

ONLINE SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION DEVELOPMENT BASED ON  
RECURSIVE WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARE NEURAL NETWORKS OF  
NONLINEAR HAMMERSTEIN AND WIENER MODELS

AYAD MAHMOOD KWAD

A thesis submitted in  
fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the  
Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering  
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

MARCH 2022

To my mother, father's memory, brother, and all family.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, who gives me everything to live, read, understand, complete this research work, and every work, here and everywhere, and the best prayer and peace be upon the Prophet Muhammad.

I would like to express my sincerest thanks and gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Dirman Hanafi, for his encouragement, advice, and unwavering support in helping me complete my research work.

My deepest thanks to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rosli Bin Omar, for his inspiration, assistance, and overcoming the difficulties.

My warmest thanks and gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr. Hisyam Bin Abdul Rahman, for providing me with all the research needs to succeed, advice, and support.

My sincere thanks to the staff of instrumentation LAB, FKEE, UTHM, especially the assistant engineer Mohd Shahidan Bin Madiah.

Most importantly, I would express my heartiest thanks to my mother, asking Allah to keep her for me, my brother, my wife, my daughters, and all my friends and acquaintances.



## ABSTRACT

The realistic dynamics mathematical model of a system is very important for analyzing a system. The mathematical system model can be derived by applying physical, thermodynamic, and chemistry laws. But this method has some drawbacks, among which is difficult for complex systems, sometimes is untraceable for nonlinear behavior that almost all systems have in the real world, and requires much knowledge. Another method is system identification which is also called experimental modeling. System identification can be made offline, but this method has a disadvantage because the features of a dynamic system may change over time. The parameters may vary as environmental conditions change. It requires big data and consumes a long time. This research introduces a developed method for online system identification based on the Hammerstein and Wiener nonlinear block-oriented structure with the artificial neural networks (NN) advantages and recursive weighted least squares algorithm for optimizing neural network learning in real-time. The proposed method aimed to obtain a maximally informative mathematical model that can describe the actual dynamic behaviors of a system, using the DC motor as a case study. The goodness of fit validation based on the normalized root-mean-square error (NRMSE) and normalized mean square error, and Theil's inequality coefficient are used to evaluate the performance of models. Based on experimental results, for best Wiener parallel NN model and series-parallel NN model are 93.7% and 89.48%, respectively. Best Hammerstein parallel NN polynomial based model and series-parallel NN polynomial model are 88.75% and 93.9% respectively, for best Hammerstein parallel NN sigmoid based model and series-parallel NN sigmoid based model 78.26% and 95.95% respectively, and for best Hammerstein parallel NN hyperbolic tangent based model and series-parallel NN hyperbolic tangent based model 70.7% and 96.4% respectively. The best model of the developed method outperformed the conventional NARX and NARMAX methods best model by 3.26% in terms of NRMSE goodness of fit.

## ABSTRAK

Model matematik yang dinamik pada sesuatu sistem adalah sangat penting untuk menganalisis sistem tersebut. Sistem model matematik dapat diterbitkan dengan menerapkan hukum fizik, termodinamik dan kimia. Tetapi kaedah ini mempunyai beberapa kelemahan, antaranya sukar untuk sistem yang kompleks, kadangkala tidak dapat dikesan untuk tingkah laku tidak linear yang hampir semua sistem ada di dunia nyata, dan memerlukan banyak pengetahuan. Kaedah lain ialah dengan menggunakan pengenalan sistem, dan ia juga dipanggil pemodelan secara eksperimen. Pengenalpastian sistem boleh dibuat di luar talian, tetapi kaedah ini mempunyai kelemahan kerana ciri sistem dinamik mungkin berubah dari semasa ke semasa. Parameter mungkin berbeza apabila keadaan persekitaran berubah. Ia memerlukan data yang besar dan memakan masa yang lama. Penyelidikan ini memperkenalkan kaedah yang dikembangkan untuk pengenalpastian sistem dalam waktu nyata berdasarkan struktur berorientasikan blok tidak linear Hammerstein dan Wiener dengan kelebihan rangkaian neural tiruan (NN) dan algoritma kuadrat terkecil rekursif untuk mengoptimumkan pembelajaran rangkaian saraf di waktu sebenar. Kaedah yang dicadangkan bertujuan untuk mendapatkan maklumat model matematik maksimum yang dapat menggambarkan tingkah laku dinamik sebenar sesuatu sistem dengan menggunakan DC motor sebagai kajian kes. Pengesahan kebaikan fit berdasarkan ralat punca-punca persegi normal, ralat segiempat sama normal, dan pekali ketaksamaan Theil digunakan untuk menilai prestasi model berbanding dengan keluaran sebenar. Berdasarkan keputusan eksperimen, untuk model NN selari Wiener terbaik dan model NN selari-siri masing-masing ialah 93.7% dan 89.48%. Model berasaskan polinomial NN selari Hammerstein terbaik dan model polinomial NN selari siri masing-masing ialah 88.75% dan 93.9%, untuk model berasaskan sigmoid NN selari Hammerstein terbaik dan model berasaskan sigmoid NN selari siri masing-masing 78.26% dan 95.95%, dan untuk model berasaskan hiperbolik tangen NN selari Hammerstein terbaik dan model berasaskan hiperbolik tangen NN selari siri masing-masing 70.7% dan 96.4%. Model terbaik bagi kaedah yang dibangunkan melebihi model terbaik kaedah NARX dan NARMAX konvensional sebanyak 3.26% dari segi kesesuaian NRMSE.

## CONTENTS

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Research objectives	5
1.4 Research scopes and limitations	5
1.5 Outline of the thesis	6
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Dynamic system	8
2.3 Modeling system	10
2.3.1 Modeling methods	12
2.4 System identification and system specifications	15
2.4.1 Offline system identification	18

2.4.1.1	Conventional offline system identification	20
2.4.1.2	Neural networks-based offline system identification	23
2.4.2	Online system identification	25
2.4.2.1	Online system identification importance	26
2.4.2.2	Conventional online system identification	27
2.4.2.3	Neural networks-based online system identification	29
2.5	Nonlinear block-oriented models	32
2.6	Weighted least squares and RWLS	35
2.7	Model validation	36
2.8	Research gap	37
2.9	Summary	44
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>THEORETICAL BACKGROUND</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	DC motor	45
3.2.1	DC motor basic structure	47
3.2.2	DC motor types	48
3.2.3	Permanent magnet DC motor	49
3.2.4	Nonlinearity of DC motor	50
3.3	Friction	51
3.3.1	Coulomb friction	53
3.3.2	Viscous friction	55
3.3.3	Static friction (Stiction)	55
3.4	Backward difference discretization Process	57
3.5	Neural networks for system identification	59
3.5.1	Multi-layer perceptron networks (MPL)	60
3.5.2	Recurrent networks	61
3.5.3	Time delay neural network	62
3.6	Nonlinear block-oriented systems	63
3.6.1	Non-linear Wiener model	63
3.6.2	Wiener neural network models	65
3.6.3	Non-linear Hammerstein model	66

3.6.4	Hammerstein neural network models	67
3.7	Teaching algorithm	68
3.7.1	Weighted least squares	69
3.7.2	Recursive weighted least squares	70
3.8	NRMSE, NMSE, and TIC validation criteria	72
3.9	Summary	72
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>74</b>
4.1	Introduction	74
4.2	Development discrete nonlinear PMDC motor model	74
4.2.1	Principle model	76
4.2.2	Friction effects and non-linearity	77
4.2.3	PMDC motor first discrete mathematical model	81
4.2.4	PMDC motor second discrete mathematical model	82
4.3	Developing the identification model structure	83
4.3.1	Parallel Wiener neural network (polynomial non-linear function)	83
4.3.2	Series-parallel Wiener neural network (polynomial nonlinear function)	86
4.3.3	Parallel Hammerstein neural network (polynomial nonlinear function)	89
4.3.4	Parallel Hammerstein neural network (activation nonlinear function)	91
4.3.5	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural network (polynomial nonlinear function)	93
4.3.6	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural network (activation nonlinear function)	94
4.4	Experimental setup	95
4.4.1	The DC motor	96
4.4.2	The mechanical frame joining	97
4.4.3	The DC motor Driver	97
4.4.4	The power supply	98
4.4.5	The input voltage sensing device	99
4.4.6	Rotary encoder B106	101



4.4.7	Arduino Mega 2560 as data acquisition	104
4.4.8	The electrical and electronic hardware connection	105
4.4.9	The implemented software	107
4.4.9.1	Micro-controller software	107
4.4.9.2	The PC software	108
4.5	Validation	109
4.6	Summary	112
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>113</b>
5.1	Introduction	113
5.2	RWLS neural networks nonlinear block-oriented polynomial based	113
5.2.1	RWLS WNN polynomial based	113
5.2.1.1	RWLS PWNN polynomial based models	114
5.2.1.2	RWLS SPWNN polynomial based models	116
5.2.2	RWLS HNN polynomial based	119
5.2.2.1	RWLS PHNN polynomial based models	119
5.2.2.2	RWLS SPHNN polynomial based models	121
5.3	RWLS neural networks nonlinear Hammerstein activation function based	123
5.3.1	RWLS PHNN activation function based	123
5.3.1.1	RWLS PHNN sigmoid function based models	123
5.3.1.2	RWLS PHNN <i>tanh</i> function based models	126
5.3.2	RWLS SPHNN activation function based	128
5.3.2.1	RWLS SPHNN sigmoid function based models	128
5.3.2.2	RWLS SPHNN <i>tanh</i> function based models	131
5.4	Developed model performance comparison	134
5.4.1	RWLS parallel NARX NN	134
5.4.2	RWLS series-parallel NARX NN	135
5.4.3	RWLS parallel NARMAX NN	137
5.4.4	RWLS series-parallel NARMAX NN	138

	xii
5.5 Method comparison with related works	140
5.6 Summary	143
<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>144</b>
6.1 Conclusion	144
6.2 Research contribution	145
6.3 Recommendations	146
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>179</b>



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Recent researches of offline system identification NNs based	24
2.2	Recent researches of online system identification NNs based	31
2.3	Comparison between offline and online system identification NNs based	32
2.4	Research gap resources summary	38
4.1	The eight structure modes	95
4.2	The DC motor performance	97
4.3	The power supply (GPS-3030DD) general specifications	99
4.4	B106 rotary encoder specifications and technical facts	103
4.5	The PC specifications	106
5.1	System identification parameters of RWLS PWNN best model	114
5.2	System identification parameters of RWLS SPWNN best model	118
5.3	System identification parameters of RWLS PHNN best model	121
5.4	System identification parameters of RWLS SPHNN best model	123
5.5	System identification parameters of RWLS PHNN sigmoid best model	125
5.6	System identification parameters of RWLS PHNN <i>tanh</i> best model	128
5.7	System identification parameters of RWLS SPHNN sigmoid best model	130
5.8	System identification parameters of RWLS SPHNN <i>tanh</i> best model	133
5.9	Summary of the best models	133
5.10	System identification parameters of RWLS parallel NARX NN model	135
5.11	System identification parameters of RWLS series-parallel NARX NN model	137
5.12	System identification parameters of RWLS parallel NARMAX NN model	138
5.13	System identification parameters of RWLS series-parallel NARMAX NN model	140
5.14	Proposed models comparison with RWLS NARX and NARMAX based models	140

5.15	Developed method comparison with related works	141
C.1	Parallel Wiener neural networks (Polynomial)	188
C.2	Series-parallel Wiener neural networks (Polynomial)	191
C.3	Parallel Hammerstein neural networks (polynomial)	193
C.4	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural networks (polynomial)	195
C.5	Parallel Hammerstein neural networks (Sigmoid)	197
C.6	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural networks (Sigmoid)	198
C.7	Parallel Hammerstein neural networks (Hyperbolic tangent)	198
C.8	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural networks (Hyperbolic tangent)	199



## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	A dynamic system or process	9
2.2	Steps of theoretical modeling	13
2.3	Main steps of experimental modeling	14
2.4	System classifications	16
2.5	Overall identification procedure	17
2.6	Offline system identification steps	19
2.7	Online system identification steps	25
2.8	Wiener model structure	33
2.9	Hammerstein model structure	34
2.10	Wiener-Hammerstein structure	34
2.11	Hammerstein-Wiener structure	34
3.1	Basic equivalent circuit diagram of the DC motor armature	46
3.2	Equivalent circuit diagram of the DC motor's armature	47
3.3	DC motor structure	48
3.4	DC motor types	49
3.5	Permanent excited DC motor	49
3.6	A microscopic scheme of two contacted rough surfaces	52
3.7	A microscopic scheme of two contacted lubricant surfaces	53
3.8	Combinations of static friction models	54
3.9	Viscous friction linear model	55
3.10	The Stribeck curve	56
3.11	The backward discretization	58
3.12	A network consists of basic functions	59
3.13	Multilayer neural networks	61
3.14	Time delay neural network structure	62
3.15	Wiener model	63
3.16	Wiener model with zero mean error assumption	64
3.17	The SISO parallel Wiener network model	65

3.18	The SISO series-parallel Wiener network model	66
3.19	Hammerstein model	66
3.20	The SISO parallel Hammerstein network model	68
3.21	The SISO series-parallel Hammerstein network model	68
4.1	Deriving the nonlinear discrete model for PMDC motor	75
4.2	Motor system	76
4.3	The relation between friction and angular velocity	79
4.4	Block-diagram of the DC motor	80
4.5	Developing the identification model structure for PMDC motor	84
4.6	Parallel Wiener neural network	86
4.7	Example of the function and its inverse	88
4.8	Wiener model (a) The nonlinear block (b) the inverse nonlinear block	88
4.9	Series-parallel Wiener neural network	89
4.10	Parallel Hammerstein neural network	92
4.11	Parallel Hammerstein neural network with activation function	92
4.12	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural network	93
4.13	series-parallel Hammerstein neural network with activation function	95
4.14	The studied DC motor	96
4.15	The DC motor, load , and encoder joining	98
4.16	MD13S the DC motor driver	99
4.17	The voltage divider circuit	100
4.18	The duplicated voltage divider circuit	101
4.19	Resolution types of encoder with the direction	102
4.20	B106 rotary encoder	102
4.21	Connecting the rotary encoder to micro-controller	103
4.22	The Arduino Mega 2560 Rev 3	104
4.23	The MD13S DC motor driver connection	106
4.24	The schematic diagram of the hardware connection	106
4.25	The micro-controller software steps to work as data acquisition	107
4.26	The online model estimation software steps	109
4.27	Connected PMDC motor with the hardware setups	110
4.28	Plotting actual output and model output in real time	110
4.29	Implementation and validation process	111
5.1	The gof of parallel Wiener neural network models	114

5.2	Comparison between the real and RWLS PWNN's output	115
5.3	Error of the RWLS PWNN model	116
5.4	The gof of serial-parallel Wiener neural network models	117
5.5	Comparison between the real and RWLS SPWNN's output	117
5.6	Error of the RWLS SPWNN's model	118
5.7	The gof of parallel Hammerstein neural network polynomial models	119
5.8	Comparison between the real and RWLS PHNN output	120
5.9	Error of the RWLS PHNN model	120
5.10	The gof of series-parallel Hammerstein neural network polynomial models	121
5.11	Comparison between the real and RWLS SPHNN output	122
5.12	Error of the RWLS SPHNN model	122
5.13	The gof of parallel Hammerstein neural network models (sigmoid)	124
5.14	Comparison between the real and RWLS PHNN sigmoid model output	124
5.15	Error of the RWLS PHNN sigmoid model	125
5.16	The gof of parallel Hammerstein neural network models ( <i>tanh</i> )	126
5.17	Comparison between the real and RWLS PHNN <i>tanh</i> model output	127
5.18	Error of the RWLS PHNN <i>tanh</i> model	127
5.19	The gof of series-parallel Hammerstein neural network models (sigmoid)	129
5.20	Comparison between the real and RWLS SPHNN sigmoid model output	129
5.21	Error of the RWLS SPHNN sigmoid model	130
5.22	The gof of series-parallel Hammerstein neural network models ( <i>tanh</i> )	131
5.23	Comparison between the real and RWLS SPHNN <i>tanh</i> model output	132
5.24	Error of the RWLS SPHNN <i>tanh</i> model	132
5.25	Comparison between the real and RWLS parallel NARX model output	134
5.26	Error of the RWLS parallel NARX model	135
5.27	Comparison between the real and RWLS series-parallel NARX model output	136
5.28	Error of the RWLS series-parallel NARX model	136
5.29	Comparison between the real and RWLS parallel NARMAX model output	137
5.30	Error of the RWLS parallel NARMAX model	138

5.31	Comparison between the real and RWLS series-parallel NARMAX model output	139
5.32	Error of the RWLS series-parallel NARMAX model	139





## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	-	Artificial Neural Networks
ARMAX	-	Auto-Regressive Moving Average eXogenous
ARX	-	Auto-Regressive eXogenous
bemf	-	Back electromotive force (V)
CT	-	Continuous time
DAQ	-	Data acquisition
DC	-	Direct current
ELU	-	Exponential Linear Unit function
emf	-	Electromotive force
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GND	-	Ground
gof	-	Goodness of fit
HNN	-	Hammerstein neural network
I/P	-	Input
LS	-	Least Squares
LS-SVM	-	Least-squares support vector
LTI	-	Linear time invariant
MIMO	-	Multi-Input Multi-Output
MISO	-	Multi-Input Single-Output
MLP	-	Multilayer-Perceptron
MRAN	-	Minimum resource allocation networks
MRELS	-	Multi-pace Recursive extended least-squares
MSE	-	Mean square error
NARMAX	-	Nonlinear autoregressive moving average with exogenous inputs model

NARX	-	Nonlinear autoregressive with exogenous inputs model
NMSE	-	Normalized mean square error
NN	-	Neural network
NRMSE	-	Normalized root-mean-square error
O/K	-	Observer/Kalman filter
O/P	-	Output
OE	-	Output Error
PHNN	-	Parallel Hammerstein neural network
PLC	-	Poly lactic Acid (plastic filament)
PMDC motor	-	Permanent magnet DC motor
PReLU	-	Parametric Rectified linear Unit function
PWM	-	Pulse width modulation
PWNN	-	Parallel Wiener neural network
RBF	-	Radial basis function
RELS	-	Recursive extended least-squares
ReLU	-	Rectified linear Unit function
RLS	-	Recursive least squares
RNN	-	Recursive Neural Network
RWLS	-	Recursive weighted least squares
SPHNN	-	Series-parallel Hammerstein neural network
SPWNN	-	Series-parallel Wiener neural network
SI	-	System identification
SISO	-	Single Input Single Output
TIC	-	Theil's Inequality Coefficient
TDNN	-	Time delay neural network
WLS	-	Weighted least squares
WNN	-	Wiener neural network

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$d(t)$	-	Disturbance signal
$F$	-	Force
$F_f$	-	Friction force
$f_n$	-	The normal force
$F_S$	-	Static friction force
$G(q^{-1}), H(q^{-1})$	-	Transfer function in time-shifting polynomial terms
$\mathcal{P}(x)$	-	Polynomial of $x$
$f(\cdot)$	-	Function of the variables between the round brackets
$i$	-	Armature current of motor (A)
$I$	-	The current
$J$	-	Associated moment of inertia for motor and load (Kg.m <sup>2</sup> )
$K$	-	Motor constant (N.m/A) or (V/rad.s <sup>-1</sup> )
$L(t)$	-	Gain array
$\ell$	-	The polynomial order
$\mathcal{M}$	-	Mathematical model
$n_e$	-	The polynomial error order
$n_u$	-	The polynomial input order
$n_y$	-	The polynomial output order
$\mathbb{N}$	-	The set of natural numbers
$P(t)$	-	Covariance matrix
$P_e$	-	Electrical power generated in armature of DC machine
$P_m$	-	Mechanical power
$q^{-1}$	-	Shifting time

$\mathbb{R}$	-	Real numbers set
$R_A$	-	Armature circuit resistor
$S$	-	Sigmoid function
$T$	-	Torque of DC machine
$T_C$	-	Coulomb friction torque
$T_f$	-	Friction anti-torque
$T_L$	-	Load torque (N.m)
$T_m$	-	The generated motor torque (N.m)
$T_v$	-	Viscous friction
$\tanh$	-	Hyperbolic tangent
$u$	-	Input voltage (V)
$u(t)$	-	Traditional input signal symbol
$V_i$	-	Induced voltage in the armature winding
$v_S$	-	Stribeck velocity
$y(t)$	-	Traditional output signal symbol
$\gamma$	-	Parameter of raising static friction
$\delta_S$	-	Decay degree parameter of Stribeck curve
$\delta_v$	-	Geometric viscous coefficient
$\hat{\theta}$	-	Estimated parameters
$\lambda$	-	Weighting factor
$\mu$	-	Coulomb friction anti-torque (N.m)
$\mu_o$	-	Coefficient of friction
$\Phi$	-	Magnetic flux (Weber) or (Volt-second)
$\sigma_v$	-	Viscous friction coefficient
$v$	-	Rotational viscosity coefficient (N.m/rad.s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\omega_m$	-	Motor's angular velocity (rad/sec)
$\theta, \theta(t)$	-	Parameters in system model
$\Theta$	-	Vector of parameters
$\varphi, \varphi(t)$	-	Variable array

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Specifications of DC Motor	179
B	Algorithms of Developed Methods	180
C	Experiments Results	188
D	List of Publications	201
E	VITA	203



**PTTA UTHM**  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the study

System identification is a tool used to construct a mathematical model that defines characteristics of a system (or process's characteristics) by establishing a relationship between its parameters and variables built on finding the relation between the actual input of the system and the related measurable output data [1]. The appropriate model, for a specific system, is determined according to the function which is intended to perform. Therefore, there are many models for the same physical system, each with varying levels of accuracy according to the phenomena under study. The importance of models is emitted from giving a description of a system and making predictions about how a system will behave [2].

The mathematical model for a dynamic system can be derived by applying a first principle modeling method known as the white-box modeling method [3]. It is founded on prior knowledge of the phenomena being represented as well as universal equations that may be used to construct the model. The models of this type are underpinned by the conservation of energy, mass, and momentum principles, e.g., heat and mass transfer rates and chemical or biological processes that are used to develop mathematical formulations for these conservation laws [4]. The general nature of those mathematical relationships is commonly considered. Nevertheless, they are restricted by understanding fundamental concepts, and their mathematical solution methods are often complicated, necessitating simplifying assumptions. Furthermore, the data required is frequently enormous, the model's uncertainties cannot be included, and the environmental error that comes in the system is usually ignored [5, 6].

On the other hand, deriving a mathematical model for a dynamic system from monitored data (input/output data) is named system identification [7], which are not

depending on prior knowledge for a specific system, such as data-driven modeling methods known as black-box modeling methods. Or there is a certain amount of prior knowledge but not entirely for a particular system, known as gray-box modeling methods. There are two types of system identification: offline system identification, which can not be used before all system data are accumulated and preprocessed, then divided to be training and validation data sets [8, 9]. However, a dynamic system changes over time, leaving an offline identification technique vulnerable to these changes. The parameters may vary as environmental conditions change; this is the offline method's major drawback [10, 11]. The second type of system identification is online system identification, where the model processes the new input data synchronously with the actual system to predict the output in real-time. Then it takes the actual output to correct the estimated parameters [12–14].

Nowadays, researchers tend to use artificial neural networks (ANN), which are biologically inspired, in the system identification field to solve many system problems like nonlinearity, time-varying, and ambiguity or inaccessibility because of their ability to learn and update the model's parameters from obtained data [15, 16]. The ANN are based on the learning concept, weights that belong to a specific perceptron start with random values, and then these weights are tuned up to be suitable values. The efficacy of the neural networks depends largely on the training method with the network configuration and the type of activation function. However, the sluggish learning pace of a pure ANN with traditional learning methods (such as backpropagation and decent methods) in the online system identification area is the primary drawback of this technique [17]. The recursive regression techniques used as optimization procedures and learning methods are adopted to overcome this drawback in the online system identification. The recursive weighted least squares (RWLS) is a superior optimization and learning method that fulfills online system identification [18, 19].

The system can be modeled as a linear system, which assumes that the system has linear fixed features [20–23]. However, many real-world systems are with outside disturbances or nonlinear function faults because most physical systems are nonlinear [24–28]. A modeling analysis from a nonlinear perspective for system identification is necessary to avoid losing the generality (valid for all inputs) and considering the system's unmeasured inputs, such as disturbances and errors [29–32]. Traditional nonlinear system identification has taken two approaches to this problem: specialized nonlinear models for specific issues based on knowledge of the mapping structure or universal nonlinear models

with significant computing restrictions in their implementation [33].

This thesis introduces a systematic and practical system modeling process to develop a nonlinear model starting from principle laws using the input/output data as graybox system identification. The developed nonlinear parametric model structure is based on nonlinear block-oriented multilayer perceptron (MLP) with time-delay neural networks. Hammerstein and Wiener neural networks with RWLS optimization and learning algorithm are used to tackling the drawbacks of traditional methods and offline system identification approach and identify the PMDC motor in real-time as a case study.

## 1.2 Problem statement

Identifying any actual system or process allows scientists and engineers to understand that system or process behavior. Consequently, it provides the ability to control or extend knowledge about that system or process to develop its applications. Linear analysis identification methods are matured over the past decades to cover some nonlinear systems (under linearity assumption) [20–23]. However, from an engineering standpoint, nonlinear systems are extremely important in control and modeling systems. Because, in practice, all systems are nonlinear in nature (including the DC motor, the case study of this research), and applying linearity assumptions to a nonlinear system leads to a deceptive mathematical model and loses the model's generality [34, 35]. This is the primary motivation for considering the nonlinearity of the system in this research.

Offline system identification approaches rely impractically on vast empirical data sets to assess the dynamics of a complicated growing process with a certain accuracy [36]. Another shortcoming of offline system identification methods is that they cannot take into consideration the feedback of the variations that the parameterization generates for the parameterizing process itself [37].

Although if the model is developed as a nonlinear to be more realistic, using the traditional methods for a nonlinear system, to estimate the parameters, is still a complex calculation and not a general solution, i.e., it is for a particular case [38–40]. In addition, Traditional approaches' mathematical models are vulnerable to modeling errors, parameter fluctuation, disturbance, and noise [41].

The ANN techniques already have the ability to overcome the calculation complexity and vulnerability to system error and noise that traditional system identification methods suffer from. In the system identification field, the ANN methods



## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Pintelon and J. Schoukens, *System identification: a frequency domain approach*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [2] K. J. Åström and R. M. Murray, *Feedback Systems: an Introduction for scientists and engineers, second edition*. Princeton University Press, 2021.
- [3] A. K. Tangirala, *Principles of system identification: theory and practice*. Crc Press, 2018.
- [4] M. K. Habib, S. A. Ayankoso, and F. Nagata, "Data-driven modeling: Concept, techniques, challenges and a case study," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1000–1007.
- [5] M. Koivisto, "Pitfalls in modeling and simulation," *Procedia computer science*, vol. 119, pp. 8–15, 2017.
- [6] T. Söderström, *Errors-in-variables methods in system identification*. Springer, 2018.
- [7] R. Johansson, *System modeling and identification*. Prentice-hall, 1993.
- [8] M. Lutter, J. Silberbauer, J. Watson, and J. Peters, "Differentiable physics models for real-world offline model-based reinforcement learning," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 4163–4170.
- [9] Z. D. Tekler, R. Low, Y. Zhou, C. Yuen, L. Blessing, and C. Spanos, "Near-real-time plug load identification using low-frequency power data in office spaces: Experiments and applications," *Applied Energy*, vol. 275, p. 115391, 2020.
- [10] A. R. Mehrabian and M. B. Menhaj, "Stick-slip friction compensation using a general purpose neuro-adaptive controller with guaranteed stability," in *Applications of Neural Networks in High Assurance Systems*, ser. Studies in Computational Intelligence, J. Schumann and Y. Liu, Eds. Springer, 2010, vol. 268, pp. 179–203. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/>

978-3-642-10690-3\_9

- [11] N. Li and G. Zhao, “A parameter identification scheme for second-order highway traffic model based on differential algebraic methodology,” in *International Conference on Intelligent Computing for Sustainable Energy and Environment*. Springer, 2012, pp. 85–93.
- [12] W. Plaetinck, D. Pool, M. Van Paassen, and M. Mulder, “Online identification of pilot adaptation to sudden degradations in vehicle stability,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 51, no. 34, pp. 347–352, 2019.
- [13] E. Y. Hong, T. K. Meng, and M. Chitre, “Online system identification of the dynamics of an autonomous underwater vehicle,” in *Underwater Technology Symposium (UT), 2013 IEEE International*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 1–10.
- [14] Q. Yang, X. Ren, W. Zhao, Y. Lv, and S. Wang, “Optimal adaptive parameter estimation-based tracking control of motor turntable servo system,” in *Modelling, Identification and Control (ICMIC), 2017 9th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 139–144.
- [15] K. Worden and P. Green, “A machine learning approach to nonlinear modal analysis,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 84, pp. 34–53, 2017.
- [16] M. C. Nechyba and Y. Xu, “Neural network approach to control system identification with variable activation functions,” in *Intelligent Control, 1994., Proceedings of the 1994 IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, 1994, pp. 358–363.
- [17] E. N. Sanchez, J. D. Rios, A. Y. Alanis, N. Arana-Daniel, and C. Lopez-Franco, *Neural Networks Modeling and Control: Applications for Unknown Nonlinear Delayed Systems in Discrete Time*. Academic Press, 2020.
- [18] R.-E. Precup, T.-A. Teban, A. Albu, A.-B. Borlea, I. A. Zamfirache, and E. M. Petriu, “Evolving fuzzy models for prosthetic hand myoelectric-based control using weighted recursive least squares algorithm for identification,” in *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Robotic and Sensors Environments (ROSE)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [19] P. V. de Campos Souza and E. Lughofer, “An evolving neuro-fuzzy system based on uni-nullneurons with advanced interpretability capabilities,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 451, pp. 231–251, 2021.
- [20] C. M. Pappalardo and D. Guida, “System identification algorithm for computing

- the modal parameters of linear mechanical systems,” *Machines*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 12, 2018.
- [21] C. Paleologu, J. Benesty, and S. Ciochină, “Linear system identification based on a kronecker product decomposition,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 1793–1808, 2018.
- [22] Y. Sun, S. Oymak, and M. Fazel, “Finite sample system identification: Optimal rates and the role of regularization,” in *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Learning for Dynamics and Control*, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, A. M. Bayen, A. Jadbabaie, G. Pappas, P. A. Parrilo, B. Recht, C. Tomlin, and M. Zeilinger, Eds., vol. 120. PMLR, 10–11 Jun 2020, pp. 16–25. [Online]. Available: <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v120/sun20a.html>
- [23] M. Zheng and Y. Ohta, “Positive fir system identification using maximum entropy prior,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 51, no. 15, pp. 7–12, 2018.
- [24] D. Simon, “Using nonlinear kalman filtering to estimate signals,” *Embedded Systems Design*, vol. 19, no. 7, p. 38, 2006.
- [25] G. Boeing, “Visual analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems: chaos, fractals, self-similarity and the limits of prediction,” *Systems*, vol. 4, no. 4, p. 37, 2016.
- [26] W. Ji, J. Qiu, and H.-K. Lam, “A new sampled-data output-feedback controller design of nonlinear systems via fuzzy affine models,” *IEEE transactions on cybernetics*, 2020.
- [27] B. Xian, M. S. De Queiroz, and D. M. Dawson, “A continuous control mechanism for uncertain nonlinear systems,” in *Optimal Control, Stabilization and Nonsmooth Analysis*. Springer, 2004, pp. 251–264.
- [28] M. Arsalan, R. Iftikhar, I. Ahmad, A. Hasan, K. Sabahat, and A. Javeria, “Mppt for photovoltaic system using nonlinear backstepping controller with integral action,” *Solar energy*, vol. 170, pp. 192–200, 2018.
- [29] K. P. Badakhshan, A. V. Kamyad, and A. Azemi, “Using avk method to solve nonlinear problems with uncertain parameters,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 189, no. 1, pp. 27–34, 2007.
- [30] J. Fu, Z. Ma, Y. Fu, and T. Chai, “Hybrid adaptive control of nonlinear systems with non-lipschitz nonlinearities,” *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 156, p. 105012, 2021.
- [31] K. Sun, L. Liu, J. Qiu, and G. Feng, “Fuzzy adaptive finite-time fault-tolerant

- control for strict-feedback nonlinear systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 786–796, 2020.
- [32] P. Wang, W. Wang, H. Su, and J. Feng, “Stability of stochastic discrete-time piecewise homogeneous markov jump systems with time delay and impulsive effects,” *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, vol. 38, p. 100916, 2020.
- [33] S. A. Billings, “Identification of nonlinear systems-a survey,” in *IEE Proceedings D-Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 127, no. 6. IET, 1980, pp. 272–285.
- [34] M. K. Shukla, B. B. Sharma, and A. T. Azar, “Control and synchronization of a fractional order hyperchaotic system via backstepping and active backstepping approach,” in *Mathematical Techniques of Fractional Order Systems*. Elsevier, 2018, pp. 559–595.
- [35] M. S. M. Saat, S. K. Nguang, and A. Nasiri, *Analysis and Synthesis of Polynomial Discrete-Time Systems: An SOS Approach*. Butterworth-Heinemann, 2017.
- [36] B. Bhadriraju, A. Narasingam, and J. S.-I. Kwon, “Machine learning-based adaptive model identification of systems: Application to a chemical process,” *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, vol. 152, pp. 372–383, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0263876219304162>
- [37] P. Tando, M. Pulido, and F. Lott, “Offline parameter estimation using enkf and maximum likelihood error covariance estimates: Application to a subgrid-scale orography parametrization,” *Quarterly journal of the royal meteorological society*, vol. 141, no. 687, pp. 383–395, 2015.
- [38] I. M. Yassin, M. N. Taib, and R. Adnan, “Recent advancements & methodologies in system identification: A review,” *Scientific Research Journal*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 14–33, 2013.
- [39] S. Chen, R. Yang, R. Yang, L. Yang, X. Yang, C. Xu, B. Xu, H. Zhang, Y. Lu, and W. Liu, “A parameter estimation method for nonlinear systems based on improved boundary chicken swarm optimization,” *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2016, 2016.
- [40] W. L. Mao, C. W. Hung, T. W. Chang *et al.*, “Nonlinear system identification using bbo-based multilayer perceptron network method,” *Microsystem*

*Technologies*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1497–1506, 2021.

- [41] A. Fekih, H. Xu, and F. N. Chowdhury, “Two neural net-learning methods for model based fault detection,” in *Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety of Technical Processes 2006*, H.-Y. Zhang, Ed. Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd, 2007, pp. 72–77. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780080444857500130>
- [42] Y. Heider, H. S. Suh, and W. Sun, “An offline multi-scale unsaturated poromechanics model enabled by self-designed/self-improved neural networks,” *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 2021.
- [43] D. Hanafi, M. F. Zakaria, R. Omar, M. Than, M. Rahmat, and R. Ghazali, “Neuro model approach for a quarter car passive suspension systems,” in *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 775. Trans Tech Publ, 2015, pp. 103–109.
- [44] S. Liu, Z. Yang, Q. Wei, Y. Chen, and L. Liu, “Thermal error model of linear motor feed system based on bayesian neural network,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 112 561–112 572, 2021.
- [45] M. M. Bejani and M. Ghatee, “A systematic review on overfitting control in shallow and deep neural networks,” *Artificial Intelligence Review*, pp. 1–48, 2021.
- [46] D. Jiang, X. Lei, W. Li, N. Luo, Y. Hu, W. Zou, and X. Li, “Improving transformer-based speech recognition using unsupervised pre-training,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.09932*, 2019.
- [47] Y. Choi, Y. Lee, J. Cho, J. Baek, D. Shin, H. Yu, Y. Shim, S. Lee, J. Shin, C. Bae *et al.*, “Assessment modeling: fundamental pre-training tasks for interactive educational systems,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.05505*, 2020.
- [48] Y. Li, X. Wang, and A. Zhu, “Complexity-reduced model adaptation for digital predistortion of rf power amplifiers with pretraining-based feature extraction,” *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 1780–1790, 2020.
- [49] L. Ljung, Ed., *System Identification (2Nd Ed.): Theory for the User*. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall PTR, 1999.
- [50] M. Irwin and Z. Wang, *Dynamic Systems Modeling*. American Cancer

- Society, 2017, pp. 1–12. [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118901731.iecrm0074>
- [51] K. Ogata, *System dynamics*. Pearson/Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004, vol. 13.
- [52] S. Moura and G. B. Travacca, “Ce 295: Energy systems and control,” *University of California, Berkeley*, 2018.
- [53] F. L. Severence, *System modeling and simulation: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [54] S. A. Ajwad, J. Iqbal, M. I. Ullah, and A. Mehmood, “A systematic review of current and emergent manipulator control approaches,” *Frontiers of mechanical engineering*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 198–210, 2015.
- [55] J. Iqbal, M. Ullah, S. G. Khan, B. Khelifa, and S. Ćuković, “Nonlinear control systems-a brief overview of historical and recent advances,” *Nonlinear Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 301–312, 2017.
- [56] J. Schoukens and L. Ljung, “Nonlinear system identification: A user-oriented road map,” *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 28–99, 2019.
- [57] C. Bohn and H. Unbehauen, *Identifikation dynamischer Systeme*. Springer, 2016.
- [58] R. Isermann, *Identifikation dynamischer Systeme 2 Besondere Methoden, Anwendungen*. Springer, 1988.
- [59] E. A. Morelli and V. Klein, *Aircraft system identification: theory and practice*. Sunflyte Enterprises Williamsburg, VA, 2016, vol. 2.
- [60] X. Li, J. Wen, and E.-W. Bai, “Developing a whole building cooling energy forecasting model for on-line operation optimization using proactive system identification,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 164, pp. 69–88, 2016.
- [61] T. Chai, J. Zhang, and T. Yang, “Demand forecasting of the fused magnesia smelting process with system identification and deep learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2021.
- [62] C. A. Perez-Ramirez, A. Y. Jaen-Cuellar, M. Valtierra-Rodriguez, A. Dominguez-Gonzalez, R. A. Osornio-Rios, R. D. J. Romero-Troncoso, and J. P. Amezcua-Sanchez, “A two-step strategy for system identification of civil structures for structural health monitoring using wavelet transform and genetic algorithms,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 111, 2017.

- [63] N. Panten, E. Abele, and S. Schweig, "A power disaggregation approach for fine-grained machine energy monitoring by system identification," *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 48, pp. 325–330, 2016.
- [64] S. Munirathinam and B. Ramadoss, "Predictive models for equipment fault detection in the semiconductor manufacturing process," *IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 273–285, 2016.
- [65] B. Basnet, H. Chun, and J. Bang, "An intelligent fault detection model for fault detection in photovoltaic systems," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2020, 2020.
- [66] T. P. Barbosa, J. J. Eckert, V. R. Roso, F. J. P. Pujatti, L. A. R. da Silva, and J. C. H. Gutiérrez, "Fuel saving and lower pollutants emissions using an ethanol-fueled engine in a hydraulic hybrid passengers vehicle," *Energy*, vol. 235, p. 121361, 2021.
- [67] M. Salimi and M. Amidpour, "Modeling, simulation, parametric study and economic assessment of reciprocating internal combustion engine integrated with multi-effect desalination unit," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 138, pp. 299–311, 2017.
- [68] D. Zhang and P. Guo, "Integrated agriculture water management optimization model for water saving potential analysis," *Agricultural Water Management*, vol. 170, pp. 5–19, 2016.
- [69] Z. Ma and L. Xia, "Model-based optimization of ground source heat pump systems," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 111, pp. 12–20, 2017.
- [70] L. Grüne and J. Pannek, "Nonlinear model predictive control," in *Nonlinear model predictive control*. Springer, 2017, pp. 45–69.
- [71] J. Zhang, D. Li, Y. Hao, and Z. Tan, "A hybrid model using signal processing technology, econometric models and neural network for carbon spot price forecasting," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 204, pp. 958–964, 2018.
- [72] J. V. Candy, *Bayesian signal processing: classical, modern, and particle filtering methods*. John Wiley & Sons, 2016, vol. 54.
- [73] X. Yuan, Y. Wang, C. Yang, W. Gui, and L. Ye, "Probabilistic density-based regression model for soft sensing of nonlinear industrial processes," *Journal of Process Control*, vol. 57, pp. 15–25, 2017.
- [74] X. Zhu, K. U. Rehman, B. Wang, and M. Shahzad, "Modern soft-sensing modeling methods for fermentation processes," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 6, p. 1771,

- 2020.
- [75] L. Ljung and T. Söderström, *Theory and practice of recursive identification*. MIT press, 1983.
- [76] J. P. Norton, *An introduction to identification*. Courier Corporation, 2009.
- [77] G. Franceschini and S. Macchietto, “Model-based design of experiments for parameter precision: State of the art,” *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 63, no. 19, pp. 4846–4872, 2008.
- [78] B. R. Noack, M. Morzynski, and G. Tadmor, *Reduced-order modelling for flow control*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011, vol. 528.
- [79] T. A. Reddy, *Applied data analysis and modeling for energy engineers and scientists*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [80] G. Kerschen, K. Worden, A. F. Vakakis, and J.-C. Golinval, “Past, present and future of nonlinear system identification in structural dynamics,” *Mechanical systems and signal processing*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 505–592, 2006.
- [81] O. Nelles, *Nonlinear System Identification: From Classical Approaches to Neural Networks, Fuzzy Models, and Gaussian Processes*. Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- [82] F. P. Pessoa, J. S. Acosta, and M. C. Tavares, “Parameter estimation of dc black-box arc models using genetic algorithms,” *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 198, p. 107322, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378779621003035>
- [83] E. Kaufhold, J. Meyer, and P. Schegner, “Black-box identification of grid-side filter circuit for improved modelling of single-phase power electronic devices for harmonic studies,” *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 199, p. 107421, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378779621004028>
- [84] Y. Liang, S. Li, C. Yan, M. Li, and C. Jiang, “Explaining the black-box model: A survey of local interpretation methods for deep neural networks,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 419, pp. 168–182, 2021.
- [85] R. Kicsiny, “Black-box model for solar storage tanks based on multiple linear regression,” *Renewable Energy*, vol. 125, pp. 857–865, 2018.
- [86] P. P. van den Bosch and A. C. van der Klauw, *Modeling, identification and simulation of dynamical systems*. crc Press, 2020.



- [87] F. Zapf and T. Wallek, “Gray-box surrogate models for flash, distillation and compression units of chemical processes,” *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, p. 107510, 2021.
- [88] Y. Li, Z. O’Neill, L. Zhang, J. Chen, P. Im, and J. DeGraw, “Grey-box modeling and application for building energy simulations - a critical review,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 146, p. 111174, 2021.
- [89] L. Scheffold, T. Finkler, and U. Piechottka, “Gray-box system modeling using symbolic regression and nonlinear model predictive control of a semibatch polymerization,” *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, vol. 146, p. 107204, 2021.
- [90] O. Nelles, *Nonlinear system identification: from classical approaches to neural networks and fuzzy models*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2001.
- [91] A. Moschitta, J. Schoukens, and P. Carbone, “Parametric system identification using quantized data,” *IEEE transactions on instrumentation and measurement*, vol. 64, no. 8, pp. 2312–2322, 2015.
- [92] B. Chen, Y. Zhu, J. Hu, and J. C. Principe, *System parameter identification: information criteria and algorithms*. Newnes, 2013.
- [93] R. Isermann and M. Münchhof, *Identification of dynamic systems: an introduction with applications*, 1st ed., ser. Advanced Textbooks in Control and Signal Processing. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [94] L. Ljung, T. Chen, and B. Mu, “A shift in paradigm for system identification,” *International Journal of Control*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 173–180, 2020.
- [95] P. J. Bickel and K. A. Doksum, *Mathematical statistics: basic ideas and selected topics, volumes I-II package*. CRC Press, 2015.
- [96] K. J. Åström and P. Eykhoff, “System identification—a survey,” *Automatica*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 123–162, 1971.
- [97] H. Miniguano, A. Barrado, A. Lázaro, P. Zumel, and C. Fernández, “General parameter identification procedure and comparative study of li-ion battery models,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 235–245, 2019.
- [98] G. Foody, M. McCulloch, and W. Yates, “The effect of training set size and composition on artificial neural network classification,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1707–1723, 1995.

- [99] M. G. Yoo and S. K. Hong, "Dynamic system identification and validation of a quadrotor uav," *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 1089–1093, 2016.
- [100] T. Liu, S. Dong, F. Chen, and D. Li, "Identification of discrete-time output error model using filtered input excitation for integrating processes with time delay," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 2524–2530, 2016.
- [101] W. Xiong, X. Yang, B. Huang, and B. Xu, "Multiple-model based linear parameter varying time-delay system identification with missing output data using an expectation-maximization algorithm," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 53, no. 27, pp. 11 074–11 083, 2014.
- [102] T. B. Schön, A. Wills, and B. Ninness, "System identification of nonlinear state-space models," *Automatica*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 39–49, 2011.
- [103] V. Pappano, S. Lyshevski, and B. Friedland, "Nonlinear identification of induction motor parameters," in *American Control Conference, 1999. Proceedings of the 1999*, vol. 5. IEEE, 1999, pp. 3569–3573.
- [104] S. E. Lyshevski, "State-space identification of nonlinear flight dynamics," in *Control Applications, 1997., Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 1997, pp. 496–498.
- [105] L. Jizhen, G. Junlin, H. Yang, W. Juan, and L. Hong, "Dynamic modeling of wind turbine generation system based on grey-box identification with genetic algorithm," in *Control Conference (CCC), 2017 36th Chinese*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 2038–2042.
- [106] C. Yu, L. Ljung, and M. Verhaegen, "Gray box identification using difference of convex programming," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 9462–9467, 2017.
- [107] O. A. A. Bnhamdoon, N. H. H. M. Hanif, and R. Akmeliawati, "Identification of a quadcopter autopilot system via box-jenkins structure," *International Journal of Dynamics and Control*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 835–850, 2020.
- [108] H. Hjalmarsson, J. S. Welsh, and C. R. Rojas, "Identification of box-jenkins models using structured arx models and nuclear norm relaxation," *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 45, no. 16, pp. 322–327, 2012.
- [109] Y. Ghoul, K. I. Taarit, and M. Ksouri, "Identification of continuous-time hybrid 'box-jenkins' systems having multiple unknown time delays," *Transactions of*

- the Institute of Measurement and Control*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 366–377, 2019.
- [110] J. Khalfi, N. Boumaaz, A. Soulmani, and E. M. Laadissi, “Box–jenkins black-box modeling of a lithium-ion battery cell based on automotive drive cycle data,” *World Electric Vehicle Journal*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2032-6653/12/3/102>
- [111] K. J. Moore, M. Kurt, M. Eriten, D. M. McFarland, L. A. Bergman, and A. F. Vakakis, “Time-series-based nonlinear system identification of strongly nonlinear attachments,” *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 438, pp. 13–32, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022460X18306187>
- [112] J. Moon, M. B. Hossain, and K. H. Chon, “Ar and arma model order selection for time-series modeling with imagenet classification,” *Signal Processing*, vol. 183, p. 108026, 2021.
- [113] D. Chakraborty and S. K. Sanyal, “Time-series data optimized ar/arma model for frugal spectrum estimation in cognitive radio,” *Physical Communication*, vol. 44, p. 101252, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1874490720303293>
- [114] A. Sabater and J. Rhoads, “Parametric system identification of resonant micro/nanosystems operating in a nonlinear response regime,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 84, pp. 241–264, 2017.
- [115] J. Moreno-Valenzuela, R. Miranda-Colorado, and C. Aguilar-Avelar, “A matlab-based identification procedure applied to a two-degrees-of-freedom robot manipulator for engineering students,” *International Journal of Electrical Engineering Education*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 319–340, 2017.
- [116] A. Fasana, L. Garibaldi, and S. Marchesiello, “Identification of nonlinear vibrating structures by polynomial expansion in the z-domain,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 84, pp. 21–33, 2017.
- [117] T. Falck, P. Dreesen, K. De Brabanter, K. Pelckmans, B. De Moor, and J. A. Suykens, “Least-squares support vector machines for the identification of wiener–hammerstein systems,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 1165–1174, 2012.
- [118] A. Sumalatha and A. B. Rao, “Parametric system identification using closed loop step response,” *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 9, no. S1,

- 2016.
- [119] M. Galrinho, C. Rojas, and H. Hjalmarsson, "A weighted least-squares method for parameter estimation in structured models," in *Decision and Control (CDC), 2014 IEEE 53rd Annual Conference on*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 3322–3327.
- [120] A. Wills, T. B. Schön, L. Ljung, and B. Ninness, "Identification of hammerstein–wiener models," *Automatica*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 70–81, 2013.
- [121] M. Soufian and M. Thomson, "Practical comparison of neural networks and conventional identification methodologies," *IET Conference Proceedings*, pp. 262–267(5), January 1997.
- [122] G. A. Ismeal, K. Kyslan, and V. Fedák, "Dc motor identification based on recurrent neural networks," in *Mechatronics-Mechatronika (ME), 2014 16th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 701–705.
- [123] N. Rahim, M. Taib, A. Adom, and M. Halim, "Nonlinear system identification for a dc motor using narmax model with regularization approach," in *International Conference on Control, Instrument and Mechatronics Engineering, CIM*, vol. 7, 2007.
- [124] S. S. Shamsudin and X. Chen, "Identification of an unmanned helicopter system using optimised neural network structure," *International Journal of Modelling, Identification and Control*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 223–241, 2012.
- [125] B. Dubey, S. Kataria, and A. Mohanty, "Neural network fits to neutron induced reactions using weighted least-mean-squares," *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, vol. 397, no. 2-3, pp. 426–439, 1997.
- [126] D. Hanafi, K. S. Tee, E. Johana, H. A. Rahman, M. F. Rahmat, H. Wahid, and R. Ghazali, "Neuro model for passive suspension of a light car," *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2018.
- [127] T. Kurita, "Iterative weighted least squares algorithms for neural networks classifiers," *New generation computing*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 375–394, 1994.
- [128] Z. Cen, J. Wei, and R. Jiang, "A gray-box neural network-based model identification and fault estimation scheme for nonlinear dynamic systems," *International journal of neural systems*, vol. 23, no. 06, p. 1350025, 2013.
- [129] J. Quiroga, D. Cartes, and C. Edrington, "Neural network based system identification of a pmsm under load fluctuation," *Dyna*, vol. 76, no. 160, pp.

- 273–282, 2009.
- [130] A.-F. Attia and P. Horáček, “Modeling nonlinear systems by a fuzzy logic neural network using genetic algorithms,” *Acta Polytechnica*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 69–76, 2001.
- [131] R. Zhang and J. Tao, “A nonlinear fuzzy neural network modeling approach using an improved genetic algorithm,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 5882–5892, 2017.
- [132] C. Pislaru and A. Shebani, “Identification of nonlinear systems using radial basis function neural network,” *International Journal of Computer, Information, Systems and Control Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 1528–1533, 2014.
- [133] O. Ogunmolu, X. Gu, S. Jiang, and N. Gans, “Nonlinear systems identification using deep dynamic neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.01439*, 2016.
- [134] J. E. Sierra and M. Santos, “Modelling engineering systems using analytical and neural techniques: Hybridization,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 271, pp. 70–83, 2018.
- [135] Z. Boussaada, O. Curea, A. Remaci, H. Camblong, and N. Mrabet Bellaaj, “A nonlinear autoregressive exogenous (narx) neural network model for the prediction of the daily direct solar radiation,” *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 620, 2018.
- [136] J. Muliadi and B. Kusumoputro, “Neural network control system of uav altitude dynamics and its comparison with the pid control system,” *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, vol. 2018, 2018.
- [137] S. A. Emami and K. K. Ahmadi, “A self-organizing multi-model ensemble for identification of nonlinear time-varying dynamics of aerial vehicles,” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, vol. 235, no. 7, pp. 1164–1178, 2021.
- [138] W. Yu and M. Pacheco, “Impact of random weights on nonlinear system identification using convolutional neural networks,” *Information Sciences*, vol. 477, pp. 1–14, 2019.
- [139] Y. A. Al-Sbou and K. M. Alawasa, “Nonlinear autoregressive recurrent neural network model for solar radiation prediction,” *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, vol. 12, no. 14, pp. 4518–4527, 2017.

- [140] G. Liu and J. Wang, "A polynomial neural network with controllable precision and human-readable topology for prediction and system identification," *arXiv e-prints*, pp. arXiv-2004, 2020.
- [141] A. Venkatraman, W. Sun, M. Hebert, J. Bagnell, and B. Boots, "Online instrumental variable regression with applications to online linear system identification," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 30, no. 1, 2016.
- [142] V. R. Puttige and S. G. Anavatti, "Comparison of real-time online and offline neural network models for a uav," *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*, pp. 412–417, 2007.
- [143] A. Shokry, P. Vicente, G. Escudero, M. Pérez-Moya, M. Graells, and A. Espuña, "Data-driven soft-sensors for online monitoring of batch processes with different initial conditions," *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, vol. 118, pp. 159–179, 2018.
- [144] B. Bidar, J. Sadeghi, F. Shahraki, and M. M. Khalilipour, "Data-driven soft sensor approach for online quality prediction using state dependent parameter models," *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, vol. 162, pp. 130–141, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169743916302891>
- [145] C. Maruccio, P. Montegiglio, and A. Kefal, "Parameter identification strategy for online detection of faults in smart structures for energy harvesting and sensing," *Procedia Structural Integrity*, vol. 28, pp. 2104–2109, 2020, 1st Virtual European Conference on Fracture - VECF1. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S245232162030696X>
- [146] A. Namigtle-Jiménez, R. Escobar-Jiménez, J. Gómez-Aguilar, C. García-Beltrán, and A. Téllez-Anguiano, "Online ann-based fault diagnosis implementation using an fpga: Application in the efi system of a vehicle," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 100, pp. 358–372, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019057819304835>
- [147] E. Aggelogiannaki and H. Sarimveis, "Design of a novel adaptive inventory control system based on the online identification of lead time," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 781–792, 2008, special Section on Logistics Management in Fashion Retail Supply

- Chains. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925527308001175>
- [148] P. Ghaderi and F. Amini, “Development of a new method for online parameter identification in seismically excited smart building structures using virtual synchronization and adaptive control design,” *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 87, pp. 203–221, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0307904X20302754>
- [149] R. Ortega, V. Gromov, E. Nuño, A. Pyrkin, and J. G. Romero, “Parameter estimation of nonlinearly parameterized regressions: Application to system identification and adaptive control,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 1206–1212, 2020, 21st IFAC World Congress. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405896320318498>
- [150] H. Zhang, T. Wang, and Y. Zhao, “FIR system identification with set-valued and precise observations from multiple sensors,” *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 1–16, 2019.
- [151] T. Münker and O. Nelles, “Nonlinear system identification with regularized local FIR model networks,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 67, pp. 345–354, 2018.
- [152] A. S. Leong, E. Weyer, and G. N. Nair, “Identification of FIR systems with binary input and output observations,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1190–1198, 2020.
- [153] D. Romeres, G. Prando, G. Pillonetto, and A. Chiuso, “On-line bayesian system identification,” in *Control Conference (ECC), 2016 European*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1359–1364.
- [154] S. A. U. Islam and D. S. Bernstein, “Recursive least squares for real-time implementation [lecture notes],” *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 82–85, 2019.
- [155] Z. Ercan, A. Carvalho, M. Gokasan, and F. Borrelli, “Modeling, identification, and predictive control of a driver steering assistance system,” *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 700–710, 2017.
- [156] N. Von Hoffer, *System identification of a small low-cost unmanned aerial vehicle using flight data from low-cost sensors*. Utah State University, 2015.
- [157] A. J. Yi, M. A. Majid, M. N. Azuwir, and S. Yaacob, “Microcontroller-based

- for system identification tools using least square method for rc circuits,” *Jurnal Teknologi*, vol. 77, no. 28, 2015.
- [158] M. De la Sen, A. J. Garrido, O. Barambones, and F. J. Maseda, “An expert network for obtaining approximate discrete-time models for lti systems under real sampling using parameter identification,” in *Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation, 2003. Proceedings. ETFA'03. IEEE Conference*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2003, pp. 462–469.
- [159] V. Serrano and K. Tsakalis, “A study on the on-line system identification and pid tuning of a buck converter,” in *Networking, Sensing, and Control (ICNSC), 2016 IEEE 13th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–5.
- [160] T. Kara and I. Eker, “Nonlinear modeling and identification of a dc motor for bidirectional operation with real time experiments,” *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 45, no. 7-8, pp. 1087–1106, 2004.
- [161] D. Hanafi, M. S. Huq, M. S. Suid, and M. F. Rahmat, “A quarter car arx model identification based on real car test data,” *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)*, vol. 9, no. 2-5, pp. 135–138, 2017.
- [162] Y. Li, H.-L. Wei, S. A. Billings, and P. G. Sarrigiannis, “Identification of nonlinear time-varying systems using an online sliding-window and common model structure selection (cmss) approach with applications to eeg,” *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 2671–2681, 2016.
- [163] S. Van Vaerenbergh, J. Via, and I. Santamaria, “Nonlinear system identification using a new sliding-window kernel rls algorithm.” *JCM*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–8, 2007.
- [164] Y. Zhou, A. Han, S. Yan, and X. Chen, “A fast method for online closed-loop system identification,” *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 31, no. 1-2, pp. 78–84, 2006.
- [165] F. Ding, X. Zhang, X. Lu, X.-S. Zhan, A. Alsaedi, and T. Hayat, “Hierarchical extended least squares estimation approaches for a multi-input multi-output stochastic system with colored noise from observation data,” *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 357, no. 15, pp. 11 094–11 110, 2020.
- [166] H. H. Lu, C. Rogers, V. G. Goecks, and J. Valasek, “Online near real time system identification on a fixed-wing small unmanned air vehicle,” in *2018*



*AIAA Atmospheric Flight Mechanics Conference*, 2018, p. 0295.

- [167] M. C. Best, A. P. Newton, and S. Tuplin, “The identifying extended kalman filter: parametric system identification of a vehicle handling model,” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, vol. 221, no. 1, pp. 87–98, 2007.
- [168] K. Bogdanski and M. C. Best, “A new structure for non-linear black-box system identification using the extended kalman filter,” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering*, vol. 231, no. 14, pp. 2005–2015, 2017.
- [169] A. Khalili, “A modified non-negative lms algorithm for online system identification,” *AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications*, vol. 95, pp. 42–46, 2018.
- [170] D. Jin, J. Chen, C. Richard, and J. Chen, “Model-driven online parameter adjustment for zero-attracting lms,” *Signal Processing*, vol. 152, pp. 373–383, 2018.
- [171] R. Yang, T. Sun, W. Feng, and S. He, “Online parameter identification of ipmsm under low-speed operation based on nlms algorithm,” in *2020 8th International Conference on Power Electronics Systems and Applications (PESA)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [172] L. Cupelli, M. Cupelli, F. Ponci, and A. Monti, “Data-driven adaptive control for distributed energy resources,” *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1575–1584, 2019.
- [173] J. D. A. Santos and G. A. Barreto, “An outlier-robust kernel rls algorithm for nonlinear system identification,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 1707–1726, 2017.
- [174] W. Liu, I. Park, Y. Wang, and J. C. Principe, “Extended kernel recursive least squares algorithm,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 3801–3814, 2009.
- [175] H. Bijl, T. B. Schön, J.-W. van Wingerden, and M. Verhaegen, “System identification through online sparse gaussian process regression with input noise,” *IFAC Journal of Systems and Control*, vol. 2, pp. 1–11, 2017.
- [176] B. Liu and Z. Wang, “On system identification based on online least squares support vector machine,” in *International Conference on Intelligent Systems*

*and Knowledge Engineering 2007*. Atlantis Press, 2007.

- [177] S. Jung, S. Lee, and J. Kim, “The real-time signal control system using reinforcement learning considering priority signaling for emergency vehicle,” *Journal of Korean Society of Transportation*, 2021.
- [178] S. Purwar, I. Kar, and A. Jha, “Nonlinear system identification using neural networks,” *IETE journal of research*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 35–42, 2007.
- [179] P. PIVOŇKA, V. VELEBA, and P. OŠMERA, “Using of neural network based identification for short sampling period in adaptive control,” *11th WSEAS International Conference on Systems, Crete Island, Greece*, pp. 12–17, 2007.
- [180] B. Daachi and A. Benallegue, “A stable neural adaptive force controller for a hydraulic actuator,” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, vol. 217, no. 4, pp. 303–310, 2003.
- [181] A. Goedtel, I. N. D. SILVA, and P. J. A. SERNI, “Neural network based estimation of torque in induction motors for real-time applications,” *Electric Power Components and Systems*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 363–387, 2005.
- [182] S. Wan and L. E. Banta, “Parameter incremental learning algorithm for neural networks,” *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1424–1438, 2006.
- [183] Q. Zhang, L. T. Yang, Z. Chen, and P. Li, “A survey on deep learning for big data,” *Information Fusion*, vol. 42, pp. 146–157, 2018.
- [184] M. Sadeghi and M. Farrokhi, “Online identification of non-linear dynamic systems by wiener model using subspace method and neural networks,” *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, p. 0142331216663618, 2016.
- [185] K. Kirkpatrick, J. May, and J. Valasek, “Aircraft system identification using artificial neural networks,” in *51st AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition*, 2013, p. 878.
- [186] J. Valasek and W. Chen, “Observer/kalman filter identification for online system identification of aircraft,” *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 347–353, 2003.
- [187] M. R. Jafari, T. Alizadeh, M. Gholami, A. Alizadeh, and K. Salahshoor, “On-line identification of non-linear systems using an adaptive rbf-based neural

- network,” in *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science WCECS*, 2007.
- [188] K. Salahshoor and M. R. Jafari, “On-line identification of non-linear systems using adaptive rbf-based neural networks,” *International Journal of Information Science and Management (IJISM)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 99–121, 2012.
- [189] M. Pairan and S. Shamsudin, “System identification of an unmanned quadcopter system using mran neural,” in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 270, no. 1. IOP Publishing, 2017, p. 012019.
- [190] Y. Guo, F. Wang, and J. T.-H. Lo, “Nonlinear system identification based on recurrent neural networks with shared and specialized memories,” in *Control Conference (ASCC), 2017 11th Asian*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 2054–2059.
- [191] H. E. Ibrahim, “Adaptive control based on neural network system identification,” in *Proceedings of the 11th WSEAS international conference on Electronics, Hardware, Wireless and Optical Communications, and proceedings of the 11th WSEAS international conference on Signal Processing, Robotics and Automation, and proceedings of the 4th WSEAS international conference on Nanotechnology*. World Scientific and Engineering Academy and Society (WSEAS), 2012, pp. 84–91.
- [192] O. D. Rocha Filho and G. L. de Oliveira Serra, “Online identification based on instrumental variable evolving neuro-fuzzy model for stochastic dynamic systems,” in *Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE), 2016 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 9–16.
- [193] A. Rashkovska, J. Novljan, M. Smolnikar, M. Mohorčič, and C. Fortuna, “Online short-term forecasting of photovoltaic energy production,” in *2015 IEEE Power & Energy Society Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference (ISGT)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–5.
- [194] L. Wang, N. Zhang, and H. Du, “Real-time identification of vehicle motion-modes using neural networks,” *Mechanical systems and signal processing*, vol. 50, pp. 632–645, 2015.
- [195] D. Selișteanu, J. E. Sierra, and M. Santos, “Wind and payload disturbance rejection control based on adaptive neural estimators: Application on quadrotors,” *Complexity*, vol. 2019, p. 6460156, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6460156>

- [196] G. Akgün, M. Hebaish, D. Gohringer *et al.*, “System identification using lms, rls, ekf and neural network,” in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety (ICVES)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [197] Q. Wang, S. Bu, and Z. He, “Achieving predictive and proactive maintenance for high-speed railway power equipment with lstm-rnn,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 6509–6517, 2020.
- [198] S. Wen, Y. Wang, Y. Tang, Y. Xu, P. Li, and T. Zhao, “Real-time identification of power fluctuations based on lstm recurrent neural network: A case study on singapore power system,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 5266–5275, 2019.
- [199] A. Ayyad, M. Chehadeh, M. I. Awad, and Y. Zweiri, “Real-time system identification using deep learning for linear processes with application to unmanned aerial vehicles,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 122 539–122 553, 2020.
- [200] M. Akhtar, M. U. Kraemer, and L. M. Gardner, “A dynamic neural network model for predicting risk of zika in real time,” *BMC medicine*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2019.
- [201] J. Yuan, M. Abdel-Aty, Y. Gong, and Q. Cai, “Real-time crash risk prediction using long short-term memory recurrent neural network,” *Transportation research record*, vol. 2673, no. 4, pp. 314–326, 2019.
- [202] V. A. Akpan, M. T. Babalola, and R. A. Osakwe, “Neural network-based adaptive speed controller design for electromechanical systems (part 2: Dynamic modeling using mlma & closed-loop simulations),” *American Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2017.
- [203] L. S. Peely, M. L. e Souza, and K. Hashtrudi-Zaad, “Data synchronization for offline and online identification of dynamic systems,” SAE Technical Paper, Tech. Rep., 2017.
- [204] S. Kiranyaz, A. Gastli, L. Ben-Brahim, N. Al-Emadi, and M. Gabbouj, “Real-time fault detection and identification for mmc using 1-d convolutional neural networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 8760–8771, 2018.
- [205] L. Djilali, E. N. Sanchez, and M. Belkheiri, “Real-time neural sliding mode field oriented control for a dfig-based wind turbine under balanced and unbalanced

- grid conditions,” *IET Renewable Power Generation*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 618–632, 2019.
- [206] H. Alshareefi, L. Ciprian, L. D. Luu, and L. Ismail, “Real-time implementation of adaptive neural controller by labview software,” in *2021 10th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing (MECO)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [207] J. Hong, Z. Wang, and Y. Yao, “Fault prognosis of battery system based on accurate voltage abnormality prognosis using long short-term memory neural networks,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 251, p. 113381, 2019.
- [208] D. Maltoni and V. Lomonaco, “Continuous learning in single-incremental-task scenarios,” *Neural Networks*, vol. 116, pp. 56–73, 2019.
- [209] G. Weipeng, H. Qiwei, and Y. Zhengtao, “A survey on method of system identification,” *DEStech Transactions on Engineering and Technology Research*, no. mdm, 2016.
- [210] S. Bianchi, I. Munoz-Martin, G. Pedretti, O. Melnic, S. Ambrogio, and D. Ielmini, “Energy-efficient continual learning in hybrid supervised-unsupervised neural networks with pcm synapses,” in *2019 Symposium on VLSI Technology*. IEEE, 2019, pp. T172–T173.
- [211] T. Khatib, A. Ghareeb, M. Tamimi, M. Jaber, and S. Jaradat, “A new offline method for extracting iv characteristic curve for photovoltaic modules using artificial neural networks,” *Solar Energy*, vol. 173, pp. 462–469, 2018.
- [212] K. Erazo and S. Nagarajaiah, “An offline approach for output-only bayesian identification of stochastic nonlinear systems using unscented kalman filtering,” *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 397, pp. 222–240, 2017.
- [213] D. Maurya, A. K. Tangirala, and S. Narasimhan, “Identification of output-error (oe) models using generalized spectral decomposition,” in *2019 Fifth Indian Control Conference (ICC)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 28–33.
- [214] M. Kazemi, M. M. Arefi, and Y. Alipouri, “Wiener model based gmvc design considering sensor noise and delay,” *ISA transactions*, vol. 88, pp. 73–81, 2019.
- [215] S. Mishra and O. A. Vanli, “Remaining useful life estimation with lamb-wave sensors based on wiener process and principal components regression,” *Journal of Nondestructive Evaluation*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2016.
- [216] A. Pawlowski, J. Guzmán, M. Berenguel, and F. Ación, “Control system for ph in raceway photobioreactors based on wiener models,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*,

- vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 928–933, 2019.
- [217] S. I. Biagiola, O. E. Agamennoni, and J. L. Figueroa, “Robust control of wiener systems: application to a ph neutralization process,” *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, vol. 33, pp. 145–153, 2016.
- [218] M. J. Korenberg and I. W. Hunter, “The identification of nonlinear biological systems: Wiener kernel approaches,” *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 629–654, 1990.
- [219] K. Mahata, J. Schoukens, and A. De Cock, “Information matrix and d-optimal design with gaussian inputs for wiener model identification,” *Automatica*, vol. 69, pp. 65–77, 2016.
- [220] G. Bottegai, R. Castro-Garcia, and J. A. Suykens, “On the identification of wiener systems with polynomial nonlinearity,” in *2017 IEEE 56th Annual Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 6475–6480.
- [221] K. Mahata, J. Schoukens, and A. De Cock, “Design of gaussian inputs for wiener model identification,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 48, no. 28, pp. 614–619, 2015.
- [222] C. Ghorbel, Z. Rayouf, and N. Benhadj Braiek, “Robust stabilization and tracking control schemes for disturbed multi-input multi-output hammerstein model in presence of approximate polynomial nonlinearities,” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, vol. 235, no. 7, pp. 1245–1257, 2021.
- [223] Z. Rayouf, C. Ghorbel, and N. B. Braiek, “Identification and nonlinear pid control of hammerstein model using polynomial structures,” *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 488–493, 2017.
- [224] M. Abdollahzadeh Jamalabadi, “Impedance spectroscopy study and system identification of a solid-oxide fuel cell stack with hammerstein–wiener model,” *Journal of Electrochemical Energy Conversion and Storage*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2017.
- [225] D. Copaci, L. Moreno, and D. Blanco, “Two-stage shape memory alloy identification based on the hammerstein–wiener model,” *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, vol. 6, p. 83, 2019.
- [226] S. A. A. Syed Mubarak Ali, N. S. Ahmad, and P. Goh, “Flex sensor compensator

- via hammerstein–wiener modeling approach for improved dynamic goniometry and constrained control of a bionic hand,” *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 18, p. 3896, 2019.
- [227] E. Shokrollahi, A. A. Goldenberg, J. M. Drake, K. W. Eastwood, and M. Kang, “Application of a nonlinear hammerstein-wiener estimator in the development and control of a magnetorheological fluid haptic device for robotic bone biopsy,” in *Actuators*, vol. 7, no. 4. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2018, p. 83.
- [228] J. Zhang, Z. Tang, Y. Xie, F. Li, M. Ai, G. Zhang, and W. Gui, “Disturbance-encoding-based neural hammerstein-wiener model for industrial process predictive control,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 2020.
- [229] G. Savaia, G. Panzani, M. Corno, J. Cecconi, and S. M. Savaresi, “Hammerstein–wiener modelling of a magneto-rheological dampers considering the magnetization dynamics,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 112, p. 104829, 2021.
- [230] M. Gaya, M. Zango, L. Yusuf, M. Mustapha, B. Muhammad, A. Sani, A. Tijjani, N. Wahab, and M. Khairi, “Estimation of turbidity in water treatment plant using hammerstein-wiener and neural network technique,” *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 666–672, 2017.
- [231] R. S. Risuleo and H. Hjalmarsson, “Nonparametric models for hammerstein-wiener and wiener-hammerstein system identification,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 400–405, 2020.
- [232] Z. Zhang, D. Zhang, H. Zheng, T. Huang, and Y. Xie, “Identification of a precision motion stage based on the hammerstein-wiener model,” in *2019 Chinese Control Conference (CCC)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1637–1642.
- [233] E. Skomski, S. Vasisht, C. Wight, A. Tuor, J. Drgona, and D. Vrabie, “Constrained block nonlinear neural dynamical models,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.01864*, 2021.
- [234] W. Liu, W. Na, L. Zhu, J. Ma, and Q.-J. Zhang, “A wiener-type dynamic neural network approach to the modeling of nonlinear microwave devices,” *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp.

- 2043–2062, 2017.
- [235] K. Krikelis, K. van Berkel, and M. Schoukens, “Artificial neural network hysteresis operators for the identification of hammerstein hysteretic systems,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 702–707, 2021.
- [236] A. Y. Ouadine, M. Mjahed, H. Ayad, and A. El Kari, “Uav quadrotor fault detection and isolation using artificial neural network and hammerstein-wiener model,” *Stud Inform Control*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 317–328, 2020.
- [237] T. Sasai, M. Nakamura, E. Yamazaki, A. Matsushita, S. Okamoto, K. Horikoshi, and Y. Kisaka, “Wiener-hammerstein model and its learning for nonlinear digital pre-distortion of optical transmitters,” *Optics Express*, vol. 28, no. 21, pp. 30 952–30 963, 2020.
- [238] A. Tabatabaei, M. R. Mosavi, A. Khavari, and H. S. Shahhoseini, “Reliable urban canyon navigation solution in gps and glonass integrated receiver using improved fuzzy weighted least-square method,” *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 3181–3196, 2017.
- [239] B. Arras, M. Bachmayr, and A. Cohen, “Sequential sampling for optimal weighted least squares approximations in hierarchical spaces,” *SIAM Journal on Mathematics of Data Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 189–207, 2019.
- [240] J. R. Knaub Jr, “Properties of weighted least squares regression for cutoff sampling in establishment surveys,” *InterStat December*, vol. 2009, pp. 1–38, 2009.
- [241] M. Akar and S. Gündoğdu, “A comparison of weighted least square estimation and ordinary least square estimation for analysing weight-length relationship of por’s goatfish (*upeneus pori ben-tuvia & golani*, 1989) in iskenderun bay,” *Journal of Applied Biological Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 46–50, 2013.
- [242] B. Yang, Q.-m. Shao, L. Pan, and W.-b. Li, “A study on regularized weighted least square support vector classifier,” *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 108, pp. 48–55, 2018.
- [243] W. Jiang, X. Yang, W. Wu, K. Liu, A. Ahmad, A. K. Sangaiah, and G. Jeon, “Medical images fusion by using weighted least squares filter and sparse representation,” *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 67, pp. 252–266, 2018.
- [244] A. Shahsavar, S. A. Bagherzadeh, B. Mahmoudi, A. Hajizadeh, M. Afrand,



- and T. K. Nguyen, "Robust weighted least squares support vector regression algorithm to estimate the nanofluid thermal properties of water/graphene oxide–silicon carbide mixture," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 525, pp. 1418–1428, 2019.
- [245] J. Chachi, "A weighted least squares fuzzy regression for crisp input-fuzzy output data," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 739–748, 2018.
- [246] K. A. Walters, Y. Li, R. C. Tiwari, and Z. Zou, "A weighted-least-squares estimation approach to comparing trends in age-adjusted cancer rates across overlapping regions," *Journal of data science: JDS*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 631, 2011.
- [247] B. Jin, X. Xu, and T. Zhang, "Robust time-difference-of-arrival (tdoa) localization using weighted least squares with cone tangent plane constraint," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 3, p. 778, 2018.
- [248] C. Wang, S. Su, and D. J. Weiss, "Robustness of parameter estimation to assumptions of normality in the multidimensional graded response model," *Multivariate behavioral research*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 403–418, 2018.
- [249] K. Lee, J. Oh, and K. You, "Closed-form solution of tdoa-based geolocation and tracking: A recursive weighted least square approach," *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 3451–3464, 2017.
- [250] K. Lee, H. Kwon, and K. You, "Recursive estimation of emitter position using aoa measurements," *International Journal of Electronics and Electrical Engineering*, vol. 5, pp. 250–254, 2017.
- [251] E. Lughofer, "Improving the robustness of recursive consequent parameters learning in evolving neuro-fuzzy systems," *Information Sciences*, vol. 545, pp. 555–574, 2021.
- [252] H. Zhai and Y. Zhang, "A recursive weighted least squares optimization algorithm based on rss in wireless sensor networks," *Internet Technology Letters*, p. e313, 2021.
- [253] Z. Wang, Y. Na, Z. Liu, B. Tian, and Q. Fu, "Weighted recursive least square filter and neural network based residual echo suppression for the aec-challenge," in *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 141–145.
- [254] L. Ljung, *System identification toolbox users guide*. The Matlab, 2011, vol. 1.

- [255] P. Händel, “Understanding normalized mean squared error in power amplifier linearization,” *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 1047–1049, 2018.
- [256] D. Brunet, E. R. Vrscay, and Z. Wang, “On the mathematical properties of the structural similarity index,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1488–1499, 2011.
- [257] I. Hajizadeh, M. Rashid, K. Turksoy, S. Samadi, J. Feng, M. Sevil, N. Frantz, C. Lazaro, Z. Maloney, E. Littlejohn *et al.*, “Multivariable recursive subspace identification with application to artificial pancreas systems,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 886–891, 2017.
- [258] D. Pradeepkumar and V. Ravi, “Forecasting financial time series volatility using particle swarm optimization trained quantile regression neural network,” *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 58, pp. 35–52, 2017.
- [259] M. Guo, Y. Su, and D. Gu, “System identification of the quadrotor with inner loop stabilisation system,” *International Journal of Modelling, Identification and Control*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 245–255, 2017.
- [260] D. Murray\_smith, “Methods for the external validation of continuous system simulation models: a review,” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 5–31, 1998.
- [261] A. Kremser, *Elektrische Maschinen und Antriebe: Grundlagen, Motoren und Anwendungen*. Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [262] J. Edwards, *Electrical machines and drives*. Macmillan International Higher Education, 1991.
- [263] D. Gerling, *Electrical Machines*. Springer, 2016.
- [264] S.-H. Kim, *Electric motor control: DC, AC, and BLDC motors*. Elsevier, 2017.
- [265] R. Krishnan, *Permanent magnet synchronous and brushless DC motor drives*. CRC press, 2017.
- [266] R. Büchi, *Brushless motors and controllers*. BoD–Books on Demand, 2012.
- [267] M. Buciakowski, M. Witezak, M. Mrugalski, and D. Theilliol, “A quadratic boundedness approach to robust dc motor fault estimation,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 66, pp. 181–194, 2017.

- [268] J. U. Liceaga-Castro, I. I. Siller-Alcalá, J. Jaimes-Ponce, and R. Alcántara-Ramírez, “Series dc motor modeling and identification,” in *2017 International Conference on Control, Artificial Intelligence, Robotics & Optimization (ICCAIRO)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 248–253.
- [269] J. O. Jang, “Neural network saturation compensation for dc motor systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 1763–1767, 2007.
- [270] G. Galuppini, L. Magni, and D. M. Raimondo, “Model predictive control of systems with deadzone and saturation,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 78, pp. 56–64, 2018.
- [271] N. us Saqib, M. Hussain, M. Siddique, M. Rehan, and N. Iqbal, “Static awc design for input constrained nonlinear parameter varying systems,” in *TENCON 2018-2018 IEEE Region 10 Conference*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 0966–0971.
- [272] J.-J. E. Slotine, W. Li *et al.*, *Applied nonlinear control*. Prentice hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991, vol. 199, no. 1.
- [273] M. Owayjan, R. A. Z. Daou, and X. Moreau, “The effects of nonlinearities on the robustness of various controllers: Application on a dc motor,” in *2018 IEEE International Multidisciplinary Conference on Engineering Technology (IMCET)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [274] M. Aravind, N. Dinesh, and K. Rajanna, “Application of empc for precise position control of dc-motor system with backlash,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 100, p. 104422, 2020.
- [275] H. Mokhtari and F. Barati, “A new scheme for a mechanical load position control driven by a permanent magnet dc motor and a nonzero backlash gearbox,” in *2006 IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 3. IEEE, 2006, pp. 2052–2057.
- [276] O. Castillo and L. T. Aguilar, “Fuzzy control for systems with dead-zone and backlash,” in *Type-2 Fuzzy Logic in Control of Nonsmooth Systems*. Springer, 2019, pp. 55–71.
- [277] P. Rauf, M. Jamil, S. O. Gilani, and S. J. Rind, “Comparison of nonlinear controllers for speed control of dc motor,” in *2018 IEEE 9th Annual Information Technology, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference (IEMCON)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 389–394.

- [278] R. Merzouki, K. Medjaher, M. A. Djeziri, and B. Ould-Bouamama, “Backlash fault detection in mechatronic system,” *Mechatronics*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 299–310, 2007.
- [279] B. M. Pillai and J. Suthakorn, “Motion control applications: observer based dc motor parameters estimation for novices,” *Int. J. Power Electron. Drive Syst*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 195–210, 2019.
- [280] E. Burkus, J. Awrejcewicz, and P. Odry, “A validation procedure to identify joint friction, reductor self-locking and gear backlash parameters,” *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, pp. 1–17, 2020.
- [281] C. A. Pérez-Gómez, J. Licéaga-Castro, and I. Siller-Alcalá, “Non-linear modeling and identification of a permanent magnet dc motor,” *2020 24th International Conference on Circuits, Systems, Communications and Computers (CSCC)*, pp. 189–194, 2020.
- [282] M. Takroui and R. Dhaouadi, “Adaline-based friction identification and compensation of a linear voice-coil dc motor,” in *2018 5th International Conference on Electric Power and Energy Conversion Systems (EPECS)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [283] Y. Berthier, “Handbook of materials behavior models,” in *Background on friction and wear*. Lemaître Academic Press, 2001, pp. 676–699.
- [284] M. Kubisch, “Modellierung und simulation nichtlinearer motoreigenschaften,” *Berlin, Humboldt-Universität, Diss*, 2008.
- [285] C. Budai, “Friction effects in mechanical system dynamics and control,” Ph.D. dissertation, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 2017.
- [286] G. Amontons, “De la résistance causée dans les machines,” *Mémoires de l’Académie Royale A*, pp. 257–282, 1699.
- [287] G. Amontons, “De la résistance causée dans les machines (1),” *JOURNAL-JAPANESE SOCIETY OF TRIBOLOGISTS*, vol. 44, pp. 229–235, 1999.
- [288] C. Coulomb, “Theorie des machines simples, moeiores de mathematique et de physique,” *Academie des Sciences*, vol. 10, pp. 161–331, 1785.
- [289] C. Iurian, F. Ikhouane, J. Rodellar Benedé, and R. Griñó Cubero, “Identification of a system with dry friction,” *Technical Report*, 2005.
- [290] O. Reynolds, “Iv. on the theory of lubrication and its application to mr. beauchamp tower’s experiments, including an experimental determination of

- the viscosity of olive oil,” *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London*, no. 177, pp. 157–234, 1886.
- [291] H. Olsson, K. J. Åström, C. C. De Wit, M. Gäfvert, and P. Lischinsky, “Friction models and friction compensation,” *Eur. J. Control*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 176–195, 1998.
- [292] A. J. Morin, “New friction experiments carried out at metz in 1831–1833,” *Proceedings of the French Royal Academy of Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 128, 1833.
- [293] R. Stribeck, “Die wesentlichen eigenschaften der gleit-und rollenlager [the key qualities of sliding and roller bearings],” *Z. Vereines Seutscher Ing.*, vol. 46, pp. 1432–1437, 1902.
- [294] D. P. Hess and A. Soom, “Friction at a Lubricated Line Contact Operating at Oscillating Sliding Velocities,” *Journal of Tribology*, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 147–152, 01 1990. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2920220>
- [295] L. C. Bo and D. Pavelescu, “The friction-speed relation and its influence on the critical velocity of stick-slip motion,” *Wear*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 277–289, 1982.
- [296] B. Vick, *Applied Engineering Mathematics*. CRC Press, 2020.
- [297] J. R. Raol and R. Ayyagari, *Control systems: classical, modern, and AI-based approaches*. CRC Press, 2019.
- [298] H. Singh, J. Singh, S. D. Purohit, and D. Kumar, *Advanced Numerical Methods for Differential Equations: Applications in Science and Engineering*. CRC Press, 2021.
- [299] Z. Shen, Y. Bi, Y. Wang, and C. Guo, “Mlp neural network-based recursive sliding mode dynamic surface control for trajectory tracking of fully actuated surface vessel subject to unknown dynamics and input saturation,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 377, pp. 103–112, 2020.
- [300] G. D. Rey and K. F. Wender, *Neuronale netze: Eine einföhrung in die grundlagen, anwendungen und datenauswertung*. Hogrefe, 2018.
- [301] S. S. Haykin, *Neural networks and learning machines*, 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, 2009.
- [302] R. Rojas, *Neural networks: a systematic introduction*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
- [303] J. Peng, R. Dubay, J. M. Hernandez, and M. Abu-Ayyad, “A wiener neural

- network-based identification and adaptive generalized predictive control for nonlinear siso systems,” *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 7388–7397, 2011.
- [304] A. Tavakolpour-Saleh, S. Nasib, A. Sepasyan, and S. Hashemi, “Parametric and nonparametric system identification of an experimental turbojet engine,” *Aerospace Science and Technology*, vol. 43, pp. 21–29, 2015.
- [305] E. L. Allgower and K. Georg, “Piecewise linear methods for nonlinear equations and optimization,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 124, no. 1-2, pp. 245–261, 2000.
- [306] J. Gergonne, “The application of the method of least squares to the interpolation of sequences,” *Historia Mathematica*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 439–447, 1974.
- [307] A. Janczak, *Identification of nonlinear systems using neural networks and polynomial models: a block-oriented approach*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2004, vol. 310.
- [308] F. Giri and E.-W. Bai, *Block-oriented nonlinear system identification*. Springer, 2010, vol. 1.
- [309] S. Song, J.-S. Lim, S. Baek, and K.-M. Sung, “Gauss newton variable forgetting factor recursive least squares for time varying parameter tracking,” *Electronics letters*, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 988–990, 2000.
- [310] P. Khunabut, S. Kunnarattanapruk, P. Tansongcharoen, and S. Jitapunkul, “Rls channel estimation with forgetting factor adaptation for the downlink of mc-cdma system,” in *IEEE International Conference on Networking, Sensing and Control, 2004*, vol. 2. IEEE, 2004, pp. 1160–1164.
- [311] Y. Lu, Q. Li, Z. Pan, and S. Y. Liang, “Prognosis of bearing degradation using gradient variable forgetting factor rls combined with time series model,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 10 986–10 995, 2018.
- [312] C. Budai, L. L. Kovács, J. Kövecses, and G. Stépán, “Effect of dry friction on vibrations of sampled-data mechatronic systems,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 349–361, 2017.
- [313] I. Virgala and M. Kelemen, “Experimental friction identification of a dc motor,” *International journal of mechanics and applications*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 26–30, 2013.
- [314] J. Peng and R. Dubay, “Identification and adaptive neural network control of

- a dc motor system with dead-zone characteristics,” *ISA transactions*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 588–598, 2011.
- [315] K. M. Passino, *Biomimicry for optimization, control, and automation*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.
- [316] “TMCC: Precalculus I and II,” 12 2020, [Online; accessed 2021-07-04]. [Online]. Available: <https://math.libretexts.org/@go/page/13383>
- [317] V. Prasad, K. Kothari, and U. Mehta, “Parametric identification of nonlinear fractional hammerstein models,” *Fractal and Fractional*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 2, 2020.
- [318] DKM, *AC/DC Geared Motor and Gearbox (DC Motors)*, DKM Co., 292, Yeomjeon-ro, Michuhol-gu, Incheon (692-1, Dohwa-dong) (Postal Code: 22117) , South Korea, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://www.dkmmotor.com/g5/data/category/big/pdf/2/12.DKM-C%20DC%20Motor%20Part.pdf>
- [319] Cytron, *MD13S 13Amp DC Motor Driver User’s Manual V1.1*, Cytron Technologies Sdn. Bhd, No. 1, Lorong Industri Impian 1, Taman Industri Impian, 14000 Bukit Mertajam, Penang, Malaysia, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://my.cytron.io/p-13amp-6v-30v-dc-motor-driver>
- [320] Cytron, *B106 Rotary Encoder User’s Manual V1.2*, Cytron Technologies Sdn. Bhd, No. 1, Lorong Industri Impian 1, Taman Industri Impian, 14000 Bukit Mertajam, Penang, Malaysia, 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://my.cytron.io/p-rotary-encoder-b-106-23983>
- [321] “Arduino Mega 2560 Rev3 | Arduino Official Store.” [Online]. Available: <https://store.arduino.cc/usa/mega-2560-r3>
- [322] D. González-Morales, O. García-Beltrán, Y. A. Aldana-Rodríguez, and O. López-Santos, “Experimental modelling of dc motor for position control systems involving nonlinear phenomena,” in *Workshop on Engineering Applications*. Springer, 2020, pp. 516–528.
- [323] X. Chi, S. Quan, J. Chen, Y.-X. Wang, and H. He, “Proton exchange membrane fuel cell-powered bidirectional dc motor control based on adaptive sliding-mode technique with neural network estimation,” *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 45, no. 39, pp. 20 282–20 292, 2020.
- [324] E. Hernández-Márquez, C. A. Avila-Rea, J. R. García-Sánchez, R. Silva-Ortigoza, M. Marciano-Melchor, M. Marcelino-Aranda, A. Roldán-Caballero,

- and C. Márquez-Sánchez, “New “full-bridge buck inverter–dc motor” system: Steady-state and dynamic analysis and experimental validation,” *Electronics*, vol. 8, no. 11, p. 1216, 2019.
- [325] H. K. Ahn and N. Park, “Deep rnn-based photovoltaic power short-term forecast using power iot sensors,” *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 436, 2021.
- [326] H. Samet, M. Reisi, and F. Marzbani, “Evaluation of neural network-based methodologies for wind speed forecasting,” *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 78, pp. 356–372, 2019.
- [327] J. Ling, Z. Feng, D. Zheng, J. Yang, H. Yu, and X. Xiao, “Robust adaptive motion tracking of piezoelectric actuated stages using online neural-network-based sliding mode control,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 150, p. 107235, 2021.
- [328] M. Bisi and N. K. Goyal, *Artificial neural network applications for software reliability prediction*. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.
- [329] R. MISRA and P. SASATTE, “Software reliability prediction using neural networks with linear activation function,” in *Advanced Reliability Modeling*. World Scientific, 2004, pp. 333–340.
- [330] J. Brownlee, *Better deep learning: train faster, reduce overfitting, and make better predictions*. Machine Learning Mastery, 2018.





## APPENDIX D

### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

#### *Publication(s):*

1. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. Omar, and H. Abdul Rahman, "Development of system identification from traditional concepts to real-time soft computing based," IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, vol. 767, p. 012050, Mar 2020.
2. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. B. Omar, and H. B. A. Rahman, "A real-time nonlinear hammerstein model for bidirectional dc motor based on multi-layer neural networks," in 2020 IEEE Student Conference on Research and Development (SCOReD). IEEE, 2020, pp. 102–107.
3. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. Omar, and H. A. Rahman, "Online nonlinear series–parallel hammerstein model for bi-directional dc motor," in Proceedings of the 12th National Technical Seminar on Unmanned System Technology 2020. Springer, 2022, pp. 823–838.
4. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. Omar, and H. A. Rahman, "A nonlinear model for online identifying a high-speed bidirectional dc motor," Engineering Journal, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 245–258, 2020.

#### *Conference(s):*

1. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. Omar, and H. Abdul Rahman, "Development of system identification from traditional concepts to real-time soft computing based," in 1st International Symposium on Engineering and Technology (ISETECH) 2019, Kangar, Perlis, Malaysia, 23 December 2019 - Presented.

2. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. B. Omar, and H. B. A. Rahman, "A real-time nonlinear hammerstein model for bidirectional dc motor based on multi-layer neural networks," in 2020 IEEE Student Conference on Research and Development (SCOReD), Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia, 27-29 September 2020 - Presented.
3. A. M. Kwad, D. Hanafi, R. Omar, and H. A. Rahman, "Online nonlinear series-parallel hammerstein model for bi-directional dc motor," in the 12th National Technical Seminar on Unmanned System Technology 2020 (NUSYS'20), Malaysia, 24- 25 November 2020 - Presented.



PTTA UTHM  
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

## APPENDIX E

### VITA

The author was born in Al-Rusafa, Baghdad, Iraq in 1978. He received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in Mechatronic engineering from the University of Baghdad, Engineering college in 2001 and Al-Khawarizmi Engineering college 2010 successively, and he started the PhD degree journey in Mechatronic, electrical engineering department in University of Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), Malaysia in 2017. From 2013 to 2017, he was a Lecturer Assistant in engineering college, Al-Iraqia University, Baghdad, Iraq. He is the author of Two-axes sun tracking system the theory and design book in 2013. His research interests include control of mechatronic systems, embedded systems and microcontrollers, system identification development and applications, Artificial Intelligence, and Robotics.



PERPUSTAKAAN TUN HUSSEIN ONN MALAYSIA