# THE EFFECT OF IGNITION TIMING ON ENGINE PERFORMANCE AND COMBUSTION BEHAVIOUR OF LPG-SI ENGINE USING LSI SYSTEM

# MOHD MUSTAQIM BIN TUKIMAN



Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2022

To my beloved parents, wife and friends for their endless love, support and tolerance.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name Allah, the Most Merciful and the Most Compassionate. I thank to Allah for giving me the understanding and opportunity to succeed in completing this thesis. Peace be upon the Prophet Muhammad (pbuh), may Allah bless him.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Assoc. Professor Dr Shahrul Azmir Bin Osman and my co-supervisor Assoc. Professor Dr Mas Fawzi Bin Mohd Ali, for the brilliant advice, guidance, crictics and friendship. My special thank to my parents, Mr. Tukiman Bin Nadi and Madam Siti Mariam Rahmat for their ongoing support and prayer for the completion of this reseach.



Next, I wish to thank my dearest wife, Noridhha Binti Legimen and my children for their unconditional love and support.



#### ABSTRACT

Liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) is one of the potential alternative fuels for Spark Ignition (SI) due to its availability and acknowledged superior properties compared to the gasoline. However, implementing the latest generation of LPG on the SI engine, which uses liquid sequential injection (LSI) in the fuel delivery system, needs a detailed analysis of the engine characterization. A series of experiments were performed at various engine speeds from 1500 RPM to 3500 RPM with an increment of 500 RPM and the throttle position (TP) was set at 25%, 50% and 75%. The spark ignition angle was also tested from -5 SI °CA to -35 SI °CA Before Top Dead Centre (BTDC) with the increment of 5 SI °CA and runnings at stoichiometric condition. As a result, the LSI-LPG significantly improved the engine performance in the range between 0.3 % and 12.63% when the spark ignition angle was adjusted at the MBT locations. The Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) also improved by about 4.5% to 13.6%. The CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from exhaust emissions reduced from 25% to 20%. Besides that, HC and NO<sub>X</sub> was also reduced by 47% to 47.7 % compared to gasoline at MBT locations. The engine was simulated in actual driving conditions (90 km/h at 3000 RPM). The peak pressure indicated an improvement of 2.4% to 10% at all engine conditions, and the peak location was at 16.6°CA to 18.4°CA After Top Dead Centre (ATDC). The Coefficient of Variation (COV) was also lower than gasoline, ranging from 4.85% to 6.36%, which exhibited better combustion. In addition, the Rate of Pressure Rise (ROPR), Rate of Heat Released (ROHR) and Mass Fraction Burn (MFB) produced from LSI-LPG are better than gasoline when the spark ignition angle was adjusted at the correct location. Therefore, the use of LSI-LPG into the gasoline engine is able to improved engine performance without jeopardize the exhaust emission.



#### ABSTRAK

Gas petroleum cecair (LPG) adalah salah satu bahan bakar alternatif yang berpotensi digunakan pada enjin pencucuhan bunga api (SI) kerana kebolehdapatan dan sifat yang lebih baik bebanding petrol. Namun begitu, melaksanakan generasi terbaru LPG dengan menggunakan cara suntikan jujukan cecair (LSI) sebagai penghantaran bahan bakar mermerlukan analisis yang terperinci. Satu siri ekperimen dilakukan pada pelbagai kelajuan enjin dari 1500 RPM hingga 3500 RPM dengan kenaikan 500 RPM dan posisi pendikit (TP) ditetapkan pada 25%, 50% dan 75%. Sudut pencucuhan percikan api juga diuji dari -5 SI °CA to -35 SI °CA sebelum titik atas mati (BTDC) dengan kenaikan 5 SI °CA dan keadaan campuran minyak pada stoikiometrik. Keputusanya, LSI-LPG telah meningkatkan prestasi enjin pada 0.3% hingga 12.63% apabila sudut pencucuhan percikan di tala pada lokasi MBT. Pengunaan khusus bahan bakar (BSFC) juga bertambah baik pada kadar 4.5% hingga 13.6%. Pelepasan pencemaran ekzos CO dan CO<sub>2</sub> juga berkurangan dari 25% hingga 20%. Selain itu, HC dan NO<sub>X</sub> juga menurun sebanyak 47% hingga 47.7% berbading petrol jika di tala pada keadaan MBT. Enjin tersebut telah di simulasikan dalam keadaan sebenar (90km/J pada 3000 RPM).Didapati tekanan pada puncak meningkat pada 2.4% hingga 10% pada semua keadaan enjin dan lokasi puncak pada 16.6°CA hingga 18.4°CA selepas titik atas mati (ATDC). Pekali variasi (COV) juga rendah dalam lingkungan 4.85% hingga 6.36% berbanding petrol, ini menunjukkan keadaan pembakaran yang baik. Selain itu, kadar kenaikan tekanan (ROPR), kadar pelepasan haba (ROHR) dan pecahan pembakaran jisim juga baik jika sudut pencucuhan ditala pada lokasi yang betul. Oleh itu, penggunaan LSI-LPG di dalam enjin petrol boleh memberikan peningkatan prestasi kuasa tanpa mengugat kadar pencemaran ekzos.



# CONTENTS

		TIT	LE	i
		DEC	CLARATION	ii
		DEI	DICATION	iii
		ACI	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
		ABS	TRACT	V
		ABS	TRAK	vi
		COI	NTENTS	vii
		LIS	<b>FOF TABLES</b>	xii
	DT	LIS	r of figures	xiii
	CHAPTER 1 PERPI	LIS	Γ OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
		LIS	F OF APPENDICES	xviii
		INT	RODUCTION	1
		1.1	Background of Study	1
			1.1.1 Consumption of petroleum products in	2
			Malaysia	
			1.1.2 Instability of fuel price	3
		1.2	Problem statement	5
		1.3	Objectives	6
		1.4	Scopes of study	6
		1.5	Significance of study	8
		1.6	Organization of this thesis	9
	<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	10
		2.1	Introduction	10
		2.2	Spark Ignition (SI) engine	10

		2.2.1	Type of fuel delivery system on SI	13
		(	engine	
	2.3	Exhaust	t Emission Pollution	16
		2.3.1	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	16
		2.3.2	Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	17
		2.3.3	Hydrocarbon (HC)	17
		2.3.4	Oxides of Nitrogen (NO <sub>X</sub> )	17
	2.4	Influence	ce of alternatives fuel in the engine	18
		system		
	2.5	Introduc	ce Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) as an	18
		alternati	ive fuel	
		2.5.1	LPG composition	19
		2.5.2	LPG properties	20
		2.5.3	Advantages and disadvantages of LPG as	24
			an alternative fuel	
	2.6	Gas and	l liquefied phase LPG generation	25 NAH
		convers	tion system	AMILY
		2.6.1	Generation of LPG and technology in SI	26
20	= DRUS	<b>TAK</b>	engine	
	PERFOS	2.6.2	Gas and liquefied phase LPG injection	31
		:	system	
		2.6.3	Methods of LPG fuel delivery system	33
		I	using liquid sequential injection (LSI)	
	2.7	Previou	s studies on LPG for SI engine	34
		2.7.1	Potential of ignition control on SI	34
		(	engine to produce the maximum	
		]	power using LPG	
		2.7.2	Effect of MBT in combustion stability	46
		:	and combustion characteristics	
	2.8	Summa	ry	53

	CHAPTER 3	ME	THODOLOGY	54
		3.1	Overview	54
		3.2	Engine retrofitting process	56
		3.3	Retrofitting LSI-LPG system to a gasoline	57
			engine	
			3.3.1 Fuel supply system	58
			3.3.2 External LPG tank	60
			3.3.3 Fuel pump module	61
			3.3.4 Solenoid valve	62
			3.3.5 Fuel injector module	63
		3.4	Improvement to the wiringharness ECU system	64
			3.4.1 Installation of SAC unit system	65
			3.4.2 Improvement to the ECU system	66
			3.4.3 Re-mapping ECU system	67
	PT	3.5 ERPUS	Experimental apparatus	68
			3.5.1 Tested vehicle	<sup>69</sup> INAH
8.1			3.5.2 Chassis dynamometer	69
			3.5.3 Scan tool OBD-II system	70
			3.5.4 Standalone engine management system	70
			3.5.5 Air fuel ratio metering and wideband	70
			oxygen sensor	
			3.5.6 Fuel mass flow meter	71
			3.5.7 Exhaust gas emission analyzer	71
			3.5.8 Pressure sensor	72
			3.5.9 Temperature sensor	72
			3.5.10 Incremental rotary encoder	73
		3.6	3.5.11 Data acquisition system	73
			Engine tested	74
			3.6.1 Standard experiment procedure	75
			3.6.2 Preparatory experiment	76
			3.6.3 Running the engine	77

ix

			3.6.4	Steady-state engine speed mode	78
		3.7	Engin	ne test parameters	79
			3.7.1	Performance parameter	79
			3.7.2	Emission parameters	80
			3.7.3	Return of Investment (ROI)	80
			3.7.4	Uncertainty analysis	81
			3.7.5	Root Mean Square (RMS)	81
			3.7.6	Combustion stability parameters	82
			3.7.7	Combustion characteristic parameters	83
		3.8	Sumn	nary	84
	<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	RES	ULT A	ND DISCUSSION	85
		4.1	Intro	luction	85
		4.2	Perfo	rmance characteristics for various spark	86
	PT		igniti	on angle	
			4.2.1	Comparison of Maximum Brake Torque	86
				(MBT) LSI-LPG system and	AMINAH
Sh.				Gasoline	AM
			4.2.2	Comparison of Brake Specific Fuel	90
	DEDDI	15	1A1	Consumption (BSFC)	
	PERI	4.3	Emiss	sion characteristics for various spark	95
			igniti	on angle	
			4.3.1	Emission characteristics at 25% TP	95
			4.3.2	Emission characteristics at 50% TP	99
			4.3.3	Emission characteristics at 75% TP	101
		4.4	Analy	vsis of combustion stability at 3000 RPM	104
			4.4.1	Peak pressure $(P_{max})$ in-cylinder and peak	104
				pressure location ( $P_{\theta}$ ) crank angle at	
				25 % TP	
			4.4.2	Coefficient of variation (COV) Peak	106
				pressure at 25% TP	100
			4.4.3	Peak pressure $(P_{max})$ in-cylinder and	109

х

			peak pressure location ( $P_{\theta}$ ) crank	
			angle at 50% TP	
		4.4.4	Coefficient of variation (COV) peak	111
			pressure at 50% TP	
		4.4.5	Peak pressure (P <sub>max</sub> ) in-cylinder and	113
			peak pressure location ( $P_{\theta}$ ) crank	
			angle at 75% TP	
		4.4.6	Coefficient of variation (COV) peak	115
			pressure at 75% TP	
		4.5 Analy	sis of combustion characteristics at 3000	118
		RPM		
		4.5.1	Combustion characteristics at 25% TP	118
		4.5.2	Combustion characteristics at 50% TP	122
		4.5.3	Combustion characteristics at 75% TP	126
		4.6 Summ	hary	130
	CHAPTER 5	CONCLUS	ION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	132 NAH
		5.1 Concl	usion	132
		5.2 Contri	ibution of the Study	133
	= D D I	5.3 Recor	nmendations	134
	PERFC	REFEREN	CES	135
		APPENDIC	CES	143
VITA			193	

# LIST OF TABLE

2.1	Classification of road vehicle categories according to service	12
2.2	Comparison of LPG mixture composition by country	19
2.3	Comparison of properties between LPG and gasoline	21
2.4	Summary of LPG fuel delivery system	31
2.5	Summary analyses of the optimized spark ignition angle in SI	45
	engine using LPG liquid and gaseous phase	
2.6	Reviews on analyzed parameter of LPG	47
2.7	Reviews on analyzed parameter of LPG (continued)	48
2.8	Reviews on analyzed parameter of LPG (continued)	49
2.9	Reviews on analyzed parameter of LPG (continued)	50 A H
2.10	Reviews on analyzed parameter of LPG (continued)	A1
2.11	Summary of available liquid and gaseous phase LPG injection to	52
	optimize the MBT location	
3.1	Specification of external LPG tank	61
3.2	Specifications of LPG fuel pump module	62
3.3	The testing condition experiment	76
4.1	Return of Investment (ROI) analysis for LSI-LPG system	94
4.2	Details of combustion characteristics at 25% TP, 3000 RPM	120
4.3	Details of combustion characteristics at 50% TP, 3000 RPM	125
4.4	Details of combustion characteristics at 75% TP, 3000 RPM	128



# LIST OF FIGURE

1.1	Total number of the registered vehicles in Malaysia from 2016 to	2
	2018	
1.2	The consumption volume of petroleum product from 2014 to	3
	2019 in Malaysia	
1.3	Comparison between LPG and gasoline prices among largest	4
	LPG consumer countries	
2.1	The four-stroke operating cycle in the combustion process	11
2.2	The history of fuel delivery system technique	13
2.3	The diagram of fuel delivery system for carburetor	14
2.4	The methods of fuel delivery system for TBI and MPI	15
2.5	Diagram for DI fuel delivery system in SI engine	16
2.6	Effects of the percentage of composition on LPG density	22
2.7	Effects of temperature on evaporation of LPG	23
2.8	Diagram of fuel delivery system in the first generation	27
2.9	Flow diagram for the second generation of LPG fuel delivery	28
	system	
2.10	The third LPG generation flow diagram for fuel delivery system	29
2.11	The fourth generation of LPG fuel delivery system	30
2.12	Configuration of a gaseous and liquid phase in the fuel delivery	32
	system	
2.13	Flow chart of fuel delivery in MPI system	33
2.14	Output from spark ignition angle to identify the MBT location	36
2.15	Comparison torque of gasoline and LPG	37
2.16	Variation of performance with different spark ignition angle in SI	38
	engine	



Η

2.17	Comparison of spark ignition angle and compression ratio	39
2.18	The effects of ignition timing on BP, BT and BSFC at 25 % TP	40
2.19	Variation of (a) BP (b) VE (c) BSFC and BTE with different	41
	spark ignition angles	
2.20	Variation of spark ignition angle with a different equivalent ratio	42
	and squish areas	
2.21	Relation of the in-cylinder pressure and MFB	43
2.22	Spark ignition timing according to the combustion strategies in	44
	LPG SI engine	
3.1	Work flowchart	55
3.2	The diagram of retrofitting the LSI-LPG kit system	56
3.3	The flow process of retrofitting the LSI-LPG system	58
3.4	Schematic diagram for retrofitting the LSI-LPG system	59
3.5	The external LPG tank was located in the spare tire space	60
3.6	The parts of the fuel pump module	62
3.7	The solenoid valve located in the firewall of the tested vehicle	63 NA
3.8	(a) Nozzle mounted in the intake manifold (b) The fuel injector	64
	module parts	
3.9	The schematic diagram of wiring harness ECU system	65
3.10	The mapping table for ignition timing from the SAC unit system	68
3.11	(a) Arrangement of equipment on chassis dynamometer (b)	75
	schematic diagram of the experimental setup	
4.1	Comparison of variable spark ignition angles and MBT	89
4.2	Comparison of BSFC between LSI-LPG and gasoline at various	91
	engine speeds	
4.3	Effect of exhaust emission with a variation of spark ignition	98
	angles at 25% TP	
4.4	Effect of exhaust emission with a variation of spark ignition	100
	angles at 50% TP	
4.5	Effect of exhaust emission with a variation of spark ignition	103
	angles at 75% TP	



4.6	Plot of tabulation data of maximum in-cylinder pressure for 250	105
	cycles at 25% TP	
4.7	Statistical analysis of peak pressure data at 25% TP	108
4.8	Plot of tabulation data of maximum in-cylinder pressure for 250	110
	cycles at 50% TP	
4.9	Statistical analysis of peak pressure data at 50% TP	112
4.10	Plot of tabulation data of maximum in-cylinder pressure for 250	114
	cycles at 75% TP	
4.11	Statistical analysis of peak pressure data at 75% TP	117
4.12	Details of combustion characteristics at 3000 RPM and 25% TP;	121
	(a) In-cylinder pressure, (b) ROPR, (c) ROHR and (d) MFB	
4.13	Details of combustion characteristics at 3000 RPM and 50% TP;	126
	(a) In-cylinder pressure, (b) ROPR, (c) ROHR and (d) MFB	
4.14	Details of combustion characteristics at 3000 RPM and 75% TP;	129
	(a) In-cylinder pressure, (b) ROPR, (c) ROHR and (d) MFB	
		MINAH
	TUN	AMUS
	ILAAN TUNKU	



4.1	13	Details of combustion characteristics at 3000 RPM
		(a) In-cylinder pressure, (b) ROPR, (c) ROHR and
4.1	14	Details of combustion characteristics at 3000 RPM
		(a) In-cylinder pressure, (b) ROPR, (c) ROHR and
		WAAN TUNK
/ =	-D	PUSTAKAA
P	FK	

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

#### Acronyms

- ATDC After Top Dead Center
- BTDC Before Top Dead Center
- BP Brake Power
- BSFC Brake Specific Fuel Consumption
- CA Crank Angle
- CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon Dioxide
- CO Carbon Monoxide
- C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> Propane
- C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> Butane
- CH<sub>4</sub> Methane
- CI Compression Ignition
- CNG Compressed Natural Gas
- COV Coefficient of Variations
- DI Direct Injection
- ECU Electronic Control Unit
- EV Electric Vehicle
- HC Hydrocarbon
- IMEP Indicated Mean Effective Pressure
- LPG Liquefied Petroleum Gas
- LSI-LPG Liquid Sequential Injection- Liquefied Petroleum Gas
- MBT Maximum Brake Torque
- MFB Mass Fraction Burnt
- MMT Million Metric Tons
- MON Motor octane number



TUNKU TUN AMINAH

- MPI Multi-port Fuel Injection
- NO<sub>X</sub> Oxide of Nitrogen
- PFI Port Fuel Injection
- RON Research Octane Number
- ROHR Rate of Heat Release
- ROPR Rate of Pressure Rise
- RPM Rotation per Minute
- SAC Stand-Alone Controller
- SD Standard Deviation
- SI Spark Ignition
- TBI Throttle Body Injection
- TC Top Center
- TP Throttle Position
- VE Volumetric Efficiency



Symbols	A MINAH
a	Weibe Efficiency Factor
Г	Specific Heat Ratio, C <sub>p</sub> /C <sub>v</sub>
d <sub>p</sub>	Pressure different between two point
$d_{\theta}^{P} E K$	Crank angle degree different
$\theta_d$	Combustion Duration
$\theta_{s}$	Spark Timing
λ	Air/fuel ratio
N	Weibe Form Factor
π	Pi = 3.142
P <sub>max</sub>	Peak Presure
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{\theta}}$	Peak pressure Location
0	Degree
%	Percentage

# LIST OF APPENDICES

А	LPG Safety Data Sheet	143
В	Gasoline Safety Data Sheet	146
С	Specification of Pressure Sensor	148
D	Specification of Charge Amplifier	151
E	Specification of Crank Encoder Sensor	154
F	Specification of NI 9222	156
G	Specification of NI 94111	160
Н	Specifications of NI CompactDAQ 9188	164
I	LSI-LPG KIT (MODEL: 9998004)	170
J	LSI-LPG Kit Wiring Diagram	171 AH
K	LSI-LPG KIT Wiring Harness Diagram	172
L	SAC Engine Management System	173
Μ	SAC Wiring Diagram (Socket 1)	174
NPE	SAC Wiring Diagram Harness Colour Code (Socket 1)	175
0	SAC Wiring Diagram (Socket 2)	176
Р	SAC Wiring Diagram Harness Colour Code (Socket 2)	177
Q	Figure and Specification	178
R	Malaysia Standard MS 775:2005, LPG Fuel Delivery System in	188
	Internal Combustion Engines	
S	Malaysia Standard MS ISO 8789:2005, Rubber Hoses and Hose	189
	Assemblies for Liquefied Petroleum Gas in Motor Vehicles-	
	Specification	
Т	Malaysia Standard MS 642:1982, Specification for LPG	190
	Cylinder up to 1000 Pound Water Capacity with Eletric-Arc	
	Welded Longitunal Seam	

U	Surface Vehicle Standard J1349: Engine Power Code Test-Spark	191
	Ignition and Compression Ignition-Net Power Rating	
V	List of Published Paper	192



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

### **1.1 Background of study**



In Malaysia, the number of registered road vehicles increases by 5% to 10% annually (Road Trasport Department of Malaysia, 2021). This is because the price of vehicles is lower compared to 10 years ago and people in Malaysia can afford it. This indicates that the economy in this country is improving and Malaysian are positively responding to the automotive industry. The increase in the number of registered road vehicles in Malaysia, especially in the urban area, has led to environmental issues. According to the Road Trasport Department of Malaysia, (2021), the number of motorcycles, motorcars, buses, taxis, rental vehicles, and goods vehicles and others increases about 10.86 million, 11.25 million, 0.052 million, 0.08 million, 0.02 million, 1 million and 0.48 million, respectively every year as shown in Figure 1.1. The motorcycle trend indicated a sharp growth from 0.467 million in 2016 to 13.532 million in 2018. Similar trend can be seen for motorcars which recorded an increase from 0.580 million in 2016 to 14.063 million in 2018. This trend can also be seen for vehiles such as goods vehicles, taxis, buses and rental vehicles.

The increment in numbers of vehicle will also affecting increasing the  $CO_2$  emission, especially the emission produced by the transportation sector, is a critical problem because it affects the greenhouse gas, leading to global warming. In reducing

the  $CO_2$  emission in Malaysia, alternative fuels such as LPG in the latest generation would be introduced. The choice of LPG as the alternative fuels due to the source is readily available, lower price, more power, less pollutant emitted and the consumption still lower than the others conventional fuel in the market.





#### 1.1.1 Consumption of petroleum products in Malaysia

Figure 1.2 shows the consumption volume of petroleum products in Malaysia which includes conventional fuels such as gasoline and diesel from 2014 to 2019. The consumption trend for both fuels increased from 10.161 mtoe to 10.583 mtoe for diesel and from 12.705 mtoe to 13.811 mtoe for gasoline. This contradicted the trend for LPG

that showed lower consumption from 2.632 mtoe to 3.017 mtoe. To ensure the consumption of petroleum products is balanced and in stable condition, alternative fuel technology needs to be introduced in this country. The optional alternative fuel such as LPG, compressed natural gas (CNG), biofuel, hydrogen, methanol and ethanol need to be highlighted to vehicle consumers to raise awareness of how crucial alternative fuel is. Consequently, petroleum product consumption is more stable and offers an additional benefit to the consumers in the future.



Figure 1.2 : The consumption volume of petroleum product from 2014 to 2019 in Malaysia (reproduced from Malaysia Energy Information Hub, 2020)

# **1.1.2** Instability of fuel price

Generally, the increasing consumption of petroleum products as discussed earlier has fluctuated the price of fuel supply and created economic turmoil, mainly in the transportation sector. The price of petroleum products such as gasoline and diesel is dependent on the demand and consumption of consumers worldwide. Figure 1.3 shows the comparison of fuel prices of countries with well-developed LPG in the transportation sector over the last two decades and have the largest market worldwide (Autogas Incentive Policies, 2020). Based on the data, Italy has the highest price of gasoline, followed by Korea and lastly Russia, with USD 1.88 per liter, USD 1.38 per liter and USD 0.66 per liter, respectively. In terms of the price of LPG, Malaysia has 3<sup>rd</sup> lowest price after Thailand and Russia and with USD 0.44 per liter, USD 0.39 per liter and USD 0.38 per liter, respectively. The price of LPG in Malaysia and other countries as shown in Figure 1.4 does not include the subsidy due to is invalid for industrial and commercial purposes. Nevertheless, the price of LPG in Malaysia without subsidy is still lower than the price of gasoline. It highlights the potential of LPG as an alternative fuel in the automotive industry, especially in Malaysia.





Figure 1.3: Comparison between LPG and gasoline prices among largest LPG consumer countries (reproduced from GlobalPetrolPrice.com, 2021)

In the case of the largest market country of LPG users, the government was provided incentive policies that could choose the alternative fuel such as LPG in the county. Another alternative is the automotive-fuel technology such as the electric vehicle (EVs), which is widely available commercially. However, the cost is high and millage is limited compared to alternative fuel. An alternative fuel offers practical price for consumers and benefits the environment. From an energy-security perspective, LPG has an advantage over conventional fuel due to an abundant supply from many sources in the world. According to Autogas Incentive Policies, (2020), the crude oil and gas field reserves give the flexibility of the modern refining process and offer the considerable potential to improve the meet demand for the transportation sector. Thus, LPG supply is expected to rise briskly in the next few years, parallel with the natural gas production and associated liquid extraction, which are the primary sources in the LPG worldwide.

# 1.2 Problem statement



LPG is commonly used in the cooking industries as the sources are readily available and the price is lower than other gaseous. Accordingly to the Malaysia Standard MS 775:2005, the use of LPG fuel delivery system in the internal combustion engine was established in 2005 and it is expected that the Malaysian government will be introducing LPG as an alternative fuel in the future, parallel with the Malaysia Automotive Roadmap, (2014) and Update on Malaysia Automotive Background of NAP 2020 (MARii, 2020). Since Asian countries such as Korea, China, Japan, and Thailand start using LPG as an alternative fuel, the price of conventional fuel has increased. With the high demand for fuel but limited sources, the price of gasoline and diesel has the potential to increase in the future. As technology matured, the LPG system was using Liquid Sequential Injection (LSI) that promising better engine performance and exhaust emission fundamentally.

However, in reality several issue emerged such as engine under power, unstable cycle and highly emission production are being highlighted when LSI-LPG introduced in the SI engine. Deep dive analysis to overcome the limitation could be potentially

bring the gap in this research, where the effects of adjust spark ignition timing in the LSI-LPG system in influencing the quality engine output and exhaust emission. Next, the relationship of effect combustion stability limit which respect to the peak pressure in each cycle. Lastly, to finding the suitable of combustion characteristics location for each MBT location for LSI-LPG system to enhancing the engine performance and exhaust emission in the SI engine.

6

#### 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- a. To investigate the range of variable spark ignition timing for producing the MBT condition when operated with LSI-LPG latest generation.
- b. To determine the quality of engine performance and exhaust emission behaviors at various spark ignition timing angles in the SI engine using the LSI-LPG system.
- c. To characterize the limit of combustion stability in the LSI-LPG system in the SI engine at variable spark ignition timing angle using the tabulation of peak pressure in-cylinder analysis.
- d. To evaluate the combustion characteristics of the LSI-LPG system at various spark ignition timing angles that focus on the in-cylinder pressure, rate of pressure rise (ROPR), rate of heat release (ROHR) and mass of fraction burnt (MFB) at maximum brake torque (MBT) locations.

#### 1.4 Scope of study

The scopes of study in this research are:

- a. The composition of LPG used in this research is 60% butane and 40% propane, according to the Materials Safety Data Sheet (MSDS, 2015) of LPG in Malaysia.
- b. The experiment used unleaded gasoline (RON95) and LPG liquid phase, namely the LSI-LPG system. Which, to compare the performance, exhaust emission, combustion stability and combustion characteristics.

- c. The research used 1.6L engine capacity which was equipped with the latest generation of multi-port fuel injection (MPI) with a retrofitted LSI-LPG fuel system.
- d. The variables of spark ignition angle were set from -5 °CA to -35°CA BTDC to identify the maximum brake torque (MBT) for both fuels.
- e. The analysis of the engine involved:
  - i. Engine performance
    - Brake power (BP)
    - Maximum brake torque (MBT)
    - Brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC)
  - ii. Exhaust emission

PERP

- Carbon monoxide (CO)
- Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- Hydrocarbon (HC)
- Oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>)
- iii. Combustion stability was set up at the engine speed of 3000 RPM and accelerated in the range of between 90 km/h and 100 km/h via engine dynamometer, which simulated the real driving condition on the road.
  - Peak pressure (P<sub>max</sub>)
  - Peak pressure location  $(P_{\theta})$
  - Standard deviations (SD)
  - COV of peak pressure (COV Peak pressure)
- iv. The condition engine set up for combustion characteristic was the same with combustion stability.
  - In-cylinder pressure
  - Rate of pressure rise (ROPR)
  - Rate of heat release (ROHR)
  - Mass fraction burnt (MFB)

- f. The experimental work was conducted via chassis dynamometer with steadystate conditions and the engine was set at:
  - various engine speeds: 1500 RPM (low engine speed), 2000 RPM to 2500 RPM (medium engine speed) and 3000 RPM to 3500 RPM (high engine speed)
  - ii. three different throttle positions: 25%, 50% and 75%.
- g. All the tested parameters were compared with gasoline as the data baseline.

#### 1.5 Significance of study

The outcome of this study provided a comprehensive experimental analysis of the latest generation of LPG, namely liquid sequential injection (LSI) LPG system which produced a higher engine output and improved the exhaust emission in the SI engine. This was done by controlling the spark ignition timing angle with stoichiometric air/fuel access. The analysis of the effects of adjusted spark ignition angle to enhance the LSI-LPG latest generation system focused on the engine performance, exhaust emission, combustion stability and combustion characteristic. This study is highly required in establishing the reference for LPG as an alternative fuel, especially in the Malaysia transportation sector, which is parallel with Malaysia Standard, MS775:2005.

 $P \sqsubset$  The analysis revealed that the engine output and exhaust emission were improved when the proper adjustment for the LSI-LPG system in the SI engine. It started by identifying the optimum spark ignition timing angle in various engine conditions until the maximum brake torque (MBT) was produced. An early or late spark ignition angle was affected by the result of engine output in lower torque. The analysis was extended to the combustion process, including combustion stability and combustion characteristic.

This study provides the latest database of LSI-LPG, which will become the benchmark for future research, especially for Malaysian context as available references about alternative fuels are limited to certain engine conditions and parameters. The analysis was conducted using the Malaysia commercial available engine, which is often used in the taxi. Therefore, the LSI-LPG system is also applicable for public transports,



which can help to reduce exhaust emission and pollution. The outcome of the study will open the public's eyes about the alternative fuel in the SI engine that gives a range of optimum setting of spark ignition timing when using this system. This use of the alternative fuel improves the engine output, fuel consumption and exhaust emission.

#### **1.6** Organization of this thesis

This thesis consists of five chapters covering the details about the experiments on the characteristics of the LSI-LPG fuel delivery system with various spark ignition timing angles to improve engine performance and exhaust emission. The first chapter started with the research background, problem statement, objectives of the study, scope of the study and significance of the study, and the organization of the thesis.

The next chapter discussed the internal combustion process and the LPG generation system from previous studies. This chapter also reviewed the effects of spark ignition timing angle adjustments for previous LPG generations. Sources of the engine performance, exhaust emission, combustion stability and combustion characteristic were also presented.



Chapter 4 discussed the results of the experiments. The optimum spark ignition timing angle analysis to achieve the maximum brake torque (MBT) was discussed in detail. In addition, this chapter also discussed the finding of engine performance, exhaust emission, combustion stability and combustion characteristics.

Finally, chapter 5 concluded the study based on the objectives and the results of the study. In addition, the contribution of the research and recommendations for future direction work were also drawn in the thesis.



#### **CHAPTER 2**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction



This chapter discusses the review of previous studies related to the evolution of the LPG system and the spark ignition angle to produce maximum brake torque (MBT) in the spark ignition (SI) engine. The spark ignition angle variations give the operating engine output to maximize the work produced during a cycle. The ignition timing is essential for driveability and exhaust emission reduction. The review starts with the concept of retrofitting spark ignition (SI) and the automotive trends which are required to make this project successful.

#### 2.2 Spark ignition (SI) engine

The internal combustion engine (ICE) has been widely used in the automotive industry for over a century. Basically, the ICE is divided into two types of ignition: SI and compression ignition (CI) engine. The SI engine needs the spark plug as an igniter to start the combustion, while in the CI engine, for the start of the combustion process, high compression and temperature are required for the air mixture to be self-ignited (Heywood, 1988; Pulkrabek, 2004).

The SI engine uses air/fuel mixer to generate the power output and exhaust emission. A full combustion cycle requires two revolutions of the crankshaft to complete the process, corresponding to the four-stroke of a cylinder piston. The fourstroke SI engine is operated based on a typical sequence: intake stroke, compression stroke, expansion stroke, and exhaust stroke are illustrated in Figure 2.1. The angle between the crankshaft and the cylinder's axis refer to the crank angle degree (CAD). The stroke process has a negatively valued angle during intake and compression stroke, while a positive value during the expansion and exhaust stroke. This process is divided into two conditions: when the position of the piston is at the top, it is called the top dead centre (TDC) and when position of the piston is at the bottom, it is called the bottom dead centre (BDC).



Figure 2.1: The four-stroke operating cycle in the combustion process

On the intake stroke, the piston travels from TDC to BDC. During this process, the air/fuel mixture is drawn into the cylinder through the inlet valve due to pressure reduction and then the valve exhaust is closed. The movement of the piston produced a differential pressure and created a vacuum effect.

On the compression stroke, the piston moves upwards to TDC and the pressure of the air/fuel mixture contained in the cylinder steadily increases. When the piston almost reaches the TDC position, the spark plug ignites the mixture and the combustion starts.

The combustion continues to the expansion stroke, where the chemical energy in the fuel is now being converted into power output, heat and emission. The pressure increases due to the expanding burning mixture in the cylinder, driving the piston to move downwards until BDC. As a result, the forces from the expansion stroke were transferred to the crankshaft rotation and supplies the work into the flywheel.

The last process is the exhaust stroke. In this process, the piston moves from BDC to TDC, and sweeps all the burn and unburn gas into the exhaust valve. During this process, the intake valve is closed. The process of the four-stroke cycle ends when the piston reaches TDC condition and the cycle is repeated.

According to Heywood, (1988), the SI engine is classified into four services group for the class of road vehicle, as depicted in Table 2.1. The classification is based on the maximum engine power, which are 70kW, 75kW, 200kW and 150kW.



Table 2.1: Classification of road vehicle categories according to service (Heywood, 1988; Mustaffa, 2019)

Class	Service	Approximate engine power range (kW)
Pood	Motorcycle/Scooter	0.75 -70
vehicle	Small passenger car	15-75
veniere	Large passenger car	75-200
	Light commercial	35-150

#### 2.2.1 Type of fuel delivery system on SI engine

The fuel delivery system in the SI engine is an important technique that supplies fuel into the intake manifold. The air and fuel will be mixed before entering the combustion chamber. The process of entering the combustion chamber depends on the specific engine speed and throttle position (TP). In addition, the premixed fuel also depends on the type of the fuel delivery system technique in the SI engine. There are four types of fuel delivery technique, which is carburetor, throttle body injection (TBI), Multi-port fuel injection (MPI) and direct injection (DI) (Heywood, 1988; Phuong, 2006; Sendyka & Noga, 2013). The history of the timeline for fuel delivery system technique is shown in Figure 2.2.



Figure 2.2: The history of fuel delivery system technique (Mustaffa, 2019)

The oldest fuel delivery system is a carburetor which uses the Bernoulli principle. The concept uses a pressure difference from airflow and fuel supplied from higher pressure to lower pressure location created at the venture throat. The fuel is atomized by the air stream and mixed during travel from the intake manifold before entering the combustion chamber. In the carburetor system, the air/fuel ratio is not effectively controlled in different engine conditions because the system uses an openloop system; that is, no feedback signal is transmitted to trigger the output of the

AMINA

combustion from the exhaust (Heywood, 1988; Sendyka & Noga, 2013). Figure 2.3 shows the fuel delivery system diagram for carburetor in the SI engine.





Figure 2.3: The diagram of fuel delivery system for carburetor (Arnold, 1999)

**PETBI** system consists of one or two fuel injectors mounted on the throttle upstream, as shown in Figure 2.4 (i). The single-port injection will supply the fuel for all engine cylinders at one time. The air/fuel has been mixed during travel from the intake manifold to the intake valve like a carburetor. However, the injector from the TBI system is capable of controlling the demand for fuel according to the engine condition. The data from the oxygen sensor located in the exhaust manifold will be processed by the electronical unit and the fuel delivery system is more precise and the air/fuel ratio is accurate, making the engine performance better than carburetor system. The TBI system has weaknesses due to the unequal division in terms of the route of air/fuel traveling into the intake manifold for each cylinder (Sendyka & Noga, 2013).



Figure 2.4: The methods of fuel delivery system for TBI and MPI (Sendyka & Noga,

2013)



The MPI fuel delivery system is better than the TBI system. The individual injector is located at the intake port downstream for each cylinder, as shown in Figure 2.4 (ii). The unequal division for air/fuel traveling into the intake valve is eliminated in this system. Other than that, the distance of injectors is reduced, so the air/fuel mixture has extra cooling effect and the wall wetting problem that frequently happens in the TBI system is also resolved. The operation of the MPI system is controlled by the electronic control unit (ECU) as corresponding to the cylinder firing sequence.

The latest technology for the SI engine is the DI fuel delivery system, as shown in Figure 2.5. In this fuel delivery system, the fuel injector is mounted with individual conditions in the head cylinder. The fuel injector directly injects the fuel into the combustion chamber and the air and fuel are mixed during compression stroke condition in the cylinder. The air/fuel mixture is easy to mix and the higher piston profile is given the advantage of producing a turbulent effect in combustion chamber. As a result, the DI system can produce a higher engine output with lesser gas emission than the MPI fuel delivery system (Mustaffa, 2019; Sendyka & Noga, 2013; Heywood, 1988).



Figure 2.5: Diagram for DI fuel delivery system in SI engine (Sendyka & Noga, 2013)

# 2.3 Exhaust emission pollution



The automotive industry and transportation have grown tremendously every year, the worldwide. The SI and diesel engine contribute to air pollution in urban areas, resulting from the exhaust emissions which include CO,  $CO_2$ , HC and  $NO_X$ . The exhaust emission contributes to global warming and health problems (Costa *et al.*,2012; Pulkrabek, 2004).

#### 2.3.1 Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Carbon monoxide is a byproduct of incomplete combustion when fuel is burned during the combustion process. The production rate of CO in exhaust emission during combustion is dependent on the air/fuel mixture value. In a rich mixture of air/fuel ratio, the oxygen is insufficient to react with the entire carbon atom and the combustion is not fully oxidize. The increase in CO exhaust emission is also related to a leaky injector, high fuel pressure and improper close loop control in the ECU system (Toyota, 2012; Pulkrabek, 2004).

#### 2.3.2 Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)

Generally, carbon dioxide consists of greenhouse gas (GHG) and the increase in the gas leads to global warming. Hydrocarbon (HC) in fuel content usually reacts with oxygen and produces water vapor (H<sub>2</sub>O) and CO<sub>2</sub> during the combustion process. According to Gumus (2011), the use of fuel in lower carbon content per unit energy gives the potential to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission. The increase of CO<sub>2</sub> gas emission is a critical issue worldwide. This is due to the GHG indicates higher thermal radiation to humans. Thus, introducing alternative fuel with lower carbon content such as LPG can solve this problem.

#### 2.3.3 Hydrocarbon (HC)



Hydrocarbon (HC) emission refers to raw unburned fuel and indicates incomplete combustion in the engine. This problem occurred because of the lower air intake temperature which contributes to the poor mixing of air/fuel during combustion. As a result, partial misfire occurs and the HC emission increases. The other reason is a delay in ignition timing and the air/fuel mixer is under the rich condition. To avoid this problem, the mixture needs to set in the right condition according to the engine load and requirement of fuel. Another method is by using an alternative fuel apart from gasoline (Toyota, 2012).

#### 2.3.4 Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>)

According to Pulkrabek, (2004), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>) is produced at high temperature and pressure during the combustion process. In this process, nitrogen reacts with oxygen to form NO<sub>X</sub> and produces exhaust emissions. Factors that lead to the increment of NO<sub>X</sub> are faulty exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) system operation, incorrect spark or injection timing, and fuel properties. Increasing emission of NO<sub>X</sub> is a hazard to the ecosystem and affecting the ozone. In addition, NO<sub>X</sub> also affects the respiratory system and irritation of eyes, noses and throats (Osman, 2014; Pulkrabek, 2004; Heywood, 1988).

#### 2.4 Influence of alternatives fuel in the engine system

According to Mustaffa, (2019), introduce the alternative fuel in internal combustion engine for both SI and CI engine are allowed several factors of benefit. This factor is:

- i. To follow the stringent exhaust emission regulation and improve the engine output in term of performance through the related with chemical properties of the alternative fuel
- ii. To counterbalance the usage of petroleum base
- iii. To diversify uses of conventional fuel and protect the sustainability
- iv. To guarantee the usage of conventional fuel is enough for the future



Several alternative fuels, such as LPG, ethanol, methanol, natural gas, and biogas, had received a lot of attention due to their promising capability to produce a similar or improved engine performance and exhaust emission. Therefore, the focus of this study was on optimizing the performance, exhaust emission, combustion stability and combustion characteristic with fine-tune the maximum brake torque (MBT). In this research, LPG was selected as an alternative fuel for the SI engine.

#### 2.5 Introduce Liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) as an alternative fuel

LPG is commonly used in the cooking industry because it is a clean fuel. Meanwhile, in the automotive industry, LPG is called "Autogas" which primarily consists of a mixture of propane ( $C_3H_8$ ) and butane ( $C_4H_{10}$ ). At present, the usage of LPG in the internal combustion engine as an alternative fuel is expanding in the world. According to AIP (2017)and Masi (2012), the use of LPG in the internal engine has been used mainly in countries such as Italy, Turkey, Russia, Thailand and Korea due to its abundance and low cost compared to conventional fuel. Besides that, the use of LPG as an alternative fuel is unlimited and is also used in electricity generation.. However, the output in terms of performance and exhaust emission from the engine is still unsatisfactory and a primary concern.

#### 2.5.1 LPG composition

There is no specific standard value for the percentage of mixture composition of propane and butane in LPG. According to Masi, (2012); Campbell *et al.*, (2004) the mixture composition depends on the country's season, refining process, properties of the supply crude oil, and refined product cost. In addition, to ensure proper vaporization process, propane will be added more to the composition of LPG especially during the winter season in a four-season country.

Table 2.2: Comparison of LPG mixture composition by country (Mustaffa, 2019; MSDS, 2015;Gas Malaysia, 2011; Mustafa & Gitano-Briggs, 2009; Saleh, 2008)

Country	Propane (%)	Butane (%)
Malaysia	40	60
Austria	50	TU 501 AM
Australia	AN 70UNK	30
Belgium ANA	50	50
France	35	65
German	90	10
Italy	25	75
United Kingdom	100	0
Netherland	50	50

Generally, about 60% production of LPG is from the processing of conventional natural gas and the rest is from the refining of gasoline (Mustaffa, 2019). Table 2.2 shows the several mixtures of LPG composition in European countries and Malaysia. In Malaysia, the LPG mixture composition is 60% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane of LPG composition is 20% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane of LPG composition is 20% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification in Appendix A) and France has a nearly identical LPG mixture composition is 60% propane and 40% butane (detailed specification (Gas Malaysia, 2011).



different for every country, the engine output is still in the range and comparable with conventional fuel used in SI or CI engine.

#### 2.5.2 LPG properties

LPG, known as a clean gas, is colorless, odorless and used in the cooking industry. The advantage of LPG is that it has no corrosive activity and does not contain aromatic hydrocarbon. However, to ensure the gas is safe to use, the ethyl mercaptan is added to the LPG. This helps to detect leakage through the smell of pungent odor. Since the composition of LPG varies according to country, the summarized properties between LPG and gasoline fuel is presented in Table 2.3.

Based on the chemical properties data for LPG, carbon and hydrogen are lower than gasoline fuel, making LPG capable of reducing the exhaust emission. In terms of the boiling point, LPG will be changed into gaseous form when placed in a room temperature, meanwhile, gasoline fuel will still be in a liquid form. This indicates that the LPG has a lower boiling point than gasoline. For the comparison of density, the LPG has 20% lower density than gasoline at 15°C. According to Boretti & Watson, (2009), the lower density from LPG reduces volumetric efficiency compared to gasoline during the combustion process. However, the density of LPG is sensitive to the change of the surrounding temperature and composition of both gas butane and propane. Figure 2.6 shows the increment of butane composition percentage which is capable of increasing the density.



<b>Fuel Properties</b>	LPG	Gasoline
	Butane C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> and	
Chemical formula	propane C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	$C_8H_{18}$
Boiling point	-44.5*	225
Density at 15 °C (kg/l)	0.57	0.75
Odor additive	Ethyl Mercaptan	Hydrocarbon
Research octane number (RON)	96.5-105	89-90
Motor octane number (MON)	90-97	80-90
Vapor pressure (kPa)	803	700
Lower calorific value (kJ/kg)	45600-46500	42100-44000
Specific gravity at 4-15 °C	0.5647*	0.7034
Flammability limit (in air) (vol%)	2.15-9.6	1.4-7.6
Flash point (°C)	-104*	-40 ANINAM
Autoignition temperature (°C)	405-540	250-290
Flame speed (cm/s)	37-38.2	37.5
Latent heat vaporization (kJ/kg)	14.52	9.94
Carbon, % composition	82	85-88
Hydrogen, % composition	18	12-15
Sulphur (mg/kg)	37.053	5.088
Relative molecular mass (kg/Kmol)	104	114.2
Stoichiometric air-fuel ratio (kg/kg)	15.5-15.8	14.7-14.9

Table 2.3: Comparison of properties between LPG and gasoline (Mustaffa, (2019); Suyabodha,(2017); Nayak *et al.*, (2016); Chitragar.,(2016); Erkuş *et al.*, (2015)

\*Similar with Malaysia LPG (refer to Appendix A and B)

In comparing the research octane number (RON), the LPG produces about 96.5 to 105 and gasoline produces about 89 to 98. This fact indicates that the LPG has a higher RON compared to gasoline. This is why LPG is capable of running on higher compression ratio engines, which would give better thermal efficiency during the

combustion process. In addition, it also prevents the engine from the knocking phenomena (Krishnaiah.,2016).



Figure 2.6: Effects of the percentage of composition on LPG density (Sim et al., 2005)

In terms of evaporation pressure, the LPG produces higher than gasoline which is about 803kPa and the gasoline is about 700kPa. The higher vapor pressure can enhance the air/fuel mixing process and reduce the condition of the local rich mixture region during the combustion process. As a result, the production output of the NO<sub>X</sub> emission from LPG would be reduced compared with gasoline (Kim *et al.*, 2013; Park *et al.*, 2013). According to the study by Sim *et al.*, 2005, the vapor pressure is directly proportional to temperature as shown in Figure 2.7 and the percentage of propane/butane composition also affects the evaporation pressure process at the higher temperature.

LPG is still higher for the calorific value than gasoline. This situation gives the advantage to improve the engine output and extend the engine life cycle. Based on

previous studies by Chitragar *et al.*, (2016); Saraf, (2014); Li *et al.*, (2002), the exhaust gas temperature of LPG is higher compared to gasoline fuel. Meanwhile, for mileage per charge, LPG has recorded a lower reading than gasoline due to its lowe energy density per unit volume. Besides that, gasoline has higher specific gravity than LPG (Boretti & Watson, 2009).



Figure 2.7: Effects of temperature on evaporation pressure of LPG (Sim et al., 2005)

The flammability limit is a percentage of fuel burned in the air. In terms of combustion definition, the mixer capability to propagate the flame in condition too lean or too rich. In this condition, LPG has a wider range of flammability limit than gasoline. The extra safety precautions are necessary to avoid any accident.

In comparing the flashpoint, the LPG has a lower flashpoint compared to gasoline. This means that the LPG is easier to ignite and reduce the delay during the flame development process. For the autoignition temperature, LPG has higher

autoignition than gasoline, ranging from 405 °C to 540 °C. This shows the LPG in the combustion chamber is difficult to combust and avoid the knocking process. The flame speed is related to the percentage of composition of LPG. In this case, the flame speed ranges from 37 to 38.cm/s for LPG, while gasoline is 37cm/s. The flame speed is essential for the burning rate and the total combustion duration as it occurred at the correct location. If the flame speed is lower, the ignition timing needs to be advanced to produce complete combustion which has similar or better engine output than gasoline. As a result, the engine produces a higher output and reduces the emission (Krishnaiah *et al.*, 2016; Homdoung *et al.*, 2014).

All the properties are essential to determine the suitability of the engine characteristics for the LPG retrofitting process in the SI engine. These properties will give effects that would either improve the engine output or otherwise. However, it also depends on the engine setting and its characteristics as there are many significant parameter effects during the combustion process.



# 2.5.3 Advantages and disadvantages of LPG as an alternative fuel

The use of LPG as an alternative fuel in the internal combustion engine has been documented and recommended by many researchers because it is comparable with conventional fuel (gasoline and diesel). Previous studies summarized several advantages and disadvantages of using LPG (Mustaffa, 2019; Çinar *et al.*, 2016; Flekiewicz & Kubica, 2016; Erkuş *et al.*, 2015; Ambaliya, 2014; Homdoung *et al.*, 2014).

- i. Utilizing the LPG on the engine enables running on the higher compression ratio condition and produces higher thermal efficiency. Besides that, the engine has smoother combustion due to the lower engine stability effect condition.
- ii. The fuel consumption for LPG improved compared to conventional fuel.
- LPG increases about 50% engine life cycles due to the low amount of sulphide in the fuel. Thus, it is capable of extending the life span of the cylinder bore, combustion chamber and spark plug.

#### REFERENCES

- Autogas Incentive Policies. (2017). A Country-by-Country Analysis of Why and How Governments Encourage Autogas and What Works. *World LP Gas Association*.
- Alasfour, F. N. (1998).NO<sub>X</sub> Emission from a Spark Ignition Engine using 30%ISOButanol-Gasoline Blend: PART 2 Ignition Timing. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 18(8), 609–618.
- Alvarez, C. E. C., Couto, G. E., Roso, V. R., Thiriet, A. B., & Valle, R. M. (2018). A Review of Prechamber Ignition Systems as Lean Combustion Technology for SI Engines. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 128, 107–120.
- Ambaliya, A. M. (2014). Effect of Compression Ratio and Spark Timing onPerformance and Emission of Dedicated 4-Stroke S.I Engine Fuelled With LPG : A Technical Review. 3(1), 1052–1058.

Arnold. (1999). Design of Gas- Handling Systems and Facilitie. Santiago: SantiagoPacheco of Paragon Engineering Services, Inc.pp 467-492;1999.

- Autogas Incentive Policies (2020). A Country-By-Country Analysis of Why and How Governments Encourage Autogas and What Works. *World LP Gas Association*.
- Boretti, A., & Watson, H. C. (2009). Development of a Direct Injection High Efficiency Liquid Phase LPG Spark Ignition Engine. *SAE Technical Paper*. 2009-01-1881.
- Campbell, M., Wyszyński, Ł. P., & Stone, R. (2004). Combustion of LPG in a Spark-Ignition Engine. *SAE Technical Paper*. 2004-01-0974.
- Ceviz, M. Akif, Çavuşoğlu, B., Kaya, F., & Öner, I. V. (2011). Determination of Cycle Number for Real In-Cylinder Pressure Cycle Analysis in Internal Combustion Engines. *Energy*, 36(5), 2465–2472.
- Ceviz, Mehmet Akif, Kaleli, A., & Güner, E. (2015). Controlling LPG Temperature for SI Engine Applications. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 82, 298–305.



- Chitragar, P. R., Shivaprasad, K. V., Nayak, V., Bedar, P., & Kumar, G. N. (2016). An Experimental Study on Combustion and Emission Analysis of Four Cylinder 4-Stroke Gasoline Engine Using Pure Hydrogen and LPG at Idle Condition. *Energy Procedia*, , 525–534.
- Çinar, C., Şahin, F., Can, O., & Uyumaz, A. (2016). A comparison of Performance and Exhaust Emissions with Different Valve Lift Profiles Between Gasoline and LPG Fuels in a SI Engine. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 107, 1261–1268.
- Costa, M., Sorge, U., & Allocca, L. (2012). Increasing Energy Efficiency of a Gasoline Direct Injection Engine Through Optimal Synchronization of Single or Double Injection Strategies. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 60, 77–86.
- Djouadi, A., & Bentahar, F. (2016). Combustion Study of a Spark-Ignition Engine from Pressure Cycles. *Energy*, 101, 211–217.
- Eriksson, L. (1999). Spark Advance Modeling and Control. In PhD Thesis. Link"oping University, SE–581 83 Link"oping, Sweden;1999
- Erkuş, B., Karamangil, M. I., & Sürmen, A. (2015). Enhancing the Heavy Load Performance of a Gasoline Engine Converted for LPG use by Modifying the Ignition Timings. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 85, 188–194.
- Erkus, B., Surmen, A., & Karamangil, M. I. (2012). The Effect of Ignition Timing on Performance of LPG Injected SI Engine. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part A: Energy Science and Research 2012 Volume (issues)* 28(2): 889-896
- Farrugia, M., Briffa, A., & Farrugia, M. (2014). Liquid State LPG Conversion of an Older Vehicle. SAE Technical Paper No. 2014-01-2613.
- Flekiewicz, M., & Kubica, G. (2016). The Use of Gaseous Fuels Mixtures for SI Engines Propulsion. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 148(1).
- Gas Malaysia. (2011). Chemical Safety Data Sheet, Liquefied Petroleum Gas.
- GlobalPetrolPrice.com. (2021). *Global Fuel Price in World*. Retrieved from https://www.globalpetrolprices.com/gasoline/diesel/LPG\_prices/June 2021
- Gumus, M. (2011). Effects of volumetric efficiency on the performance and emissions characteristics of a dual fueled (gasoline and LPG) spark ignition engine. *Fuel*



Processing Technology, 92(10), 1862–1867.

- Hamada, K. I., Rahman, M. M., Abdullah, M. A., Bakar, R. A., & A. Aziz, A. R. (2013). Effect of Mixture Strength and Injection Timing on Combustion Characteristics of a Direct Injection Hydrogen-Fueled Engine. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38(9), 3793–3801.
- Heywood, J. B. (1988). *Internal Combustion Engine Fundementals*. McGrawHill series in mechanical engineering (1st Edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Heywood, J. B. (2018). *Internal Combustion Engine Fundementals*. McGrawHill series in mechanical engineering (2nd Edition). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Homdoung, N., Tippayawong, N., & Dussadee, N. (2014). Effect of Ignition Timing Advance on Performance of a Small Producer Gas Engine. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 9(13), 2341–2348.
- Kakaee, A. H., Shojaeefard, M. H., & Zareei, J. (2011). Sensitivity and Effect of Ignition Timing on the Performance of a Spark Ignition Engine: An Experimental and Modeling Study. *Journal of Combustion*, 2011.
- Kang, K., Lee, D., Oh, S., & Kim, C. (2001). Performance of an Liquid Phase LPG Injection Engine for Heavy Duty Vehicles. SAE Technical Paper No. 2001-01-1958.
- Kim, K., Kim, J. J., Oh, S., Kim, C., Lee, Y., Choi, K., Park, S. (2013). Experimental Evaluation of Engine Control Strategy on the Time Resolved THC and Nano-Particle Emission Characteristics of Liquid Phase LPG Direct Injection (LPG-DI) Engine during the Cold Start. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 106, 166–173.
- Kim, K., Kim, J., Oh, S., Kim, C., & Lee, Y. (2017). Evaluation of Injection and Ignition Schemes for the Ultra-Lean Combustion Direct-Injection LPG Engine to Control Particulate Emissions. *Applied Energy*, 194, 123–135.
- Krishnaiah, R., Ekambaram, P., & Jayapaul, P. B. (2016). Investigations on the Effect of Piston Squish Area on Performance and Emission Characteristics of LPG Fuelled Lean Burn SI Engine. SAE Technical Papers, 2016-1051–1060.
- Lawankar, S M, Dhamande, L. P. (2012). Comparative Study of Performance of LPG Fuelled SI Engine at Different Compression Ratio and Ignition Timing. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology(IJMET), 3(3),



337–343.

- Lawankar, S. M., Dhamande, L. P., & Khandare, S. S. (2012). Experimental Study of Effect of Ignition Timing and Compression Ratio on NOx Emission of LPG Fuelled Engine. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 3(10), 10–13.
- Li, L., Wang, Z., Deng, B., Han, Y., & Wang, H. (2002). Combustion and Emissions Characteristics of a Small Spark-Ignited LPG Engine. SAE Technical Papers, (724).
- Li, L., Wang, Z., Wang, H., Deng, B., & Xiao, Z. (2002). A study of LPG Lean Burn for a Small SI Engine. *SAE Technical Papers*, (724).
- Li, X., Song, D., Liu, B., & Li, Q. (2011). Effect of Ignition Advance Angle on Liquid Phase LPG Injection Engine Performance. *Advanced Materials Research*, 156–157, 473–476.
- Li, X., Yang, L., Pang, M., & Liang, X. (2010). Effect of LPG Injection Methods on Engine Performance. Advanced Materials Research, 97–101(May), 2279–2282.
- Magnusson, J. (2007). An Investigation of Maximum Brake Torque Timing based on Ionization Current Feedback. Master's Thesis. Linköping University:2007
  Malaysia Automotive Roadmap. (2014). Malaysia Automotive Roadmap 2013-2025. Malaysia Automotive Institute.
  - Malaysia Energy Information Hub. (2020). *Final Consumption of Petroleum Products*. Retrieved from https://meih.st.gov.my/statistics
  - MARii. (2020). Update on Malaysia Automotive Background of NAP 2020. Malaysia Automotive Robotic and IoT Institute
  - Masi, M. (2012). Experimental Analysis on a Spark Ignition Petrol Engine Fuelled with LPG (Liquefied Petroleum Gas ). *Energy*, 41, 252–260.
  - Masi, M., & Gobbato, P. (2011). Measure of the Volumetric Efficiency and Evaporator Device Performance for a LPG SI Engine. Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Efficiency, Cost, Optimization, Simulation and Environmental Impact of Energy Systems, ECOS 2011, 60, 392–409.
  - Mizushima, N., Sato, S., Ogawa, Y., Yamamoto, T., Sawut, U., Takigawa, B., Konagai, G. (2009a). Combustion Characteristics and Performance Increase of an LPG-SI



Engine with Liquid Fuel Injection System. SAE Technical Papers, 4970.

- Mizushima, N., Sato, S., Ogawa, Y., Yamamoto, T., Sawut, U., Takigawa, B., Konagai, G. (2009b). Combustion Characteristics and Performance Increase of an LPG-SI Engine with Liquid Fuel Injection System. SAE Technical Paper No. 2009-01-2785.
- MSDS. (2015). Materials Safety Data Sheet Lpg in Malaysia (1st Edition). Malaysia.
- Mustafa, K. F., & Gitano-Briggs, H. (2009). Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) as an Alternative Fuel in Spark Ignition Engine: Performance and Emission Characteristics. *Proceedings of ICEE 2009 3rd International Conference on Energy and Environment*, (December), 7–8.
- Mustaffa, N. (2019). Combustion Characteristics of a Spark Ignition Engine Operating with Liquid Lpg Injection. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia:2019
- Mustaffa, N., Fawzi, M., Osman, S. A., & Tukiman, M. M. (2019). Experimental Analysis of Liquid LPG Injection on the Combustion, Performance and Emissions in a Spark Ignition Engine. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 469(1).

- Natural Resources and Enviroment. (2021). *Malaysia: CO<sub>2</sub> Country Profile*. Retrieved from Our World in Data website: https://ourworldindata.org/co2/country/malaysia/June 2021
- Nayak, V., Rashmi, G. S., Chitragar, P., & Mohanan, P. (2016a). Combustion Cyclic Variation of a LPG Fuelled MPFI Four Cylinder Gasoline Engine. *Energy Procedia*, 90(December 2015), 470–480.
- Osman, S. A. (2014). Characterization of a C.I Engine Operated Using Retrofit Fuelling Concept. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia;2014
- Park, C., Park, Y., Oh, S., Lee, Y., Kim, T. Y., Kim, H., ... Kang, K. Y. (2013). Emission Characteristics of Gasoline and Lpg in a Spray-Guided-Type Direct Injection Engine. SAE Technical Papers, 2.
- Pecqueur, M., Ceustermans, K., Huyskens, P., & Savvidis, D. (2008). Emissions Generated from a Suzuki Liane Running on Unleaded Gasoline and LPG Under the Same Load Conditions. SAE Technical Papers, (724).

Pham, P. X., Vo, D. Q., & Jazar, R. N. (2017). Development of Fuel Metering

Techniques for Spark Ignition Engines. Fuel, 206, 701–715.

- Phuong, P. X. (2006). Comparison of Liquid and Gaseous Liquefied Petroleum Gas Injection in a Spark Ignition Engine. University of Sydney:2006
- Pilusa, T. J., Mollagee, M. M., & Muzenda, E. (2012). Reduction of Vehicle Exhaust Emissions from Diesel Engines Using the Whale Concept Filter. Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 12(5), 994–1006.
- Pulkrabek. (2004). Engineering Fundamental of the Internal Combustion Engine (2nd edition). Pearson Prentice-Hall.
- Raslavičius, L., Keršys, A., Mockus, S., Keršiene, N., & Starevičius, M. (2014). Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) as a Medium-Term Option in The Transition to Sustainable Fuels and Transport. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 32, 513-525.
- Road Trasport Department of Malaysia. (2021). Bilangan Terkumpul Kenderaan Bermotor di Malaysia. Retrieved from https://www.data.gov.my/p/mengenai-datagov-my/June 2021
- SAE J1349 Standard. (2004). Surface Vehicle Standard J1349. 4970, 1-9.
- INA Saleh, H. E. (2008). Effect of Variation in LPG Composition on Emissions and Performance in a Dual Fuel Diesel Engine. Fuel, 87(1), 3031–3039.
- Saraf, R. R. (2014). Comparative Assessment on Performance and Emissions of LPG / Gasoline Bi-fuel Passenger Car PFI Engines. SAE International, 4970.
- Saraf, R. R., Thipse, S. S., & Saxena, P. K. (2009). Comparative Assessment on Performance and Emissions of Lpg/Gasoline Bi-fuel Passenger Car PFI Engines. SAE Technical Papers, 4970.
- Sendyka & Noga. (2013). Combustion Process in the Spark-Ignition Engine with Dual Injection System. Advance in Internal Combustion Engines and Fuel Technology.
- Shehata, M. S. (2010). Cylinder Pressure, Performance Parameters, Heat Release, Specific Heats Ratio and Duration of Combustion for Spark Ignition Engine. Energy, 35(12), 4710-4725.
- Sim, H., Lee, K., Chung, N., & Sunwoo, M. (2005). A Study on the Injection Characteristics of a Liquid-Phase Liquefied Petroleum Gas Injector for Air-Fuel Ratio Control. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D:



- Simsek, S., & Uslu, S. (2020). Investigation of the Impacts of Gasoline, Biogas and LPG Fuels on Engine Performance and Exhaust Emissions in Different Throttle Positions on SI Engine. *Fuel*, 279(June), 118528
- Siriwardena, S., Hunt, G., Teisl, M. F., & Noblet, C. L. (2012). Effective Environmental Marketing of Green Cars: A Nested-Logit Approach. *Transportation Research Part* D: Transport and Environment, 17(3), 237–242.
- Suyabodha, A. (2017). Comparison the Rate of Energy Consumption Between Gasoline95 and LPG in Spark Ignition Engine under Real Driving Conditions. *Energy Procedia*, 118, 164–171.
- Synák, F., Čulík, K., Rievaj, V., & Gaňa, J. (2019). Liquefied Petroleum Gas as an Alternative Fuel. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 40, 527–534.

Toyota. (2012). Combustion Chemistry. Emissions.

- Türköz, N., Erkuş, B., Karamangil, M. I., Sürmen, A., & Arslanoğlu, N. (2014).
  Experimental investigation of the effect of E85 on engine performance and emissions under various ignition timings. *Fuel*, 115, 826–832.
- Vipavanich, C., Chuepeng, S., & Skullong, S. (2018). Heat Release Analysis and Thermal Efficiency of a Single Cylinder Diesel Dual Fuel Engine with Gasoline Port Injection. *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 12, 143–148.
- Viswanatha, H. C., Shanmugam, R. M., Srinivasan, L., & Kankariya, N. M. (2011). Experimental studies on the Effect of Vaporizer Heating and Transition Temperature in a Bi-Fuel LPG Vehicle. SAE Technical Papers.
- Watson, H. C., & Phuong, P. X. (2007). Why Liquid Phase LPG Port Injection has Superior Power and Efficiency to Gas Phase Port Injection. SAE Technical Paper No. 2007-01-3552.
- Yamin, J. A. A., Gupta, H. N., & Bansal, B. B. (2003). The Effect of Combustion Duration On The Performance and Emission Characteristics of Propane-Fueled 4-Stroke S. I. Engines. *Emirates Journal for Engineering Research*, 8(1), 1–14.
- Yeom, K., Jang, J., & Bae, C. (2007). Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition of LPG and Gasoline using Variable Valve Timing in an Engine. *Fuel*, 86(4), 494– 503.



Zareei, J., & Kakaee, A. H. (2013). Study and The Effects of Ignition Timing on Gasoline Engine Performance and Emissions. *European Transport Research Review*, 5(2),

