CT) scan of an abscess in the left hemiplegia brain.



Figure 1.1: CT scan of abscess left hemiplegia brain (arrows) (Shiba et al., 2015)

As the brain is divided into two hemispheres, the right side of the brain is in charge of controlling muscles and other functions on the left side and vice versa. Paralysis only occurs on the opposite side of the affected part of the brain, which for example, the person who experiences paralysis on the left side of the body means that the right side of the brain is damaged (Children's Hemiplegia Stroke Association, 2019).



Based on Epilepsy Society (2010), hemiplegia is a permanent condition and non-progressive, which means that it cannot be cured but will not get worse. A person who is diagnosed with hemiplegia is referred to a hospital, where different therapists will work with the patient to reduce the effects of the condition and improve the weak side of the body.

Therapeutic intervention is vital in aiding the hemiplegics to adapt to their new lifestyle. Spinalcord.com (2019) mentioned that physical therapy and mental imagery can help the hemiplegics to improve their motor skills. Physical therapy can help to prevent muscle atrophy and sores occurrence, while mental imagery is theorized that some brain structures can be activated by imagining or looking at specific images.

Hand gesture recognition has shown a growing interest in its applications in various fields notably, robotics and human-computer interaction (Dawes, Penders & Carbone, 2019). Traditionally, invasive methods were used to track muscle activity using an invasive intervention that causes discomfort to the patients. Over the years, technology-based rehabilitation may improve the standard way of therapy with outcomes such as motivating tasks with user feedback, repetitive motion and motor

learning, and hand movement monitoring. Panduranga & Mani (2018) proposed hand gestures based non-invasive methods such as glove-based or vision-based.

Guzsvinecz, Szucs, & Sik-Lanyi (2019) conducted a survey to compare the usability of the vision-based method such as, the Microsoft Kinect sensor and the Leap Motion sensor, which latter has better accuracy and precision as compared to the Microsoft Kinect. It was found that the Microsoft Kinect is suitable to be used as the whole body gesture tracking device while the Leap Motion sensor can be used to track and detect hand motion accurately.

Nowadays, many types of robots are used in medical rehabilitations industries, such as ARMin, RUPERT, and MIT-MANUS robots (Nikafrooz *et al.*, 2019). Segal *et al.*, (2020) mentioned that for effective treatment, repetitive and coordinated movements are required which relies on the motivation and compliance of the patients. Therefore, the involvement of gesture-controlled and robots through intuitive gesture control, a user-friendly and engaging activity can encourage therapy acceptance to the patient. A research study by Xu *et al.*, (2018) has shown that the participants in a robot therapy group improved faster than the participants in a human therapy group.

# Thus, this study aims to develop a hand gesture-controlled robotic arm for human-robot interaction to enhance hemiplegia patients' rehabilitation therapy process. The introduction of the robotic arm in this study improves engagement in rehabilitation therapy and promotes motivation for repetitive movements and prolonged treatment. The robotic arm also plays a role in assisting the therapist during rehabilitation sessions due to the high number of patients versus therapists which if with inadequate patient-therapist quality sessions will result in ineffective rehabilitation.

#### **1.2 Problem statement**

In recent years, hand gesture recognition has been studied by many researchers as a method in helping hemiplegia patients during the rehabilitation process, which consists of contact and non-contact technique such as data glove and vision-based systems, respectively. However, the main disadvantage of contact techniques is the restrictions on the user's hand size and the required markers device that could bring discomfort to the patient's hand.

Extensive research has shown an emerging sensing technique on the visionbased system, such as the RGB-D camera, Microsoft Kinect, and Leap Motion sensor for the non-contact application. This approach nevertheless also has its downsides in terms of cost, computational burden, and unnatural therapy, such as the usage of virtual reality (VR), which may seem unnatural for first-time users and may influence the quality of the rehabilitation process.

Recent developments in the field of non-contact and vision-based systems have led to a renewed interest in the Leap Motion sensor (LMS) as a promising method to be used in hemiplegia therapy. Although extensive research has been carried out on the LMS, no single study exists on the real robot implementation. By implementing a real robot in the study, further performance evaluation can be conducted towards contributing to a real hemiplegia therapy process, such as the latency, accuracy, and range of movement limitation.

In addition, a robot-based motorized technique has been integrated to motivate the patients to voluntarily move their hands to achieve consistency in therapy. Thus this study aims to explore a hand gesture-based robotic system to improve the hemiplegia rehabilitation process in terms of accuracy, real-time, and movement TAKAAN TUNK consistency.

#### 1.3 **Hypothesis**

The hypothesis of the research are:

- 1) A Leap Motion sensor has a possibility to be utilized to capture human hand movements accurately.
- 2) The hand gesture-based robotic system is capable to perform upper limb therapy in real-time to assist hemiplegia patients.

#### 1.4 **Objectives**

The following objectives have been set up to achieve the aim of this project:

1) To establish LMS data acquisition system to capture and track human hand movement in real-time.

- To develop a heuristic method for hand gesture-controlled algorithm to control the robot movements.
- To evaluate the performance and effectiveness of the developed system via a series of an experimental programs.

## 1.5 Scopes of study

The scopes of the research are:

- This study focuses on hand gesture controlled 3-DOF robotic arm development to help hemiplegic patients with their upper-limb rehabilitation training process.
- 2) A Leap Motion sensor is used to detect hand gestures. The Leap Motion sensor has a field of view of about 150 degrees. The effective range of the Leap Motion is approximately 2.5 cm to 60 cm from the device. The sensor works best when it has a clear, high contrast view of the object's silhouette.
- 3) The algorithm was developed based on the Leap Motion API, Processing programming language and Arduino programming language to control the movement of the robotic arm based on the hand gesture captured by the Leap Motion device.
- 4) EMU HS4 is a 3-DOF robot arm used to imitate the human hand.
- 5) Various tests are carried out to assess the performance of the developed device.
  - a) Preliminary test
    - Hand gesture recognition by Leap Motion sensor
    - Optimal detection distance between hand and Leap Motion sensor
    - Integration of Leap Motion sensor with EMU HS4 robotic arm
    - Calibration of Leap Motion sensor and robot position
    - Performance measure test
    - b) Experimental program
      - Four hand training sessions are performed; grasping-release test, radialulnar deviation test, flexion-extension test, and radial-ulnar deviation and flexion-extension movement test.
      - Accuracy, error, and confidence interval measurement test of Leap Motion and robotic arm.

#### REFERENCES

- Alimanova, M., Kozhamzharova, D., Adilkhan, S., Urmanov, M., & Karimzhan, N. (2021). Design of a hand rehabilitation gaming platform using IoT technologies. *Proceedings - 2021 16th International Conference on Electronics Computer and Computation, ICECCO 2021*, pp. 2021–2023.
- Children's Hemiplegia Stroke Association. (2019). Hemiplegia CHASA. Retrieved June 10, 2019, from https://chasa.org/medical/hemiplegia/
- Chen, C., Chen, L., Zhou, X., & Yan, W. (2018). Controlling a robot using leap motion. 2017 2nd International Conference on Robotics and Automation Engineering, ICRAE 2017, pp.48–51.
- Chen, J., Hu, D., Sun, W., Tu, X., & He, J. (2019). A novel telerehabilitation system based on bilateral upper limb exoskeleton robot. 2019 IEEE International Conference on Real-Time Computing and Robotics, RCAR 2019, pp. 391–396.
- Choi, J., Seo, B., Lee, D., Park, H., & Park, J. (2013). RGB-D Camera-based Hand Shape Recognition for Human-robot Interaction. 44th International Symposium on Robotics, pp. 1–2.
- Christou, C. G., Michael-Grigoriou, D., & Sokratous, D. (2018). Virtual Buzzwire: Assessment of a Prototype VR Game for Stroke Rehabilitation. 25th IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces, pp. 531–532.
- de Souza, M. R. S. B., Gonçalves, R. S., & Carbone, G. (2021). Feasibility and performance validation of a leap motion controller for upper limb rehabilitation. *Robotics*, *10*(4), 1–19.
- Dawes, F., Penders, J., & Carbone, G. (2019). Remote Control of a Robotic Hand Using a Leap Sensor (*Vol. 2*).
- Dunkelberger, N., Member, G. S., Berning, J., Dix, K. J., Member, S., Ramirez, S. A., Malley, M. K. O. (2022). Design , Characterization , and Dynamic Simulation of the MAHI Open Exoskeleton Upper Limb Robot. pp. 1–8.

- Epilepsy Society. (2010). Retrieved June 11, 2021, from https://epilepsysociety.org.uk/hemiplegia
- Fu, Q., Fu, J., Guo, J., Guo, S., & Li, X. (2020). Gesture Recognition based on BP Neural Network and Data Glove. 2020 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation, ICMA 2020, pp. 1918–1922.
- Fujimura, M., Sato, S., Higashi, T., Oguri, K., & Motion, A. L. (2015). Study of Mirror Box Therapy Support System by Leap Motion. 2015 International Conference on Consumer Electronics-Taiwan (ICCE-TW) Study, pp. 430–431.
- Fujishima, N., & Ietsuka, T. (2016). Basic construction of a natural finger outline extraction system with a color glove. 2016 IEEE/ACIS 15th International Conference on Computer and Information Science, ICIS 2016, pp. 3–8.
- Galván-Ruiz, J., Travieso-González, C. M., Tejera-Fettmilch, A., Pinan-Roescher, A., Esteban-Hernández, L., & Domínguez-Quintana, L. (2020). Perspective and evolution of gesture recognition for sign language: A review. *Sensors*, 20(12), pp. 1–31.
- Garcia, A. T., Guimarães, L. L. d. C., e Silva, S. A. V., & de Oliveira, V. M. (2022).
  Use of RGB-D Camera for Analysis of Compensatory Trunk Movements in Upper Limbs Rehabilitation. *IFMBE Proceedings*, *83*, pp. 1309–1317.
- Glen, S. (2020). RMSE: Root Mean Square Error. Retrieved Nov 10, 2020, from https://www.statisticshowto.com/probability-and-statistics/regressionanalysis/rmse-root-mean-square-error/
- Graham, H. K., Rosenbaum, P., Paneth, N., Dan, B., Lin, J. P., Damiano, Di. L., Lieber, R. L. (2016). Cerebral palsy. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 2.
- Gunawardane, H., Medagedara, N., & Madhusanka, A. (2015). Control of Robot Arm Based on Hand Gestures Using Leap Motion Sensor Technology. *International Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics*, 2(1), pp. 7–14.
- Guzsvinecz, T., Szucs, V., & Sik-Lanyi, C. (2019). Suitability of the Kinect Sensor and Leap Motion Controller—A Literature Review. Sensors, 19(5), 1072.
- Hameed, S., Ahson Khan, M., Kumar, B., Arain, Z., & Hasan, M. ul. (2017). Gesture Controlled Robotic Arm Using Leap Motion. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(45), pp. 1–7.
- Heisnam, L., & Suthar, B. (2017). 20 DOF robotic hand for tele-operation:-Design, simulation, control and accuracy test with leap motion. *International*

Conference on Robotics and Automation for Humanitarian Applications, RAHA 2016, pp. 1–5.

- HemiHelp. (2014). What is hemiplegia. Retrieved June 10, 2019, from http://www.hemihelp.org.uk/hemiplegia/what\_is\_hemiplegia
- Imatz, E., Keller, T., Cuesta, A., Iglesias, J., & Carratala, M. (2014). Transcutaneous FES-induced pain maps on post-stroke upper limb: Preliminary study. 2014 IEEE 19th International Functional Electrical Stimulation Society Annual Conference, IFESS 2014, pp. 1–4.
- Ishiyama, H., & Kurabayashi, S. (2016). Monochrome glove: A robust real-time hand gesture recognition method by using a fabric glove with design of structured markers. *Proceedings - IEEE Virtual Reality*, pp. 187–188.
- Kavian, M., & Nadian-ghomsheh, A. (2020). Monitoring Wrist and Fingers Range of Motion using Leap Motion Camera for Physical Rehabilitation. *International Conference on Machine Vision and Image Processing (MVIP)*, pp. 6.
- Kawarazaki, N., & Yoshidome, T. (2018). A Self Training System for a Hemiplegia Person Using the Hand Motion Capture Device. 2018 15th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision, ICARCV 2018, (Figure 2), pp. 1897–1902.
- Laferriere, P., Lemaire, E. D., & Chan, A. D. C. (2011). Surface electromyographic signals using dry electrodes. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 60(10), pp. 3259–3268.
- Leap Motion. (2020a). API Overview Leap Motion C++ SDK v3.2 Beta documentation. Retrieved September 15, 2020, from https://developer-archive.leapmotion.com/documentation/cpp/devguide/Leap\_Overview.html.
- Leap Motion. (2020b). Camera Images Leap Motion C++ SDK v3.2 Beta documentation. Retrieved from https://developerarchive.leapmotion.com/documentation/cpp/devguide/Leap\_Images.html
- Leap Motion. (2020c). System Architecture Leap Motion C# SDK v3.2 Beta documentation. Retrieved September 15, 2020, from https://developer-archive.leapmotion.com/documentation/csharp/devguide/Leap\_Architecture.h tml
- Li, M., Wu, Z., Zhao, C. G., Yuan, H., Wang, T., Xie, J., Luo, S. (2022). Facial Expressions-controlled Flight Game with Haptic Feedback for Stroke

Rehabilitation: A Proof-of-Concept Study. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(3), pp. 6351–6358.

- Li, X., Wan, K., Wen, R., & Hu, Y. (2018). Development of Finger Motion Reconstruction System Based on Leap Motion Controller. *3*(*15*).
- Luengas, L. A., Camargo, E., & Sanchez, G. (2015). Modeling and simulation of normal and hemiparetic gait. *Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering*, 10(3), pp. 233–241.
- Marin, G., Dominio, F., & Zanuttigh, P. (2016). Hand gesture recognition with jointly calibrated Leap Motion and depth sensor. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 75(22), pp. 14991–15015.
- Mazlan, S., Rahman, H. A., & Che Fai, Y. (2021). Effect of Shoulder Movement on Assessing Upper Limb Performance of Stroke Patient. 19th IEEE Student Conference on Research and Development: Sustainable Engineering and Technology towards Industry Revolution, SCOReD 2021, pp. 239–244.
- McLeod, S. (2019). What are confidence intervals in statistics? Retrieved September 15, 2020, from https://www.simplypsychology.org/confidence-interval.html
- Melinosky, C. (2021). Types of paralysis. Retrieved June 14, 2021, https://www.webmd.com/brain/paralysis-types
- MOTOmed Viva2. (2021). Retrieved August 4, 2021, from https://acmobility.com.au/motomed-rehabilitation-exerciseequipment/motomed-viva2/
- Noronha, B., Ng, C. Y., Little, K., Xiloyannis, M., Kuah, C. W. K., Wee, S. K., Accoto, D. (2022). Soft, Lightweight Wearable Robots to Support the Upper Limb in Activities of Daily Living: A Feasibility Study on Chronic Stroke Patients. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 30, pp. 1401–1411.
- Ng, C. L., & Reaz, M. B. I. (2019). Evolution of a capacitive electromyography contactless biosensor: Design and modelling techniques. *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, 145, pp. 460–471.
- Nikafrooz, N., Mahjoob, M. J., & Ali Tofigh, M. (2019). Design, Modeling, and Fabrication of a 3-DOF Wrist Rehabilitation Robot. *Proceedings of the 6th RSI International Conference on Robotics and Mechatronics, IcRoM 2018*, pp. 34– 38.

- Nizamis, K., Rijken, N. H. M., Mendes, A., Janssen, M. M. H. P., Bergsma, A., & Koopman, B. F. J. M. (2018). A Novel Setup and Protocol to Measure the Range of Motion of the Wrist and the Hand, pp. 1–14.
- Panduranga, H. T., & Mani, C. (2018). Dynamic Hand Gesture Recognition System : A Short Survey. 2018 International Conference on Inventive Research in Computing Applications (ICIRCA), pp. 689–694.
- Paralysis. (2021). Retrieved June 14, 2021, from https://medlineplus.gov/paralysis.html
- Postolache, G., Carry, F., Lourenço, F., Ferreira, D., Oliveira, R., Girão, P. S., & Postolache, O. (2019). Serious Games Based on Kinect and Leap Motion Controller for Upper Limbs Physical Rehabilitation.
- Premachandra, H. A. G. C., Herath, H. M. A. N., & Thathsarana, K. M. (2022). Design and Development of a Robotic Uterine Manipulator for Gynecological Laparoscopy. pp. 145–154.
- Ramachandran, V. S., Altschuler, E. L., Stone, L., Schwartz, E., & Siva, N. (1999). Can mirrors alleviate visual hemineglect? *52*, pp. 303–305.
- Ramachandran, V. S., Rogers-Ramachandran, D., & Cobb, S. (1995). Touching the phantom limb. *Nature, Vol. 377*, pp. 489–490.
- Rusanu, O. A., Cristea, L., Luculescu, M. C., Cotfas, P. A., & Cotfas, D. T. (2019).
   Virtual robot arm controlled by hand gestures via Leap Motion Sensor. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 514(1).
- Sathiyanarayanan, M., & Rajan, S. (2016). MYO Armband for physiotherapy healthcare: A case study using gesture recognition application. 2016 8th International Conference on Communication Systems and Networks, COMSNETS 2016, pp. 1–6.
- Segal, A. D., Lesak, M. C., Silverman, A. K., & Petruska, A. J. (2020). A gesturecontrolled rehabilitation robot to improve engagement and quantify movement performance. *Sensors*, 20(15), pp. 1–18.
- Sehndkar, C. V., Mahadevappa, M., Lenka, P. K., Ratnesh, K., & Biswas, A. (2017). Efficacy of FES for restoring hand grasp in hemiplegia: Investigation using biosignals. 2016 International Conference on Systems in Medicine and Biology, ICSMB 2016, pp. 1–4.
- Seim, C. E., Ritter, B., Starner, T. E., Flavin, K., Lansberg, M. G., & Okamura, A. M. (2022). Design of a Wearable Vibrotactile Stimulation Device for Individuals

With Upper-Limb Hemiparesis and Spasticity. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, *30*, pp. 1277–1287.

- Shao, L., Wetzstein, G., & Konrad, R. (2015). Hand movement and gesture recognition using Leap Motion Controller Stanford EE 267, *Instructors*. pp. 2–6.
- Sharma, J. K., Gupta, R., & Pathak, V. K. (2016). Numeral Gesture Recognition Using Leap Motion Sensor. 2015 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks, CICN 2015, pp. 411–414.
- Shen, C., Liu, F., Yao, L., Li, Z., Qiu, L., & Fang, S. (2018). Effects of MOTOmed movement therapy on the mobility and activities of daily living of stroke patients with hemiplegia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 32(12), pp. 1569–1580.
- Shiba, M., Yanai, M., Maeda, H., & Shiono, M. (2015). Fatal persistent methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia and vascular graft infections complicated with the formation of multiple abscesses despite aggressive medical therapy. SAGE Open Medical Case Reports, 3(June 2009).
- Smeragliuolo, A. H., Hill, N. J., Disla, L., & Putrino, D. (2016). Validation of the Leap Motion Controller using markered motion capture technology. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 49(9), pp. 1742–1750.
- Spinalcord.com. (2019). Hemiplegia. Retrieved June 10, 2019, from https://www.spinalcord.com/hemiplegia
- Stroke: Physiotherapy Treatment Approaches. (2021). Retrieved June 15, 2021, from https://www.physiopedia.com/Stroke:\_Physiotherapy\_Treatment\_Approache s#cite\_note-38
- Sugar, T. G., He, J., Koeneman, E. J., Koeneman, J. B., Herman, R., Huang, H., Ward, J. A. (2007). Design and control of RUPERT: A device for robotic upper extremity repetitive therapy. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 15(3), pp. 336–346.
- Tan, K. S., & Venketasubramanian, N. (2022). Stroke Burden in Malaysia. Cerebrovascular Diseases Extra, pp. 58–62.
- Tanaka, M., Higashi, T., & Kobayashi, T. (2016). Creating Method for Real-Time CG Animation of Cooperative Motion of Both Hands for Mirror Box Therapy Support System. pp. 623–627.



- Tingare, M. (2018). Controlling the Drone with Hand Gestures by using LEAP Motion Controller. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, *118*(24), pp. 1–10.
- Tu, X., He, J., Wen, Y., Huang, J., Huang, X., Huang, H., Yuan, Y. (2014). Cooperation of electrically stimulated muscle and pneumatic muscle to realize RUPERT bi-directional motion for grasping. 2014 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBC 2014, pp. 4103–4106.
- Tu, Y., Matthews, G. I., Lee, S. Y., & Fang, Q. (2015). A closed-loop micro-stimulator controlled by muscle fatigue status and function impairment level for upper limb rehabilitation. 4th International Symposium on Bioelectronics and Bioinformatics, ISBB 2015, pp. 120–123.
- Tufail, M. Z. (2018). Introduction to ROBOTEK II Trainer and its interfacing with the computer. Retrieved May 20, 2019, from https://electricalenggr.weebly.com/uploads/3/9/4/9/39497487/control\_system. pdf
- Vaskov, A. K., Vu, P. P., North, N., Davis, A. J., Kung, T. A., Gates, D. H., Chestek, C. A. (2020). Surgically Implanted Electrodes Enable Real-Time Finger and Grasp Pattern Recognition for Prosthetic Hands. *MedRxiv: The Preprint Server for Health Sciences*, pp. 1–17.
- Villines, Z. (2019). What Is The Difference Between Hemiplegia And Hemiparesis. Retrieved June 10, 2019, from https://www.spinalcord.com/blog/what-is-thedifference-between-hemiplegiaand-hemiparesis
- Vladimir Tichelaar, Y. I. G., Geertzen, J. H. B., Keizer, D., & Paul Van Wilgen, C. (2007). Mirror box therapy added to cognitive behavioural therapy in three chronic complex regional pain syndrome type I patients: A pilot study. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, 30(2), 181–188.
- Weichert, F., Bachmann, D., Rudak, B., & Fisseler, D. (2013). Analysis of the Accuracy and Robustness of the Leap Motion Controller. *MDPI*, pp. 6380– 6393.
- World Stroke Organization. (2022). Global Stroke Fact Sheet 2022. Retrieved June 9, 2022, from WSO website: https://www.world-

stroke.org/assets/downloads/WSO\_Global\_Stroke\_Fact\_Sheet.pdf

- Xiong, P., Gao, S., Liu, Z., Hu, L., & Ding, X. (2016). A novel scheme of finger recovery based on symmetric rehabilitation: Specially for hemiplegia. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sensing Technology, ICST.*
- Xu, J., Bryant, D. G., Chen, Y. P., & Howard, A. (2018). Robot therapist versus human therapist: Evaluating the effect of corrective feedback on human motor performance. 2018 International Symposium on Medical Robotics, ISMR 2018, pp. 1–6.
- Zhihong, M. (2005). *Robotics* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Singapore: Pearson Education South Asia.
- Zhou, Z., Chen, K., Li, X., Zhang, S., Wu, Y., Zhou, Y., Chen, J. (2020). Sign-tospeech translation using machine-learning-assisted stretchable sensor arrays. *Nature Electronics*, 3(9), pp. 571–578.
- Zirbel, C., Zhang, X., & Hughes, C. (2019). Reality System for Post-Stroke Upper Limb Rehabilitation for Medically Underserved Populations. 2018 IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC), pp. 1–8.
- Zou, Y., Liu, H., & Zhang, J. (2019). Real-time grasp type recognition using leap motion controller. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 11742 LNAI(LMC), 472–480.



### **APPENDIX B**

#### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. W. N. Wan Azlan, W. N. Wan Zakaria, N. Othman, M. N. Haji Mohd, M. N. Abd Ghani, "Evaluation of Leap Motion Controller Usability in Development of Hand Gesture Recognition for Hemiplegia Patients" 2019 Proceedings of the MINAH 11th National Technical Seminar on Unmanned System Technology, vol 666, pp.671-682.

Location: Pahang, Malaysia, Date of conference: 2-3 December 2019

2. W. N. Wan Azlan, W. N. Wan Zakaria, N. Othman, M. N. Haji Mohd, "Development of Hand Gesture Controlled Robotic Arm for Hemiplegia Patients" 2021 International Conference on Electrical & Electronic Engineering 2021 (ICon3E'2021)

Location: Virtual, Date of conference: 6-7 September 2021 Status: Accepted



#### **APPENDIX C**

#### VITA

The author was born on January 12, 1995, in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. She was raised in Selangor and went to SMK (P) Sri Aman, in Petaling Jaya, Selangor, for her secondary school. She pursued her pre-university studies for one year at the Perak Matriculation College in a science course. Later, she enrolled in her degree at the Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) and graduated with a B.Eng. (Hons) in Electronic Engineering in 2018. Currently, she is pursuing her studies for a Master's degree in Electrical Engineering at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM).

