DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF SANDWICH HYBRID PHOTOVOLTAIC-THERMOELECTRIC GENERATOR USING SHINGLE AS A THERMAL BUFFER FOR EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT

UMAR ABUBAKAR SALEH



Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2022

DEDICATION

I dedicated this work to the family of Alhaji Abubakar Baban Dhul, and my mother Hajiya Maryam Adamu.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises are due to the Almighty Allah for giving me the wisdom, strength and health to carry out this PhD research. My profound appreciation and gratitude go to my able supervisors, Ts. Dr Siti Amely Binti Jumaat and my co-supervisor, Dr Muhammad Akmal Johar, for their support, motivation, and encouragement for the success of this work. I also thank Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) for offering me a place to undergo this study and FRGS grant Vot No. K108. I equally acknowledge my examiners,

My special gratitude goes to my wife Hajiya Gafura Abdulrahman Saleh, My children, Abdallah, Abdulrahman and Ahmad, for their love, patience, sacrifices and understanding throughout my studies. I ask Allah in his infinite mercy to reward them abundantly. I also want to appreciate my guidance, Hon. Justice Adamu Kanam and his family for all the encouragement and support. I also acknowledge the support given to me by the Deputy speaker Nigerian Federal House of Representative Hon. Ahmad Idris Wase. I ask Allah in his infinite mercy to bless him abundantly.



I also appreciate the support and encouragement given to me by my Director Prof. Babatunde Rabiu Centre for Atmospheric Research (CAR), National Space Research and Development Agency (NASRDA) and all the staff of (CAR).

PET appreciate the support of my colleagues and friends both in Nigeria and Malaysia, who are too numerous to mention. I also appreciate the encouragement of my brothers and sisters. I am grateful to all of them. Finally, I thank the management of the Centre for Atmospheric Research (CAR), Anyigba, for granting me the study leave to undertake this PhD research.

ABSTRACT

Photovoltaic (PV) systems suffer from significant thermal energy loss, inextricably linked to the photo-electric conversion process due to the high PV operating temperature above the 25 °C standard test conditions (STC). The direct contact of TEG with PV in the PV-TEG hybrid system also increases the temperature, this always decreases about 30 % in the system output power and about 0.1-0.5% efficiency drop for every 1 °C rise above STC. A Hybrid Photovoltaic-Thermoelectric Generators (PV-TEG) are system that can generate both electricity and heat. The TEG in the hybrid system provides a solution to significant temperature increases by cooling the PV cells and therefore increasing electrical power output. An integrated hybrid system where the PV modules are placed on the top of a shingle while the TEGs were attached to the attic side of the shingle for improved performance is proposed and investigated in this study. The objectives of the study are therefore to simulate the system using ANSYS and MATLAB Software, develop a hybrid PV-TEG system called sandwich shingle configuration for a housing roof experimentally so as to analyse the effect of the operating temperature, output voltage, and output current on TEG alone and PV alone on the system performance and to investigate the hybrid PV-TEG system power and efficiency using a single layer positioned between the PV and TEG through real-time experiment. The system consists of two-unit 100W PV panels in series, 192 TEGs (40 mm x 40 mm) placed in both series and parallel to extract excess heat-accumulating on the PV cells and 96 heatsinks at the TEG cold junction. The results indicate that the hybrid system can perform better than the PV stand-alone system in sunny, rainy, and cloudy weather conditions with an average maximum power of 185 W, 173 W, and 67.3 W. The system also achieved efficiencies of 23.72 %, 22.66 % and 21.78 %, respectively. In the field of PV surface absorptivity and photon management of hybrid PV-TEG, more research is recommended using heat pipes, nanofluid and incorporated technology to develop the TEG directly onto the backside of the PV for efficiency improvement. In conclusion, the hybrid PV-TEG system using a shingle was developed for enhanced electrical energy generation and is beneficial to both scientific and rural communities as the quest for clean and sustainable energy increased.



ABSTRAK

Sistem fotovoltaik (FV) mengalami kehilangan tenaga haba yang ketara, berkait rapat dengan proses penukaran foto-elektrik disebabkan oleh suhu operasi PV yang tinggi melebihi syarat ujian standard (STC) 25 °C. Sentuhan langsung TEG dengan PV dalam sistem hibrid PV-TEG juga meningkatkan suhu, ini sentiasa mengurangkan kira-kira 30 % dalam kuasa keluaran sistem dan kira-kira 0.1-0.5% penurunan kecekapan untuk setiap kenaikan 1 oC melebihi STC. Penjana Fotovoltaik-Termoelektrik Hibrid (PV-TEG) ialah sistem yang boleh menjana kedua-dua elektrik dan haba. TEG dalam sistem hibrid menyediakan penyelesaian kepada peningkatan suhu yang ketara dengan menyejukkan sel PV dan oleh itu meningkatkan output kuasa elektrik. Sistem hibrid bersepadu di mana modul PV diletakkan di bahagian atas sirap manakala TEG dipasang di bahagian loteng sirap untuk prestasi yang lebih baik dicadangkan dan disiasat dalam kajian ini.Oleh itu, objektif kajian adalah untuk mensimulasikan sistem menggunakan Perisian ANSYS dan MATLAB, membangunkan sistem PV-TEG hibrid yang dipanggil konfigurasi sirap sandwic untuk bumbung perumahan secara eksperimen untuk menganalisis kesan suhu operasi, voltan keluaran dan arus keluaran. pada TEG sahaja dan PV sahaja pada prestasi sistem dan untuk menyiasat kuasa dan kecekapan sistem PV-TEG hibrid menggunakan satu lapisan yang diletakkan di antara PV dan TEG melalui percubaan masa nyata. Sistem ini terdiri daripada dua unit panel PV 100W secara bersiri, 192 TEG (40 mm x 40 mm) diletakkan dalam kedua-dua siri dan selari untuk mengekstrak lebihan terkumpul haba pada sel PV dan 96 unit haba di persimpangan sejuk TEG. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa sistem hibrid boleh berprestasi lebih baik daripada sistem bersendirian PV dalam keadaan cuaca cerah, hujan dan mendung dengan purata kuasa maksimum 185 W, 173 W, dan 67.3 W. Sistem ini juga mencapai kecekapan 23.72 %, 22.66 % dan 21.78 %, masing-masing. Dalam bidang penyerapan permukaan PV dan pengurusan foton PV-TEG hibrid, lebih banyak penyelidikan disyorkan menggunakan paip haba, cecair nano dan teknologi yang digabungkan untuk membangunkan TEG terus ke bahagian belakang PV untuk peningkatan kecekapan. Kesimpulannya, sistem PV-TEG hibrid menggunakan sirap telah dibangunkan untuk penjanaan tenaga elektrik yang dipertingkatkan dan bermanfaat kepada masyarakat saintifik dan luar bandar kerana usaha untuk tenaga bersih dan mampan meningkat.



CONTENTS

		TITL	E	i	
		DECI	LARATION	iii	
		DEDI	CATION	iv	
		ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	v	
		ABST	TRACT	vi	
		ABST	TRAK	vii	
		CON	TENTS	vii	
		LIST	OF TABLES	xii	
		LIST	OF FIGURES	xii	NAH
8.1		LIST	OF SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATIONS	A xvii	
		LIST	OF APPENDICES	xviii	
	CHAPTER 1	INTI	RODUCTION	1	
	PERI	1.1	Study Background	1	
		1.2	Problem Statement	2	
		1.3	Aim and Objectives of the Study	3	
		1.4	Scope of the Project	4	
		1.5	Outline of the Thesis	5	
	CHAPTER 2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	6	
		2.1	Introduction	6	
		2.2	Contributions of Renewable Energy in 2021	6	
		2.3	Solar Energy	9	
		2.4	Solar Thermal	11	
		2.5	Photovoltaic System	12	
			2.5.1 Photovoltaic cell	13	
			2.5.2 Solar photovoltaic panel	18	

		2.6	Thermoelectric Generator	19
			2.6.1 Thermoelectric materials	21
			2.6.2 Modelling of thermoelectric materials and cooler	22
			2.6.3 Application of thermoelectric generator and cooler	23
			2.6.4 Thermoelectric generator optimization	25
		2.7	Hybrid System Integration Approach	27
			2.7.1 Direct coupling approach	32
			2.7.2 Spectrum splitting approach	40
		2.8 (PV-T	Classification of Photovoltaic-Thermoelectric Generatoe EG) System Research	45
			2.8.1 Experimental of PV-TEG	45
			2.8.2 Computational of PV-TEG	46
		2.9	Summary	53
	CHAPTER 3	MET	HODOLOGY	56
		3.1	Introduction	56
	DT	3.2	Research Design	56
			3.2.1 Simulation of TEG Using Analysis of System (ANSYS) Software	58 MINAH
×1			3.2.2 Design of TEG Set-Up Using SolidWorks 3D	61
		OT	Software for Stress, Displacement and Strain Test	
	PFRPL	151	3.2.3 Modelling and Simulation Using MATLAB	64
			3.2.4 Experimental Investigation	66
			3.2.3.1 ExprimentalInvestigation of TEG	72
			3.2.3.2 Experimental Investigation of PV	74
			3.2.3.3 Exprimental Investigation of Hybrid	75
			PV-TEG System	
		3.3	Summary	80
	CHAPTER 4	RESU	ULTS AND DISCUSIONS	81
		4.1	Introduction	81
		4.2	Results of TEG and hybrid PV-TEG system simulation	81
			using ANSYS, SolidWorks and MATLAB Software	
			ANSYS Software	0.0
			4.2.1 Thermoelectric Generator (TEG) Performance	82
			Using ANSYS Simulation	

ix

			4.2.1.1 One unit of TEG temperature variation	83
			4.2.1.2 One unit of TEG generated voltage	85
			4.2.1.3 One unit of TEG output power	86
		4.2.2	Resitive load condition	86
		4.2.3	Stress, Displacement and Strain Test of TEG Using SolidWorks Software	88
		4.2.4	Results of Hybrid PV-TEG System Simulation using MATLAB Software	89
			4.2.4.1 Temperature performance	89
			4.2.4.2 Voltage output of TEG	90
			4.2.4.3 Current output of TEG	91
			4.2.4.4 Power output of TEG	92
	4.3	Result PV-TI	s of the Improved TEG alone, PV alone and EG systems based on the developed hybrid PV-TEC	93 3
		Syster	n	
	PTT	4.3.1	TEG Performance under real outdoor conditions	94
			4.3.1.1 Temperature performance	94
			4.3.1.2 Voltage Output	97
			4.3.1.3 Current output of the TEG	99
			4.3.1.4 Power output	99
	TILLET	4.3.2	Photovoltaic System Performance	101
	PERPUS		4.3.2.1 Effect of solar irradiance on the	101
			photovoltaic panel performance	
			4.3.2.2 Effect of ambient and solar irradiance on photovoltaic	102
			4.3.2.3 Photovoltaic Voltage	104
			4.3.2.4 Photovoltaic Current	105
			4.3.3.5 Photovoltaic output power	105
		4.3.3	Hybrid PV-TEG System Performance	107
			4.3.3.1 Solar irradiance	107
			4.3.3.2 Temperature profile	108
	4.4		4.3.3.3 Output voltage	116
			4.3.3.4 Output Power	119
		Result	s of hybrid PV-TEG system power, efficiency	122
		and re	commendations	

х

		4.4.1	Efficiency with Difference Weather Conditions	126	
	4.5	Summa	ry	131	
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION				
	5.1	Introdu	ction	134	
	5.2	Conclus	sion	134	
	5.3	Recom	mendation	136	
	REFE	RENCE	CS	138	
	APPE	NDICE	S	156	



LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Summary of the direct coupling method of the hybrid PV-TEG system	39
2.2	Summary of the spectrum splitting coupling method of the hybrid	44
	PV-TEG system	
2.3	Summary of the hybrid PV-TEG Computational study types and our	51
	proposed sandwitch shingle configuration	
3.1	Material composition of the metal shingle used	68
3.2	TEG specification for the experiment	77
3.3	PV specification for the experiement	77
4.1	TEG Hourly Average Experimental Data	82
4.2	TEG Voltage Output	87
4.3	TEG Power Output	88
4.4	Stress displacement and the stran test of the SolidWorks analysis	89INAH
4.5	Average power and efficiency produced from the simulation	92
4.6	Average weather condition for December 2020	102
4.7	Average weather conditions for the experiment	108
4.8	Average power and efficiency for March 2021	123
4.9	Average power and efficiency for April 2021	124
4.10	Average power and efficiency for May 2021	124
4.11	Average power and efficiency for June 2021	125
4.12	Summary of the system output power and efficiency produced	131



LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	The contribution of renewable energy sources in 2021	7
2.2	World energy consumption by source upto 2040	7
2.3	Energy-related CO ₂ emission by fuel types, 1990-2040	9
2.4	Solar energy conversion sysstem	11
2.5	Solar Thermal conversion system	11
2.6	Stand-alone photovoltaic system components	13
2.7	Photovoltaic cell (a) p-n junction (b) Simplified equaivalent circuit	14
2.8	Effect of cell temperature on efficiency, open-circuit voltage and short	17
	circuit current of a monocrystalline silicon cell	
2.9	Temperature influence on the I-V characteristics of a photovoltaic cell	17
2.10	Schematic of a thermoelectric (a) Generator (b) Cooler	20
2.11	Schematic diagram of the hybrid PV-TEG system	28
2.12	Equivalent circuit of a PV cell	29 JAH
2.13	Equivalent circuit of a TEG	30
2.14	Schematic of direct coupling PV-TEG integration	33
2.15	PV-TE-MCHP schematic diagram	33
2.16	Hybrid PV-TEG system (a) Generated power for four-figure of merit Z	36
	values and (b) Total energy for ten days in August for Malaga, Spain	
2.17	Schematic of spectrum splitting PV-TEG integration	41
2.18	Map of Literature Reviews	55
3.1	Research Process	58
3.2	TEG 3D Model	59
3.3	Finite element Model of the TEG	60
3.4	Boundary Conditions, (a) The Shingle Temperature, (b) Cold and	61
	(c) Hot side Temperature, (d) Low voltage	
3.5	The hybrid PV-TEG system showing the stress test	62
3.6	The hybrid PV-TEG system showing the displacement test	63
3.7	The hybrid PV-TEG system showing the strain test	64
3.8	Flowchart of the Computer simulation Process	66
3.9	Zinc shingle	68



3.10	Process flow of the DAQ	70					
3.11	LabVIEW programme	71					
3.12	System experimental flowcharts of the experimental set-up using an	72					
	Independent TEG subsystems						
3.13	TEG experimental setup	73					
3.14	Thermoelectric Generator Module	74					
3.15	100 W monocrystalline Solar panel	74					
3.16	System experimental flowcharts of the experimental set-up using an	75					
	Independent PV subsystems						
3.17	System experimental flowcharts of the experimental set-up using hybrid	76					
	PV-TEG system						
3.18	The TEG array configuration (a) series (b) parallel	78					
3.19	Complete hybrid PV-TEG system	79					
4.1	The temperature profile of the designed TEG	84					
4.2	TEG Temperature difference (Δ T)	84					
4.3	Variation of the TEG Simulated Output Voltage	85					
4.4	Variation of the ANSYS TEG Simulated Output Power	86					
4.5	Variation of the TEG Simulated Output Power and the Load 87						
	Resistance RL						
4.6	TEG Simulated Output Power Variation	90					
PE	TEG experiment						
4.7	The average simulated power generated by the PV alone system	90					
	TEG experiment						
4.8	The average simulated power generated by the PV alone and	91					
	the hybrid PV-TEG system						
4.9	Average temperature during the sunny days in November 2020 for	94					
	the TEG experiment						
4.10	Average temperature during the rainy days in November 2020 for	95					
	the TEG						
4.11	Average temperature during the cloudy days in November 2020 for	96					
	the TEG experiment						
4.12	Average temperature difference during the experiment for the	97					
	sunny, rainy and cloudy days in November 2020 for the TEG						
4.13	Average voltage generated during the experiment for the	98					

xiv

		sunny, rainy and cloudy days in November 2020 for the TEG	
	4.14	Average current generated during the experiment for the	99
		sunny, rainy and cloudy days in November 2020 for the TEG	
	4.15	Average power generated during the experiment for the	100
		sunny, rainy and cloudy days in November 2020 for the TEG	
	4.16	The solar irradiance variation in December	101
	4.17	Variation of the ambient temperature in December	103
	4.18	Variation of the PV panel temperature	104
	4.19	Photovoltaic output voltage	104
	4.20	Photovoltaic output current	105
	4.21	PV output Power variation	106
	4.22	Temperature profile of the hybrid system shingle, TEG hot and	109
		cold side and ambient in March, 2021	
	4.23	Temperature profile of the hybrid system shingle, TEG hot and	110
		cold side and ambient in April, 2021	
	4.24	Temperature profile of the hybrid system shingle, TEG hot and	111
1		cold side and ambient in May, 2021	UNIAH
	4.25	Temperature profile of the hybrid system shingle, TEG hot and	MINAI
		cold side and ambient in June, 2021	
	4.26	The average voltage generated across the TEG with the corresponding	113
	PEF	delta T by the hybrid system in March, 2021	
	4.27	The average voltage generated across the TEG with the corresponding	114
		delta T by the hybrid system in April, 2021	
	4.28	The average voltage generated across the TEG with the corresponding	114
		delta T by the hybrid system in May, June 2021	
	4.29	The average voltage generated across the TEG with the corresponding	115
		delta T by the hybrid system in June, 2021	
	4.30	The average voltage generated by the PV alone and the	116
		hybrid PV-TEG system in March, 2021	
	4.31	The average voltage generated by the PV alone and the	117
		hybrid PV-TEG system in April, 2021	
	4.32	The average voltage generated by the PV alone and the	118
		hybrid PV-TEG system in May, 2021	
	4.33	The average voltage generated by the PV alone and the	118



		hybrid PV-TEG system in June, 2021	
	4.34	The average power generated by the PV alone and the	120
		hybrid PV-TEG system in March 2021	
	4.35	The average power generated by the PV alone and the	120
		hybrid PV-TEG system in April 2021	
	4.36	The average power generated by the PV alone and the	121
		hybrid PV-TEG system in May 2021	
	4.37	The average power generated by the PV alone and the	122
		hybrid PV-TEG system in June 2021	
	4.38	The efficiency of the PV, TEG and hybrid PV-TEG system	127
		for March 2021 in the rainy, sunny and cloudy days	
	4.39	The efficiency of the PV, TEG and hybrid PV-TEG system	128
		for April 2021 in the rainy, sunny and cloudy days	
	4.40	The efficiency of the PV, TEG and hybrid PV-TEG system	129
		for May 2021 in the rainy, sunny and cloudy days	
	4.41	The efficiency of the PV, TEG and hybrid PV-TEG system	130
		for June 2021 in the rainy, sunny and cloudy days	MINIAH
8		TUNKU TUN	AWINA
		TAKAAN TUTT	
	DF	RPUSIAN	

xvi



LIST OF SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATIONS

		AM	-	Air Mass
		Bi2Te3	-	Bismuth telluride
		CdTE	-	Cadmium telluride
		CIGS	-	Copper indium gallium selenide
		CoSb3	-	Copper antimony
		CPV-TEG -		Concentrated Photovoltaic-thermoelectric
		DAQ	-	Data Acquisition System
		DSSC	-	Dye-sensitized solar cell
		GaAs	-	Gallium arsenide
		GaSb	-	Gallium antimonite
		GeTe	-	Germanium telluride
		I-V	-	Current-Voltage
8		MCHP	-	Microchannel heat pipe
		NI	_	National Instrument
	DE	OECD	51	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
	P L	РСМ	-	Phase Change Material
		PV	-	Photovoltaic
		PV-TEG	-	Photovoltaic/thermoelectric generator
		TEG	-	Thermoelectric generator
		RTC	-	Real-Time Clock
		SSA	-	Solar selective absorber
		Greek sy	mbo	ls
		n -		Efficiency %
		יו -		Electrical conductivity S/m
		а - С		Seebeck coefficient V/K
		u -		

- κ Thermal conductivity, W/m/K
- Ω Ohms

Subscripts

h	-	Hot side
c	-	Cold side
sh	-	Shingle

Nomenclature

ı, m

- С Concentration ratio _
- FF Fill factor _
- G Solar irradiance, W/m2 _
- Short circuit current, A Isc _
- Pin Input power, W _
- Т Temperature, K _
- TEG hot side Temperature, °C T_{h} _
- TEG cold side Temperature, °C Tc
- TUNKU TUN AMINAH T_{sh} Shingle Temperature, °C
- Open circuit voltage, V Voc
- Figure of merit ΖT PERPUSTAKAAN



LIST OF APPENDICES



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study



Renewable energy sources, such as hydroelectric energy, wind energy, solar energy, bioenergy, and geothermal energy, are energy sources replenished continuously throughout human existence [1]. These energies can be harnessed directly or indirectly from the sun for human use, they are called renewable energy sources because they are naturally replenished. Day after day, the sun shines, plants grow, wind blows, and rivers flow. While geothermal energy is heat within the earth. The word geothermal comes from the Greek words geo (earth) and therme (heat). Geothermal energy is a renewable energy source because heat is continuously produced inside the earth. People use geothermal heat for bathing, to heat buildings, and to generate electricity. In 2020, renewable energy was around 181 gigatonnes (GW), accounting for more than 29 % of global electricity production [2]. Renewable energy is a form of energy that is both clean and abundant. It emits a minimal quantity of carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases. It is also widely recognized for its potential depletion as a sustainable energy source [3]. Other energy sources, such as fossil fuels, are finite resources depleted in the future. Renewable energy can benefit developing nations by reducing their reliance on fossil fuels [4].

Solar energy is radiant Sunlight and heat used as photovoltaic or solar thermal energy. It has distinctive properties which are clean, limitless, environmentally friendly and inexhaustible. Such features has attracted the energy sector to renewable energy sources. It is the most effective option for meeting energy requirements responsibly and ensuring energy security while minimizing greenhouse gases substantially [5].

Various power generation systems can be combined to improve the conversion efficiency of solar irradiation into energy. Hence, creating more efficient systems and combining energy harvesting mechanisms to extract solar energy is one of the most exciting research areas as a hybrid PV-TEG system becomes necessary [6].

Thermoelectric generators (TEGs) are bi-directional energy converters that can be used as generators or coolers [7]. The TEG can convert electrical energy to thermal energy and vice versa depending on the operating configuration. Solid-state operations, gas-free emissions, maintenance-free operation, enormous scalability, zero pollution, and long-term operational reliability are some of the benefits of thermoelectric energy converters [8].



Photovoltaic (PV) and thermoelectric generators (TEG) are semiconductor devices that can be integrated to convert solar energy sources into electrical power, improving power output and system efficiency. A PV-TE hybrid system is comprised of a PV panel and a TEG that can both be used to generate electricity. Using the Seebeck effect, a temperature difference between the hot and cold sides of thermoelectric devices would result in increased electrical power output from the TEG [9].

1.2 Problem Statement

Hybrid Photovoltaic Thermal Electric Generator (PV-TEG) system's energy output is improved energy with electricity and heat. One of the critical concerns available to the photovoltaic (PV) technologies is the efficiency drop caused by relatively high operating temperatures of the PV panel when exposed to high solar irradiation during the day [10][11]. The major weakness of PV panels is the efficiency drop of 0.1-0.5% whenever the PV operating temperatures is above standards test condition (STC) values of 25°C and 1000 W/m² valves for every 1°C rise [12].

Therefore, the essential factors for attaining good performance and efficiency enhancement of the PV system depend primarily on effective PV cell cooling, converting the excess heat into additional power output and thermal energy [13][14]. Consequently, the following PV-TEG system problems have been identified:

- 1. Due to the direct contact of TEG with PV in the PV-TEG hybrid system, the thermal contact resistance between the photovoltaic-thermoelectric interfaces are the most critical to reduce PV lifespan with the operating temperature increased as the irradiance increases.
- 2. The high PV operating temperature decreases the output power and efficiency of the hybrid system up to 30 % power reduction and about 0.1-0.5% efficiency drop for every 1 °C rise above 25°C.
- 3. The positioning of the TEG with the PV module in the hybrid system using the shingle is a significant problem, which is critical to heat reduction and thermal energy generation.

Aim and Objectives of the Study 1.3

TUNKU TUN AMINAI To develop, characterize, and analyse the hybrid PV-TEG system, converting the thermal energy created in the photovoltaic cell into useful electrical power and evaluating the combined system's energy efficiency using the roofing shingles.

Based on the identified research problem, the research focused on the following research objectives.

- 1. To simulate a unit TEG and the hybrid PV-TEG system using ANSYS and MATLAB Software and investigate the power and efficiency of the PV alone and TEG alone system.
- 2. To develop a hybrid PV-TEG system called sandwich shingle configuration using a for a housing roof experimentally so as to analyse the effect of the operating temperature, output voltage, and output current on TEG alone and PV alone systems as the solar irradiance is increased on a system performance.



This is to address the power reduction efficiency drop of 0.1-0.5% due to every 1° C rise above 25°C.

3. To investigate and recommend the hybrid PV-TEG system power and efficiency using a single layer positioned between the PV and TEG with an improved efficiency for enhanced energy generation.

1.4 Scope of the project

This study's scope is limited to the overall performance and efficiency evaluation of the hybrid PV-TEG system through experiments under real-life atmospheric conditions and simulation.

The materials used for the experiment are two (2) units of PV panels, each 100 W, One hundred and ninety-two (192) units of TEGs, ninety-six (96) units of heatsinks, thermal pastes. Arduino based and National Instrument (NI-DAQ 9014) was used for data acquisition, while LabView was used for data monitoring and visualization. RA-RS-N01-JT pyranometer was used for solar radiation measurement, while a K-type thermocouple was used for temperature monitoring.

P E The hybrid PV-TEG system was developed and installed for real-time monitoring at the Block B10 Laboratory, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) in Parit Raja, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia (1.8586° N, 103.0856° E) from 1st November 2020 to 3oth June 2021. The research will be done with the following;

- i. Two (2) units of 12V, 100W monocrystalline PV cell and a metal deck shingle will be used for the research [13].
- ii. One hundred and nine-two (192) units of 40 by 40 Bismuth Telluride TEG with cold junction temperatures (T_C) of 25 °C and hot junction temperatures (T_H)of 65°C [14].
- iii. The types of software for this research :



JA

- a. LabView Software, this software will be used during the laboratory experiment to serve as the data recording interface to access the experimental data from the National Instrument through the DAQ for data visualization before the analysis
- b. MATLAB Software will be used to perform the data analysis and system simulation.

1.5 Outline of the Thesis

This thesis contains five chapters. The contents of each chapter are presented in the following paragraphs.

Chapter 1 discusses the motivation behind the research. The chapter contains background to the study, the problem that warrants the study, the aim of the research, the research objective, and finally, the scope of the research.



Chapter 2 reviewed the relevant literature for the achievement of the research aim. The chapter reviewed the general renewable energy sources, photovoltaic systems, thermoelectric generators, and hybrid PV-TEG. Previous studies and research done on the PV-TEG have also been reviewed.

Chapter 3 presented the methodology used in the research. This includes outdoor experiments and modelling, data collection and instrumentation. Lastly, the analysis and Simulation were done with MATLAB software.

Chapter 4 presented the result of the study based on experimental and simulations in terms of the PV, TEG and the hybrid system power and efficiency.

Chapter 5 presents the conclusion, recommendation and direction for further research is also provided in the chapter.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This section's primary purpose focuses on the reviews of renewable energy sources, photovoltaic systems, thermoelectric generators, and hybrid PV-TEG. In addition, some previous studies and research done on the PV-EG will be reviewed. The various forms of renewable energy studies such as solar, TEG, and hybrid PV-TEG systems have been conducted to determine the potential and efficient power output performance. The increasing global demand and the adverse effect of nonrenewable fossil fuels on the environment motivated considerable research. Attention in a wide range of engineering applications of renewable sources is on the increase. This chapter presents a comprehensive of the previous work done on PV-TEG and its associated challenges.

2.2 Contribution of Renewable Energy in 2020

Renewable energy use increased by 3% in 2020, while demand for all other fuels decreased [15]. The percentage increase of renewable energy sources in 2020 is depicted in Figure 2.1.





Figure 2.1: The contribution of renewable energy sources in 2020

The global energy consumption trend, as shown in Figure 2.2, predicts that the contribution of fossil fuels (petroleum, natural gas, coal) in the energy mix in 2040 will be 78% despite the faster-growing trend of non-fossil fuels (renewable and nuclear energy) [16]. This clearly indicates that more work is urgently required in the renewable energy sector for clean and sustainable energy sources.



Figure 2.2: World energy consumption by source up to 2040.

The world's energy resources include traditional fossil fuels such as petroleum ,coal, natural gas, coal, oil, gas, modern solar energy and nuclear energy [17]. Fossil fuels meet the majority of energy needs [18]. These are relatively inexpensive and simple to explore and exploit, and they will continue to be the dominant form of energy, accounting for roughly 60% of additional energy in 2035 [19]. However, the major issue with fossil fuels is that they contribute to climate change by emitting tons of carbon dioxide (CO_2) and other pollutants during combustion. According to Figure 2.3, global energy-related CO_2 emissions are expected to hit 43.2 billion metric tons by 2040 [20].

Furthermore, the fossil fuel-based power generation system is non-renewable; the consumed reserves are not naturally replenished. The amount of available energy resources on this globe is minimal. The primary energy sources, such as coal, mineral oil, and natural gas, rapidly disappear due to ever-increasing usage [21].

The international energy outlook 2017 (IEO) Reference case forecasts increased global consumption of commercially available energy across all fuel sources through 2040, except for coal, where demand is flat. Renewable energy sources are the world's fastest-growing energy source, with demand increasing by an average of 2.3 % per year between 2015 and 2040. Nuclear power is the world's second-fastest-growing energy source, with consumption rising by 1.5 % annually during that period.



Although non-fossil fuel usage is projected to grow quicker than fossil fuel consumption, by 2040, fossil fuels would account for 77 % of total energy consumption. Natural gas is anticipated to witness the fastest fossil fuel in the coming years. Natural gas consumption worldwide is increasing at a rate of 1.4 % per year. Natural gas has a strong competitive position due to abundant natural gas reserves and growing production, particularly tight gas, shale gas, and coal-bed methane. The world's primary source of energy usage is still primarily petroleum-based liquid fuels. However, the share of liquid energy usage in global energy demand will reduce from 33% in 2015 to 31% in 2040. Oil costs have been continuously rising, prompting many energy consumers to switch to more energy-efficient devices and, where possible, to abandon liquid fuels. Global coal use remains steady in the IEO2021 Reference case, despite considerable growth in the 2000s [22]. Natural gas, renewable energy, and nuclear power (in China) are gradually replacing coal in industrial operations, and demand for coal is dwindling. China is the world's largest coal consumer; however, from 2015 to 2040, China's coal consumption is predicted to reduce by 0.6 % each

year, while coal consumption in the organization for economic cooperation and development (OECD) countries as a whole is projected to fall by 0.6 % per year.

In addition, fossil fuels are being depleted in an unsustainable manner. Furthermore, governments worldwide encourage this industry to keep prices low, putting strain on the currency. Considering clean energy is a requirement for long-term growth, expanding technologies to employ renewable energy sources to replace fossil fuels effectively has become necessary [23].

Renewable energy sources are in the spotlight in the energy industry, given the rising energy demand, rising energy prices, and a strengthening of global warming remedies. Renewable energy has emerged as the world's fastest-growing energy source in the previous decade, with usage expected to rise by 2.8 % per year between 2012 and 2040. On the other hand, nuclear power will grow at a pace of 2.3 % per year over the same period [24]. The Energy-related CO2 emission by fuel types, 1990-2040 is depicted in Figure 2.3.



Figure 2.3. Energy-related CO₂ emission by fuel types, 1990-2040.

2.3 Solar Energy

Solar energy is a vast renewable energy source. A sustainable energy from renewable energy is a promising emerging energy worldwide to mitigate fossil fuel pollution [25][26]. Solar energy is a limitless and eternal energy source. The amount of solar energy absorbed by the globe is roughly 1.8 x 1010 MW, which is millions of times higher than the world's current rate of energy use [27][28]. Solar energy is one of the most potential non-conventional energy sources since it can continually satisfy all of the world's current and future energy requirements [29]. It is a clean energy source available in practically every corner of the planet, considerably lowering greenhouse gas emissions. Photovoltaic systems, solar thermal, solar heating, and other technologies can all be used to harness the sun [30].

In essence, solar energy has the potential to meet the world's energy needs if harvesting and supply technologies are readily available. Every year, about four million exajoules (1 EJ = 1018J) of solar energy reach the globe, with 5,104 EJ quickly ready to be harvested. Despite its enormous potential and growing popularity, solar energy's contributions to the world energy supply remain negligible [31][32]. The hybrid PV-TEG system is one way to freely harvest the available solar thermal energy and improve PV cell efficiency, it will be achieved by integrating PV systems with thermoelectric generators (TEG).



The hybrid PV-TEG system produces better power, is more efficient, and emits less waste heat. It is developed to convert the maximum amount of solar irradiation into electrical power. PV cells usually use a small proportion of incoming solar irradiation to generate electricity while turning a significant portion of the irradiation into waste heat. As a result, the temperature of the PV cells rises, reducing the energy efficiency of the PV system. Figure 2.4 displays a hybrid PV-TEG configuration. The proposed system comprises of a TEG with a heat-sink that uses waste heat energy from the PV system to maximize power generation and decrease PV cell temperatures, increasing the efficiency of the hybrid PV-TEG system's energy conversion.



Figure 2.4: Solar Energy Conversion System

2.4 Solar Thermal

Solar thermal energy is produced by converting solar radiation into thermal or electrical energy for industries, businesses, and homes applications [33]. Figure 2.5 shows the solar radiation collection and conversion process of solar thermal. Solar collectors are used to collect solar radiation. After that, the radiations can be stored or directly used for warming the air or water for domestic, industrial or commercial use [34].



Figure 2.5: Solar thermal conversion system [34].

Solar thermal technology is utilized for the following applications: solar water heating, solar district heating and cooling, solar refrigeration, and solar desalination. There are four types of solar thermal energy technologies available in the market [35]:



- 1) Parabolic troughs; These concentrate sunlight onto a receiver tube containing a working liquid
- 2) Fresnel mirrors; Use multiple flat mirrors to concentrate solar sunlight onto a receiver tube
- 3) Power towers; An array of thousands of sun-tracking reflecting mirrors positioned in a field to concentrate solar radiation to a single point), and
- 4) Solar dish collectors; Concentrate power by focusing ST energy onto a single point situated above a reflector dish).

2.5 **Photovoltaic systems**

Electricity can be extracted from solar irradiance via the photovoltaic (PV) system. The PV system converts sunlight into electricity employing the principle of photovoltaic effect. The energy of photons is passed to the charge carriers every time sunlight reaches the solar module. Due to the electric field around the junction, the charge carriers split into positively charged holes and negatively charged electrons UN AMINAH



[36].

As shown in Figure 2.6, a PV system comprises interconnecting electrical components that generate electricity from sunshine and meet our daily energy needs [37].



Figure 2.6: Stand-alone Photovoltaic System Components [37].



When a photovoltaic cell is exposed to sunlight, the photovoltaic effect produces a voltage or electric current. This effect makes solar panels worthwhile because it is how the panels' cells convert sunlight into electricity. Edmond Becquerel, a French physicist, first discovered the PV effect. He noticed that the cell's voltage increased when its silver plates were exposed to sunlight [38][39].

2.5.1 Photovoltaic cell

The essential component of a PV system is a photovoltaic cell, which converts sunlight into electricity. The cell is a type of semiconductor diode that converts electromagnetic radiation into direct currents. The following are a few examples of cell silicon, gallium arsenide, cadmium telluride, etc.

When the energy absorption is equivalent to or greater than the band energy, electrons are shifted from the valence band to the conduction bands [40]. This system produces electron-hole pairs, which diffuse and separate at the p-n junction of semiconductors due to the generated electric field. As a result, electrons are attracted

to the negative side, whereas holes are pushed to the positive. Finally, as illustrated in Figure 2.7, electrons flow in the external circuit, and current is generated [41]. The maximum conversion efficiency comes from monocrystalline silicon cells[42]. There are two basic types of material with semiconductors, called positive type (p-type) and negative type (n-type). A monocrystalline silicon cell has the best conversion efficiency [43].





Figure 2.7: Photovoltaic cell (a) p-n junction structure and (b) simplified equivalent circuit [43].

PE Modelling photovoltaic cells and simulating their operation with software is relevant since it allows for simultaneous modelling of photoelectric and peripheral electronics. The equations below show that a solar cell with a parallel diode can be modelled as a current source [43].

$$I_D = I_o \left[exp\left(\frac{q(V+IR_S)}{\gamma K T_C}\right) - 1 \right]$$
(2.1)

$$I_0 = DT_{ab}^3 exp \frac{q \varepsilon_G}{A K T_{ab}}$$
(2.2)

where *D* is the diode diffusion factor, T_{ab} is absolute temperature, I_0 Is Reverse saturation current is *q* is the electron charge, $\mathcal{E}G$ is material bandgap energy, *K* is Boltzmann constant, and *A* is the cross-sectional area. Depending on required voltage

and current levels, solar cells are connected in series and parallel, respectively. The solar cell generator voltage and current can be obtained as,

$$V_g = I_g R_s \frac{N_s}{N_P} l_n \left(1 + \frac{N_P I_{Ph} - 1}{N_P I_O} \right)$$
(2.3)

where R_s is the series resistance, N_s is the number of cells in series, N_P is the number of cells in parallel and I_{Ph} is the cell photocurrent proportional to solar irradiance.

$$I_g = I_{Ph} - I_0 exp\left(\frac{qV_g}{K_T} - 1\right)$$
(2.4)

where *T* is the cell temperature. Also, the PV cell short circuit current (I_{SC}) can be obtained by letting $V_g = 0$ and $I_{SC} = I_{Ph}$. this value varies with cell irradiance and the PV cell open-circuit voltage (V_{OC}) can be obtained by setting Ig = 0 thus,



$$V_{O} = \frac{\kappa_{T}}{q} l_{n} \left[\frac{l_{Ph}}{l_{O}} \right]$$
(2.5)
The maximum output power of the PV is expressed as
$$\frac{d(V_{g} x I_{g})}{dt}$$
(2.6)

$$V_{mp} = V_{OC} - \frac{\kappa T}{q} \left[\frac{V_{mp}}{\frac{kt}{q}} + 1 \right]$$
(2.7)

Fill factor (FF) can be expressed as

$$FF = \frac{V_{mp} x I_{mp}}{V_{OC} x I_{SC}}$$
(2.8)

The efficiency of the PV can be expressed as

$$\eta_{PV} = \frac{FFxV_{OC}xI_{SC}}{P_{in}}$$
(2.9)

where P_{in} is the incident power on the PV cell.

The temperature has a severe influence on photovoltaic cells [43]; as a result, many studies on PV systems have focused on improving efficiency through effective thermal management strategies. The efficiency of the solar cell is excellent under standard test conditions using Eq. (2.2). However, as given in Eq. (2.4) and in Figure 2.8, once the cell temperature rises above the STC, the efficiency of the solar cell drops. Figure 2.8 shows that the short circuit current Isc increases repetitively with temperature and then saturates to a maximum before decreasing at high temperatures due to the cell's high temperature, which affects system performance. The open circuit voltage V_{oc}, on the other hand, increases linearly with temperature. The fill factor and efficiency, which are directly related to Isc and V oc, follow the letter variations. The trend can be explained by the mobility's behaviour, which is a temperature-activated process. According to the graph, the highest efficiency (9.7 %) occurred at I_{sc} 0.63 and V_{oc} 0.58 [44]. Figure 2.9 depicts the variation of the photovoltaic (PV) cell's current voltage (I-V) characteristic with temperature change. The effect is explained using solid state theory. The lower the open-circuit voltage and the higher the short-circuit current, the higher the temperature. This trend can be explained using band theory from solid state physics. As the temperature rises, the proscribed gap narrows and the Fermi energy level shifts toward the proscribed gap's centre. Both of these effects result in a lower potential barrier in the PN junction's band figure, and thus a lower photovoltaic voltage. Furthermore, narrowing the proscribed gap causes an increase in the generation of electron-hole pairs in the PN junction, as well as an increase in shortcircuit current [45].

PVs operate better at reduced cell temperatures in general. The temperature coefficient is a property that describes how PV efficiency is affected by temperature. It is being used to measure how sensitive PV cells are to temperature changes. The temperature coefficient is commonly expressed as a normalized value of 25 °C or 298.15 K [46] to compare different PV cells.



Figure 2.8: Effect of cell temperature on efficiency, open-circuit voltage and short circuit current of a monocrystalline silicon cell [42][47].



Figure 2.9: Temperature Influence on the I-V characteristics of a photovoltaic cell [48].

Photovoltaic electrical efficiency can be improved by minimizing and adequately utilizing the heat accumulated on the covered PV surface [49]. Various technologies have been developed for such a purpose, including Photovoltaic-Thermal (PV-T) and Photovoltaic-Thermoelectric Generator (PV-TEG) [50]. On the other hand, the PV-TEG can only accomplish this if the TEG is in physical contact with the PV (i.e. direct coupling method). Nonetheless, the TEG will have to function more significantly than the ambient temperature to generate electricity. If the solar cell is not adequately cooled, it would most likely overheat [50].

2.5.2 Solar photovoltaic panel

A solar panel comprises several solar cells with interconnected semiconductor qualities in a support structure, resulting in a higher output. These characteristics allow the cell to catch sunlight or photons and convert their energy into useable electricity [51].

The current and voltage generated by a PV cell are proportional to its size. A solar cell with a 13.5 m x 13.5 m can generate 0.55 V and a current density of 30 to 35 mA/cm² [52]. Several panels are connected in the following way to meet the power requirements of a specific system;

- i. Series connection to increase a voltage
- ii. Parallel connection to improve a current

For series connection, the number of panel modules in series (N_s) is obtained by dividing the system dc voltage (DC) by the rated voltage of one panel (V_r) as AMINA expressed as system dc voltage divided by the voltage rated of one panel as [53]

TII



$$= \frac{System \, Voltage \, (DC)}{Rated \, valve \, of \, Voltage \, of \, one \, PV \, Module} = \frac{V_{dc}}{V_r}$$
(2.10)

While for the numbers of the parallel of panel module in parallel (N_p) can be obtained by dividing the system's total DC by the rated current of one module as below [53].

$$N_p = \frac{\text{Total Current of the PV Module}}{\text{Rated Current of one PV Module}} = \frac{I_{dc}}{I_r}$$
(2.11)

Solar Photovoltaic Array combines multiple solar panels electrically connected to form a large photovoltaic system known as an array with a large surface area to generate more electricity.

The main challenge in using solar photovoltaic source with multiple cells in series is dealing with its nonlinear internal resistance. When the array receives nonuniform irradiance or is partially shaded, the problem becomes more complicated.

Partial shading is common in larger solar photovoltaic arrays due to tree leaves falling on it, birds or bird litter on the array, shade from a neighboring structure, and so on. All the cells in a string of connected cells carry the same amount of current. Although some cells in the shade produce less photon current than the other fully illuminated cell, these cells are still required to carry the same amount of current

The current and voltage generated depend on the area of the cell. A photovoltaic cell with size 13.5 m x 13.5 m can generate 0.55 V and 30 to 35 mA/cm² of current density [54].

2.6 Thermoelectric Generator

Figure 2.10 illustrates the schematic of TEG under generator mode and cooler mode. In Figure 2.10(a), the Seebeck effect allows thermoelectric generators to generate electrical energy from heat energy when a temperature gradient (T) is provided to a thermoelectric couple made up of p and n-type semiconductor materials. The mobile charge carriers at the hot end (heat source) diffuse to the cold end (heat sink), resulting in an electrostatic potential (ΔV) at the cold end [55]. Thomas Seebeck discovered the Seebeck effect, which creates potential differences due to temperature gradients given in equation 2.11. The Seebeck coefficient is a material feature that is intrinsically thermoelectric [56].

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T} \tag{2.11}$$

Applying a current via the two junctions of a thermoelectric couple, on the other hand, results in a temperature difference. This process, known as the Peltier effect, was discovered by Jean Charles Peltier depicted in Figure 2.10(b) [55][57]. Although the Thomson effect is not primarily significant in thermoelectric devices, detailed calculations are still essential to influence device performance [58].



)



Figure 2.10: Schematic of a thermoelectric (a) generator and (b) cooler [55].

Akashah et al. [59] and Wan Jamaluddin et al. [60] investigated the viability of employing a TEG as a renewable energy source. The experiment was conducted using a TEC1-12706 with dimensions of 40 x 40 x 3.5 mm. The voltage, current, and temperature data were taken with a National Instrument (NI-CRIO 9014). The TEG was heated with halogen lamps, and the data was shown using LabView software. When the two TEGs were connected in series across 200 Ω resistance, they generated 41.82 W of power, whereas when connected in parallel, they generated 100 megawatts (MW) at a temperature of 70°C. Another research examined the possibilities of developing sustainable thermal energy from shingles using a TEG for domestic applications [61]. A test ring was employed for TEG, and the shingle was attached to the NI-CRIO 9014 for data acquisition. At a load of 138 Ω , the four TEG modules in series generated a total of 0.003 V, producing 65.22 uW of energy.

Ding et al. [62] investigated a unit TEG for exhaust thermal heat recovery using modelling and simulation. The TEG tit angle, hot side temperature, and output power were all evaluated. The results show that the TEG generated 0.21 W at 1.75 °C. Furthermore, the findings of this study shows that the design, theoretical investigation, and optimization of systems with multiple thermoelectric generators will yield higher performance. The study validated the research conducted by [63] which indicates that as the number of TEG is increase, the delta T increases for more power generation.



2.6.1 Thermoelectric Materials

Electrical conductivity, thermal conductivity and Seebeck coefficient are the three inherent material qualities that govern the performance of thermoelectric materials used to produce electric power using the Seebeck effect or to cool using the Peltier effect as shown in Eq. (2.12). Electrical current is passed in power generating and cooling mode; hence materials with high electrical conductivity are desirable. Furthermore, a significant Seebeck coefficient is needed because a considerable produced voltage per unit temperature gradient is required. Finally, TE materials require a low thermal conductivity since temperature variations must be sustained across the material [64]. The thermoelectric figure of merit, *ZT* is a dimensionless parameter commonly used to evaluate thermoelectric efficiency in equation (2.12) [65].

$$ZT = \frac{\alpha^2 \sigma T}{\kappa}$$



where α is the Seebeck coefficient, σ is the electrical conductivity, *K* is the thermal conductivity, and *T* is the absolute temperature.

In general, materials with a high ZT are preferred; however, because the inherent material qualities that determine the ZT are co-dependent and reciprocal, optimizing all of them at the same time is complicated. Through optimization, the TEG resulted in having the highest ZT with $Z \approx 1$ [66]. Nevertheless, due to extensive material research, successive advancement has been reported in overcoming limitations and significantly improving the thermoelectric figure of merit.

Modifying the material microstructure to enhance photon scattering and reducing thermal conductivity are two approaches that have been investigated. This method has been used to optimize materials such as chalcogenides, clathrates and skutterudites. The other process is to reduce the material dimensionality for quantum size effects to change electrical and thermal conductivity [67]. Additionally, attempts were made to increase the ZT of materials by incorporating other semiconductor or nanostructured materials. At 300 °C, the figure of merit for nanostructural materials

(2.12)

was three, and it ranged from 0.4 to 1.1 at a low-temperature difference of 27 ° C. [68][69]. This is much higher than the typical ZT value (0.8) of commercial materials like n-type Bi2Te3 and p-type Sb2Te3 at temperatures below 150 °C as affirmed by ref [40]. Other methods for developing high-efficiency thermoelectric materials include plasma treatment, material segmentation and super-lattice structure [70].

Based on the classification, bismuth telluride (Bi2Te3) is used for lowtemperature (<500K) electricity production in the category of thermoelectric materials based on the operating temperature range. For mid-temperature (500–900 K) electricity production, materials found on group-IV tellurides such as PbTe, GeTe, and SnTe are used. Finally, silicon-germanium alloys are used to generate hightemperature (> 900 K) [71]. High-quality ZT materials with low prices must be produced to provide thermoelectric devices with more proper applications. Because of the tremendous study being done in this field, this is an attainable future goal.

2.6.2 Modelling of Thermoelectric Generator and Cooler



 $\mathbf{p} = \frac{\text{Energy supply to the load}}{\text{Heat energy absorbed at the hot juction}}$ (2.13)

For having good thermoelectric material qualities with minimal contact resistances, the efficiency can be expressed as follows in Equation (2.14)

$$\eta_{teg} = \frac{I^2 R}{\alpha I T_H} = \frac{T^2 R}{K(T_H - T_C) - \frac{1}{2} I^2 R}$$
(2.14)

where *I*, R, $T_{H,and}$ T_C represent the TEG current, series resistance, hot and cold side temperatures respectively.

The maximum conversion efficiency is given in Equation (2.15),

$$\eta_{max} = \eta_C = \frac{\sqrt{1+2T} - 1}{\sqrt{1+2T} + \frac{T_C}{T_H}}$$
(2.15)

where $\eta_{\mathcal{C}}$ represents the Carnot efficiency expressed in Equation (2.16)

$$\eta_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{T_H - T_C}{T_H} \tag{2.16}$$

Thermoelectric cooler efficiency is expressed as its coefficient of performance (COP) given in Equation (2.17) [66][72],

$$COP = \frac{Heat \ absorbed}{Electrical \ power \ input} = \frac{\alpha IT_C - K(T_H - T_C)}{\alpha I(T_H - T_C) + I^2 R}$$
(2.17)



As with the thermoelectric generator, the merit figure (ZT) also determines the maximum performance coefficient that can be achieved.

2.6.3 Application of Thermal Electric Generators

Thermoelectric generators are applied for a variety of purposes, including heat recovery for automobiles. One of the significant issues currently being faced is reducing the amount of energy wasted in the form of heat energy. TEGs are used extensively in the automotive sector. According to the study, nearly 65% of the heat generated in internal combustion engines is wasted [71]. The department of energy (DOE) and the Ford Group conducted research on the v6 engine fitted with TEGs with

the objective of making 500 W, but the result obtained [72] in 2019 revealed that the generated output was only 250 W. Volvo and Renault trucks collaborated on the recovery of energy from exhaust of an engine from 2015 to 2020. According to this project, TEG can generate up to 130 W from a passenger car exhaust [73].

Next, TEG are used in wearable sensors network, since human body heat is both natural and reliable, it can be used to provide some electricity in specific uses, like those in medicine. At rest, the human body generates about 100 W of heat, and when exercising, 525 W. [74]. Since 2001, several studies on wearable thermoelectric generators (WTEGs) have been carried out. with the target of replacing lithium ion batteries, as portable device power sources, provided that the international market for portable technologies is rapidly expanding and is predicted to surpass USD 34 billion by 2025 and USD 78 billion by 2023. WTEGs are categorized as rigid or flexible architectures in either 2D or 3D configurations, or as inorganic, organic, or hybrid TEG component materials [75].



The heat from the sun is used as a heat source in these generators. The total efficiency achieved by using TEGs is approximately 5-10%, as such, TEG can be used in micro-power generation, [76] performed a research and demonstrated that with a temperature difference of 1000°C and a concentration of solar intensity of 100, an efficiency of 14.1 percent can be achieved using the available thermoelectric device. Similarly in paper [77] has been working on thermoelectric generation by people since the 2000s with the aim of powering electronic health care systems. IMEC and the Holst Centre have produced a number of wireless sensors, including the body powered electroencephalogram acquisition system, which generates 2-2.5 mW of power and is worn as a headband wireless sensor network. They also invented a wireless pulse oximeter (2006) that is powered completely by a TEG-style watch which uses commercial Bi2Te3 thermopiles and generates around 89 \Box W of power.

Space power, production of electricity in some of the harsh environments must adhere to exacting standards. Extreme weather can include very hot or cold temperatures, among other things. Additionally, maintenance should be kept to a minimum because it is challenging in these kinds of settings. TEGs are used in space applications due to their low weight, high reliability, and ability to operate for extended periods of time [56][78]. The voyager I and II, which were launched in 1997, were

REFERENCES

- Kusch-Brandt, "Urban Renewable Energy on the Upswing: A Spotlight on Renewable Energy in Cities in REN21's 'Renewables 2019 Global Status Report," 2019.
- [2] R. E. N. Members, "Renewables 2021 Global Status report (GSR2021_Full_Report),".
- [3] P. L. Surman, "Renewable energy sources," in *International Research Publication House*, no. 6, 2018.
- [4] E. Kabir, P. Kumar, S. Kumar, A. A. Adelodun, and K. H. Kim, "Solar energy: Potential and future prospects," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 82, no. August 2017, pp. 894–900, 2018.
- [5] H. Fathabadi, "Novel solar-powered photovoltaic/thermoelectric hybrid power source," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 146, pp. 426–434, 2020.
- [6] A. H. Hossein Moshfegh, Mohammead Eslamin, "Thermoelectric Cooling of a Photovoltaic Panel," in 9th International Exergy, Energy and Environmental Symposium, 2018, vol. 30, no. 20, pp. 137–149 2018..
- [7] T. S. Krishna Kumar, S. Anil Kumar, K. Kodanda Ram, K. Raj Goli, and V. Siva Prasad, "Analysis of thermo electric generators in automobile applications," *Mater. Today Proc.*, no. 21, 2020.
- [8] S. Singh, O. I. Ibeagwu, and R. Lamba, "Thermodynamic evaluation of irreversibility and optimum performance of a concentrated PV-TEG cogenerated hybrid system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 896–905, 2018.
- [9] G. Li, X. Zhao, and J. Ji, "Conceptual development of a novel photovoltaicthermoelectric system and preliminary economic analysis," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 126, pp. 935–943, 2016.
- [10] I. Marinić-Kragić, S. Nižetić, F. Grubišić-Čabo, and D. Čoko, "Analysis and optimization of passive cooling approach for free-standing photovoltaic panel: Introduction of slits," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 204, no. November 2019,



2020.

- [11] S. Nižetić, I. Marinić-Kragić, F. Grubišić-Čabo, A. M. Papadopoulos, and G. Xie, "Analysis of novel passive cooling strategies for free-standing silicon photovoltaic panels," J. Therm. Anal. Calorim., 2020.
- [12] M. Nazer, M. F. H. Rostam, S. Y. Eh Noum, M. T. Hajibeigy, and K. Shameli, "Performance Analysis of Photovoltaic Passive Heat Storage System with Microencapsulated Paraffin Wax for Thermoelectric Generation," *J. Res. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 75–90, 2021.
- [13] W. Gu, T. Ma, A. Song, M. Li, and L. Shen, "Mathematical modelling and performance evaluation of a hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 198, no. July, p. 111800, 2019.
- [14] F. J. Montero *et al.*, "Hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric system: Economic feasibility analysis in the Atacama Desert, Chile," *Energy*, vol. 239, 2022.
- [15] A. Altouni, S. Gorjian, and A. Banakar, "Development and performance evaluation of a photovoltaic-powered induction cooker (PV-IC): An approach for promoting clean production in rural areas," *Clean. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 6, p. 100373, 2022.
- [16] B. Kummamuru, "WBA Global Bioenergy Statistics 2018," 2018.
- [17] W. B. Association, "GLOBAL BIOENERGY STATISTICS 2019," 2019.
- J. M. V. Antonio Erias, Cansu Karaka, Corinna Grajetzki, James Carton, Mekalia
 Paulos, Pirjo Jantunen, Prajwal Baral, Samal Bex, "World Energy Resources 2016," World Energy Counc. 2016, pp. 6–46, 2016.
- [19] British Petroleum, "BP Energy Outlook 2019 edition The Energy Outlook explores the forces shaping the global energy transition out to 2040 and the key uncertainties surrounding that," *BP Energy Outlook 2019*, 2019.
- [20] U. S. Energy, "US Energy Information Administration, International Energy Outlook 2017 Overview," *Int. Energy Outlook*, vol. IEO2017, no. 2017, p. 143, 2017, doi: www.eia.gov/forecasts/ieo/pdf/0484(2016).pdf.
- [21] IRENA, "Rise of Renewables in cities: Energy solutions for the urban future," *Irena*, pp. 1–114, 2020, [Online]. Available: file:///C:/Users/adela/AppData/Local/Temp/IRENA_Renewables_in_cities_2020. pdf.



- [22] S. Nalley and A. Larose, "IEO2021 Highlights," *Energy Inf. Adm.*, vol. 2021, p. 21, 2021, [Online]. Available: https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/ieo/pdf/IEO2021_ReleasePresentation.pdf.
- [23] M. Larsson, *Global Energy* Transformation". *International Renewable Agency* vol.
 22 IRENA, no. 2019, p. 143, 2019.
- [24] F. Martins, C. Felgueiras, M. Smitkova, and N. Caetano, "Analysis of fossil fuel energy consumption and environmental impacts in european countries," *Energies*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1–11, 2019.
- [25] G. Min, "Prospective Photovoltaic-Thermoelectric Hybrid System," pp. 13–18, 2022.
- [26] "Renewable Energy Market Update," Renew. Energy Mark. Updat., 2020.
- [27] I. Renewable and E. Agency, *Renewable capacity statistics 2016 Statistiques de capacité renouvelable 2016 Estadísticas de capacidad renovable 2016.* 2016.
- [28] IRENA, "The Energy Progress Report 2020," 2020, [Online]. Available: https://trackingsdg7.esmap.org/data/files/downloaddocuments/tracking_sdg_7_2020-full_report_-_web_0.pdf.
- [29] H. S. MIN, Renewable Energy & Wastewater Treatment By, no. December. 2018.
- [30] N. Cooling and K. S. Garud, "SS symmetry Review on Performance Enhancement of Photovoltaic / Thermal – Thermoelectric Generator Systems with," vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 2–22, 2022.
- [31] F. Grubišić-Čabo, S. Nižetić, and T. G. Marco, "Photovoltaic panels: A review of the cooling techniques," *Trans. Famena*, vol. 40, no. June, pp. 63–74, 2016.
- [32] Y. J. Kim, S. E. Park, and B. J. Cho, "A wearable organic photovoltaicthermoelectric (OPV-TE) hybrid generator to minimize the open-circuit voltage losses of OPV module," *Nano Energy*, vol. 93, no. November 2021, p. 106775, 2022.
- [33] M. N. Ibrahim, H. Rezk, M. Al-Dahifallah, and P. Sergeant, "Hybrid Photovoltaic-Thermoelectric Generator Powered Synchronous Reluctance Motor for Pumping Applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 146979–146988, 2019.
- [34] L. Kumar, M. Hasanuzzaman, and N. A. Rahim, "Global advancement of solar thermal energy technologies for industrial process heat and its future prospects: A



review," Energy Convers. Manag., vol. 195, no. February, pp. 885-908, 2019.

- [35] S. Seddegh, X. Wang, A. D. Henderson, and Z. Xing, "Solar domestic hot water systems using latent heat energy storage medium: A review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 49, pp. 517–533, 2015.
- [36] R. Venkateswari and S. Sreejith, "Factors influencing the efficiency of photovoltaic system," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 101, no. December 2017, pp. 376–394, 2019.
- [37] D. Lee and K. Kim, "PV power prediction in a peak zone using recurrent neural networks in the absence of future meteorological information," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 173, no. xxxx, pp. 1098–1110, 2021.
- [38] L. El Chaar, L. A. Lamont, and N. El Zein, "Review of photovoltaic technologies," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 2165–2175, 2011.
- [39] D. M. Chapin, C. S. Fuller, and G. L. Pearson, "A new silicon p-n junction photocell for converting solar radiation into electrical power [3]," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 676–677, 1954.
- P. G. V. Sampaio, M. O. A. González, R. M. de Vasconcelos, M. A. T. dos Santos,
 J. C. de Toledo, and J. P. P. Pereira, "Photovoltaic technologies: Mapping from patent analysis," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 215–224, 2018.
- [41] P. Huen and W. A. Daoud, "Advances in hybrid solar photovoltaic and thermoelectric generators," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 72, no. 10, pp. 1295– 1302, 2017.
- [42] P. Singh, S. N. Singh, M. Lal, and M. Husain, "Temperature dependence of I-V characteristics and performance parameters of silicon solar cell," *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, vol. 92, no. 12, pp. 1611–1616, 2008.
- [43] S. Shittu, G. Li, Y. G. Akhlaghi, X. Ma, X. Zhao, and E. Ayodele, "Advancements in thermoelectric generators for enhanced hybrid photovoltaic system performance," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 109, no. 11, pp. 24–54, 2019.
- [44] M. Jaszczur, J. Teneta, Q. Hassan, E. Majewska, and R. Hanus, "An Experimental and Numerical Investigation of Photovoltaic Module Temperature Under Varying Environmental Conditions," *Heat Transf. Eng.*, vol. 42, no. 3–4, pp. 354–367, 2021.



- [45] M. Fisac, F. X. Villasevil, and A. M. López, "High-efficiency photovoltaic technology including thermoelectric generation," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 252, pp. 264–269, 2014.
- [46] O. Dupré, R. Vaillon, and M. A. Green, *Thermal Behavior of Photovoltaic Devices*.2017.
- [47] L. G. Gesteira, J. Uche, and L. K. de Oliveira Rodrigues, "Residential Sector Energy Demand Estimation for a Single-family Dwelling: Dynamic Simulation and Energy Analysis," *J. Sustain. Dev. Energy, Water Environ. Syst.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1–18, 2021.
- [48] M. Mesgarpour, A. Heydari, S. Wongwises, and M. Reza, "Numerical optimization of a new concept in porous medium considering thermal radiation : Photovoltaic panel cooling application," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 216, no. February, pp. 452–467, 2021.
- [49] X. Zhang, X. Zhao, S. Smith, J. Xu, and X. Yu, "Review of R&D progress and practical application of the solar photovoltaic/thermal (PV/T) technologies," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 599–617, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.08.026.
- [50] U. A. Saleh, M. A. Johar, S. A. B. Jumaat, M. N. Rejab, and W. A. Wan Jamaludin, "Evaluation of a PV-TEG Hybrid System Configuration for an Improved Energy Output: A Review," *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 385–400, 2021.
- [51] A. Z. Sahin, K. G. Ismaila, B. S. Yilbas, and A. Al-Sharafi, "A review on the performance of photovoltaic/thermoelectric hybrid generators," *Int. J. Energy Res.*, no. December 2019, pp. 1–30, 2020.
- [52] A. Almuwailhi and O. Zeitoun, "Investigating the cooling of solar photovoltaic modules under the conditions of Riyadh," J. King Saud Univ. - Eng. Sci., no. 2, 2021.
- [53] M. N. Mohanty, Advances in Intelligent Computing and Communication. 2019.
- [54] F. Jamil *et al.*, "Evaluation of photovoltaic panels using different nano phase change material and a concise comparison: An experimental study," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 169, pp. 1265–1279, 2021.
- [55] J. F. Li, W. S. Liu, L. D. Zhao, and M. Zhou, "High-performance nanostructured thermoelectric materials," *NPG Asia Mater.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 152–158, 2010.



- [56] U. Abubakar, J. M. Akmal, and W. A. W. Jamaludin, "Analysis of the Performance of Thermoelectric Generators for Ambient Energy Generation through ANSYS Software," 2021, pp. 3460–3472.
- [57] L. Lillo-Sánchez, G. López-Lara, J. Vera-Medina, E. Pérez-Aparicio, and I. Lillo-Bravo, "Degradation analysis of photovoltaic modules after operating for 22 years. A case study with comparisons," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 222, no. May, pp. 84–94, 2021.
- [58] C. Maduabuchi, "Improving the performance of a solar thermoelectric generator using nano-enhanced variable area pins," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 206, no. 1, p. 118086, 2022.
- [59] W. Akashah, W. Jamaludin, and M. A. Johar, "Temperature Analysis of Concrete Shingle Thermal Behavior Under Feasibility Study of Concrete Shingle as Renewable Energy," pp. 1–5, 2019.
- [60] W. A. Wan Jamaludin, M. A. Johar, O. M. Faizan Marwah, and A. M. Amin, "Evaluation of the potential of renewable thermal energy from shingles using thermoelectric generator (TEG) for residential use application," *J. Adv. Res. Fluid Mech. Therm. Sci.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 50–58, 2020.
- [61] M. A. Johar, Z. Yahaya, O. M. F. Marwah, W. A. W. Jamaludin, and M. N. Ribuan, "Feasibility study of Thermal Electric Generator Configurations as Renewable Energy Sources," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 914, no. 1, 2017.
- [62] D. Luo, R. Wang, W. Yu, Z. Sun, and X. Meng, "Modelling and simulation study of a converging thermoelectric generator for engine waste heat recovery," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 153, no. June 2018, pp. 837–847, 2019.
- [63] N. P. Bayendang, M. T. Kahn, and V. Balyan, "Thermoelectric Generators (TEGs) and Thermoelectric Coolers (TECs) Modeling and Optimal Operation Points Investigation," *Adv. Sci. Technol. Eng. Syst. J.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 60–78, 2022.
- [64] A. J. Minnich, M. S. Dresselhaus, Z. F. Ren, and G. Chen, "Bulk nanostructured thermoelectric materials: Current research and future prospects," *Energy Environ. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 466–479, 2009.
- [65] M. Hamid Elsheikh *et al.*, "A review on thermoelectric renewable energy: Principle parameters that affect their performance," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 30, pp. 337–355, 2014.



- [66] Y. et al. Atalay, T., Yakut, Y., Köysal, "Experimental and Thermal Analysis of Solar Thermoelectric System Performance Incorporated with Solar Tracker," *Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf.-Green Tech*, p. 6, 2021.
- [67] S. B. Riffat and X. Ma, "Improving the coefficient of performance of thermoelectric cooling systems: A review," *Int. J. Energy Res.*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 753–768, 2004.
- [68] M. Martín-González, O. Caballero-Calero, and P. Díaz-Chao, "Nanoengineering thermoelectrics for 21st century: Energy harvesting and other trends in the field," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 24, pp. 288–305, 2013.
- [69] A. R. M. Siddique, S. Mahmud, and B. Van Heyst, "A review of the state of the science on wearable thermoelectric power generators (TEGs) and their existing challenges," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 73, no. December 2016, pp. 730– 744, 2017.
- [70] X. F. Zheng, C. X. Liu, Y. Y. Yan, and Q. Wang, "A review of thermoelectrics research - Recent developments and potentials for sustainable and renewable energy applications," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 32, pp. 486–503, 2014.
- [71] Y. Y. Hsiao, W. C. Chang, and S. L. Chen, "A mathematic model of thermoelectric module with applications on waste heat recovery from automobile engine," *Energy*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 1447–1454, 2010.
- [72] H. Jouhara *et al.*, "Thermoelectric generator (TEG) technologies and applications," *Int. J. Thermofluids*, vol. 9, 2021.
- [73] L. Francioso *et al.*, "Flexible thermoelectric generator for wearable biometric sensors," *Proc. IEEE Sensors*, pp. 747–750, 2010.
- [74] G. Shu, X. Ma, H. Tian, H. Yang, T. Chen, and X. Li, "Configuration optimization of the segmented modules in an exhaust-based thermoelectric generator for engine waste heat recovery," *Energy*, vol. 160, pp. 612–624, 2018.
- [75] F. Suarez, D. P. Parekh, C. Ladd, D. Vashaee, M. D. Dickey, and M. C. Öztürk, "Flexible thermoelectric generator using bulk legs and liquid metal interconnects for wearable electronics," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 202, pp. 736–745, 2017.
- [76] R. Amatya and R. J. Ram, "Solar thermoelectric generator for micropower applications," J. Electron. Mater., vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 1735–1740, 2010.



- [77] D. Madan, Z. Wang, P. K. Wright, and J. W. Evans, "Printed flexible thermoelectric generators for use on low levels of waste heat," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 156, pp. 587–592, 2015.
- [78] P. Pichanusakorn and P. Bandaru, "Nanostructured thermoelectrics," *Mater. Sci.* Eng. R Reports, vol. 67, no. 2–4, pp. 19–63, 2010.
- [79] W. He, G. Zhang, X. Zhang, J. Ji, G. Li, and X. Zhao, "Recent development and application of thermoelectric generator and cooler," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 143, pp. 1– 25, 2015.
- [80] S. B. Riffat and X. Ma, "Thermoelectrics: A review of present and potential applications," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 913–935, 2003.
- [81] S. Twaha, J. Zhu, Y. Yan, and B. Li, "A comprehensive review of thermoelectric technology: Materials, applications, modelling and performance improvement," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 65, pp. 698–726, 2016.
- [82] H. Hashim, J. J. Bomphrey, and G. Min, "Model for geometry optimisation of thermoelectric devices in a hybrid PV/TE system," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 87, pp. 458–463, 2016.
- [83] D. N. Kossyvakis, G. D. Voutsinas, and E. V. Hristoforou, "Experimental analysis and performance evaluation of a tandem photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 117, pp. 490–500, 2016.
- [84] J. Zhang and Y. Xuan, "An integrated design of the photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 177, no. September 2018, pp. 293–298, 2019.
- [85] S. Shittu, G. Li, X. Zhao, and X. Ma, "Series of detail comparison and optimization of thermoelectric element geometry considering the PV effect," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 130, pp. 930–942, 2019.
- [86] G. Li, X. Zhao, Y. Jin, X. Chen, J. Ji, and S. Shittu, "Performance Analysis and Discussion on the Thermoelectric Element Footprint for PV–TE Maximum Power Generation," *J. Electron. Mater.*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 5344–5351, 2018.
- [87] G. Li, X. Chen, and Y. Jin, "Analysis of the primary constraint conditions of an efficient photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Energies*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2017.
- [88] M. Sharaf, M. S. Yousef, and A. S. Huzayyin, "Review of cooling techniques used



to enhance the efficiency of photovoltaic power systems," *Springer J. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, vol. 65, no. 9, 2022.

- [89] A. Bupi *et al.*, "A Method to Improve the Accuracy of Simulation Models: A Case Study on Photovoltaic System Modelling," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 372, 2021.
- [90] F. Attivissimo, A. Di Nisio, A. M. L. Lanzolla, and M. Paul, "Feasibility of a photovoltaic-thermoelectric generator: Performance analysis and simulation results," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1158–1169, 2015.
- [91] S. Mahmoudinezhad *et al.*, "Experimental investigation on spectrum beam splitting photovoltaic-thermoelectric generator under moderate solar concentrations," *Energy*, vol. 238, p. 121988, 2022.
- [92] Y. Li, "A concentrated solar spectrum splitting photovoltaic cell-thermoelectric refrigerators combined system: Definition, combined system properties and performance evaluation," *Energy*, vol. 238, p. 122042, 2022.
- [93] S. K. Pathak, P. O. Sharma, V. Goel, S. Bhattacharyya, H. Aybar, and J. P. Meyer, "A detailed review on the performance of photovoltaic/thermal system using various cooling methods," *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assessments*, vol. 51, no. November 2021, p. 101844, 2022.
- [94] G. Contento, B. Lorenzi, A. Rizzo, and D. Narducci, "Efficiency enhancement of a-Si and CZTS solar cells using different thermoelectric hybridization strategies," *Energy*, vol. 131, pp. 230–238, 2017.
- [95] B. Lorenzi, G. Contento, V. Sabatelli, A. Rizzo, and D. Narducci, "Theoretical analysis of two novel hybrid thermoelectric-photovoltaic systems based on Cu2ZnSnS4 solar cells," *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 1608–1615, 2017.
- [96] Z. Song, J. Ji, and Z. Li, "Performance of a heat pump system in combination with thermoelectric generators," *Energy*, vol. 239, p. 121900, 2022.
- [97] Y. Cao, E. Kamrani, S. Mirzaei, A. Khandakar, and B. Vaferi, "Electrical efficiency of the photovoltaic/thermal collectors cooled by nanofluids: Machine learning simulation and optimization by evolutionary algorithm," *Energy Reports*, vol. 8, pp. 24–36, 2022.
- [98] C. Babu and P. Ponnambalam, "The role of thermoelectric generators in the hybrid



PV/T systems: A review," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 151, no. June, pp. 368–385, 2017.

- [99] H. Zhang, H. Yue, J. Huang, K. Liang, and H. Chen, "Experimental studies on a low concentrating photovoltaic/thermal (LCPV/T) collector with a thermoelectric generator (TEG) module," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 171, pp. 1026–1040, 2021.
- [100] Z. He, M. Yang, L. Wang, E. Bao, and H. Zhang, "Concentrated photovoltaic thermoelectric hybrid system: An experimental and machine learning study," *Eng. Sci.*, vol. 13, pp. 47–56, 2021.
- [101] G. Li, S. Shittu, K. zhou, X. Zhao, and X. Ma, "Preliminary experiment on a novel photovoltaic-thermoelectric system in summer," *Energy*, vol. 188, p. 116041, 2019.
- [102] P. Motiei, M. Yaghoubi, and E. GoshtasbiRad, "Transient simulation of a hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric system using a phase change material," *Sustain. Energy Technol. Assessments*, vol. 34, no. October 2018, pp. 200–213, 2019.
- [103] N. M. Shatar *et al.*, "Performance evaluation of unconcentrated photovoltaicthermoelectric generator hybrid system under tropical climate," *Sustain.*, vol. 11, no. 22, 2019.
- [104] W. G. J. H. M. va. Sark, "Feasibility of photovoltaic Thermoelectric hybrid modules," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 88, no. 8, pp. 2785–2790, 2011.
- [105] K. T. Park *et al.*, "Lossless hybridization between photovoltaic and thermoelectric devices," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, pp. 1–6, 2013.
- [106] O. Rejeb, S. Shittu, C. Ghenai, G. Li, X. Zhao, and M. Bettayeb, "Optimization and performance analysis of a solar concentrated photovoltaic-thermoelectric (CPV-TE) hybrid system," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 152, pp. 1342–1353, 2020.
- [107] G. Kidegho, F. Njoka, C. Muriithi, and R. Kinyua, "Evaluation of thermal interface materials in mediating PV cell temperature mismatch in PV–TEG power generation," *Energy Reports*, vol. 7, pp. 1636–1650, 2021.
- [108] E. Yin, Q. Li, and Y. Xuan, "Optimal design method for concentrating photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 320– 329, 2018.
- [109] E. Yin, Q. Li, D. Li, and Y. Xuan, "Experimental investigation on effects of thermal



resistances on a photovoltaic-thermoelectric system integrated with phase change materials," *Energy*, vol. 169, pp. 172–185, 2019.

- [110] G. Li, S. Shittu, X. Ma, and X. Zhao, "Comparative analysis of thermoelectric elements optimum geometry between photovoltaic-thermoelectric and solar thermoelectric," *Energy*, vol. 171, pp. 599–610, 2019.
- [111] J. Liu *et al.*, "Performance evaluation of the hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric system with light and heat management," *Energy*, vol. 211, no. 76, p. 118618, 2020.
- [112] E. Yin, Q. Li, and Y. Xuan, "Optimal design method for concentrating photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 226, no. January, pp. 320–329, 2018.
- [113] M. Ge, Y. Zhao, Y. Li, W. He, L. Xie, and Y. Zhao, "Structural optimization of thermoelectric modules in a concentration photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Energy*, vol. 244, p. 123202, 2022.
- [114] D. Kraemer, L. Hu, A. Muto, X. Chen, G. Chen, and M. Chiesa, "Photovoltaicthermoelectric hybrid systems: A general optimization methodology," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 92, no. 24, 2008.
- [115] E. Yin, Q. Li, and Y. Xuan, "Feasibility analysis of a tandem photovoltaicthermoelectric hybrid system under solar concentration," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 162, pp. 1828–1841, 2020.
- [116] X. Ju, Z. Wang, G. Flamant, P. Li, and W. Zhao, "Numerical analysis and optimization of a spectrum splitting concentration photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 86, no. 6, pp. 1941–1954, 2012.
- [117] T. M. Tritt, X. Tang, Q. Zhang, and W. Xie, "Solar thermoelectrics: Direct solar thermal energy conversion," *Fundam. Mater. Energy Environ. Sustain.*, vol. 33, no. January, pp. 289–294, 2011.
- [118] E. Yin and Q. Li, "Unsteady-state performance comparison of tandem photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system and conventional photovoltaic system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 211, no. September, pp. 147–157, 2020.
- [119] Z. Yang, W. Li, X. Chen, S. Su, G. Lin, and J. Chen, "Maximum efficiency and parametric optimum selection of a concentrated solar spectrum splitting



photovoltaic cell-thermoelectric generator system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 174, no. August, pp. 65–71, 2018.

- [120] R. Bjørk and K. K. Nielsen, "The maximum theoretical performance of unconcentrated solar photovoltaic and thermoelectric generator systems," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 156, no. September 2017, pp. 264–268, 2018.
- [121] D. Liang, Q. Luo, P. Li, Y. Fu, L. Cai, and P. Zhai, "Optimization and experimentation of concentrating photovoltaic/cascaded thermoelectric generators hybrid system using spectral beam splitting technology," *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 199, no. 5, 2018.
- [122] K. K. Looi, A. T. Baheta, and K. Habib, "Investigation of photovoltaic thermoelectric air-conditioning system for room application under tropical climate," J. Mech. Sci. Technol., vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 2199–2205, 2020.
- [123] X. Tang, G. Li, X. Zhao, K. Shi, and L. Lao, "Simulation analysis and experimental validation of enhanced photovoltaic thermal module by harnessing heat," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 309, no. October 2021, p. 118479, 2022.
- [124] A. Lekbir, M. Meddad, A. Eddiai, S. Benhadouga, and R. Khenfer, "Higherefficiency for combined photovoltaic-thermoelectric solar power generation," *Int. J. Green Energy*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 371–377, 2019.
- [125] O. Farhangian Marandi, M. Ameri, and B. Adelshahian, "The experimental investigation of a hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric power generator solar cavityreceiver," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 161, no. August 2017, pp. 38–46, 2018.
- [126] P. M. Rodrigo, A. Valera, E. F. Fernández, and F. M. Almonacid, "Performance and economic limits of passively cooled hybrid thermoelectric generatorconcentrator photovoltaic modules," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 238, no. October 2018, pp. 1150–1162, 2019.
- [127] H. Karami Lakeh, H. Kaatuzian, and R. Hosseini, "A parametrical study on photoelectro-thermal performance of an integrated thermoelectric-photovoltaic cell," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 138, pp. 542–550, 2019.
- [128] M. Ruzaimi Ariffin, S. Shafie, W. Z. W. Hassan, N. Azis, and M. Effendy Ya'Acob, "Conceptual design of hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric generator (PV/TEG) for Automated Greenhouse system," *IEEE Student Conf. Res. Dev.*



Inspiring Technol. Humanit. SCOReD 2017 - Proc., vol. 2018-Janua, pp. 309–314, 2018.

- [129] P. Motiei, M. Yaghoubi, E. GoshtashbiRad, and A. Vadiee, "Two-dimensional unsteady state performance analysis of a hybrid photovoltaic-thermoelectric generator," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 119, pp. 551–565, 2018.
- [130] S. Mahmoudinezhad, A. Rezania, and L. A. Rosendahl, "Behavior of hybrid concentrated photovoltaic-thermoelectric generator under variable solar radiation," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 164, no. March, pp. 443–452, 2018.
- [131] H. R. Fallah Kohan, F. Lotfipour, and M. Eslami, "Numerical simulation of a photovoltaic thermoelectric hybrid power generation system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 174, no. September, pp. 537–548, 2018.
- [132] Y. P. Zhou, M. J. Li, W. W. Yang, and Y. L. He, "The effect of the full-spectrum characteristics of nanostructure on the PV-TE hybrid system performances within multi-physics coupling process," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 213, no. October 2017, pp. 169–178, 2018.
- [133] Y. Vorobiev, J. González-Hernández, P. Vorobiev, and L. Bulat, "Thermalphotovoltaic solar hybrid system for efficient solar energy conversion," Sol. Energy, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 170–176, 2006.
- [134] W. Zhu, Y. Deng, Y. Wang, S. Shen, and R. Gulfam, "High-performance photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid power generation system with optimized thermal management," *Energy*, vol. 100, pp. 91–101, 2016.
- [135] R. Lamba and S. C. Kaushik, "Modeling and performance analysis of a concentrated photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid power generation system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 115, pp. 288–298, 2016.
- [136] R. Lamba and S. C. Kaushik, "Solar driven concentrated photovoltaicthermoelectric hybrid system: Numerical analysis and optimization," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 34–49, 2018.
- [137] R. Lamba and S. C. Kaushik, "Modeling and performance analysis of a concentrated photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid power generation system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 115, pp. 288–298, 2016.
- [138] A. Rezania and L. A. Rosendahl, "Feasibility and parametric evaluation of hybrid



concentrated photovoltaic-thermoelectric system," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 187, pp. 380–389, 2017.

- [139] S. Mahmoudinezhad, A. Rezania, D. T. Cotfas, P. A. Cotfas, and L. A. Rosendahl, "Experimental and numerical investigation of hybrid concentrated photovoltaic – Thermoelectric module under low solar concentration," *Energy*, vol. 159, pp. 1123–1131, 2018.
- [140] B. Lorenzi, M. Acciarri, and D. Narducci, "Suitability of Electrical Coupling in Solar Cell Thermoelectric Hybridization," *Designs*, vol. 2, no. 3, p. 32, 2018.
- [141] G. Li, K. Zhou, Z. Song, X. Zhao, and J. Ji, "Inconsistent phenomenon of thermoelectric load resistance for photovoltaic-thermoelectric module," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 161, no. 1, pp. 155–161, 2018.
- [142] J. Lin, T. Liao, and B. Lin, "Performance analysis and load matching of a photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 105, pp. 891–899, 2015.
- [143] G. Li, K. Zhou, Z. Song, X. Zhao, and J. Ji, "Inconsistent phenomenon of thermoelectric load resistance for photovoltaic-thermoelectric module," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 161, no. January, pp. 155–161, 2018.
- [144] R. Bjørk and K. K. Nielsen, "The performance of a combined solar photovoltaic (PV) and thermoelectric generator (TEG) system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 120, pp. 187– 194, 2015.
- [145] M. Hajji *et al.*, "Photovoltaic and thermoelectric indirect coupling for maximum solar energy exploitation," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 136, pp. 184–191, 2017.
- [146] J.-H. Meng, D.-Y. Gao, Y. Liu, K. Zhang, and G. Lu, "Heat transfer mechanism and structure design of phase change materials to improve thermoelectric device performance," *Energy*, vol. 245, no. 23, p. 123332, 2022.
- [147] J. Zhang, H. Zhai, Z. Wu, Y. Wang, and H. Xie, "Experimental investigation of novel integrated photovoltaic-thermoelectric hybrid devices with enhanced performance," *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, vol. 215, no. June, p. 110666, 2020.
- [148] H. Karami Lakeh, H. Kaatuzian, and R. Hosseini, "A parametrical study on photoelectro-thermal performance of an integrated thermoelectric-photovoltaic cell," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 138, pp. 542–550, 2019.



- [149] L. Xu et al., "Efficient Perovskite Photovoltaic-Thermoelectric Hybrid Device," Adv. Energy Mater., vol. 8, no. 13, pp. 1–5, 2018.
- [150] O. F. Keser, B. Idare, B. Bulat, and A. Okan, "The usability of PV-TEG hybrid systems on space platforms," *Proc. 9th Int. Conf. Recent Adv. Sp. Technol. RAST* 2019, pp. 109–115, 2019.
- [151] W. Lin, T. M. Shih, J. C. Zheng, Y. Zhang, and J. Chen, "Coupling of temperatures and power outputs in hybrid photovoltaic and thermoelectric modules," *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.*, vol. 74, pp. 121–127, 2014.
- [152] Y. Melek and O. U. Aytun, "An energy benchmarking model based on artificial neural network method utilizing US Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey (CBECS) database," *Int. J. energy Res.*, vol. 31, no. August 2007, pp. 135– 147, 2007.
- [153] B. S. Dallan, J. Schumann, and F. J. Lesage, "Performance evaluation of a photoelectric-thermoelectric cogeneration hybrid system," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 118, pp. 276–285, 2015.
- [154] Z. Zhou *et al.*, "Large improvement of device performance by a synergistic effect of photovoltaics and thermoelectrics," *Nano Energy*, vol. 22, pp. 120–128, 2016.
- [155] Y. Cai, L. Wang, W. W. Wang, D. Liu, and F. Y. Zhao, "Solar energy harvesting potential of a photovoltaic-thermoelectric cooling and power generation system: Bidirectional modeling and performance optimization," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 254, p. 120150, 2020.
- [156] Z. Yang, W. Li, X. Chen, S. Su, G. Lin, and J. Chen, "Maximum efficiency and parametric optimum selection of a concentrated solar spectrum splitting photovoltaic cell-thermoelectric generator system," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 174, no. April, pp. 65–71, 2018.
- [157] M. Mizoshiri, M. Mikami, and K. Ozaki, "Thermal-photovoltaic hybrid solar generator using thin-film thermoelectric modules," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 51, no. 6 PART 2, 2012.
- [158] Mustofa, Z. Djafar, Syafaruddin, and W. H. Piarah, "A new hybrid of photovoltaic-thermoelectric generator with hot mirror as spectrum splitter," *J. Phys. Sci.*, vol. 29, pp. 63–75.



- [159] E. Elsarrag, H. Pernau, J. Heuer, N. Roshan, Y. Alhorr, and K. Bartholomé, "Spectrum splitting for efficient utilization of solar radiation: a novel photovoltaic– thermoelectric power generation system," *Renewables Wind. Water, Sol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2015.
- [160] D. Luo, R. Wang, Y. Yan, Z. Sun, W. Zhou, and R. Ding, "Comparison of different fluid-thermal-electric multiphysics modeling approaches for thermoelectric generator systems," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 180, pp. 1266–1277, 2021.
- [161] R. A. Kishore, A. Nozariasbmarz, B. Poudel, and S. Priya, "High-Performance Thermoelectric Generators for Field Deployments," ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 10389–10401, 2020.
- [162] F. October, D. Systèmes, and E. Paris, "Dassault Systèmes Launches SOLIDWORKS 2016 Introduces New User Interface, Features and Enhanced Capabilities," pp. 2015–2017, 2016.
- [163] SolidWorks, "introducing solidworks Contents," *Dassault Systèmes SolidWorks*, p. 128, 2015.
- [164] K. Todome, "Data-acquisition system developments for ATLAS pixel QA and QC test toward High-Luminosity LHC," *Nucl. Instruments Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A Accel. Spectrometers, Detect. Assoc. Equip.*, vol. 986, no. May 2020, p. 164413, 2021.
- [165] J. Chnebierk, "Clay Roofing Tile," 2016..
- [166] R. I. Alfian, A. Ma'arif, and S. Sunardi, "Noise Reduction in the Accelerometer and Gyroscope Sensor with the Kalman Filter Algorithm," *J. Robot. Control*, vol. 2, no. 3, 2021.
- [167] S. Qing *et al.*, "Characteristics and single/multi-objective optimization of thermoelectric generator by comprehensively considering inner-connection-andcontact effects and side-surface heat loss," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 251, no. 1, p. 115003, 2022.
- [168] C. Maduabuchi, "Improving the performance of a solar thermoelectric generator using nano-enhanced variable area pins," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 206, no. May 2021, p. 118086.
- [169] F. Grubišić-Čabo, S. Nižetić, I. M. Kragić, and T. Garma, "Influence of electrical



yield on temperature drop of the photovoltaic panel: Numerical and experimental findings," *J. Sustain. Dev. Energy, Water Environ. Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 641–652, 2020.

- [170] R. J. Mustafa, M. R. Gomaa, M. Al-Dhaifallah, and H. Rezk, "Environmental impacts on the performance of solar photovoltaic systems," *Sustain.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1–17, 2020.
- [171] C. Temaneh-Nyah and L. Mukwekwe, "An Investigation on the Effect of Operating Temperature on Power Output of the Photovoltaic System at University of Namibia Faculty of Engineering and I.T Campus," in *3rd International Conference on Digital Information, Networking, and Wireless Communications*, 2015, pp. 22–29.
- [172] M. Senthil Kumar, K. R. Balasubramanian, and L. Maheswari, "Effect of temperature on solar photovoltaic panel efficiency," *Int. J. Eng. Adv. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 2593–2595, 2019.
- [173] A. Wodoła, N. Howaniec, B. Jura, and B. Andrzej, "CFD Numerical Modelling of a PV – TEG Hybrid System Cooled by Air Heat Sink Coupled with a Single-Phase Inverter," 2021.
- [174] R. Sathyamurthy, A. E. Kabeel, A. Chamkha, A. Karthick, A. Muthu Manokar, and M. G. Sumithra, "Experimental investigation on cooling the photovoltaic panel using hybrid nanofluids," *Appl. Nanosci.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 363–374, 2021.
- [175] M. W. Aljibory, H. T. Hashim, and W. N. Abbas, "A Review of Solar Energy Harvesting Utilising a Photovoltaic–Thermoelectric Integrated Hybrid System," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 1067, no. 1, p. 012115, 2021.
- [176] N. Kanagaraj, "Photovoltaic and thermoelectric generator combined hybrid energy system with an enhanced maximum power point tracking technique for higher energy conversion efficiency," *Sustain.*, vol. 13, no. 6, 2021.
- [177] Y. Nandurkar, R. L. Shrivastava, and V. K. Soni, "Improvement in Energy Efficiency of CPV Module by Way of various Active and Passive Cooling Techniques," J. Inst. Eng. Ser. C, 2021.
- [178] F. Al-Amri *et al.*, "Innovative technique for achieving uniform temperatures across solar panels using heat pipes and liquid immersion cooling in the harsh climate in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia," *Alexandria Eng. J.*, 2021.



[179] M. Greppi and G. Fabbri, "Integrated PV-TEG Cooling System and Support," vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2021.

